

PORTFOLIO HOLDER DECISION NOTICE

INDIVIDUAL DECISION BY THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR PLANNING AND TRANSPORT

<u>TOPIC – PROPOSED REVISION TO TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER – MEWS LANE,</u> <u>WINCHESTER</u>

PROCEDURAL INFORMATION

The Access to Information Procedure Rules – Part 4, Section 22 of the Council's Constitution provides for a decision to made by an individual member of Cabinet.

In accordance with the Procedure Rules, the Corporate Director (Governance), the Chief Executive and the Head of Finance are consulted together with Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Principal Scrutiny Committee and all Members of the relevant Scrutiny Panel (individual Ward Members are consulted separately where appropriate). In addition, all Members are notified.

Five or more of these consulted Members can require that the matter be referred to Cabinet for determination.

Contact Officers:

Case Officer: Neville Crisp, Tel: 01962 848 484, Email: ncrisp@winchester.gov.uk

Committee Administrator:

Frances Maloney, Tel: 01962 848 155, Email: <u>fmaloney@winchester.gov.uk</u>

SUMMARY

- It is proposed to introduce an additional permit holders parking bay to increase existing parking provision.
- The proposal is in keeping with the Corporate Strategy in its attempt to provide a high quality environment and safe & strong communities.
- An informal consultation was carried out with residents in Mews Lane, Winchester in the immediate vicinity of the proposals which raised one objection to the proposed introduction of the parking bay.
- Formal notices were therefore advertised which also generated one objection.
- The objection is not to the parking bay itself, which is supported, but the length of the bay described in the order. It is contended that at the dimensions advertised, the bay will cause an obstruction. However the objector has been assured that this will not be the case.
- The cost of the proposal will be funded through the Traffic Management Agency Agreement with Hampshire County Council. There will be no additional enforcement costs.

- A copy of a plan showing the proposal is attached.
- A copy of the proposed schedule and a statement of reasons are attached.
- A copy of the formal proposal notice is attached.
- A copy of correspondence received is attached.

DECISION

It is recommended that;

• The Permit Holders Parking bay be implemented as per the attached drawing and the Head of Legal Services be authorized to make the necessary order.

REASON FOR THE DECISION AND OTHER ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED

See Summary.

FURTHER ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND REJECTED FOLLOWING PUBLICATION OF THE DRAFT PORTFOLIO HOLDER DECISION NOTICE

None.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS BY THE DECISION MAKER OR A MEMBER OR OFFICER CONSULTED

None.

DISPENSATION GRANTED BY THE STANDARDS COMMITTEE

None.

Approved by: (signature)

Date of Decision

Councillor Wood – Portfolio Holder for Planning and Transport



PROPOSED VARIATION TO:-

HAMPSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

ROAD TRAFFIC REGULATION ACT 1984

THE HAMPSHIRE (VARIOUS ROADS, WINCHESTER) (PARKING PLACES AND RESTRICTION OF WAITING) (CONTROLLED ZONE) ORDER 2002

STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR REVISION:-

On traffic management grounds to maximise on-street parking provision for residents.

EXISTING ITEMS TO BE REPLACED

SCHEDULE V

No Waiting 8:00am to 6:00pm Monday to Saturday					
Road Name	Side	Description	<u>Zone</u>		
MEWS LANE	SOUTH	BETWEEN ITS JUNCTION WITH ST JAMES TERRACE AND A POINT 92.0 METRES WEST OF THAT JUNCTION.	J		

PROPOSED ITEMS

SCHEDULE V

No Waiting 8:00am to 6:00pm Monday to Saturday				
Road Name	<u>Side</u>	Description	<u>Zone</u>	
MEWS LANE	SOUTH	BETWEEN ITS JUNCTION WITH ST JAMES TERRACE AND A POINT 87.5 METRES WEST OF THAT JUNCTION.	J	

SCHEDULE I PT II

	Permit Holders Only 8:00am to 6:00pm Monday to Saturday		
Road Name	<u>Side</u>	Description	<u>Zone</u>
MEWS LANE	SOUTH	BETWEEN A POINT 87.5 METRES WEST OF ITS JUNCTION WITH ST JAMES TERRACE AND A POINT 92.7 METRES WEST OF THAT JUNCTION.	J

Notice of Proposals of making of Various Road Traffic Regulation Orders Affecting the District of Winchester City Council

Winchester City Council as agents for Hampshire County Council proposes making the undermentioned road traffic regulation orders:-

The Hampshire (Various Roads, Winchester) (Parking Places and Restriction of Waiting) (Controlled Zone) Order 2002 (Variation No.44) Order 2007.

The effect of this order will be to reduce the no waiting zone and introduce a permit holders zone on the south side of Mews Lane. Details are as follows:-

No Waiting 8:00am to 6:00pm Monday to Saturday

No waiting 6.00am to 6.00pm wonday to Saturday					
Road Name	<u>Side</u>	Description	Zone		
MEWS LANE	SOUTH	BETWEEN ITS JUNCTION WITH ST JAMES TERRACE AND A POINT 87.5 METRES WEST OF THAT JUNCTION.	J		
Road Name	Side	Description	<u>Zone</u>		
MEWS LANE	SOUTH	BETWEEN A POINT 87.5 METRES WEST OF ITS JUNCTION WITH ST JAMES TERRACE AND A POINT 92.7 METRES WEST OF THAT JUNCTION.	J		

<u>FURTHER DETAILS</u>: a copy of this notice, the proposed orders, and maps showing the location and effect of the proposals, a statement of reasons and the order to be varied may be inspected during usual office hours at the following place:-

City Offices, Colebrook Street, Winchester.

<u>OBJECTIONS</u>: All objections and other representations in respect of these proposals must be sent in writing to the undersigned by 15th November 2007

All objections must state the grounds on which they are made.

Dated this 18th day of October 2007

H. N. Bone, Head of Legal Services, Winchester City Council, City Offices, Colebrook Street, Winchester, Hampshire.

DEVELOPMENT Mews Lane, Winchester 2 9 001 2001 Noville - M224 19 October 2007 ACCESS & INFRASTRUCTURE

810402-22

PHD 121

Mr H N Bone, Head of LeEgal Services, Winchester City Council, Colebrook Street Winchester, SO23

Dear Mr Bone,

PROPOSED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER - MEWS LANE

I am writing to object to the proposed Traffic Regulation Order implementing a permit parking space in Mews Lane in front of my property.

I want to emphasise that I support the provision of an additional parking bay adjacent to the brick wall at the front of my property, and I am content for it to be implemented in accordance with the markings which are currently marked on the road surface.

However, I am objecting because I am concerned that the bay described on the notice would obstruct my entrance.

The notice describes the parking bay as being between 92.7 metres and 87.5 metres from the junction of Mews Lane with St James Terrace. I am unable to measure this and understand exactly what is intended. However, there is not 5.2 metres of space available without projecting across my entrance.

As I explained in my letter of 16 March to Ms Kendall in the Development Directorate, there is a little ledge across at the upper end of the proposed bay (the road runs uphill) and the length available for parking adjacent to the wall is approximately 4.5 metres in length. I received oral reassurances that this would be satisfactorily dealt with and indeed the markings on the road are okay. However, the proposed length stated in the TRO exceeds the space available.

I therefore have to object to the proposed TRO.

If it would be helpful, please telephone me – 01962 864440 (home) and 01489 615978 (work).

Yours sincerely,

Mary Parker.

Mrs M Parker

Your Ref: Our Ref:810402/22 Enq to:Neville Crisp Direct Line: 01962 848484 Email: ncrisp@winchester.gov.uk

10th December 2007

Dear Mrs Parker

PROPOSED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER – MEWS LANE, WINCHESTER

Thank you for your letter dated 19th October 2007 regarding the above proposal.

I have noted your comments and resultant objection to the proposal. As this was the only objection received the proposal is being taken forward for a decision by the Planning and Transport Portfolio Holder, Councillor Wood, under his delegated powers.

I have included a copy of your letter in the decision notice papers so that he is fully aware of your comments when he considers whether to proceed with the proposal as advertised. However, the dimensions as advertised were measured with calibrated surveying equipment and we are confident that provided vehicles park within the marked bay they will not cause an obstruction.

I trust this is to your satisfaction.

Yours sincerely

Neville Crisp Traffic Engineer

cc Cllr Wood