

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/L1765/C/21/3286358

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference

APP/L1765/C/21/3286358

Appeal By

MR NICHOLAS BUTLER

Site Address

Land to the north of Dradfield Lane
Soberton
Hampshire
SO32 3QD

SENDER DETAILS

Name

MS LINDA MICELI

Address

Rothesay Church Road
Newtown
FAREHAM
PO17 6LE

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- Appellant
- Agent
- Interested Party / Person
- Land Owner
- Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- Final Comments
- Proof of Evidence
- Statement
- Statement of Common Ground
- Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

I object to the application, in the main, all the work carried out has been done without one planning approval. Despite orders to stop, Mr Butler continues with his development, in the knowledge that once erected the council planning would be presented with fait accompli. So what message is this sending to others, by pass the council planning, do what you like. There will be no action taken.

This activity is becoming the norm, especially in rural areas like this village.

The development started by a huge sway of hedgerow uprooted and extremely high metal gates installed. Completely out of keeping with other development sites in the village. In doing so much of the local flora and fauna were deprived of their living domain. It is the natural habitat for a much favoured butterfly species.

The next action was the laying of a super wide hardstanding at the entrance to the site, and another hardstanding erected within the property. A chalk roadway has been constructed down the centre of the property. In addition a domiciled container has been placed on site, which Mr Butler now resides, (incidentally installed on Bank Holiday weekend). There have been no planning submissions regarding adequate drainage for the disposal of waste. Or how they intend to not add to the pollution that finds its way to Wallington or the fact that area is a known flood plain.

The most recent is the installation of a gigantic polytunnel, which is clearly visible across the village, reminiscent of Billy Smarts circus tent! In addition floodlights have now been erected which causes concerns, regarding pollution, intrusion to village life.

My understanding of Planning and its policies, is to ensure developments are required, and provide opportunity to contribute enhancing the environment and in doing so ,the protection and conservation of local landscapes, hedgerows to support natural beauty of the area, in view we abut the SDNP. In addition developers should be cognisant of local habitats and species that in this case have already been disrupted. All of our wildlife contribute to aiding our contribution to pollution and protecting the planet.

The entire site contravenes the policy that development must be a positive contribution to the local environment. The visual impact from this site from various aspects does nothing for local environment. Mr Butler continues with his interpretation of Permitted Development. He is a law to himself and has little or no regard for anything other than the knowledge he will not be told to revert the land back to what is was, agricultural.