

# CHAPTER 14: IMPLEMENTATION

## 14.1. General Comments

### OBJECTIONS TO DEPOSIT PLAN

Proposal/ Paragraph	Rep Number	NAME
CHAP14	1434/39	Hampshire County Council

### ISSUE

Should additional reference be made within the Local Plan to the provision of childcare facilities within the District? (1434/39)

### INSPECTOR'S CONSIDERATION AND CONCLUSIONS

14.1.1 Hampshire County Council considers that the Plan should refer to the provision of childcare facilities within the District. However I consider there is already an adequate policy framework to guide the provision of facilities and to assess individual proposals. I do not consider a further reference need be made.

### RECOMMENDATION

14.1.2 That no modification be made to the Plan.

## 14.2. Private Sector Finance (paragraphs 14.10 - 14.13)

### OBJECTIONS TO DEPOSIT PLAN

Proposal/ Paragraph	Rep Number	NAME
14.11	261/95	Government Office for the South East (GOSE)

### ISSUE

Does paragraph 14.11 accord with government advice that stipulates that developers are not expected to pay for facilities to resolve existing deficiencies?

### INSPECTOR'S CONSIDERATION AND CONCLUSIONS

14.2.1. GOSE refer to paragraph 14.11 which is considered to imply that developers are expected to pay for facilities that are required to make up for existing deficiencies, contrary to Government advice on planning obligations. Although the Council has explained in its Response Note that this is not the intention, but that it is to highlight the Council's Open Space Funding System, there is no mention of this in the text. I agree with the objector that paragraph 14.11 could be interpreted in the way they suggest and I therefore consider the Council should reword the paragraph removing the ambiguous phraseology and making reference to their Open Space Funding System.

### RECOMMENDATION

14.2.2. That the Plan be modified by amending paragraph 14.11 to remove the ambiguous phraseology and make reference to their Open Space Funding System.

## 14.3. Direct Action (paragraphs 14.14 - 14.19)

### OBJECTIONS TO DEPOSIT PLAN

Proposal/ Paragraph	Rep Number	NAME
14.18	266/8	House Builders Federation (Southern Region)

#### ISSUE

Is paragraph 4.18 correct in referring to 'market analysis' undertaken when preparing the Local Plan?

#### INSPECTOR'S CONSIDERATION AND CONCLUSIONS

14.3.1. The objector seeks the deletion of the term 'market analysis' from paragraph 14.18 or clarification of its meaning. However I am satisfied that market analysis did form part of the Urban Capacity Study and see no reason to delete or further explain the term in this part of the Plan.

#### RECOMMENDATION

14.3.2. That no modification be made to the Plan.

## 14.4 Monitoring (paragraphs 14.24 - 14.27)

### OBJECTIONS TO DEPOSIT PLAN

Proposal/ Paragraph	Rep Number	NAME
14.24	254/5	Ann Rich
14.24	1376/4	J. G Hurcom
14.24	343/7	Stephenson
14.24	1251/6	W. G. Pollock

#### ISSUE

Should the reference to 'maximising urban capacity' be removed from the Local Plan in favour of a new monitoring system that seeks to provide a realistic capacity figure for each village/townscape?

#### INSPECTOR'S CONSIDERATION AND CONCLUSIONS

14.4.1 Several objectors were unhappy with the term 'maximising urban capacity' in paragraph 14.27 but in RD14.02 the Council has replaced this term and in RD14.03 added a further paragraph of explanation. I therefore consider that the objectors' concerns have been satisfactorily dealt with.

#### RECOMMENDATION

14.4.2 That no modification be made to the Plan.

## 14.5 Addendum

- 14.5.1 In paragraph 6.8.3 of my report I recommend that some detail in respect of Neighbourhood plans and their role in the implementation of the Plan's Proposals should be included in this Chapter. I include this addendum to serve as a cross reference to that recommendation.