

Session/Issue 3:

- i) Is the overall number and the locations of new housing consistent with the JCS objectives and realistically deliverable within the plan period, taking into account the SHLAA and the opportunities identified, including in Winchester and other centres?
 - ii) Does the JCS demonstrate that there will be a deliverable supply of developable new housing land over the plan period, with suitable infrastructure provision, in accordance with the NPPF ?
 - iii) Will the intended management of new housing delivery prove adequate to ensure that the strategic aims of the JCS are met. If not, what else needs to be done and why?
 - iv) Should the JCS address contingencies/alternatives, including in relation to the strategic allocations, in the event that completions do not come forward as expected?
-

Question i)

In relation to CP10 we remain entirely unconvinced that the housing extrapolation figures are plausible. We stand by the reasons we gave in our March submission to the consultation, under the heading of WT1. There are things that have happened since, which reinforce our position. Firstly, the Pitt Manor Development was approved after the City Council's defeat at public inquiry. This represents 200 houses that are not in the JCS. More importantly perhaps is that the second dip of the Recession has taken place since that consultation. GDP is now a full 1% down on what it was at the time of the Housing Technical Paper that forms the basis of the housing numbers of the JCS