

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/L1765/C/18/3195411

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference	APP/L1765/C/18/3195411
Appeal By	MR M OAKLEY
Site Address	Land known as Texas Texas Drive OLIVERS BATTERY SO22 4HT

SENDER DETAILS

Name	MRS ELAINE BUGLER
Address	16 Priors Way WINCHESTER SO22 4HQ

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- Appellant
- Agent
- Interested Party / Person
- Land Owner
- Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- Final Comments
- Proof of Evidence
- Statement
- Statement of Common Ground
- Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

I wish to highlight my concerns over this appeal. The property, when granted permission, was inappropriate for the area as it was too large, would cause light pollution, cause a scar on the landscape and affect my enjoyment of the area, which I do daily. The area is used extensively by locals and visitors walking, exercising and enjoying the natural beauty. This building, stands out from this landscape in a way that reduces my enjoyment. Lots of representations have been seen by those on foot. I would like to raise my objection on behalf of those who ride horses.

The plot is bounded by a bridle path, not a footpath as so many, including the officials in the council, have said. This is a very important distinction. As I, and my friends ride past, we are at a much elevated position. This once natural landscape, now shorn of its shrubs and trees at the southern end, is now exposed to us 2.5-3m or so above the ground meaning we can see the work undertaken and the building will be seen also from our position. Whilst some planting has taken place, its going to be many years before its shielded so my enjoyment of the area has been eroded. I also view this riding on the downs and the chalk exposed and change of topography is heartbreaking. I believe permission when granted only took in the impact of walkers, not riders.

The area should be returned to that as it was before the work started and perhaps the whole process started again. A smaller building grated, taking in the sensitivity of the area.