

YOUR PLACE YOUR PLAN.

Winchester District Local Plan

Review of Settlement Hierarchy 2022

Background Paper to inform the Local Plan

2039

September 2022

Update



Contents

Introduction.....	3
Policy Context.....	4
Background	5
Summary of Review Methodology	7
Table 1: Facility Scoring Methodology	9
Outcomes	10
Table 2: Settlement Hierarchy Groupings	11
Conclusion.....	12
Appendix A: detailed scorings of settlements.....	13

Draft

Introduction

1.1 This background paper sets out the guidance and local data that has been used to formulate the settlement hierarchy for the new Local Plan.

1.2 Winchester District is predominately rural with over 50 smaller settlements in addition to Winchester itself. The landscape has in the past led the way the settlements have evolved and developed, resulting in towns and villages with strong, distinctive characters.

1.3 The Winchester District Local Plan Part 1 - Joint Core Strategy set out the existing development strategy for the District¹. This was informed by a “settlement hierarchy” approach which ranks and classifies settlements, based on the availability and accessibility of a broad range of facilities, a settlement’s economic role and the environmental constraints to development. The spatial strategy followed the following classifications;

- Winchester Town
- South Hampshire Urban Areas
- Market Towns and Rural Area

1.4 The classification of towns, villages and rural settlements is an important tool in planning terms, for the purposes of supporting the implementation of local plan policies. Settlements that are in a higher tier of the hierarchy will often be more sustainable locations for new development, because residents would be able to access a greater range of services and facilities more easily, without the need to travel by private car which is the least sustainable form of transport and which adds most carbon emissions, the reduction of which is a key council objective in achieving carbon neutrality by 2030.

1.5 A settlement hierarchy can also help decision-makers to understand the role

¹ Available on the WCC website at <https://www.winchester.gov.uk/planning-policy/winchester-district-local-plan-2011-2036-adopted/local-plan-part-1-joint-core-strategy-adopted-march-2013-local-plan-review-2006/local-plan-part-1-joint-core-strategy-adopted-2013>

and character of different settlements, which is necessary for supporting efforts to maintain and enhance their character. Settlements work by providing a range of services for their residents and for a wider area – typically the bigger the settlement the more services it has and the wider area it serves.

1.6 The settlement hierarchy is being updated to form part of the evidence base for the emerging Local Plan to 2039, which will cover those parts of the district outside of the South Downs National Park. It is considered appropriate to update the existing Settlement Hierarchy Review 2021 document to take into account changes in the availability of services, and to give further consideration and explanation to the methodology followed.

1.7 The council published its Strategic Issues and Priorities Document in February 2021² which proposed possible development strategies for future development in the district. It was accompanied by a Settlement Hierarchy background paper similar to this one in scope (the “2021 update”). Following responses received to that consultation, and further engagement with parish and town councils across the Plan area, this update has been prepared. Maintaining a clear understanding of the relative availability of services and facilities in each settlement is important as the development strategy in the emerging Local Plan is developed and tested. In addition to updating the record of what services etc are available in each settlement, further consideration has been given to how those services are assessed and contribute towards the sustainability of each settlement.

Policy Context

2.1 The Government’s National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) does not provide specific advice for the production of settlement hierarchies. However, as a broad matter of principle, it notes that planning policies and decisions should play an active role in guiding development towards sustainable

² Details available on the WCC website at <https://www.winchester.gov.uk/planning-policy/winchester-district-local-plan-2018-2038-emerging/strategic-issues-document>

solutions, taking local circumstances into account, to reflect the character, needs and opportunities of each area (paragraph 9, NPPF). Furthermore, to promote sustainable development in rural areas, housing should be located where it will enhance or maintain the vitality of rural communities.

2.2 Planning policies should identify opportunities for villages to grow and thrive, especially where this will support local services. Where there are groups of smaller settlements, development in one village may support services in a village nearby (paragraph 79, NPPF). These aspects of national policy suggest that indicators of service provision and accessibility should be considered, and the role of different settlements in Winchester District taken into account, as part of a future development strategy.

Background

3.1 To inform the production of the adopted local plan, a settlement hierarchy background paper was published in 2009³. This reflected planning guidance that was contained at the time in the Government's Planning Policy Statement¹. This national policy statement included a list of suitable "key services" that could be audited for identifying a settlement hierarchy. In the context of this guidance, the previous background paper set out a list of key services that would likely be accessed on a daily basis and which could therefore generate significant numbers of car journeys. These "key services" were:

- Convenience Retail (Daily Needs)
- Pre School / Nursery
- Primary School (Inc Infant and Junior)
- Daily Bus Services (hourly)
- Main Line Train Station (Frequent)
- Access to Superfast broadband
- Access to any employment opportunity
- Children's Play Area

³ Available on the WCC website at <https://www.winchester.gov.uk/planning-policy/winchester-district-local-plan-2018-2038-emerging/local-plan-2038-evidence-base>

- Parks and Publicly Accessible Open Space
- Pub, Church, Community/Village hall, Café/Restaurant

3.2 In addition to the key services that are listed above, the presence of other facilities, such as doctor's surgeries, were also taken into account. A weighting was applied to scores so that key services were scored double the amount of points as other services and facilities. The audit was presented in an appendix to the background paper, in the form of a settlement analysis matrix.

3.3 Other services which were needed less frequently and therefore didn't require daily or frequent car journeys were given 1 point and these included:

- Post Office
- Doctors
- Dentist/ Opticians
- Library
- Petrol Station
- Chemist
- Bank
- Outdoor Sports Facilities
- Built Leisure
- Daily Bus Services (Infrequent)
- Weekly Bus Services
- Main Line Train Station (Infrequent)
- Mains Drainage (Large Coverage)
- Mains Drainage (Limited Coverage)
- Other convenience store (daily needs)
- Secondary School
- Other Education Establishment
- Extra point for 2/3 employment

3.4 The LPP1 background paper presented a ranking of settlements in Winchester District using scores that reflected access to local services and facilities. The study drew upon information covering –

- Presence of various services and facilities – based upon a survey of all roads extending 1.6km from the edge of each settlement (para. 5.2), with some more frequently used or valued services receiving double weighting;
 - The presence of employment opportunities in a study of 50 existing, committed employment sites across the District prepared by consultants SQW;
 - The level of economic self containment;
 - Size of population;
 - Estimate of additional population catchment (i.e. those who live in a certain radius and are likely to use that settlement);
 - Extracts from a Retail and Town Centre Uses Study prepared by consultants Lichfield for the 8 most significant settlements (including 6 with a designated town centre)
- 3.5 The study then combined the findings to arrive at four broad levels of towns and villages, with size of population, service score, and Local Plan designation all being factored in to form an overall tier into which settlements were placed. The findings from the settlement hierarchy background paper were updated and extended in the Market Towns and Rural Area Development Strategy background paper published in July 2011⁴.
- 3.6 The hierarchy was considered as part of the Examination process, and the Inspector's report at that time noted this "*evolved gradually from the 2006 Local Plan*" and takes into account the principles in the (then) NPPF⁵, and did not consider that a different approach should have been taken. The development strategy set out in the Local Plan Part 1 formed the basis for the Local Plan Part 2: Development Management and Allocations document which was adopted in 2017.
- 3.7 Therefore there is a history of assessing the relative sustainability of settlements in Winchester district by considering the presence of services.

Summary of Review Methodology

- 4.1 As part of the production of the emerging Local Plan, it was decided to revisit and review the settlement hierarchy assessment, to consider the following factors –
- i. Revising the scope of which settlements were included in the assessment;
 - ii. Considering whether the "long list" of services surveyed, and the relative weight given to each, was still appropriate; and
 - iii. Identifying whether there was any change in the presence of services (i.e. new facilities opened or former ones closed).

⁴ Background paper available on the WCC website at <https://www.winchester.gov.uk/planning-policy/winchester-district-local-plan-2011-2036-adopted/evidence-base/local-communities/market-towns-and-rural-area-development-strategy>

⁵ Inspector's report available on the WCC website at <https://www.winchester.gov.uk/planning-policy/winchester-district-local-plan-2011-2036-adopted/local-plan-part-1-joint-core-strategy-adopted-march-2013-local-plan-review-2006/production-stages-of-local-plan-part-1/planning-inspector-39-s-report>

Revising the scope

- 4.2 The 2009 study assessed the settlements which fell within the Market Towns and Rural Area of the District. This included that part of the South Downs National Park which falls within Winchester, and excluded Winchester Town and the South Hampshire Urban Areas (Whiteley, West of Waterlooville / Newlands).
- 4.3 Given that the development strategy for the South Downs National Park is now contained within the National Park Plan, it is not considered appropriate or necessary to include settlements within the Park in this review (although of course those settlements may still perform functions for nearby communities).
- 4.4 It was considered appropriate to include Winchester Town, and the settlements of Whiteley and Newlands / West of Waterlooville. All are, or form part of, significant settlements with a wide range of services. Including them will improve consistency in evaluating the emerging development strategy, as well as providing a “sense check” to the assessment of other settlements in the plan area.

Reviewing services and facilities

- 4.5 The next step was for the scope and nature of the assessment to be reviewed. The 2021 update focused on the availability of services, rather than including other contextual information such as the size of settlement.
- 4.6 Following the consideration of responses made following the publication of the 2021 update, some further thought has been given to which services included in the 2021 assessment should be set out in this document and their relative weighting. On reflection, in this 2022 iteration, access to mains gas and waste water are not included, primarily because they have less relevance for the need to travel.
- 4.7 With regards to weighting, whilst this is clearly not an exact science, it is often used to represent the relative frequency with which services are accessed. The relative weighting provided to each facility has been amended in this iteration. Secondary schools have changed from a ‘priority facility’ to an ‘other facility’, as generally most are accessible by bus to pupils. Clearly there is still a significant use of the private car – but on balance this was considered less critical than the presence of a primary school.
- 4.8 In addition, under the previous 2021 methodology, if a settlement had only access to 1 place of employment (warehouse, office or workshop) it would score the same as somewhere which had two or three of these facilities. So an extra point was granted to those settlements which had 2 or more of these types of employment premises.
- 4.9 Following these changes, the revised scoring methodology is set out in Table 1 -

Table 1: Facility Scoring Methodology

KEY Facility or Service	Score	Source
Retail		
Convenience Retail (Daily Needs)	2	Parish Facilities Audit 2022
Education		
Pre School/Nursery	2	HCC Website
Primary School (Including Infant and Junior)	2	HCC Website
Public Transport		
Daily Bus Services (hourly)	2	HCC Website
Main Line Train Station (Frequent)	2	Network Rail Website
Superfast Broadband		
Access to high speed broadband	2	Parish Facilities Audit 2022
Employment Opportunity		
Access to employment opportunity (warehouse, workshop and/or office)	2	Parish Facilities Audit 2022
Recreation and Open Space		
Children's Play Area	2	Parish Facilities Audit 2022
Parks and Publicly Accessible Open Space	2	Parish Facilities Audit 2022
Facility for Community to Congregate		
Could include pub, church, community/village hall, café/restaurant	2	Parish Facilities Audit 2022
Other Facility or Service		
Post Office		
Access to Post Office facilities	1	Parish Facilities Audit 2022
Healthcare		
Doctors	1	NHS Direct Website
Dentist	1	NHS Direct Website
Opticians	1	NHS Direct Website
Facilities		
Library	1	HCC Website
Petrol Station	1	Parish Facilities Audit 2022
Chemist	1	Parish Facilities Audit 2022
Bank	1	Parish Facilities Audit 2022
Recreation		
Outdoor Sports Facilities	1	Parish Facilities Audit 2022

Built Leisure e.g. Leisure centres, cinemas	1	Parish Facilities Audit 2022
Transport		
Daily Bus Services (Infrequent)	1	HCC Website
Weekly Bus Services	1	HCC Website
Main Line Train Station (Infrequent)	1	Network Rail Website
Retail		
Supermarket (>400m2 trading floor space)	1	Parish Facilities Audit 2019
Convenience Store (<400m2 trading floor space)	1	Parish Facilities Audit 2019
Education		
Secondary School	1	HCC Website
Other Education Establishment	1	HCC Website
Additional Points For access to multiple facilities/services		
Access to 2 out of 3 employment types	1	Parish Facilities Audit 2022
Access to more than 1 convenience store	1	Parish Facilities Audit 2022

Changes in the presence of services and facilities

- 4.10 Audits of the presence of services and facilities were carried out utilising a community facilities audit, further correspondence with parish councils and desktop and other research by the Strategic Planning team. The results of this work were used to record and score the facilities in each settlement within the plan area.
- 4.11 Regarding superfast broadband, Hampshire County Council was consulted. For the purposes of this study, the definition for 'Superfast Broadband' is 30Mbps (Megabytes per second) download speed or above. This was calculated from 5 different (where possible) postcodes within the settlements, spread equally around the centre and most Northern, Southern, East and Western points to ensure that the majority of the settlement had access to over 30Mbps download speed. This settlement would therefore score 2 for access to Superfast Broadband.

Outcomes

- 5.1 The full details of the updated assessment are set out in appendix A to this document. These showed some changes from the findings in the 2021 update. Overall, updated information on the presence of services and facilities was the most significant factor.
- 5.2 This process does also highlight an important point regarding the production and use of assessments such as this. The scoring of settlements by the presence of services and facilities may be expected to change, as facilities close and open. It is

also the case that the available capacity for some services (i.e. schools and health centres) will vary over time. Finally, not all facilities are of the same importance to all households. Therefore, assessments such as these can evolve but are, nevertheless, a suitable method to help develop and inform the emerging development strategy.

- 5.3 Previous iterations of this exercise have produced groupings of settlements with broadly similar levels of services to give an overall picture of the nature of that settlement and its place in the settlement hierarchy. This approach is retained. The 2021 update acknowledged that there may be a need to review the cut off points in further iterations of this assessment. Overall, this is considered appropriate given the changes to the methodology. Therefore, the revised settlement hierarchy groupings are set out in Table 2 below –

Table 2: Settlement Hierarchy Groupings

Category	Settlement
Town (score of 35)	Winchester
Market Towns (score of 30)	Bishop's Waltham and New Alresford
Larger Rural settlements (score of 22-26)	Wickham, Denmead, Colden Common, Kings Worthy
Intermediate Rural Settlements (score 18 – 21)	Hursley, Otterbourne, South Wonston, Sutton Scotney, Swanmore, Waltham Chase

- 5.4 In addition to the categorisation of settlements set out above, it is worth noting that for completeness's sake, the assessment of Newlands (West of Waterlooville) showed a level of services and facilities similar to that of a larger rural settlement, and Whiteley scored slightly less than the market towns. These settlements are both areas where major development has taken place and is continuing. They have, therefore, already been identified for housing growth of a large-scale and, as such, are subject to a bespoke development strategy, for the South Hampshire Urban Areas. Also, the West of Waterlooville development is, as the name suggests, an urban extension of Waterlooville, which is a large settlement with various facilities beyond but close to those located within the new development area itself.

Conclusion

- 6.1 This paper has documented the evolution of the assessment of a settlement hierarchy in the current and emerging development strategy for Winchester district, and provided an updated assessment of the availability of services and facilities to derive a revised grouping of settlements set out in a hierarchy. As this document recognises, any assessment of this kind provides a snapshot to inform the emerging local plan. .

Draft

Appendix A: detailed scorings of settlements

Scoring and ranking of Winchester settlements (Outside the South Downs National Park)

Daily facilities and other facilities are split into two sections – *Daily* and *Other*. The overall scoring for each settlement can be found after this.

Settlement -	Daily Facilities/Services - Score (weighted 2)										Daily facilities score
	Retail	Education		Public Transport		High Speed Broadband	Employment Opportunity	Recreation and Open Space		Facility for Community to Congregate	
	Convenience Retail (Daily Needs)	Pre School/ Nursery	Primary School (Inc Infant and Junior)	Daily Bus Services (hourly)	Main Line Train Station (Frequent)	Access to Superfast broadband	Access to any employment opportunity	Children's Play Area	Parks and Publicly Accessible Open Space	Church, Pub, community/ village hall, café/restaurant	
Winchester	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	20
Bishop's Waltham	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	18
New Alresford	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	18
Whiteley	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
Wickham	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	18
Denmead	2	2	2	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	16
Colden Common	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	18
Kings Worthy	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	18
Newlands	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	18
South Wonston	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	18
Hursley	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	18

Settlement -	Daily Facilities/Services - Score (weighted 2)										Daily facilities score
	Retail	Education		Public Transport		High Speed Broadband	Employment Opportunity	Recreation and Open Space		Facility for Community to Congregate	
	Convenience Retail (Daily Needs)	Pre School/ Nursery	Primary School (Inc Infant and Junior)	Daily Bus Services (hourly)	Main Line Train Station (Frequent)	Access to Superfast broadband	Access to any employment opportunity	Children' s Play Area	Parks and Publicly Accessible Open Space	Church, Pub, community/ village hall, café/restaurant	
Sutton Scotney	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	14
Waltham Chase	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	18
Swanmore	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	18
Curdrige	0	2	2	0	2	2	2	0	2	2	14
Knowle	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	14
Shedfield	2	2	0	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	16
Sparsholt	2	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	2	2	12
Otterbourne	2	0	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	16
Compton Street	0	2	2	2	0	2	0	2	2	2	14
Headbourne Worthy	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	14
Micheldever Station	0	2	0	0	2	2	2	2	0	2	12
Old Alresford	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	10
Southwick	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	10
Littleton	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	10
Durley	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	10
Durley Street	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	2	2	10
Shirrell Heath	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	2	10

Settlement -	Daily Facilities/Services - Score (weighted 2)										Daily facilities score
	Retail	Education		Public Transport		High Speed Broadband	Employment Opportunity	Recreation and Open Space		Facility for Community to Congregate	
	Convenience Retail (Daily Needs)	Pre School/ Nursery	Primary School (Inc Infant and Junior)	Daily Bus Services (hourly)	Main Line Train Station (Frequent)	Access to Superfast broadband	Access to any employment opportunity	Children' s Play Area	Parks and Publicly Accessible Open Space	Church, Pub, community/ village hall, café/restaurant	
Soberton Heath	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	10
Otterbourne Hill	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	2	2	10
Newtown	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	8
Bishops Sutton	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	2	8
Micheldever	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	8
Bighton	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	2	8
Northington	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	8
Compton Down	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	0	6
Crawley	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	6
North Boarhunt	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	2	8
Shawford	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	6
East Stratton	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	6
Woodmancott	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	6
Northbrook	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	6
Stoke Charity	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	6
Southdown	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	4
Curbridge	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
Swarraton	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	4

Settlement -	Daily Facilities/Services - Score (weighted 2)										Daily facilities score
	Retail	Education		Public Transport		High Speed Broadband	Employment Opportunity	Recreation and Open Space		Facility for Community to Congregate	
	Convenience Retail (Daily Needs)	Pre School/ Nursery	Primary School (Inc Infant and Junior)	Daily Bus Services (hourly)	Main Line Train Station (Frequent)	Access to Superfast broadband	Access to any employment opportunity	Children's Play Area	Parks and Publicly Accessible Open Space	Church, Pub, community/ village hall, café/restaurant	
Hundred Acres	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	4
Wonston	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	4
Gundleton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Draft

Settlement	Other Facility or Service - Score (1)																Total Other facilities	
	Post Office	Healthcare			Other Facilities				Recreation		Transport			Retail	Education			Extra point
	Access to Post Office services	Doctors	Dentist	Opticians	Library	Petrol Station	Chemist	Bank	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Built Leisure	Daily Bus Services (Infrequent)	Weekly Bus Services	Main Line Train Station (Infrequent)	Other convenience store (daily needs)	Secondary School	Other Education		Extra point for 2/3 employment
Winchester	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	15
Bishop's Waltham	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	12
New Alresford	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	12
Whiteley	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	11
Wickham	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	8
Denmead	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	9
Colden Common	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5
Kings Worthy	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	5
Newlands	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	5
South Wonston	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Hursley	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Sutton Scotney	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
Waltham Chase	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Swanmore	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4
Curdrige	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Knowle	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Shedfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sparsholt	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
Otterbourne	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Compton Street	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Headbourne Worthy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Micheldever Station	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3
Old Alresford	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3
Southwick	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
Littleton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
Durley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
Durley Street	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Shirrell Heath	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Soberton Heath	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
Otterbourne Hill	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Newtown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
Bishops Sutton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Micheldever	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Bighton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2
Northington	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2

Settlement	Other Facility or Service - Score (1)																Total Other facilities	
	Post Office	Healthcare			Other Facilities				Recreation		Transport			Retail	Education			Extra point
	Access to Post Office services	Doctors	Dentist	Opticians	Library	Petrol Station	Chemist	Bank	Outdoor Sports Facilities	Built Leisure	Daily Bus Services (Infrequent)	Weekly Bus Services	Main Line Train Station (Infrequent)	Other convenience store (daily needs)	Secondary School	Other Education		Extra point for 2/3 employment
Compton Down	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Crawley	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
North Boarhunt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shawford	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
East Stratton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Woodmancott	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Northbrook	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stoke Charity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southdown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Curbridge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Swarraton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Hundred Acres	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Wonston	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gundleton	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2

Draft

Total scores – daily facilities and other facilities (in total score order)

Settlement	Daily Facilities	Other facilities	Total
Winchester	20	15	35
Bishop's Waltham	18	12	30
New Alresford	18	12	30
Whiteley	18	11	29
Wickham	18	8	26
Denmead	16	9	25
Colden Common	18	5	23
Kings Worthy	18	5	23
Newlands	18	5	23
Swanmore	18	4	22
South Wonston	18	2	20
Hursley	18	2	20
Sutton Scotney	14	6	20
Waltham Chase	18	1	19
Otterbourne	16	2	18
Curdrige	14	3	17
Knowle	14	3	17
Shedfield	16	0	16
Micheldever Station	12	3	15
Sparsholt	12	3	15
Compton Street	14	0	14
Headbourne Worthy	14	0	14
Littleton	10	3	13
Old Alresford	10	3	13
Southwick	10	2	12
Durley	10	2	12
Soberton Heath	10	2	12
Durley Street	10	1	11
Shirrell Heath	10	1	11
Otterbourne Hill	10	0	10
Newtown	8	2	10
Micheldever	8	2	10
Bighton	8	2	10
Northington	8	2	10
Bishops Sutton	8	1	9
Compton Down	6	2	8
Crawley	6	2	8
North Boarhunt	8	0	8

Settlement	Daily Facilities	Other facilities	Total
Shawford	6	1	7
East Stratton	6	1	7
Woodmancott	6	1	7
Northbrook	6	0	6
Stoke Charity	6	0	6
Swarraton	4	1	5
Hundred Acres	4	1	5
Southdown	4	0	4
Curbridge	4	0	4
Wonston	4	0	4
Gundleton	0	2	2

DR