# WINCHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN PART 2 – TRANSPORT EVIDENCE BASE

#### **Background & Document Structure**

The purpose of this note is to provide a brief explanation of the Transport Site Assessment work that has been carried out as part of the Winchester District Local Plan Part 2 housing site assessments.

This has been a two stage process. The first stage was primarily determining an Accessibility Assessment for each site which was used to give a rating in order to help identify the most appropriate sites for development, in terms of accessibility. This information informed local groups and helped guide the selection of sites for development.

The second stage has been the development of further information relating to the sites in the form of a Transport Evidence base.

This note is divided into a number of sections.

- 1. Site Assessments
- 2. Accidents & Road Safety Engineering and WDLPP2 Housing Sites
- 3. WDLP Housing Sites Transport Issues & General Comments
- 4. HCC Comments WDLPP2 Sites May 2014

The **Site Assessments** have been carried out for each site emerging as a preferred option within the WDLPP2 process as well as those sites being actively promoted as alternative sites for development. These site assessments include the work that was done as part of the first stage process (Accessibility Assessments) which was used to guide the choice of development sites through the emerging Plan process. The site assessment process has been developed further to ensure that there are no overriding reasons that would prevent any site's development in transport terms.

A section is included on **Accidents & Road Safety Engineering and WDLPP2 Housing Sites.** This has assessed a five year accident record for each of the eight WDLP 'MTRA2' settlements. A number of locations have been identified as possible areas requiring further investigation, but there is no overarching evidence to show individual sites could not be developed due to existing accident problems.

The section on **Transport Issues & General Comments** attempts to cover and respond to a number of questions likely to be raised in relation to specific housing sites.

The last section reproduces a response from officers of Hampshire County Council (the Highway Authority) on the emerging sites identified for inclusion within the Local Plan.

Note: Additional background data / information has been used to develop this evidence base:

- HCC traffic flow and speed data
- HCC 5 Year accident data
- SSD (Stopping Site Distance / Visibility Requirement information (MfS, DMRB)
- 'Design Manual for Roads and Bridges' TA 77/99 Traffic Capacity of Urban Roads (DMRB Vol 5, Section 1, part 3)

#### 1. Site Assessments

Each of the sites put forward as part of the SHLAA process has been assessed using the same procedure to ensure a consistent and coherent approach across the settlements in the District. Each site has a 'Site Assessment – Transport' Sheet.

The initial process has been used to guide the location of preferred development sites, rather than select and rank individual sites. It is accepted that the planning process incorporates a number of variables and considerations, and therefore some sites which in transport terms may rate 'Good' may not be selected for a variety of reasons, and some sites with lesser ratings could be selected because they score highly on other considerations.

The key piece of information on each 'Site Assessment – Transport' sheet is the overall 'Accessibility' rating which was used in the initial process of selecting the emerging overall housing site allocation strategy.

#### 'Accessibility' rating – Sites proximity to a range of facilities and services

All of the sites have been assessed to give an overall 'Accessibility' rating in order to help guide the selection of the most appropriate sites for development. This is to indicate the relative grade of 'Accessibility' in one of four distance categories for access to a range of services; Public transport, Local shops & services and Primary school education facilities.

#### Why is 'Accessibility' rating important?

If a site has a reasonable proximity to a range of goods, facilities and services, and other conditions (e.g. provision of footways etc.) are favourable then trips are more likely to be made by non-car modes.

It is also a way of assessing all of the sites using the same objective criteria which allows for a more equitable method of assessment.

#### The overall 'ACCESSIBILITY' rating bands are -

Excellent	Good	Adequate	Poor
0-400m	400-800m	800-1600m	over 1600m

ACCESSIBILITY can be defined as the site's proximity (using average walk distances from the furthest section of the site being considered) to the whole range of services considered, i.e. public transport, local shops & services and Primary school education facilities.

#### **Individual Access ratings**

The 'Site Assessment – Transport' assessment sheets also include an assessment and rating for each of the individual services considered (public transport, local shops & services and Primary school education facilities). It should be noted that the assessment criteria (distances) for Public Transport are slightly reduced to that used for local shops & services and Primary school education facilities. This is to reflect the fact that access to public transport is the first part of a longer journey, therefore the users will only willingly walk for a shorter distance (time) as part of that wider journey. The table below shows the different category distances.

	0-400m	400-800m	800-1600m	over 1600m
Public transport*	Excellent	Adequate	Limited	Poor
Local shops / services	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Poor
Primary schools	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Poor

<sup>\*</sup>Defined as a bus route with at least one bus per hour to locations with a wider range of goods, services, education, employment etc than found in the local centre.

#### **Pedestrian Links**

Also within the assessments is a brief evaluation of the pedestrian links to the range of facilities under consideration. This is a simple assessment of the local network of footways which would provide access using the following criteria.

Footway widths mainly <1.2m 1.2-1.5m 1.5-2m over 2m

Poor Adequate Good Excellent

#### **Cycle Access**

The assessments include an evaluation of the cycle access to the range of facilities under consideration. This was a simple assessment of the local provision of cycle access which would use the following criteria:

Cycle routes On major busy roads or not available – Poor

On regular highway network – Adequate
On quiet 'estate' roads or similar – Good

On off-road cycle lanes – Excellent

#### **Site Summary & Other Notes**

At the bottom of each 'Site Assessment – Transport' sheet is a note providing further explanation and possibly detailed comment on some of the issues for the site.

The site assessment sheets also provide information on estimated capacity (housing units) and trip generation. This information was used as a guide only for the likelihood of the need for wider and more comprehensive transportation assessment should the site be taken forward in the planning process. It should not be taken as an actual indication of the number of houses a site could accommodate, or traffic generation, as other factors relating to the development of the site would affect that consideration.

#### **Highway Capacity Assessment**

For most of the potential development sites under consideration the sheet also includes a section on Highway capacity impact assessment. This is a very brief 'worse-case' scenario estimating the capacity and impact of the new development on the adjacent highway. In reality these assessments overstate the impact as they make no allowance for these new trips dispersing (i.e. going in different directions). These assessments do suggest that a number of sites would need further investigations (due to the percentage increases in traffic on the existing road network) but none of these initial link assessments show daily or peak hour link capacity being exceeded. It should be noted that link capacities are higher than junction capacities, which are hence more prone to delays at peak times. The analysis of junction capacities has not been carried out within these assessments due to an absence of both data and resources, such detailed evaluation would need to be completed as part of a transportation assessment required at the plannign application stage for the larger development sites.

#### **Vehicle Access Road Details**

This section provides details and comments on a number of details relating to each development site.

#### 2. Accidents & Road Safety Engineering and WDLPP2 Housing Sites

#### **Investigation of accident locations**

Road accidents resulting in injuries (PIA's = Personal Injury Accidents) are monitored by Hampshire County Council's (HCC) road safety engineering team. Information supplied by Hampshire Police is used to identify accident locations that may benefit from engineering measures to reduce the likelihood of more accidents occurring.

Engineering measures are usually considered when

- 1) Four or more accidents have occurred at a single location, or
- 2) When three accidents with similar features have occurred in a five year period, or
- 3) Investigations are also undertaken when significant accident patterns are identified over longer lengths of road.

Further information is found in Appendix 1: HCC Casualty Reduction & Engineering measures.

Five year accident data (01-06-08 to 31-05-13) has been obtained for each of the eight 'MTRA2' settlements with WCC housing allocations to determine if there are any obvious locations with a clear accident issue that will require further investigation.

The presence of a location or road with a known or apparent accident issue is unlikely to be a reason for a site not to be appropriate for development, but is most likely to warrant investigation and possible action from the site developers in conjunction with the County Council to ensure that accident rates are not detrimentally affected as a result of the development. Furthermore, where appropriate, it is likely that the new development would be expected to contribute to, or fund, appropriate safety engineering measures.

In terms of the WDLP settlements with housing allocations the following settlements with sites potentially needing further investigation are noted –

#### **Bishop's Waltham**

The B2177 junction of Claylands Road and Victoria Road has seen 3 PIA's in a five year period. Whilst further analysis suggest that these accidents do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This section of road is most likely to be affected by the Albany Road (1877, 2390, 2554 & 1879), Vineyard (356) and Martin Street (284 & 281) development sites.

The B3035 junction of Lower Lane and Free Street has seen 3 PIA's in a five year period. However further analysis suggest that these accidents do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measure. Furthermore none of the sites under consideration are likely to have a significant impact on this location.

The B2177 junction of Coppice Hill with Shore Lane has seen 2 PIA's in a five year period. Whilst two PIA's would not normally be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is require. This site is most likely to be affected by the Coppice Hill (2398 & 2519) development sites.

The B2177 junction of Coppice Hill with the B3035 (roundabout) has seen 2 PIA's in a five year period. Whilst two PIA's would not normally be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the

future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This site is most likely to be affected by the Coppice Hill (2398 & 2519) development sites.

There is a 450 metre long section of the B2177 road between Tangier Lane and Pondside Lane which has experienced 7 PIA's in a five year period (including the junction of Claylands Road mentioned above). This could suggest a location which would require further investigation and possibly the development of safety engineering measures. This section of road is most likely to be affected by the Albany Road(1877, 2390, 2554 & 1879), Vineyard (356) and Martin Street (284 & 281) development sites.

#### **Colden Common**

The B3335 at the junction of Highbridge Road and Brambridge had 3 PIA's in a five year period. Whilst further analysis suggest that these accidents do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This junction is most likely to be affected by the Spring Lane (1874) development site.

The junction of Bishopstoke Lane and Church Lane has had 3 PIA's in a five year period. Further analysis suggests that two of these accidents share common features and could therefore be the subject of future HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This junction is most likely to be affected by the Church Lane (1871 & 2561) development sites.

The B3354 Main Road has experienced 6 PIA's in a five year period on the 780 metre section through Colden Common village (between Spring Lane and Church Lane) and a further 6 PIA's on the 680 metre section between Church Lane and Hensting Lane. This could suggest a location which would require further investigation and possibly the development of safety engineering measures. The developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is require. This section of road is most likely to be affected by The Main Road (275, 888, 889, 2389, 2494) development sites.

#### **New Alresford**

The junction of Nursery Gardens and Jacklyns lane has had 3 PIA's in a five year period. Whilst further analysis suggest that these accidents do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This junction is most likely to be affected by the Sun Lane (277) development site.

The junction of Pound Hill, West Street and Jacklyns lane has had 3 PIA's in a five year period. Whilst further analysis suggest that these accidents do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This junction is most likely to be affected by all developments in the New Alresford area and the development of a package of town centre improvements would expect contributions from all sites, possibly via the CIL.

There is a 500 metre section of West Street through the town centre which has experienced 9 PIA's in a five year period. This could suggest a location which would require further investigation and possibly the development of safety engineering measures. This does include three accidents at the above location. This area would be affected by all developments in the New Alresford area and the development of a package of town centre improvements would expect contributions from all sites, possibly via the CIL.

#### Denmead

The PIA record for Denmead has not been considered or evaluated further as the progression of selected sites in this settlement has been carried out via the Neighbourhood Plan, which is now adopted.

#### **Swanmore**

There are no locations within Swanmore with more than 1 PIA therefore no further analysis has been undertaken within the settlement.

Locally the Waltham Chase / B2177 junction of Winchester Road & Forest Road crossroads has had 3 PIA's in a five year period. Further analysis suggests that two of these accidents share common features (pedestrian injuries) and could therefore be the subject of future HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This junction is could be affected by all of the development sites in Swanmore.

#### **Kings Worthy**

The junction of the B3043 and A33 (also including the junction of A33 and Lovedon Lane) is the site of an HCC programmed Accident Remedial scheme due to be constructed in 2015. This combined location has seen 9 PIAs in a 5 year period. The programmed works are designed to deal with the existing issues and further works should not be required. However if the development of the site is carried out later in the Local Plan period then any local development site will need to check and ensure that the accident problem has been resolved, failing which further measures may be required which may require funding from local developments.

The following junctions have all experienced 2 PIAs in a five year period:

- Springvale Road junction with Nations Hill
- Church Lane junction with B3047
- Bedfield Lane junction with B3047

Whilst further analysis suggest that accidents at each of these locations do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting these locations will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required.

#### Wickham

The junction of the A32 and Southwick Road location has seen 6 PIAs in a 5 year period. This is a known HCC Accident Remedial site. Developers of any site in Wickham affecting this location will need to discuss the development implications and the need for a financial contribution towards an accident remedial scheme.

The section of the A334 (Winchester Road) between the A32 and Buddens Road has featured 17 PIAs in a 5 year period. This could suggest a location which would require further investigation and possibly the development of safety engineering measures. The developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is require. This section of road is most likely to be affected by all of the Wickham development sites.

The 'Square' in Wickham has seen 7 PIAs in a 5 year period. This area of high pedestrian and vehicular activity could benefit from improvements for all users. This area would be affected by all developments in the Wickham and the development of a package of town centre improvements would expect contributions from all sites, possibly via the CIL.

There is an additional 'cluster' of 5 PIAs on the B2177 Southwick road approximately 300 metres east of the A32/B2177 cross roads. However these do not appear to be in one particular location and appear to include some loss of control at the two bends. This area has benefited from a reduction in the speed limit to 30mph and the provision of warning signs.

The following junctions have all experienced a number of PIAs in a five year period:

- A334 Winchester Road junction with The Square (3 PIAs)
- A334 Roundabout junction with A32 (4 PIAs)

Whilst further analysis suggest that accidents at each of these locations do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting these locations will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required.

#### **Waltham Chase**

The B2177 junction of Winchester Road & Forest Road crossroads has had 3 PIA's in a five year period. Further analysis suggests that two of these accidents share common features (pedestrian injuries) and could therefore be the subject of future HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This junction is most likely to be affected by all of the development sites in Waltham Chase.

There is a 1000 metre long section of the B2177 road between Clewers Hill and Solomans Lane which has experienced 10 PIA's in a five year period (including the Winchester Road / Forest Road crossroads mentioned above). This could suggest a location which would require further investigation and possibly the development of safety engineering measures. This section of road is most likely to be affected by the development of all sites in the Waltham Chase area.

Special note: 9 of the 23 injury accidents in the area have involved injuries to pedestrians and therefore development should ensure that adequate provision is made for sustainable travel modes.

#### 3. WDLP Housing Sites – Transport Issues & General Comments

This section attempts to cover and respond to a number of common questions likely to be raised in relation to specific housing sites. These questions and issues can be covered in general terms for all of the allocated housing sites for the WDLP.

It is important to note that the Local Plan Process it cannot hope to resolve or answer all of the detailed site specific queries in relation to individual housing sites, but more simply to ensure that there is unlikely to be definitive reasons that would prevent the allocation and subsequent development of identified and selected sites.

#### Quantum of Development – Can local roads cope with all the development planned?

The quantum of allocated development for the settlement/District has been tested through the Local Plan (Part 1) Process. This has been subject to examination in public and has been found to be sound. This essentially sets out and agrees the required level of development for the settlement under consideration. As part of that process there is an understanding of the need and ability to accommodate the transportation requirements of the development within the settlement and local area. It should also be noted that the settlements with housing allocations have been chosen for their ability to locally provide at least some of the facilities required for residential users, such as shopping and educational facilities.

#### Surely it would be better to spread the housing over lots of smaller sites?

The notion of providing the required housing numbers in a number of allocations, rather than on a limited number of sites, would not reduce the overall scale or impact of traffic on the local highway network. The detailed transportation assessments that would be expected to accompany any development application would need to demonstrate that any local junction notably affected by the associated traffic would be able to cope.

#### Road Safety – What about the accidents at....?

All settlements have been assessed against the County Councils (Local Highway Authority) criteria for remedial accident action and this is covered elsewhere within this document. However the location of an existing or perceived accident risk would not necessarily negate the development of a site, but more likely warrant a financial contribution to the highway authority for the construction or development of a remedial scheme.

#### Parking - Will there be enough parking?

All development sites will need to provide car parking to meet the adopted standards of the City Council (Residential Parking Standards SPD). These have been developed to ensure that sites can accommodate their own parking demands.

#### Traffic Management – There are already parking problems in....?

Where there are existing minor traffic management issues (inappropriate parking on corners etc) then the City Council does have the powers to develop and implement traffic regulation orders to control such issues.

#### Public Transport – The public transport provision is poor, more buses are needed!

Whilst the public transport provision in the settlements selected for development may not match that of urban areas, each settlement is served by an acceptable minimum provision and the allocation of additional housing can only assist the viability of such public transport provision.

The level of additional housing provision in most of the WDLP settlements is unlikely to provide sufficient funding to procure additional bus services, but the allocation of new development in such areas will assist in retaining the commercial viability of existing services.

#### Provision of Appropriate Access – How is safe access to be achieved?

The WDLP is a land use plan, it has been prepared by the planning authority with general assistance from the Highway Authority. The Local Plan does not and cannot specify the exact form of access that would be required to serve an allocated development site. This would be the responsibility of the Highway Authority or its representatives at the time of submission of a planning application.

Nor would it be appropriate for the Local Plan to indicate or dictate the type and location of road or traffic management measures that may be required to offset the impacts of traffic from a development site. It can and does, however, refer in general terms as to what provision may be required.

#### Traffic Impact / Road Capacity & Congestion - Can the local roads cope?

Where ever development is located local roads will inevitably accept some increases in use. However the predominantly rural nature of Winchester District and the dispersed locations of settlements is such that on the local roads congestion is unlikely to be experienced to the same extent as that in urban areas, and as such is not likely to be a tenable reason in itself to refuse or prevent development.

Furthermore, congestion is often a positive inducement to encourage users to try more sustainable travel modes or retime their journeys to reduce demands on the highway network.

The Highways Agency has published the 'Design Manual for Roads and Bridges' which includes document TA 77/99 (found in DMRB Vol 5, Section 1, part 3) which details of the traffic capacity of urban roads. Whist ostensibly this is a design guide for new roads, the capacities set out within the manual may also be used as a guide to the capacities of existing urban roads (para 1.5 of the manual refers).

For the purposes of a capacity assessment all main roads that are likely to be affected by development have been classified as UAP (Urban All Purpose) in ether class 3 or 4 (which have lower traffic capacities than 1 & 2). This does indicate that the capacities of roads are far higher than people would imagine, and what many users view as 'congestion' is often localised delays of a few minutes rather than congestion caused by a widespread pattern of traffic flows exceeding the network capacity.

The following table combines details from Table 1 & Table 2 in TA 77/99 to show how the types of urban roads and their features relate to link capacities.

# Table showing urban roads & their features in relation to link capacities (information from TA 77/99)

Feature	Road Type: UAP 2	Road Type: UAP 3	Road Type: UAP4
General Description	Good standard single/dual carriageway road with frontage access and more than two side roads per km.	Variable standard road carrying mixed traffic with frontage access, side roads, bus stops and at-grade pedestrian crossings	Busy high street carry predominantly local traffic with frontage activity including loading and unloading
Speed limit	Generally 40 mph	30 mph to 40 mph	30 mph
Side roads	More than 2 per Km	More than 2 per Km	More than 2 per Km
Access to roadside development	Access to residential properties	Frontage access	Unlimited access to houses, shops and businesses
Parking and loading	restricted	unrestricted	unrestricted
Pedestrian crossings	Some at-grade	Some at-grade	Frequent at-grade
Bus stops	At kerbside	At kerbside	At kerbside
Capacities for 6.1 metre wide road	1,020 – hourly one way busiest direction	900 – hourly one way busiest direction	750 – hourly one way busiest direction
	1,700 – hourly two way	1,500 - hourly two way	1,250 - hourly two way
	20,400 - daily (12hr) capacity	18,000 - daily (12hr) capacity	15,000 - daily (12hr) capacity
Capacities for 6.75 metre wide road	1,260 – hourly one way busiest direction	1,100 – hourly one way busiest direction	900 – hourly one way busiest direction
	2,100 - hourly two way	1,850 - hourly two way	1500 – hourly two way
	25,200 - daily (12hr) capacity	22,200 - daily (12hr) capacity	18,000 - daily (12hr) capacity
Capacities for 7.3 metre wide road	1,470 – hourly one way busiest direction	1,300 – hourly one way busiest direction	1,140 – hourly one way busiest direction
	2,450 - hourly two way	2,167 – hourly two way	1,900 – hourly two way
	29,400 - daily (12hr) capacity	26,004 - daily (12hr) capacity	22,800 - daily (12hr) capacity

#### 4. HCC Comments WDLPP2 Sites - May 2014

This section reproduces a response from Hampshire County Council (the Highway Authority) on the emerging sites identified for inclusion within the Local Plan.

The Allocations Plan identifies a number of potential residential sites across the district. Each application will need to be supported by an appropriate form of transport assessment in order to demonstrate that the site can be accessed to the satisfaction of the highway authority. The scope and detail of the necessary transport assessment will vary according to the size of the proposed development and should be in accordance with the Department for Transport's Guidance on Transport Assessments (2007).

In particular the Highway Authority will need to be satisfied that new access arrangements to individual proposals are achievable within the existing highway boundary, or that additional land can be secured and dedicated as new highway to accommodate the proposals. The additional traffic generated by each site will need to be appropriately assessed to demonstrate that the proposals will not have a severe impact on the existing highway and transport network, or that suitable mitigation is identified and delivered. The impact of any proposals on road safety, and an appropriate review of recorded accidents will need to be provided where the size of the development makes this necessary or there are proposed changes to the highway layout.

At an appropriate level of detail, a review should also be undertaken to assess the accessibility of individual site by sustainable modes of transport, and any shortfall in infrastructure should be identified and suitable mitigation provided by the development. This could include the provision of missing sections of footway that link the site to important local destinations such as schools, shops or healthcare facilities, or the provision of public transport infrastructure to serve the site.

Whilst it has not been possible to review all of the proposed housing allocations, I have reviewed the proposed allocations that are considered strategic in transport terms (i.e. 100 units and above), and am able to provide the following specific comments in addition to the general comments made above. Those sites that are proposed for less than 100 units will need to be considered by your Agency Engineer under the terms of the Highways Development Control Agency Agreement.

#### **Bishops Waltham**

#### Albany Farm - HCC Comment May 2104

The development will form a new gateway into the town with access to be served from Winchester Road. It is noted that a new access point on Winchester Road is likely to be deliverable, although the impact on trees will need to be fully assessed.

There are a small number of local amenities and bus stops within reasonable walking distance from the development however trips to the town centre may be considered to be outside typically acceptable walking distances. A review of pedestrian and cycle routes should be provided together with identified improvements to encourage sustainable modes of travel between the site and the town centre.

#### The Vineyard - HCC Comment May 2104

It is not clear where the point of access into the site will be achieved as Tangier Lane appears constrained by narrow widths and on-street parking, whilst there is no physical connection between the red line boundary and The Avenue on which to provide an access. This matter will need to be resolved to the satisfaction of the highway authority.

#### Swanmore

#### Land to the north of The Lakes – HCC Comment May 2104

There are 3 individual sites identified to the north of The Lakes which are expected to provide 140 dwellings on the south western boundary of the residential area of Swanmore. Swanmore is a rural community with a Secondary School, Shop, and Primary School. It would be expected that the majority of travel for retail and employment from the proposed sites would be to destinations outside of Swanmore, although the transport assessment for these sites will need to consider sustainable access to local amenities.

It is not clear where vehicular access will be taken for the site/s. It is noted that an unadopted road known as The Lakes runs parallel with the southern boundary of the site although it is understood that there are no plans to upgrade this road to provide access. It is unclear whether the sites would be accessed by more than one main vehicular access and where these points of access will be formed. It will be for any future planning application to demonstrate the means of access to these sites to the satisfaction of the highway authority.

It will also be necessary to demonstrate where pedestrian and cycle connections will be made into the site to provide access to the main settlement area of Swanmore.

#### Wickham

#### Winchester Road - HCC Comment May 2104

Hampshire County Council has advised on pre-application consultations regarding the site on Winchester Road. The form of junction into the site from Winchester Road will need to be demonstrated through further assessment as advised in HCC pre-application advice.

It will also be necessary to ensure the impacts from development traffic are suitably mitigated on the local highway network, including the Winchester Road/Blind Lane junction.

The site is within reasonable walking distance to the local amenities including shops and a GP surgery. Pedestrian facilities on Winchester Road are limited, particularly further south where footways are narrow. Alternative routes from the site to the village centre should be examined and promoted.

#### **Colden Common**

#### East of Main Road – HCC Comment May 2104

The location of the proposed site to the east of Main Road will require pedestrian and cycle improvements to enable safe and convenient linkages from the site to the centre of Colden Common to access local amenities, including the school and local shops.

#### **New Alresford**

#### <u>Land east of Sun Lane – HCC Comment May 2104</u>

Hampshire County Council has been involved in pre application discussions with the developer.

A junction from the A31 is being explored by the site promoter to provide access to the commercial uses, but with the possibility of opening for general access which would be available for all users. Alternative access would be from the neighbouring residential area, via largely residential streets. A number of constraints are noted on the local highway network, particularly on Sun Lane from the rail bridge to its junction with East Lane with narrow carriageway widths and on street parking. The Sun Lane/East Street junction has restricted visibility and a large increase in trips through this junction could impact upon

operational safety. These matters will need to be fully addressed though any future planning application to the satisfaction of the highway authority.

If a new access is promoted from the A31, the traffic impacts on the A31 and within New Alresford will need to be assessed to demonstrate that the impact on the highway network is acceptable or can be suitably mitigated. The assessment will need to consider in detail the amount of additional traffic that will divert through the residential roads to the new junction to access Alresford instead of using East and West Street as they currently do.

A review of pedestrian and cycle links from the site to the centre of New Alresford will also need to be provided, together with improvements required to provide safe and convenient routes. It is noted that Sun Lane beyond the railway bridge lacks footway provision.

#### Appendix 1: HCC Casualty Reduction & Engineering measures.

When engineering measures are installed at an accident location, the HCC team monitors it to see whether the works have made a difference. Sometimes this leads to new issues being identified and further works may be undertaken.

The annual casualty reduction engineering programme involves several different programmes/initiatives:

- Casualty Reduction Partnership (CRP)
- Low cost programme (LCP)
- Carriageway surface treatment programme (CSTP)
- Capital safety audit programme (CSAP)
- Major infrastructure changes

#### **Casualty Reduction Partnership (CRP)**

All fatal and potentially fatal accidents which occur on roads maintained by Hampshire County Council are the subject of an individual investigation by the Casualty Reduction Partnership.

The CRP consists of officers from the County Council, Hampshire police and the relevant district or borough council. The CRP meets monthly to examine fatal and potentially fatal accident sites.

#### Low cost programme (LCP)

Safety schemes using relatively low cost measures such as signing, lining, bollards, high friction surfacing and vehicle activated signs.

#### Carriageway surface treatment programme (CSTP)

A programme of surface dressing, resurfacing and retexturing works to improve the skidding resistance of the carriageway surface for roads with a higher than average proportion of accidents that have occurred in the wet.

#### Capital safety audit programme (CSAP)

A dedicated budget to maintain the various safety schemes across Hampshire.

#### Major infrastructure changes

E.g. new traffic signals, a roundabouts or major junction alterations. This is only considered if other measures have proved unsuccessful

'SITE ASSESSMENTS - TRANSPORT' for HOUSING SITES WDLPP2					
Settlement:	Colden Com	nmon		SHLAA	888/889
Prev LP No.:			Site Nar	ne: Clayfields	
Housing Units (	30 per Ha):	50	Poter	ntial trips (all day):	350
Average distance to facilities:		600	metres	Pk trips in:	19
'ACCESSIBILITY' rating:		GOOD		Pk trips out:	11
				Pk Hr trips:	30
Transportation Asssessment required as housing number is more than 50 units					

		Site Overview		
Access	Primary access	could be provided via:	B3354 Main Ro	oad
	Secondary acce	ess could be provided via:	Spring Lane	
	Are visibility req	uirements likely to be met?	Yes	
	Could access a	ffect landscape / vegetation?	No impact	
Vehicles	Is vehicle speed	d data available?	Yes	
	Existing Speed	limits - Primary access	30	) mph
	Existing Speed	limits - Secondary Access	C	mph
Pedestrian	Pedestrian acce	ess to and around the site is	good	·
Cycles	Cycle access to and around the site is		adequate	
Dublic Transport	Nearest bus sto	ps and services are found	200	metres away
Public Transport	Pedestrian links	to the bus stops are	good	
Assessment of acces	ss to and	Access to bus services is within 4	00 metres of the	site, so
provision of bus serv	rices	provision is considered as excellent.		
	ls			
_ocal centre, shops		rest local shops and facilities are found		metres away
& facilities	Pedestrian links	s to the shops & facilities are good		
Assessment of acces	ss to local	Access to these facilities is between	en 400 & 800 me	tres, which is
centre, shops and facilities		considered good. Whilst not ideal, it presents no difficulties in site development terms.		
	No see at least D	dan and a shared and formed	1 000	
Local Primary		rimary schools are found		metres away
Schools	Pedestrian links	to the local schools are	good	
Assessment of acces	ss to local junior	Access to these facilities is between		
schools		considered good. Whilst not ideal	l, it presents no d	lifficulties in site

# Site Summary / Additional Notes

development terms.

Site requirements - Development of this site is likely to need

minor works on and off site

**No overridding transport issues -** as this is an existing developed site, with good access to Main Road. With the only vehicle access likely to be via the Main Road, the environment for cyclists is not ideal, but facilities for pedestrians are good. Amended site delination offers the opportunity for a pedestrian / cycle access to Spring lane - this is welcomed and should provide an enhanced route for such users between Spring Lane and Main Road.

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Clayfields

Other Traffic & Transport Considerations					
B3354 Main Road	30	mph limit	7.3	Metres (width)	
85% speed 37.9	mph	Traffic Flow	11976	veh/day	
A road width of over 6 metres is suitable for all traffic needs					
Spring Lane	0	mph limit	0	Metres (width)	
85% speed	mph	Traffic Flow		veh/day	
Visibility sight line requirements either set by:(MfS: < 37mph; DMRB: > 37mph)	B3354 Main Road Spring Lane			metres metres	
Highway capacity impact	B3354 M	lain Road	11976	24 hr flow	
assessement	1274 AM pk hr		1188 PM pk hr		
Indicative 'worse case' traffic impact	350	trips all day	3% Increase		
on local classified highway	30 pk hr trips		2%	Increase	
Further traffic mod	lelling may not be	needed as impact	is below 5%		
Road Type (DMRB) UAP 3	26004	12hr capacity	2167	Pk Hr capacity	
Congestion indicator (flow/capacity)	47%	all day	60%	peak hour	

Site Access Considerations & Det	ails
Access arrangement - Types and adequacy of each junction	To be determined at planning application stage when the scale and nature of the development is clearer
Identified transport improvements	CIL contributions will go towards local identified schemes
On street parking issues/need for waiting restrictions	none
Personal Injury Accident record	See separate report on Personal Injury Accidents
Street lighting	Street lights do exist on on the primary access route
Significant constraints	
Other known highway constraints	None identfied
Previous highway authority comments/advice	
Suitability of highway for on road cycling (traffic speed/volume)	The local roads have relatively low traffic flow/speeds, so may be viewed as acceptable for cycling
Barriers to walking/cycling (busy roundabouts / junctions / roads)	The local highway network has no barriers to pedestrian and cycle use

All assuming pedestrian and cycle access can be achieved via Spring Lane

# SITE ASSESSMENTS FOR WINCHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN PART2 Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Clayfields

Pedestrian & Cycling provision & access to facilities, schools and public transport					
	Option A:	B3354 Main Road	1.7	metres	
Footway provision	A footway width	1.5 metres or more is an acceptable provision			
on access roads	Option B:	Spring Lane	0	metres	
Improvements to foo	tways identified				

Public Transport provision & facilities					
(*only bus routes / se	ervices in excess	of 1 bus per hou	ır mon-sat are conside	red)	
What is the nearest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?  What is the furthest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?					200
Proximity to public tra	ansport is consid	ered to be	Excellent	to	Excellent
Do continuous footw	ays >1.5 m wide	exist between th	e site and bus stops?		Yes
If continuous footway	/s do not exist, is	there space in t	he verge to provide?		N/A
Details of bus services  Route 69: Winchester - Twyford - Colden Common - Fair Oak - Bishop's Waltham - Swanmore - Waltham Chase - Wickham - Fareham, 0700 -1900 Mon-Sat Hourly, No Sunday Service					
Details of bus  Route 7, 8 & 8/7: Eastleigh - Colden Common - Fair Oak - Hedge End - Botley - Waltham Chase - Swanmore - Bishop's Waltham & Bishops Waltham - Durley - Horton Heath - West End - Bitterne - Southampton, 0730 -1930  Mon-Sat Hourly (HCC), No Sunday Service					

Access to bus services is within 400 metres of the site, so provision is considered as excellent.

Access to Local centre / shops / facilities				
What is the nearest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?	700	What is the further site to the local ce in metres?	·	800
Proximity to local facilities is considered to be Good to				
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local centre?				Yes
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?  N/A				N/A
Access to these facilites is between 400 & 800 metres, which is considered good. Whilst not ideal, it presents no difficulties in site development terms.				

Access to local Primary (Infant / Junior) Schools				
What is the nearest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?	600	What is the furthe site to the local so measured in metro	hools	800
Proximity to local Schools is considered to be Good to				
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local schools?				Yes
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?  N/A				N/A
Access to these facilites is between 400 & 800 metres, which is considered good. Whilst not ideal, it presents no difficulties in site development terms.				

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Clayfields

### **Access Road Assessments**

Access Road name		B3354 Main Road			
width of access road			7.3	metres wide	
speed limit(s) on acc	ess road		30	mph	
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width	1.6	metres wide	
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width	1.7	metres wide	
If no footways - is the	ere space to prov	ide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?			
If the footways are le	ss than 1.2m wid	le - is there space to widen on verge	?		
Does the access road have any controlled crossing facilities?				No	
Does the access road	d have any unco	ntrolled crossing facilities?		Yes	
any weight / width restrictions on road?				No	
is the access road used for on-street parking? None / little / lots				None	
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?				No	
Is there street lighting on the road?				Yes	
Site in front of Clayfields					

Access Road name		Spring Lane		
width of access road	of access road			
speed limit(s) on acc	ess road		mp	oh
Are there footways on the		side - if YES measure width	me	etres wide
Are there footways on the		side - if YES measure width	me	etres wide
If no footways - is there space to provide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?				
If the footways are le	ss than 1.2m wid	le - is there space to widen on verge	?	
Does the access road	d have any contr	olled crossing facilities?		
Does the access road	d have any unco	ntrolled crossing facilities?		
any weight / width re	strictions on road	?		
is the access road used for on-street parking?				
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?				
Is there street lighting on the road?				
			•	

,	SITE ASSESSM	ENTS - TRANSPOR	RT' for HOUS	ING SITES WDLPP2	
Settlement:	Colden Com	mon		SHLAA No:	275
Prev LP No.:			Site N	ame: Sandyfields	
Housing Units (	30 per Ha):	165	Pote	ential trips (all day):	1155
Average distanc	e to facilities:	933	metres	Pk trips in:	64
'ACCESSIBILITY	/' rating:	<b>ADEQUATE</b>		Pk trips out:	35
Strategic sized site - HCC would deal				Pk Hr trips:	98
Transportation /	Fransportation Asssessment required as housing number is more than 50 units				

		Site Overview		
Access	Primary access	could be provided via:	B3354 Main Ro	pad
	Secondary acce	ss could be provided via:	0	
	Are visibility req	uirements likely to be met?	Yes	
	Could access af	fect landscape / vegetation?	No impact	
Vehicles	Is vehicle speed	data available?	Yes	
	Existing Speed	imits - Primary access	30	mph
	Existing Speed	imits - Secondary Access	0	mph
Pedestrian	Pedestrian acce	ss to and around the site is	good	
Cycles	Cycle access to	and around the site is	poor	
	1			
Public Transport	Nearest bus stops and services are found		400	metres away
T abile Transport	Pedestrian links	to the bus stops are	good	
Assessment of acces	ss to and	Access to bus services is within 400 metres of the site, so		
provision of bus serv	rices	provision is considered as excellent.		
	Negreetieselse	and and facilities are found	1200	
Local centre, shops & facilities		ops and facilities are found		metres away
		to the shops & facilities are	good	
Assessment of access to local centre, shops and facilities		Access to these facilities is between 800 & 1600 metres, which is considered adequate. Whilst not ideal, it would not necessarily preclude site development.		
Local Primary	Nearest local Pr	imary schools are found	1200	metres away
Schools	Pedestrian links	to the local schools are	good	
Assessment of accesschools	ss to local junior	Access to these facilites is between considered adequate. Whilst not in preclude site development.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

## Site Summary / Additional Notes

Site requirements - Development of this site is likely to need

minor works on and off site

**No overridding issues.** However, this is a significant sized site and is considered as only having 'adequate' access to shops, facilities and schools and therefore sites with better access may be considered to be preferable. The site does have existing uses and an existing access. The development of this site along with Claylands as identified in the emerging strategy does give opportunities to improve pedestrian and cycle access to the identified housing sites via new pedestrian / cycle route through the sites.

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Sandyfields

Other Traffic & Transport Considerations							
B3354 Main Road	30	mph limit	7.3	Metres (width)			
85% speed 37.9	mph	Traffic Flow	11976	veh/day			
A road width of over 6 metres is suita	ble for all traffic ne	eeds					
0	0	mph limit	0	Metres (width)			
85% speed	mph	Traffic Flow		veh/day			
Visibility sight line requirements either set	B3354 Main Roa	d	93	metres			
by :(MfS: < 37mph; DMRB: > 37mph)	0			metres			
Highway capacity impact	B3354 M	lain Road	11976	24 hr flow			
assessement	1274	AM pk hr	1188	PM pk hr			
Indicative 'worse case' traffic impact	1155	trips all day	10%	Increase			
on local classified highway	98	pk hr trips	8%	Increase			
Further detailed trafffic	impact assessme	nt required as incr	ease is above 5	%			
Road Type (DMRB) UAP 3	26004	12hr capacity	2167	Pk Hr capacity			
Congestion indicator (flow/capacity)	50%	all day	63%	peak hour			

Site Access Considerations & De	tails
Access arrangement - Types and adequacy of each junction	To be determined at planning application stage when the scale and nature of the development is clearer
Identified transport improvements	CIL contributions will go towards local identified schemes
On street parking issues/need for waiting restrictions	none
Personal Injury Accident record	See separate report on Personal Injury Accidents
Street lighting	Street lights do exist on on the primary access route
Significant constraints	
Other known highway constraints	None identfied
Previous highway authority comments/advice	
Suitability of highway for on road cycling (traffic speed/volume)	The local roads have relatively high traffic flow/speeds, so may be viewed as unwelcoming for cyclists
Barriers to walking/cycling (busy roundabouts / junctions / roads)	The local highway network has some barriers to pedestrian and cycle use

# SITE ASSESSMENTS FOR WINCHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN PART2 Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Sandyfields

Pedestrian & Cyclir	edestrian & Cycling provision & access to facilities, schools and public transport					
Footway provision	Option A:	B3354 Main Road	1.7	metres		
Footway provision	A footway width	1.5 metres or more is an acceptable provision				
on access roads	Option B:	0	0	metres		
Improvements to foo	tways identified					

Public Transport	Public Transport provision & facilities				
(*only bus routes	/ services in excess	of 1 bus per ho	our mon-sat are consid	ered)	
What is the nearest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?  What is the furthest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?			400		
Proximity to public transport is considered to be Excellent to Excellent					Excellent
Do continuous foo	otways >1.5 m wide	exist between t	the site and bus stops?	)	Yes
If continuous foot	ways do not exist, is	there space in	the verge to provide?		N/A
Details of bus services	Wickham - Fareham 0700 -1900 Mon-Sat Hourly, No Sunday Service				re - Waltham Chase -
Details of bus Services  Route 7, 8 & 8/7: Eastleigh - Colden Common - Fair Oak - Hedge End - Botley - Waltham Chase - Swanmore - Bishop's Waltham & Bishops Waltham - Durley - Horton Heath - West End - Bitterne - Southampton, 0730 -1930 Mon-Sat Hourly (HCC), No Sunday Service					
			to an provinian in com		

Access to bus services is within 400 metres of the site, so provision is considered as excellent.

Access to Local centre / shops / facilities					
What is the nearest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?	What is the furthest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?		1200		
Proximity to local facilities is considered to be Good to				Adequate	
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide	Yes				
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?				N/A	
Access to these facilites is between 800 & 1600 metres, which is considered adequate. Whilst not ideal, it would not necessarily preclude site development.					

Access to local Primary (Infant / Junior) Schools					
What is the nearest point of the site to the local schools measured in etres?  What is the furthest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?			1200		
Proximity to local Schools is considered to be Adequate to Adequate					
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local schools?  Yes					
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?  N/A					
Access to these facilites is between 800 & 1600 metres, which is considered adequate. Whilst not ideal,					

Access to these facilities is between 800 & 1600 metres, which is considered adequate. Whilst not ideal it would not necessarily preclude site development.

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Sandyfields

### **Access Road Assessments**

Access Road name B3354 Main Road				
width of access road			7.3	metres wide
speed limit(s) on acc	peed limit(s) on access road			mph
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width	1.6	metres wide
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width	1.7	metres wide
If no footways - is the	ere space to prov	ide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?		
If the footways are le	ss than 1.2m wid	le - is there space to widen on verge	?	
Does the access road	d have any contr	olled crossing facilities?		No
Does the access road	d have any unco	ntrolled crossing facilities?		Yes
any weight / width re	strictions on road	l?		No
is the access road used for on-street parking? None / little / lots			None	
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?			No	
Is there street lighting on the road?			Yes	
By Housing Site 24	By Housing Site 2495			

Access Road name			
width of access road	<u>'</u>	metres wide	
speed limit(s) on access road		mph	
Are there footways on the	side - if YES measure width	metres wide	
Are there footways on the	side - if YES measure width	metres wide	
If no footways - is there space to provide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?			
If the footways are less than 1.2m wide - is there space to widen on verge?			
Does the access road have an	y controlled crossing facilities?		
Does the access road have an	y uncontrolled crossing facilities?		
any weight / width restrictions	on road?		
is the access road used for on	-street parking?		
Does the access road have an	y parking restrictions / yellow lines?		
Is there street lighting on the re	oad?		

'SITE ASSESSMENTS - TRANSPORT' for HOUSING SITES WDLPP2					
Settlement:	Colden Com	nmon		SHLAA No:	275
Prev LP No.:		Site Name: adj Glenpark			
Housing Units (30 per Ha): 20		20	Potential trips (all day):		140
Average distance to facilities:		700	metres	Pk trips in:	8
'ACCESSIBILITY	' rating:	GOOD		Pk trips out:	4
				Pk Hr trips:	12

		Site Overview		
Access	Primary acces	s could be provided via:	B3354 Main Road	
	Secondary acc	cess could be provided via:	0	
	Are visibility re	quirements likely to be met?	Yes	
	Could access	affect landscape / vegetation?	severe impact	
Vehicles	Is vehicle spee	ed data available?	Yes	
	Existing Speed	l limits - Primary access	30 mph	
	Existing Speed	limits - Secondary Access	0 mph	
Pedestrian	Pedestrian acc	cess to and around the site is	good	
Cycles	Cycle access t	o and around the site is	poor	
	I		11	
Public Transport	Nearest bus st	ops and services are found	100 metres away	
r dono rranoport	Pedestrian link	s to the bus stops are	good	
Assessment of access to and provision of bus services		Access to bus services is within 400 metres of the site, so provision is considered as excellent.		
Local centre, shops	Nearest local s	shops and facilities are found	1000 metres away	
		ks to the shops & facilities are	good	
Assessment of access to local centre, shops and facilities		Access to these facilites is between 800 & 1600 metres, w considered adequate. Whilst not ideal, it would not necess preclude site development.		
Local Primary	Nearest local F	Primary schools are found	1000 metres away	
Schools Pedestrian links				

ss to local junior Access to these facilites is between considered adequate. Whilst not ide		
	preclude site development.	

# Site Summary / Additional Notes

Site requirements - Development of this site is likely to need

minor works on and off site

No overridding issues. However, this site is considered as only having 'adequate' access to shops, facilities and schools and therefore sites with better access may be considered to be preferable. The site does not have an existing access and HCC would need to determine if the provision of an additional access onto the B2177 is acceptable. The pedestrian and cycle access from the site to local facilities is not ideal as it is along the existing main road.

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: adj Glenpark

Other Traffic & Transport Consider	ations			
B3354 Main Road	30	mph limit	7.3	Metres (width)
85% speed 37.9	mph	Traffic Flow	11976	veh/day
A road width of over 6 metres is suita	ble for all traffic ne	eeds		
0	0	mph limit	0	Metres (width)
85% speed	mph	Traffic Flow		veh/day
by:(MfS: < 37mph; DMRB: > 37mph)	0 B3354 M	lain Road	11076	metres
Highway capacity impact	B3354 M	lain Road	11976	24 hr flow
assessement	1274 AM pk hr		1188 PM pk hr	
Indicative 'worse case' traffic impact	140 trips all day		1% Increase	
on local classified highway	12 pk hr trips		1% Increase	
Further traffic mod	delling may not be	needed as impact	is below 5%	
Road Type (DMRB) UAP 3	26004	12hr capacity	2167	Pk Hr capacity
Congestion indicator (flow/capacity)	47%	all day	59%	peak hour

Site Access Considerations & De	Site Access Considerations & Details				
Access arrangement - Types and adequacy of each junction	To be determined at planning application stage when the scale and nature of the development is clearer				
Identified transport improvements	CIL contributions will go towards local identified schemes				
On street parking issues/need for waiting restrictions	none				
Personal Injury Accident record	See separate report on Personal Injury Accidents				
Street lighting	Street lights do exist on on the primary access route				
Significant constraints	Removal of sections of the existing vegetation will be required to provide access to the site for vehicles and pedestrians.				
Other known highway constraints	None identfied				
Previous highway authority comments/advice					
Suitability of highway for on road cycling (traffic speed/volume)	The local roads have relatively high traffic flow/speeds, so may be viewed as unwelcoming for cyclists				
Barriers to walking/cycling (busy roundabouts / junctions / roads)	The local highway network has some barriers to pedestrian and cycle use				

# SITE ASSESSMENTS FOR WINCHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN PART2 Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: adj Glenpark

Pedestrian & Cyclir	ng provision & a	ccess to facilities, schools and public transp	ort	
	Option A:	B3354 Main Road	1.7	metres
Footway provision	A footway width	1.5 metres or more is an acceptable provision		
on access roads	Option B:	0	0	metres
Improvements to foo	tways identified			

Public Transport	t provision & facilit	ies			
(*only bus routes	/ services in excess	of 1 bus per ho	ur mon-sat are consid	ered)	
What is the nearest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?		0	site to the local bus	What is the furthest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?	
Proximity to public transport is considered to be Excellent to Excellen					Excellent
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and bus stops?  Yes					Yes
If continuous foot	If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?  N/A				
Details of bus services	Wickham - Fareham, 0700 -1900 Mon-Sat Hourly, No Sunday Service				
Details of bus services  Route 7, 8 & 8/7: Eastleigh - Colden Common - Fair Oak - Hedge End - Botley - Waltham Chase - Swanmore - Bishop's Waltham & Bishops Waltham - Durley - Horton Heath - West End - Bitterne - Southampton, 0730 -1930 Mon-Sat Hourly (HCC), No Sunday Service					
A 4 - h	- i		o co provision is cons		. 11 (

Access to bus services is within 400 metres of the site, so provision is considered as excellent.

Access to Local centre / shops / facilities				
What is the nearest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?	600		What is the furthest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?	
Proximity to local facilities is considered	Adequate			
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local centre?				Yes
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?				N/A
Access to these facilites is between 800 & 1600 metres, which is considered adequate. Whilst not ideal, it would not necessarily preclude site development.				

Access to local Primary (Infant / Junior) Schools					
What is the nearest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?	900	What is the furthest site to the local sch measured in metres	ools	1000	
Proximity to local Schools is considere	Adequate				
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local schools?					
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?  N/A					
Access to these facilites is between 80	00 & 1600 met	res, which is considere	d adequate. V	Whilst not ideal,	

Access to these facilities is between 800 & 1600 metres, which is considered adequate. Whilst not ideal, it would not necessarily preclude site development.

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: adj Glenpark

#### **Access Road Assessments**

Access Road name		B3354 Main Road		
width of access road			7.3	metres wide
speed limit(s) on acc	ess road		30	mph
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width	1.6	metres wide
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width 1.7		metres wide
If no footways - is the	ere space to prov	ide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?		
If the footways are le	ss than 1.2m wic	le - is there space to widen on verge	?	
Does the access road have any controlled crossing facilities?			No	
Does the access road have any uncontrolled crossing facilities?			Yes	
any weight / width restrictions on road?				No
is the access road used for on-street parking? None / little / lots				None
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?			No	
Is there street lighting on the road?			Yes	
By Housing Site 24	95			

Access Road name		
width of access road		metres wide
speed limit(s) on access road		mph
Are there footways on the	side - if YES measure width	metres wide
Are there footways on the	side - if YES measure width	metres wide
If no footways - is there space to	p provide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?	
If the footways are less than 1.2	m wide - is there space to widen on verge?	
Does the access road have any	controlled crossing facilities?	
Does the access road have any	uncontrolled crossing facilities?	
any weight / width restrictions or	n road?	
is the access road used for on-s	treet parking?	
Does the access road have any	parking restrictions / yellow lines?	
Is there street lighting on the roa	ad?	

'SITE ASSESSMENTS - TRANSPORT' for HOUSING SITES WDLPP2					
Settlement:	Settlement: Colden Common			SHLAA No:	1870
Prev LP No.:		Site Name: Lower Moors Road			
Housing Units (30 per Ha): 45		Potential trips (all day):		315	
Average distance to facilities:		700	metres	Pk trips in:	17
'ACCESSIBILITY' rating: GO		GOOD		Pk trips out:	9
				Pk Hr trips:	27

		Site Overview		
Access	Primary acces	ss could be provided via:	Lower Moors Road	
	Secondary ac	cess could be provided via:	0	
	Are visibility re	equirements likely to be met?	Yes	
	Could access	affect landscape / vegetation?	little impact	
/ehicles	Is vehicle spe	ed data available?	No	
	Existing Spee	d limits - Primary access	30 / 60 mph	
	Existing Spee	d limits - Secondary Access	0 mph	
Pedestrian	Pedestrian ac	cess to and around the site is	poor	
Cycles	Cycle access	to and around the site is	adequate	
Dudella Tanananant	Nearest bus s	tops and services are found	600 metres away	
Public Transport	Pedestrian links to the bus stops are		poor	
Assessment of acce	ss to and	Access to bus services is found	between 400 & 800 metres from	
provision of bus serv	rices	the site, so provision is considered as adequate.		
_ocal centre, shops	Nearest local	shops and facilities are found	700 metres away	
& facilities		ks to the shops & facilities are	<u> </u>	
		<u> </u>	poor	
Assessment of access to local centre, shops and facilities			veen 400 & 800 metres, which is eal, it presents no difficulties in site	
and Driver	Nearest local	Primary schools are found	800 metres away	
_ocal Primary Schools			<u> </u>	
20110013	redesinan iin	ks to the local schools are	poor	

# development terms. Site Summary / Additional Notes

Site requirements - Development of this site is likely to need

minor works on and off site

**No overidding transport issues to prevent site development.** Site frontage is onto on restricted section of Lower Moors road but access could be provided and TRO to move 30 limit to match development. There is no footway to frontage, hence the 'poor' rating so this would need to be provided which will overcome this issue. The site frontage is clear of mature trees. Good access to a range of services.

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Lower Moors Road

Other Traffic & Transport Consider	ations				
Lower Moors Road	30 / 60	mph limit	5.6	Metres (width)	
85% speed	mph	Traffic Flow		veh/day	
A road width of 5.5 metres is the lowest minimum width for all purpose traffic					
0	0	mph limit	0	Metres (width)	
85% speed	mph	Traffic Flow		veh/day	
Visibility sight line requirements either set	Lower Moors Roa	ad		metres	
by :(MfS: < 37mph; DMRB: > 37mph)	0			metres	
Highway capacity impact	B3	335	3967	24 hr flow	
assessement	446	AM pk hr	427	PM pk hr	
Indicative 'worse case' traffic impact	315	trips all day	8%	Increase	
on local classified highway	27	pk hr trips	6%	Increase	
Further detailed trafffic	impact assessme	nt required as incr	ease is above 5	%	
Road Type (DMRB) UAP 2	20400	12hr capacity	1700	Pk Hr capacity	
Congestion indicator (flow/capacity)	21%	all day	28%	peak hour	

Site Access Considerations & De	tails
Access arrangement - Types and adequacy of each junction	To be determined at planning application stage when the scale and nature of the development is clearer
Identified transport improvements	CIL contributions will go towards local identified schemes
On street parking issues/need for waiting restrictions	
Personal Injury Accident record	See separate report on Personal Injury Accidents
Street lighting	No street lighting exists on the primary access route
Significant constraints	No identified constraints
Other known highway constraints	None identfied
Previous highway authority comments/advice	
Suitability of highway for on road cycling (traffic speed/volume)	The local roads have relatively low traffic flow/speeds, so may be viewed as acceptable for cycling
Barriers to walking/cycling (busy roundabouts / junctions / roads)	The local highway network has some barriers to pedestrian and cycle use

# SITE ASSESSMENTS FOR WINCHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN PART2 Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Lower Moors Road

Pedestrian & Cycling provision & access to facilities, schools and public transport				
	Option A:	Lower Moors Road 1.	6 metres	
Footway provision	A footway width	1.5 metres or more is an acceptable provision		
on access roads	Option B:	0	0 metres	
Improvements to foo	tways identified			

Public Transpor	t provision & facilit	ies			
(*only bus routes	/ services in excess	of 1 bus per ho	our mon-sat are consid	lered)	
What is the nearest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?		400	site to the local bus	What is the furthest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?	
Proximity to public transport is considered to be Excellent to					Adequate
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and bus stops? Yes					
If continuous foot	ways do not exist, is	there space in	the verge to provide?		N/A
Details of bus services		•	Common - Fair Oak - Bishop's Hourly, No Sunday Service	Waltham - Swanmo	re - Waltham Chase -
Details of bus services		ishops Waltham - Du	ion - Fair Oak - Hedge End - B irley - Horton Heath - West En e		
Access to bus ser	rvices is found betwe	een 400 & 800	metres from the site, s	o provision is	considered as

Access to Local centre / shops / fa	cilities			
What is the nearest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?	500	What is the furthe site to the local coin metres?		700
Proximity to local facilities is considered to be Good to				
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local centre?				Yes
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?				N/A
Access to these facilites is between 40 presents no difficulties in site develop		es, which is considere	ed good. Whilst	not ideal, it

Access to local Primary (Infant / Jur	nior) Schools			
What is the nearest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?	600	What is the furthe site to the local so measured in metr	chools	800
Proximity to local Schools is considered to be Good to				Good
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local schools?				Yes
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?				N/A
Access to these facilites is between 40 presents no difficulties in site developr		s, which is considere	ed good. Whilst	not ideal, it

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Lower Moors Road

#### **Access Road Assessments**

Access Road name		Lower Moors Road		
width of access road			5.6	metres wide
speed limit(s) on acc	ess road		30	mph
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width	1.4	metres wide
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width	1.6	metres wide
If no footways - is the	ere space to prov	ide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?		
If the footways are le	ss than 1.2m wic	le - is there space to widen on verge	?	
Does the access road have any controlled crossing facilities?				No
Does the access road have any uncontrolled crossing facilities?				No
any weight / width re	No			
is the access road used for on-street parking? None / little / lots			Little	
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?			No	
Is there street lighting on the road?			No	
National speed limi	t and no paths	until the start of the residential are	ea.	

Access Road name		
width of access road		metres wide
speed limit(s) on access road		mph
Are there footways on the	side - if YES measure width	metres wide
Are there footways on the	side - if YES measure width	metres wide
If no footways - is there space	to provide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?	
If the footways are less than 1.	2m wide - is there space to widen on verge?	
Does the access road have an	y controlled crossing facilities?	
Does the access road have an	y uncontrolled crossing facilities?	
any weight / width restrictions	on road?	
is the access road used for on-	-street parking?	
Does the access road have an	y parking restrictions / yellow lines?	
Is there street lighting on the ro	pad?	

	'SITE ASSESSMENTS - TRANSPORT' for HOUSING SITES WDLPP2				
Settlement:	Colden Comr	non		SHLAA No:	1874
Prev LP No.: Site Name: East of Highbridge Road					
Housing Units (30 per Ha): 70		Potential trips (all day):		490	
Average distance to facilities: 300		metres	Pk trips in:	27	
'ACCESSIBILITY' rating: EXCELLENT			Pk trips out:	15	
Pk Hr trips: 4					42
Transportation	Asssessment red	quired as housing	number is m	ore than 50 units	

		Site Overview		
Access	Primary access	could be provided via:	B3335	
	Secondary acce	ss could be provided via:	Upper M	oors Road
	Are visibility req	uirements likely to be met?	Yes	
	Could access at	fect landscape / vegetation?	some im	pact
Vehicles	Is vehicle speed	data available?	Yes	
	Existing Speed	imits - Primary access		30 mph
	Existing Speed	imits - Secondary Access		30 mph
Pedestrian	Pedestrian acce	ss to and around the site is	good	•
Cycles	Cycle access to	and around the site is	good	
	<u> </u>			
Public Transport	Nearest bus sto	Nearest bus stops and services are found		200 metres away
rubiic Transport	Pedestrian links to the bus stops are		good	
Assessment of acce		Access to bus services is within 400 metres of the site, so		
provision of bus serv	vices	provision is considered as excellent	ent.	
	No are et le cel ele			400
Local centre, shops		ops and facilities are found		400 metres away
& facilities	Pedestrian links to the shops & facilities are		good	
Assessment of access to local centre, shops and facilities		Access to these facilities is betwee considered good. Whilst not idea development terms.		•
	1			
Local Primary	Nearest local Primary schools are found			300 metres away
Schools		to the local schools are	good	
		Access to these facilities is within to be excellent and presents no oterms.		

# Site Summary / Additional Notes

Site requirements - Development of this site is likely to need

major works on and off site

No overidding transport issues to prevent site development. Different options for site access exist, such a large site would need input of HCC as highway authority to determine access provision. Additional access could be provided via Spring Lane. The B3335 is an unrestricted road and would require significant 278 works. This is a large site in close proximity to residential centre, providing good access to a range of services. Upgrading of pedestrian & cycle routes may be required. Additional: Access proposal via Spring Lane which has been agreed in principle with HCC NOTE: improved accessibility score due to identified proposed development to northern half of the site

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: East of Highbridge Road

Other Traffic & Transport Consid	erations			
B3335	30	30 mph limit 6.5 Metres		Metres (width)
85% speed x	xx mph	Traffic Flow	ууу	veh/day
A road width of over 6 metres is sui	table for all traffic ne	eeds		
Upper Moors Road	30	mph limit	4.7	Metres (width)
85% speed	mph	Traffic Flow		veh/day
A road width of between 4.1and 4.8 not considered wide enough except			ge of two cars,	and therefore is
Visibility sight line requirements either se	B3335			metres
by :(MfS: < 37mph; DMRB: > 37mph)	Upper Moors Roa	ad		metres
Highway capacity impact	В3	335	3967	24 hr flow
assessement	446	AM pk hr	427	PM pk hr
Indicative 'worse case' traffic impac	t 490	490 trips all day		Increase
on local classified highway	42 pk hr trips		9% Increase	
Further detailed trafff	c impact assessme	nt required as incr	ease is above 5	%
Road Type (DMRB) UAP 2	20400	12hr capacity	1700	Pk Hr capacity
Congestion indicator (flow/capacity)	22%	all day	29%	peak hour

	<del>-</del>	
Access arrangement - Types and adequacy of each junction	To be determined at planning application stage when the scale and nature of the development is clearer	
Identified transport improvements	CIL contributions will go towards local identified schemes	
On street parking issues/need for waiting restrictions	none	
Personal Injury Accident record	See separate report on Personal Injury Accidents	
Street lighting	Street lights do exist on on the primary access route	
	No street lighting exists on the secondary access route	
Significant constraints	Removal of sections of the existing vegetation will be required to provide access to the site for vehicles and pedestrians.	
Other known highway constraints	None identfied	
Previous highway authority comments/advice		
Suitability of highway for on road cycling (traffic speed/volume)	The local roads have relatively low traffic flow/speeds, so may be viewed as acceptable for cycling	
Barriers to walking/cycling (busy roundabouts / junctions / roads)	The local highway network has no barriers to pedestrian and cycluse	

Site Name: East of Highbridge Road

Pedestrian & Cycling provision & access to facilities, schools and public transport					
	Option A:	B3335 0	metres		
Footway provision	Vision Unless traffic or pedestian flows are very low, the absence of a footway is not acceptable and provision				
on access roads	Option B: Upper Moors Road 1.7 metr				
	A footway width 1.5 metres or more is an acceptable provision				
Improvements to footways identified Footpath provision within the site and connecting to existing footways will be required.			existing		

Settlement: Colden Common

Public Transport provision & facilities						
(*only bus routes	services in excess	of 1 bus per ho	ur mon-sat are consid	ered)		
What is the nearest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?  What is the furthest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?						
Proximity to public transport is considered to be Excellent to Excellent						
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and bus stops?  Yes						
If continuous footy	vays do not exist, is	there space in	the verge to provide?		No	
Details of bus services	Wickham - Fareham, 0700 -1900 Mon-Sat Hourly, No Sunday Service					
Details of bus services  Route 7, 8 & 8/7: Eastleigh - Colden Common - Fair Oak - Hedge End - Botley - Waltham Chase - Swanmore - Bishop's Waltham & Bishops Waltham - Durley - Horton Heath - West End - Bitterne - Southampton, 0730 -1930 Mon-Sat Hourly (HCC), No Sunday Service						
Access to bus ser	Access to bus services is within 400 metres of the site, so provision is considered as excellent.					

Access to Local centre / shops / facilities					
What is the nearest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?	200	What is the furthest site to the local cen in metres?		400	
Proximity to local facilities is considered to be Excellent to Excellent					
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local centre?  Yes					
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?  No					
Access to these facilites is between 400 & 800 metres, which is considered good. Whilst not ideal, it presents no difficulties in site development terms.					

Access to local Primary (Infant / Junior) Schools					
What is the nearest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?	100	What is the furthesi site to the local sch measured in metre	ools	300	
Proximity to local Schools is considere	d to be	Excellent	to	Excellent	
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local schools?  Yes					
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?  No					
Access to these facilites is within 400 r difficulties in site development terms.	netres, which	is considered to be exc	cellent and pre	sents no	

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: East of Highbridge Road

### **Access Road Assessments**

Access Road name B3335				
width of access road			6.5	metres wide
speed limit(s) on access road			30	mph
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width		metres wide
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width		metres wide
If no footways - is there space to provide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?			1.5m on left	
If the footways are less than 1.2m wide - is there space to widen on verge?				
Does the access road have any controlled crossing facilities?			No	
Does the access road have any uncontrolled crossing facilities?			Yes	
any weight / width restrictions on road?				No
is the access road used for on-street parking? None / little / lots			Little	
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?			No	
Is there street lighting on the road?			Yes	

Access Road name Upper Moors Road				
width of access road			4.7	metres wide
speed limit(s) on acc	ess road		30	mph
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width		metres wide
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width	1.7	metres wide
If no footways - is there space to provide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?				No
If the footways are less than 1.2m wide - is there space to widen on verge?				
Does the access road have any controlled crossing facilities?			No	
Does the access road have any uncontrolled crossing facilities?				No
any weight / width re	strictions on road	l?		No
is the access road used for on-street parking? None / little / lots				None
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?			No	
Is there street lighting on the road?				No
By junction with Tees Farm Road				

'SITE ASSESSMENTS - TRANSPORT' for HOUSING SITES WDLPP2					
Settlement:	Colden Comr	non		SHLA	1871/2561
Prev LP No.:			Site Na	me: Church Lane	
Housing Units (	30 per Ha):	45	Pote	ntial trips (all day):	315
Average distanc	ce to facilities:	567	metres	Pk trips in:	17
'ACCESSIBILITY' rating: GOOD		GOOD		Pk trips out:	9
				Pk Hr trips:	27

		Site Overview	
Access	Primary acces	ss could be provided via:	Church Lane
	Secondary ac	cess could be provided via:	0
	Are visibility re	equirements likely to be met?	Yes
	Could access	affect landscape / vegetation?	some impact
Vehicles	Is vehicle spe	ed data available?	Yes
	Existing Spee	d limits - Primary access	30 mph
	Existing Spee	d limits - Secondary Access	0 mph
Pedestrian	Pedestrian ac	cess to and around the site is	adequate
Cycles	Cycle access	to and around the site is	adequate
	Nearest bus s	tops and services are found	200 metres away
Public Transport	Pedestrian links to the bus stops are		good
Assessment of access to and provision of bus services		Access to bus services is within provision is considered as exce	1 400 metres of the site, so
Local centre, shops	Nearest local	shops and facilities are found	800 metres away
& facilities		ks to the shops & facilities are	good
Assessment of access to local centre, shops and facilities			veen 400 & 800 metres, which is eal, it presents no difficulties in site
	[N]	Discount of the second	
Local Primary		Primary schools are found	700 metres away
Schools Pedestrian links		ke to the local echools are	good

Local Primary	Nearest local Pr	imary schools are found	700	metres away
Schools	Pedestrian links	to the local schools are	good	
Assessment of accesschools	•	Access to these facilites is between considered good. Whilst not ideal, development terms.		•

## Site Summary / Additional Notes

Site requirements - Development of this site is likely to need

minor works on and off site

No overriding transport issues. A new access onto Church Lane would be required. From the site there is access to Colden Common facilities for both pedestrians and cyclists via residential roads and paths to the north of the site. Note: amended Accessibility rating - scores Good rather than adequate for top part of the site only.

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Church Lane

Other Traffic & Transport Consider	ations			
Church Lane	30	mph limit	6	Metres (width)
85% speed 40.9	mph	Traffic Flow	7132	veh/day
A road width of over 6 metres is suita	ble for all traffic ne	eeds		
0	0	mph limit	0	Metres (width)
85% speed	mph	Traffic Flow		veh/day
Highway capacity impact	0 Churc	h Lane	7132	metres 24 hr flow
Himburgu og modifyr immod	Churc	h Lane	7122	24 hr flow
assessement	867 AM pk hr		802 PM pk hr	
Indicative 'worse case' traffic impact		trips all day		Increase
on local classified highway	27	pk hr trips	3%	Increase
Further traffic mod	lelling may not be	needed as impact	is below 5%	
Road Type (DMRB) UAP 3	18000	12hr capacity	1500	Pk Hr capacity
Congestion indicator (flow/capacity)	41%	all day	60%	peak hour

Site Access Considerations & De	etails
Access arrangement - Types and adequacy of each junction	To be determined at planning application stage when the scale and nature of the development is clearer
Identified transport improvements	CIL contributions will go towards local identified schemes
On street parking issues/need for waiting restrictions	none
Personal Injury Accident record	See separate report on Personal Injury Accidents
Street lighting	Street lights do exist on on the primary access route
Significant constraints	Removal of sections of the existing vegetation will be required to provide access to the site for vehicles and pedestrians.
Other known highway constraints	None identfied
Previous highway authority comments/advice	
Suitability of highway for on road cycling (traffic speed/volume)	The local roads have relatively moderate traffic flow/speeds, so may only be viewed as acceptable for experienced cyclists
Barriers to walking/cycling (busy roundabouts / junctions / roads)	The local highway network has some barriers to pedestrian and cycle use

# SITE ASSESSMENTS FOR WINCHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN PART2 Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Church Lane

Pedestrian & Cycling provision & access to facilities, schools and public transport					
	Option A:	Church Lane 1.3	metres		
Footway provision on access roads	A footway width	between 1.2 & 1.5 is not ideal and would benefit fro	m upgrading		
	Option B:	0 0	metres		
Improvements to foo	tways identified				

Public Transport p	Public Transport provision & facilities				
(*only bus routes / s	ervices in excess	of 1 bus per hou	ır mon-sat are consid	ered)	
What is the nearest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?		0	What is the furthest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?		100
Proximity to public transport is considered to be Excellent to Excelle					Excellent
Do continuous footw	vays >1.5 m wide	exist between th	e site and bus stops?	?	Yes
If continuous footwa	ys do not exist, is	there space in t	he verge to provide?		N/A
Details of bus services  Route 69: Winchester - Twyford - Colden Common - Fair Oak - Bishop's Waltham - Swanmore - Waltham Chase - Wickham - Fareham, 0700 -1900 Mon-Sat Hourly, No Sunday Service					re - Waltham Chase -
Details of bus services  Route 7, 8 & 8/7: Eastleigh - Colden Common - Fair Oak - Hedge End - Botley - Waltham Chase - Swanmore - Bishop's Waltham & Bishops Waltham - Durley - Horton Heath - West End - Bitterne - Southampton, 0730 -1930 Mon-Sat Hourly (HCC), No Sunday Service					
	100				

Access to bus services is within 400 metres of the site, so provision is considered as excellent.

Access to Local centre / shops / facilities					
What is the nearest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?	600	What is the furthe site to the local ce in metres?	•	700	
Proximity to local facilities is considered to be Good to					
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide	Yes				
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?  N/A					
Access to these facilities is between 400 & 800 metres, which is considered good. Whilst not ideal, it presents no difficulties in site development terms.					

What is the nearest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?	500	What is the further site to the local sc measured in metre	hools	600
Proximity to local Schools is considered to be Good to				
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local schools?				
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?				

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Church Lane

#### **Access Road Assessments**

Access Road name					
width of access road			6	metres wide	
speed limit(s) on access road			30	mph	
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width		metres wide	
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width	1.3	metres wide	
If no footways - is the	No				
If the footways are le					
Does the access road	No				
Does the access road have any uncontrolled crossing facilities?				No	
any weight / width re	No				
is the access road us	None				
Does the access road	No				
Is there street lighting	Yes				
Most western site on Church Lane					

Access Road name				
width of access road			metres wide	
speed limit(s) on acc	ess road		mph	
Are there footways on the		side - if YES measure width	metres wide	
Are there footways on the		side - if YES measure width	metres wide	
If no footways - is the				
If the footways are less than 1.2m wide - is there space to widen on verge?				
Does the access road have any controlled crossing facilities?				
Does the access roa	d have any unco	ntrolled crossing facilities?		
any weight / width re	strictions on roac	<del>)</del> ?		
is the access road us	sed for on-street	parking?		
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?				
Is there street lighting on the road?				

'SITE ASSESSMENTS - TRANSPORT' for HOUSING SITES WDLPP2					
Settlement:	Colden Com	mon		SHLAA No:	2494
Prev LP No.:			Site Na	me: Main Road (N)	
Housing Units (30 per Ha): 31		31	Potential trips (all day):		217
Average distand	ce to facilities:	733	metres	Pk trips in:	12
'ACCESSIBILITY' rating: GC		GOOD		Pk trips out:	7
				Pk Hr trips:	18

		Site Overview			
Access	Primary access	could be provided via:	B3354 Main Road		
	Secondary acce	ess could be provided via:	0		
	Are visibility req	uirements likely to be met?	Yes		
	Could access at	ffect landscape / vegetation?	No impact		
Vehicles	Is vehicle speed	l data available?	Yes		
	Existing Speed	limits - Primary access	30 mph		
	Existing Speed	limits - Secondary Access	0 mph		
Pedestrian	Pedestrian acce	ess to and around the site is	good		
Cycles	Cycle access to	and around the site is	poor		
	ls:				
Public Transport	Nearest bus stops and services are found		100 metres away		
T dono Tranoport	Pedestrian links	to the bus stops are	good		
Assessment of acce	ss to and	Access to bus services is within 400 metres of the site, so			
provision of bus serv	vices	provision is considered as excellent.			
Local centre, shops	Nearest local sh	ops and facilities are found	1000 metres away		
& facilities	Pedestrian links	to the shops & facilities are	good		
Assessment of access to local centre, shops and facilities		Access to these facilites is between considered adequate. Whilst not in preclude site development.			
			T T		
Local Primary	Nearest local Pr	imary schools are found	1100 metres away		
Schools	Pedestrian links	to the local schools are	good		
Assessment of acce	ss to local junior	Access to these facilites is between	n 800 & 1600 metres, which is		

# preclude site development. Site Summary / Additional Notes

Site requirements - Development of this site is likely to need

schools

minor works on and off site

considered adequate. Whilst not ideal, it would not necessarily

**No overidding transport issues to prevent site development**. The site frontage is clear of mature trees. Good access to a range of services. The main road has footways both sides, but could still benefit from improvements for pedestrian facilities.

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Main Road (N)

Other Traffic & Transport Consider	ations			
B3354 Main Road	30	mph limit	7.2	Metres (width)
85% speed 37.9	mph Traffic Flow		11976	veh/day
A road width of over 6 metres is suita	ble for all traffic ne	eeds		
0	0 mph limit		0 Metres (width	
85% speed	mph	Traffic Flow		veh/day
by:(MfS: < 37mph; DMRB: > 37mph)  Highway capacity impact	0 B3354 Main Road		11976	metres 24 hr flow
assessement		1274 AM pk hr		PM pk hr
Indicative 'worse case' traffic impact	217 trips all day		2% Increase	
on local classified highway	18	18 pk hr trips		Increase
Further traffic mod	lelling may not be	needed as impact	is below 5%	
Road Type (DMRB) UAP 3	22200	12hr capacity	1850	Pk Hr capacity
Congestion indicator (flow/capacity)	55%	all day	70%	peak hour

Site Access Considerations & De	tails
Access arrangement - Types and adequacy of each junction	To be determined at planning application stage when the scale and nature of the development is clearer
Identified transport improvements	CIL contributions will go towards local identified schemes
On street parking issues/need for waiting restrictions	none
Personal Injury Accident record	See separate report on Personal Injury Accidents
Street lighting	Street lights do exist on on the primary access route
Significant constraints	No identified constraints
Other known highway constraints	None identfied
Previous highway authority comments/advice	
Suitability of highway for on road cycling (traffic speed/volume)	The local roads have relatively high traffic flow/speeds, so may be viewed as unwelcoming for cyclists
Barriers to walking/cycling (busy roundabouts / junctions / roads)	The local highway network has some barriers to pedestrian and cycle use

# SITE ASSESSMENTS FOR WINCHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN PART2 Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Main Road (N)

Pedestrian & Cycling provision & access to facilities, schools and public transport						
	Option A:	B3354 Main Road	1.7	metres		
Footway provision	A footway width 1.5 metres or more is an acceptable provision					
on access roads	Option B:	0	0	metres		
Improvements to foo	tways identified					

Public Transport p	Public Transport provision & facilities				
(*only bus routes / s	services in excess	of 1 bus per ho	ur mon-sat are consid	ered)	
What is the nearest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?			What is the furthest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?		100
Proximity to public transport is considered to be Excellent to Excellent					
Do continuous foot	ways >1.5 m wide	exist between t	ne site and bus stops?	•	Yes
If continuous footwa	ays do not exist, is	there space in	the verge to provide?		N/A
Details of bus services	Wickham - Fareham, 0700 -1900 Mon-Sat Hourly, No Sunday Service				re - Waltham Chase -
Details of bus services  Route 7, 8 & 8/7: Eastleigh - Colden Common - Fair Oak - Hedge End - Botley - Waltham Chase - Swanmore - Bishop's Waltham & Bishops Waltham - Durley - Horton Heath - West End - Bitterne - Southampton, 0730 -1930 Mon-Sat Hourly (HCC), No Sunday Service					
Access to bus services is within 400 metres of the site, so provision is considered as excellent.					

Access to Local centre / shops / fa	cilities			
What is the nearest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?	200	What is the furthest site to the local cen in metres?	•	1000
Proximity to local facilities is considered	Adequate			
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local centre?				
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?  N/A				
Access to these facilites is between 80 it would not necessarily preclude site of		es, which is considere	d adequate. V	Vhilst not ideal,

Access to local Primary (Infant / Junior) Schools						
What is the nearest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?	900	What is the furthest site to the local sch measured in metre	ools	1100		
Proximity to local Schools is considered to be		Adequate	to	Adequate		
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide e	exist between t	he site and local school	ols?	Yes		
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?				N/A		
Access to these facilites is between 80 it would not necessarily preclude site of		es, which is considere	ed adequate. V	Whilst not ideal,		

Settlement: Colden Common Site Name: Main Road (N)

#### **Access Road Assessments**

Access Road name		B3354 Main Road		
width of access road			7.2	metres wide
speed limit(s) on access road		30	mph	
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width	1.6	metres wide
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width	1.7	metres wide
If no footways - is the				
If the footways are le				
Does the access road	No			
Does the access road	Yes			
any weight / width restrictions on road?				No
is the access road used for on-street parking? None / little / lots				None
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?				No
Is there street lighting on the road?				Yes
Most northern site on the B3354				

Access Road name		
width of access road		metres wide
speed limit(s) on access road		mph
Are there footways on the	side - if YES measure width	metres wide
Are there footways on the	side - if YES measure width	metres wide
If no footways - is there space to	o provide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?	
If the footways are less than 1.2		
Does the access road have any	controlled crossing facilities?	
Does the access road have any	uncontrolled crossing facilities?	
any weight / width restrictions or	n road?	
is the access road used for on-s	street parking?	
Does the access road have any	parking restrictions / yellow lines?	
Is there street lighting on the roa	ad?	

# Colden Common Transport Accessibillity Assessment - Updated September 2015

