

**Scoring Criteria**

Evidence	Score
Exceptional demonstration by the grant applicant of the relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to provide the services with evidence to support the response, where appropriate.	5 Excellent
Good demonstration by the grant applicant of the relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to provide the services with evidence to support the response, where appropriate.	4 Good
Satisfactory demonstration by the grant applicant of the relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to provide the services with evidence to support the response, where appropriate.	3 Satisfactory
Contains minor shortcomings in the demonstration by the grant applicant of the relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to provide the services with evidence to support the response, where appropriate and/or is inconsistent or in conflict with other proposals with little or no evidence to support the response.	2 Minor Reservations
Satisfies the requirement but with considerable reservations of the grant applicant relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to provide the services, with little or no evidence to support the response.	1 Serious Reservations = Fail  Submissions which receive a '1 – serious reservations' will not be considered further
No response provided.	0 no score = Fail  Submissions that 'Fail' will not be considered further

**Evaluation**

Criteria	Aspects for Evaluation	Score	Weighting	Total
<b>Service delivery and track record</b>	A clear description of the organisation's purpose and of the service (s) to be delivered. Capacity and capability to deliver in the locations and to the groups identified, including track record and successes. Organisation is working to address their carbon footprint.	5	x4	20
<b>Service need and users</b>	Clear identification of service users. Quantifiable and realistic. Evidence of service demand and beneficiary need within the Winchester District – use of data to demonstrate need. Understanding of service user needs.	5	x4	20
<b>Outcomes</b>	Defined and quantified outputs and outcomes which are clearly linked to the council's published priorities and outcomes.  Clear link between activities (what the service delivers, the actions the organisations will take) and priority outcomes (the benefits brought about or the changes that will occur as a result of those actions).  Details of how impact will be measured and evidenced.	5	x8	40
<b>Partnership</b>	Culture of partnerships or collaborations that lead to improved performance and/or experience for the users.	5	x2	10
<b>Grant</b>	A clear description of how the money will be spent on eligible activities, affordability and value for money. Financial position of the applicant organisation and evidence of financial need associated with the grant application.	5	x2	10
<b>Total Scores</b>		<b>30</b>		<b>100</b>

These aspects of the application will be checked and form part of the eligibility criteria but are not part of the evaluation assessment.

We reserve the right not to award a grant if we are not satisfied that one or more of the below have not been satisfactorily met or evidenced.

Due Diligence

- Evidence of organisational governance.
- All required information, policies and documentation have been provided.
- Quality and appropriateness of any references.

Finances

- Able to demonstrate financial sustainability for the period of the grant award. Any significant budget deficit must be accounted for.