

---

**From:** Louis Martinelli [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 07 November 2018 15:47  
**To:** Team E2  
**Subject:** FW: APP/L1765/C/18/3195411 Texas Drive Winchester

FAO: Corrina Clements, The Planning Inspectorate, Bristol

Hello Corrina:

I have submitted previously to the Winchester Planning Dept my detailed and general objections to the original and revised applications re Texas Drive, and these are both detailed below and dated 3<sup>rd</sup> October and 8<sup>th</sup> December, 2017 re 17/02190/FUL.

I would be grateful if you would confirm by email that The Planning Inspectorate have received these.... and the Inspectorate would take each of these previous submissions to Winchester City Planning Department objection process into consideration, along with all other inputs, when deciding on the outcome of Enforcement Notice & Appeal review process.

Thank you.

Louis Martinelli Olivers Battery, Winchester, SO22 4LF

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

---

**From:** [REDACTED] **Sent:** 08 December 2017 13:19  
**To:** [lhutchings@winchester.gov.uk](mailto:lhutchings@winchester.gov.uk)  
**Cc:** [Planning@Winchester.Gov.UK](mailto:Planning@Winchester.Gov.UK)  
**Subject:** 17/02190/FUL: Texas Drive, My 2nd Objection.

Dear Ms Lorna Hutchings & WCC Planners:

I understand that Mr Mark Oakley has recently had the temerity to submit a revised application to build a home twice the size of the planning permission he was granted previously by WCC, and new landscape proposals, indeed already inappropriately carried out, that flagrantly breach the planning permission granted to him and that are clearly not fit for purpose vis a vis those existing permissions.

He has blatantly ignored the permission he was given originally and nevertheless proceeded knowingly to carry out work entirely beyond the scope of that said permission.

Mr Oakley now applies to have those excesses, in contravention of WCC planning permission and regulation, be considered in a favourable light with this latest application.

This is completely unacceptable practise and an attempt on Mr Oakley's part which I trust the WCC Planners will refuse to permit: this application, put simply, seeks to gain planning approval for his already implemented and unauthorised site works.

Please refer to my attached email 3<sup>rd</sup> October and objections raised therein.

**In Summary & Conclusion: The WCC Planning Department and its Enforcement Officer are required to ensure that Mr Oakley does not continue with this attempt to circumnavigate the established planning approval compliance**

**process with regard to those permissions and constraints previously made abundantly clear to him by the WCC Planning Department.**

Please acknowledge this email. Thank You.

Louis Martinelli,  
157 OBRS, SO22 4LF

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 03 October 2017 21:08  
**To:** [Planning@Winchester.Gov.UK](mailto:Planning@Winchester.Gov.UK)  
**Subject:** WR 00126/17 Texas Drive Mark Oakley.

Dear WCC Planners:

Ten days ago I chanced to notice this planning application in the Hampshire Chronical for the first time, with no timescale mentioned for objections, and have just learnt today on return from a short holiday that Objections have to be submitted by today. This email is therefore an abridged version of my objection: This application **MUST NOT** be granted planning permission.

I was appalled that the Enforcement Officer had not already made Mr Oakley comply in the summer with his original request for planning permission, specifically approved by WCC Planners, but instead had embarked on building something quite different in size, specification & situation on part of the four acre plot in Texas Drive, and is now applying for retrospective planning permission to sanction his deliberate deviation from the original approved plan. This is **TOTALLY UNACCEPTABLE**.

WCC Planners must refuse this his latest application to build something vastly and completely different to that which he originally applied for planning permission. He has openly flouted the permission he received to develop Plan A, and has gone ahead without any authority whatsoever and deliberated exceeded the powers granted to him by WCC Planners and built something without approval, which is vastly at variance in scale and situation with the planning permission he was granted.

Why has there not been more WCC inspection and enforcement of the original Planning approval to cause the developer to **STOP** building his Plan B ? He has been busy on site since the spring / early summer and ample opportunity for the WCC to visit, see for themselves and enforce a stoppage to the unauthorised development. And made to remove the inappropriate work carried out.

There is no possible justification to go beyond the approved site approved plan, and the WCC have been informed many, many months ago by, among many others, Councillor Brian Laming & the Parish Council, that Mr Oakley had exceed that authority and urgently needed to be reined in and stopped in his tracks and prevented from exceeding the planning permission granted to him.

Furthermore, would it not have been completely appropriate and within the planning / legal framework for the WCC Enforcement Officer who visited the site in mid summer to have insisted that the unapproved work be "undone" and issued an order that no further "unapproved" ground work be carried out ?

In brief, the house is five time the size of the old building it replaces and the garage large enough for four cars, and both are not the scale of the planning permission.... and are also not in that part of the site where planning was authorised.

Are we as citizens of Winchester to stand by and see Mr Oakley ride roughshod over the Planning Authority that represents us, particularly those of us living adjacent to Texas Drive, and see the well tried and tested democratic processes around the Planning Process be cast aside by **I THINK NOT !**

Yours in haste, Louis Martinelli, 157 OBRS, SO22 4LF

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---