

Winchester District Local Plan Part 2: Site Assessments

THE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT

MTRA2 Settlement:	SWANMORE
Key	
SHLAA	Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment
Arch	Archaeology
Cons	Conservation
CA	Conservation Area
LB	Listed Building
SM	Scheduled Monument

SHLAA site ref.	HE category	Heritage Assets affecting the site					Flag level: Red / Amber / Green Grey - unknown	Draft Statement
		CA	LB	SM	Other Undesignated Heritage Asset	Other Undesignated Heritage Asset - description		
340	Arch	No	No	No	No		?	Given the size of the site, previously unrecognised archaeological remains could survive <i>in situ</i> across this area. Further assessment will be required.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
429	Arch	No	No	No	No		?	Given the size of the site, previously unrecognised archaeological remains could survive <i>in situ</i> across this area. Further assessment will be required.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
466	Arch	No	No	No	No		Green	Low archaeological potential.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
1751	Arch	No	No	No	No		Green	Low archaeological potential.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
1836	Arch	No	No	No	No		Green	Although the size of the site may mean that previously unrecognised archaeological remains might survive <i>in situ</i> across this area, the site was historically used for allotments. Modern OS map evidence suggests that the site has also been terraced / reduced. Accordingly, it is considered that there is a low archaeological potential.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
1876	Arch	No	No	No	No		Green	Low archaeological potential.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.

2001	Arch	No	No	No	Yes	The fragmentary remains of a post-medieval field system have been recorded to the east (MWC7856; MWC7857). However, subsequent residential development is likely to have removed related features.	Green	Low archaeological potential.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
2412	Arch	No	No	No	Yes	Medieval or later trackway (MWC7855) runs to the west of this site, plotted from aerial photographs. This, however, does not appear to be part of a larger system.	Green/Amber	Slight potential for post-medieval features given proximity of trackway identified on aerial photographs, although it does not appear to be part of a larger system.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
2443	Arch	No	No	No	No		Green	Low archaeological potential.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
2447	Arch	No	No	No	Yes	The fragmentary remains of a post-medieval field system have been recorded to the east (MWC7856; MWC7857). However, subsequent residential development is likely to have removed related features.	Green	Low archaeological potential.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
2449	Arch	No	No	No	Yes	The remains of a rectilinear field system, of possible later prehistoric date, are visible on aerial photographs as cropmarks (MWC7889). These features, which possibly survive in part as slight earthworks, are visible on current satellite imagery (see http://local.live.com).	Amber	The cultivation features recorded across this site are potentially of later prehistoric date. Their state of survival will require further assessment, as there are potentially above-ground and below-ground features associated with this field system surviving across this site.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
2453	Arch	No	No	No	Yes	Close to the 17th century Friends Burial Ground (MWC757), but this appears to have been an enclosed cemetery all its life, immediately west of Walnut Cottage.	Green	Low archaeological potential.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
2458	Arch	No	No	No	No		Green	Low archaeological potential.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
2463	Arch	No	No	No	Yes	Slight traces of medieval/post-medieval agricultural activity are visible on aerial photographs (MWC7856; MCW7857; MCW7858). It is possible that associated features continue across the site.	Green/Amber	Slight potential for medieval and post-medieval features, recorded to the north of this parcel, which may continue south across the site.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
2464	Arch	No	No	No	No		?	Given the size of the site, previously unrecognised archaeological remains could survive <i>in situ</i> across this area. Further assessment will be required.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.

2473	Arch	No	No	No	No		Green	Low archaeological potential.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
2482	Arch	No	No	No	No		Green	Low archaeological potential.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
2505	Arch	No	No	No	No		?	Given the size of the site, previously unrecognised archaeological remains could survive <i>in situ</i> across this area. Further assessment will be required.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues
2513	Arch	No	No	No	Yes	A number of chalk pits recorded on aerial photographs and from the 1st edition OS map (MWC7865) attest to the extensive disturbance of this area during the post-medieval/modern period.	Green/Amber	It is likely that activity associated with chalk extraction has destroyed or truncated previous archaeological remains. However, given the size of this site, previously unrecognised archaeological remains could survive <i>in situ</i> across this area. Further assessment will be required.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
2514	Arch	No	No	No	No		Green	Low archaeological potential.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
2515	Arch	No	No	No	Yes	There are potentially slight earthwork traces of a rectilinear field system, probably medieval/post-medieval in date, which are not recorded on WSMR but are visible on http://local.live.com (centred on SU 5701 1656).	Amber	There are potential features associated with medieval/post-medieval cultivation across the site; their state of survival will require further assessment. In addition, given the size of the site, previously unrecognised archaeological remains could survive <i>in situ</i> across this area. Further assessment will be required.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green	No known historic building issues.
2563	Arch	No	No	No	No		Green	Low archaeological potential.
	Cons	No	No	No	None known	n/a	Green/Amber	Possible issues of setting of adjacent listed buildings at Hill Grove Farm and Hill Cross.

