

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/L1765/C/22/3307930

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/L1765/C/22/3307930

Appeal By MR MICHAEL FIELD

Site Address
Stratton, Highways Road
Compton
WINCHESTER
Hampshire
SO21 2DF

SENDER DETAILS

Name DR. AND MRS. FRANCISCUS AND ANDREA BERKELAAR MOORHEAD

Address
Fairfield, Highways Road
Compton
Winchester
Hampshire
SO21 2DF

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☒ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☒ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

27/11/2022

Dear Opirim Agala,

Ref: APP/L1765/C/22/3307930 & APP/L1765/W/22/3307967

We support the enforcement notice issued by the Local Planning Authority on Michael Field regarding the Stratton building site.

We wish to refer to the appellant's grounds for appeal.

Regarding the remark: "The steps required to comply with the requirements of the notice are excessive and lesser steps would overcome the objections". We object against this.

We consider the steps in no way excessive. The development that stands erected on the site is 'excessive' in its size, scale, height and mass. It has a negative effect on us directly as neighbours and also by the general public. Its' sheer mass and appearance has drawn a huge amount of negative attention from visitors to our house and the street in general.

Lesser steps would not overcome these objections or make a difference to the oversized build that has been illegally erected.

Regarding the remark: "The time given to comply with the notice is too short".

We also object against this.

As direct neighbours, on a personal level, we have endured this monstrous debacle for well over 12 years. It has caused us ongoing stress, interruption, loss of daylight, personal intrusion and shock and disbelief that the owner has taken the law into his own hands and built completely outside the agreed terms and conditions of what was permissible. We consider 12 months compliance time to be lenient and in our personal view should be much shorter as this farce has gone on for far too long.

Planning Application Refusal.

We wholeheartedly support the Local Planning Authority in refusing the above referenced planning application. Allowing this build to go ahead would be totally unacceptable and make a mockery of the legalities and controls set by the planning authority. It would reward the applicant and set a precedent for others to do the same. It would also completely marginalise the strong yet fair objections voiced by the overriding majority of home owners in the street as well as the local parish.

We would like for all our previous letters of objection regarding the planning application and appeals regarding the building site at the house known as Stratton to be fully taken into account. We understand that these will be considered. We fully stand by these previous submissions.

Yours sincerely,

Franciscus Berkelaar & Andrea Berkelaar Moorhead
Fairfield
Highways Road
Compton
Winchester
HANTS
SO21 2DF