For official use only (date received): 14/10/2020 09:51:29

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/L1765/C/20/3256531

DETAILS OF THE CASE	
Appeal Reference	APP/L1765/C/20/3256531
Appeal By	MR NICHOLAS BUTLER
Site Address	Land North of Dradfield Lane Dradfield Lane Soberton Hants SO32 3QD
SENDER DETAILS	
Name	MS LINDA MICELI
Address	Rothesay Church Road Newtown FAREHAM PO17 6LE
ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS	
In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?	
☐ Appellant	
☐ Agent	
✓ Interested Party / Person	
□ Land Owner	
□ Rule 6 (6)	
What kind of representation are you making?	
☐ Final Comments	
☐ Proof of Evidence	
□ Statement	
☐ Statement of Common Ground	
☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence	
□ Other	

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

APP/L1765/C/20/3256531

- Land is identified as agricultural. This does not extend to the storage of items not required for agricultural use, other engineering uses, or parking.
- The 'land' has already been prepared for 'other uses' ie trailers for conversion to residential premises and storage for non-agricultural items.
- The obliteration of the hedge, which is home to 'at risk' wildlife, plus other wildlife. In its place too high industrial gates, totally out of keeping with rural environment.
- The placement of huge hardstanding on field side of gates, will seriously compromise the draining of the land, this field is notorious for flooding.
- There is no mention of how waste materials will be disposed of, if this field is to be used for housing, camping.
- It did not take the owner three months to erect the hardstanding, decimate the hedge, place units on site. Three months to return to its previous state is more than adequate, save for growth of new hedge.
- The owner has breached planning controls. By his actions of commencing work without any planning consultation or consent, believing a retrospective planning submission would be rubber stamped for approval.
- The steps outlined by Enforcement are not excessive. The ground is agricultural. Submit your planning requirements, including change of use through the appropriate channels for approval.
- Returning the pasture ground to its former state. Reinstate the hedge and provide a 5-Bar field gate to provide the wildlife to reside uninterrupted.