Dear David Townsend

Ref The Greenhouse, Gravel Hill.

I have returned the PCN today but my reply is limited ,as I do not own any of the land outlined in red on the accompanying plan.

I understand **Constant** is now going to say the caravan on site was converted into a dwelling sometime before the extension and various works took place prior to October 2017. Presumably evidence is intended to be produced 4yrs prior to this April.

May I remind you of some of the evidence I showed you at our meeting in Shedfield, Which I will produce along with declarations from myself and others

Firstly the land was purchased in February 2015 from me and without any doubt there was only an unlawful caravan on it at the time as I would not allow any alterations to it. This means any work had to of taken place after February 11th 2015 but 4years from the day a notice is served or CLEUD is lodged.

Electricity was supplied from the main house (Sunnybank). Came to Sunnybank to say her power supply kept tripping on the 30th August 2016. I entered the caravan to check all of the electrical sockets to try and locate the fault. No alterations had been made at that time to create a dwelling. I am sure of this date as I had a heart attack later that afternoon and spent several weeks in hospital.

I am in possession of an email from **Constant of** partner **Constant** to **Constant of** suggesting I may accept the caravan back on my land and he and **Constant** live as part of our family. This means he accepted it was mobile at that time. Also in the email was a suggestion the land may be sold to gypsies if they could not stay. Ariel Photographs dated June 2017 show no new roof had been added at that time . Photographs dated June 14th 2017 show the caravan not clad at that time.

Following an enforcement investigation invited me to meet and her partner one evening the week beginning March 5th, to see if I could help with any further planning advice to enable them to stay on the land. At that meeting saked saked if the caravan could be moved and he replied "yes but I can make sure it can't in a couple of weeks". There was no doubt in my mind the structure was still a caravan. Source was rushing back and forwards up and down the caravan trying to find paperwork Her footsteps echoed as her feet touched the floor.

he submitted a retrospective application for the retention of a caravan. IF there were any thoughts that the structure was anything other than a caravan this has to be considered concealment.

It is my honest belief that the caravan became a dwelling after the new roof was affixed and the cladding attached, along with the extension just after the site visit carried out by Liz Marsden in mid 2018

Kind regards