


Scoring Criteria

Evidence	Score	
Exceptional demonstration by the grant applicant of the relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to deliver the project with evidence to support the response, where appropriate.	5	Excellent
Good demonstration by the grant applicant of the relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to deliver the project with evidence to support the response, where appropriate.	4	Good
Satisfactory demonstration by the grant applicant of the relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to deliver the project with evidence to support the response, where appropriate.	3	Satisfactory
Contains minor shortcomings in the demonstration by the grant applicant of the relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to deliver the project with evidence to support the response, where appropriate and/or is inconsistent or in conflict with other proposals with little or no evidence to support the response.	2	Minor Reservations
Satisfies the requirement but with considerable reservations of the grant applicant relevant ability, understanding, skills, facilities & quality measures required to deliver the project, with little or no evidence to support the response.	1	Serious Reservations = Fail Submissions which receive a '1 – serious reservations' will not be considered further
No response provided.	0	no score = Fail Submissions that 'Fail' will not be considered further



Evaluation

Criteria	Aspects for Evaluation	Weighting
Project Need and Beneficiaries	Clear evidence of need for the project Evidence that the most vulnerable residents will benefit from the project Evidence that the project is open to all that could benefit, and that the organisation will engage widely with the community to ensure this	40%
Project outcomes and Evaluation	Meets one or more funding priorities and outcomes listed in criteria Clear description of what the project aims to achieve and how it will support the council's funding priorities and outcomes Evidence that measures are in place to evaluate the success of the project against priority outcomes	40%
Grant	A clear description of how the money will be spent on eligible activities and evidence that 25% match funding is in place. Demonstration of effort undertaken to raise match funding through other fundraising	20%

The following aspects of the application will be checked and do form part of the eligibility criteria, but are not part of the evaluation assessment. We reserve the right not to award a grant if we are not satisfied that one or more of the below have not been satisfactorily met or evidenced.

Due Diligence

- Evidence of organisational governance and bank account statement provided
- All required information, policies and documentation has been provided including evidence of costs
- Match funding secured for 25% of project costs
- Financial position and evidence of financial need associated with grant application
- Able to demonstrate financial sustainability for the period of the grant award. Any significant budget deficit must be accounted for
- Reserve levels

