The Planning Inspectorate

ENFORCEMENT NOTICE APPEAL FORM (Online Version)

WARNING: The appeal **must** be received by the Inspectorate **before** the effective date of the local planning authority's enforcement notice.

Appeal Reference: APP/L1765/C/22/3311622

A. APPELLANT DETAILS								
Name	Mr Nick Butler							
Address	Land to the east of Dradfield Industrial Estate Dradfield Lane Soberton Southampton Hampshire SO32 3QD							
Preferred contact method		Email	☑ Post					
A(i). ADDITIONAL AP	PELLANTS							
Do you want to use this form to submit appeals by more than one person (e.g. Mr and Mrs Smith), with the same address, against the same Enforcement notice?		Yes	□ No	Ø				
B. AGENT DETAILS								
Do you have an Agent acting on your behalf?		Yes	☑ No					
Name	Mr Stephen Andrews							
Company/Group Name	SDA Planning Ltd							
Address	19 Well Copse Close Horndean Waterlooville Hants PO8 0HB							
Phone number	07415873657							
Email	stephen.andrews@sdaplanning.co.uk							
Preferred contact method		Email	☑ Post					
C. LOCAL PLANNING	AUTHORITY (LPA) DETAILS							
Name of the Local Planning Authority Winchester City Council								

LPA reference number (if	applicable)	21/00381/WKS			
Date of issue of enforcement notice		17/10/2022			
Effective date of enforcement notice		22/11/2022			
D. APPEAL SITE ADDR	RESS				
Is the address of the affected land the sam		ne as the appellant's address?	Yes	✓ No	
Address	Land to the east Dradfield Lane Soberton Southa Hampshire SO32 3QD	of Dradfield Industrial Estate			
Are there any health and would need to take into a What is your/the appellar	account when visi	-	Yes	□ No	Ø
Owner	ne s meerese m en	t larra, barrarrig.			V
Tenant					П
Mortgagee					
None of the above					
E. GROUNDS AND FAC	CTS				
	a planning obliga	tion (a section 106 agreement or a	Yes	□ No	✓
Do you intend to submit unilateral undertaking) w	a planning obliga ith this appeal?	tion (a section 106 agreement or a anted for what is alleged in the notice.	Yes	□ No	☑
Do you intend to submit unilateral undertaking) w	a planning obliga ith this appeal?		Yes	□ No	
Do you intend to submit unilateral undertaking) w (a) That planning permis	a planning obliga ith this appeal?		Yes	□ No	
Do you intend to submit unilateral undertaking) w (a) That planning permis The facts are set out in the box below APP/L1765/W/22/33074 appeal for this notice. P	a planning obligate ith this appeal? sion should be greater that it is a pready be lanning permissionsidered at appears		orovides as subse	the ground quently refu	(A)
Do you intend to submit unilateral undertaking) w (a) That planning permis The facts are set out in the box below APP/L1765/W/22/33074 appeal for this notice. Pland is currently being cowith the planning appear.	a planning obligate vith this appeal? sion should be grass already be lanning permission onsidered at appeal.	anted for what is alleged in the notice. een lodged with the Inspectorate and performers and performers and performers.	provides as subse should b	the ground quently refu ne co-joined act that the	(A) used
Do you intend to submit unilateral undertaking) w (a) That planning permis The facts are set out in the box below APP/L1765/W/22/33074 appeal for this notice. Pland is currently being continuity being continuity the planning appear. Little or no regard has be polytunnel is surrounded presence of the polytunity functional purpose for the polytunity functional purpose for the polytunity of the polytunity functional purpose for the polytunity functional purpose functional purpose functional purpose functional purpose fun	a planning obligate vith this appeal? sion should be grassion should be grassion appearable. To development planning permission on the downward at appearable.	een lodged with the Inspectorate and point was sought for the polytunnel and was al. Therefore, this enforcement appeal	orovides as subse should to cor the fine site of developi made to	the ground quently refo be co-joined act that the lid without of ment provide site draina	(A) used detailed
Do you intend to submit unilateral undertaking) w (a) That planning permis. The facts are set out in the box below APP/L1765/W/22/33074 appeal for this notice. Pland is currently being convict with the planning appear. Little or no regard has be polytunnel is surrounded presence of the polytune. Far from being contrary functional purpose for the that has had clear beneficially demonstrate that this is it has also been demonstrate and for the most presence of the most presence and for the most presence are supplied to the presence and for the most presence are supplied to the presence and for the most presence are supplied to the presence ar	a planning obligate vith this appeal? sion should be grassion should be grassion and the development planning permission on sidered at appeal. To development planning agriculture in reducing flow and the case. Strated that the depart retains its rure.	een lodged with the Inspectorate and pan was sought for the polytunnel and was al. Therefore, this enforcement appeal existing improvements to site drainage will naturally absorb water run-off, as to plan policy or increasing flood risk, the ural use with significant improvements	provides as subse should be or the fiche site of the s	the ground quently refore co-joined act that the lid without ment providuals along Dradf sence of the	(A) used d the des a ge

fact.						
(c) That there has not been a breach of planning control (for example because permission has already been granted, or it is "permitted development").						
(d) That, at the time the enforcement notice was issued, it was too late to take enforcement against the matters stated in the notice.						
(e) The notice was not properly served on e	everyone with an interest in the land.					
(f) The steps required to comply with the rewould overcome the objections.	equirements of the notice are excessive	, and les	ser st	eps	Ø	
The facts are set out in						
☑ the box below						
The LPA state that planning conditions could not be attached to overcome their objection. However, their only issue was drainage. Whilst it is maintained that drainage has previously been dealt with under permitted development provisions and that there is no basis to refuse the application on drainage grounds, a drainage condition could have been attached to a planning approval. A common practice for all development.						
(g) The time given to comply with the notice reasonable compliance period, and why.	e is too short. Please state what you co	onsider to	be a		Ø	
The facts are set out in						
✓ the box below						
The appellant would require 12 months to grown within the polytunnel. In order to protect to finish the growing season and make alter the removal of the structure. This will also	revent significant loss the appellant wor ernative arrangements for the growing	uld requi	re the	ability	y	
F. CHOICE OF PROCEDURE						
F. CHOICE OF PROCEDURE There are three different procedures that the	ne appeal could follow. Please select one	e.				
	ne appeal could follow. Please select one	е.			✓	
There are three different procedures that th		e. Yes	□ N-	0	☑	
There are three different procedures that the 1. Written Representations (a) Could the Inspector see the relevant parts.	rts of the appeal site sufficiently to		 □ N· ✓ N· 			
There are three different procedures that the 1. Written Representations (a) Could the Inspector see the relevant partial judge the proposal from public land? (b) Is it essential for the Inspector to enter other relevant facts?	rts of the appeal site sufficiently to the site to check measurements or	Yes Yes				
There are three different procedures that the 1. Written Representations (a) Could the Inspector see the relevant paragraph judge the proposal from public land? (b) Is it essential for the Inspector to enter other relevant facts? Please explain. To assess the development in full and to see	rts of the appeal site sufficiently to the site to check measurements or	Yes Yes				
There are three different procedures that the 1. Written Representations (a) Could the Inspector see the relevant partition judge the proposal from public land? (b) Is it essential for the Inspector to enter other relevant facts? Please explain.	rts of the appeal site sufficiently to the site to check measurements or	Yes Yes			⋖	
There are three different procedures that the 1. Written Representations (a) Could the Inspector see the relevant paragraph judge the proposal from public land? (b) Is it essential for the Inspector to enter other relevant facts? Please explain. To assess the development in full and to see	rts of the appeal site sufficiently to the site to check measurements or	Yes Yes				
There are three different procedures that the 1. Written Representations (a) Could the Inspector see the relevant partition judge the proposal from public land? (b) Is it essential for the Inspector to enter other relevant facts? Please explain. To assess the development in full and to see 2. Hearing 3. Inquiry	rts of the appeal site sufficiently to the site to check measurements or ee the drainage measures within the sit	Yes Yes				
There are three different procedures that the 1. Written Representations (a) Could the Inspector see the relevant paragraph judge the proposal from public land? (b) Is it essential for the Inspector to enter other relevant facts? Please explain. To assess the development in full and to see 2. Hearing	rts of the appeal site sufficiently to the site to check measurements or ee the drainage measures within the sit	Yes Yes				
There are three different procedures that the 1. Written Representations (a) Could the Inspector see the relevant partition judge the proposal from public land? (b) Is it essential for the Inspector to enter other relevant facts? Please explain. To assess the development in full and to see 2. Hearing 3. Inquiry	rts of the appeal site sufficiently to the site to check measurements or ee the drainage measures within the sit PPLICATION ermission and paid the appropriate fee	Yes Yes		0		
There are three different procedures that the 1. Written Representations (a) Could the Inspector see the relevant partial judge the proposal from public land? (b) Is it essential for the Inspector to enter other relevant facts? Please explain. To assess the development in full and to see 2. Hearing 3. Inquiry G. FEE FOR THE DEEMED PLANNING AI 1. Has the appellant applied for planning per	rts of the appeal site sufficiently to the site to check measurements or ee the drainage measures within the sit PPLICATION ermission and paid the appropriate fee	Yes Yes te.	☑ N	0		

b) the date of the LPA's decision (if any) 21/03/2022 ✓ No 2. Are there any planning reasons why a fee should not be paid for this appeal? Yes ✓ the box below APP/L1765/W/22/3307421 has already been lodged against the refusal of planning permission and forms the ground (A) appeal for this enforcement appeal. This appeal should therefore be co-joined with the existing appeal.

H. OTHER APPEALS

Have you sent other appeals for this or nearby sites to us which have not yet been decided?

✓ No Yes

Please give details, including our reference number(s), if known.

APP/L1765/W/22/3307421

I. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

01. Enforcement Notice:

✓ see 'Appeal Documents' section

02. Plan (if applicable and not already attached)

J. CHECK SIGN AND DATE

I confirm that all sections have been fully completed and that the details are correct to the best of my knowledege.

I confirm that I will send a copy of this appeal form and supporting documents (including the full grounds of appeal) to the LPA today.

Signature Mr Stephen Andrews

Date 21/11/2022 17:13:35

Name Mr Stephen Andrews

On behalf of Mr Nick Butler

The gathering and subsequent processing of the personal data supplied by you in this form, is in accordance with the terms of our registration under the Data Protection Act 2018.

The Planning Inspectorate takes its data protection responsibilities for the information you provide us with very seriously. To find out more about how we use and manage your personal data, please go to our privacy notice.

K. NOW SEND

Send a copy to the LPA

Send a copy of the completed appeal form and any supporting documents (including the full grounds of the appeal) to the LPA.

To do this by email:

- open and save a copy of your appeal form

- locating your local planning authority's email address:
 https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/sending-a-copy-of-the-appeal-form-to-the-council
- attaching the saved appeal form including any supporting documents

To send them by post, send them to the address from which the enforcement notice was sent (or to the address shown on any letters received from the LPA).

When we receive your appeal form, we will write to you letting you know if your appeal is valid, who is dealing with it and what happens next.

You may wish to keep a copy of the completed form for your records.

L. APPEAL DOCUMENTS

We will not be able to validate the appeal until all the necessary supporting documents are received.

Please remember that all supporting documentation needs to be received by us within the appropriate deadline for the case type. If forwarding the documents by email, please send to

appeals@planninginspectorate.gov.uk. If posting, please enclose the section of the form that lists the supporting documents and send it to Initial Appeals, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, BRISTOL, BS1 6PN.

You will not be sent any further reminders.

Please ensure that anything you do send by post or email is clearly marked with the reference number.

The documents listed below were uploaded with this form:

Relates to Section: SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS **Document Description:** 01. The Enforcement Notice.

File name: Enforcement Notice Polytunnel.pdf

Relates to Section: SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

Document Description: 02. The Plan.

File name: Plan Enforcement Notice.pdf

Completed by MR STEPHEN ANDREWS

Date 21/11/2022 17:13:35