# WINCHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN PART 2 – TRANSPORT EVIDENCE BASE

#### **Background & Document Structure**

The purpose of this note is to provide a brief explanation of the Transport Site Assessment work that has been carried out as part of the Winchester District Local Plan Part 2 housing site assessments.

This has been a two stage process. The first stage was primarily determining an Accessibility Assessment for each site which was used to give a rating in order to help identify the most appropriate sites for development, in terms of accessibility. This information informed local groups and helped guide the selection of sites for development.

The second stage has been the development of further information relating to the sites in the form of a Transport Evidence base.

This note is divided into a number of sections.

- 1. Site Assessments
- 2. Accidents & Road Safety Engineering and WDLPP2 Housing Sites
- 3. WDLP Housing Sites Transport Issues & General Comments
- 4. HCC Comments WDLPP2 Sites May 2014

The **Site Assessments** have been carried out for each site emerging as a preferred option within the WDLPP2 process as well as those sites being actively promoted as alternative sites for development. These site assessments include the work that was done as part of the first stage process (Accessibility Assessments) which was used to guide the choice of development sites through the emerging Plan process. The site assessment process has been developed further to ensure that there are no overriding reasons that would prevent any site's development in transport terms.

A section is included on **Accidents & Road Safety Engineering and WDLPP2 Housing Sites.** This has assessed a five year accident record for each of the eight WDLP 'MTRA2' settlements. A number of locations have been identified as possible areas requiring further investigation, but there is no overarching evidence to show individual sites could not be developed due to existing accident problems.

The section on **Transport Issues & General Comments** attempts to cover and respond to a number of questions likely to be raised in relation to specific housing sites.

The last section reproduces a response from officers of Hampshire County Council (the Highway Authority) on the emerging sites identified for inclusion within the Local Plan.

Note: Additional background data / information has been used to develop this evidence base:

- HCC traffic flow and speed data
- HCC 5 Year accident data
- SSD (Stopping Site Distance / Visibility Requirement information (MfS, DMRB)
- 'Design Manual for Roads and Bridges' TA 77/99 Traffic Capacity of Urban Roads (DMRB Vol 5, Section 1, part 3)

#### 1. Site Assessments

Each of the sites put forward as part of the SHLAA process has been assessed using the same procedure to ensure a consistent and coherent approach across the settlements in the District. Each site has a 'Site Assessment – Transport' Sheet.

The initial process has been used to guide the location of preferred development sites, rather than select and rank individual sites. It is accepted that the planning process incorporates a number of variables and considerations, and therefore some sites which in transport terms may rate 'Good' may not be selected for a variety of reasons, and some sites with lesser ratings could be selected because they score highly on other considerations.

The key piece of information on each 'Site Assessment – Transport' sheet is the overall 'Accessibility' rating which was used in the initial process of selecting the emerging overall housing site allocation strategy.

#### 'Accessibility' rating – Sites proximity to a range of facilities and services

All of the sites have been assessed to give an overall 'Accessibility' rating in order to help guide the selection of the most appropriate sites for development. This is to indicate the relative grade of 'Accessibility' in one of four distance categories for access to a range of services; Public transport, Local shops & services and Primary school education facilities.

#### Why is 'Accessibility' rating important?

If a site has a reasonable proximity to a range of goods, facilities and services, and other conditions (e.g. provision of footways etc.) are favourable then trips are more likely to be made by non-car modes.

It is also a way of assessing all of the sites using the same objective criteria which allows for a more equitable method of assessment.

#### The overall 'ACCESSIBILITY' rating bands are -

ExcellentGoodAdequatePoor0-400m400-800m800-1600mover 1600m

ACCESSIBILITY can be defined as the site's proximity (using average walk distances from the furthest section of the site being considered) to the whole range of services considered, i.e. public transport, local shops & services and Primary school education facilities.

#### **Individual Access ratings**

The 'Site Assessment – Transport' assessment sheets also include an assessment and rating for each of the individual services considered (public transport, local shops & services and Primary school education facilities). It should be noted that the assessment criteria (distances) for Public Transport are slightly reduced to that used for local shops & services and Primary school education facilities. This is to reflect the fact that access to public transport is the first part of a longer journey, therefore the users will only willingly walk for a shorter distance (time) as part of that wider journey. The table below shows the different category distances.

	0-400m	400-800m	800-1600m	over 1600m
Public transport*	Excellent	Adequate	Limited	Poor
Local shops / services	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Poor
Primary schools	Excellent	Good	Adequate	Poor

\*Defined as a bus route with at least one bus per hour to locations with a wider range of goods, services, education, employment etc than found in the local centre.

#### **Pedestrian Links**

Also within the assessments is a brief evaluation of the pedestrian links to the range of facilities under consideration. This is a simple assessment of the local network of footways which would provide access using the following criteria.

Footway widths mainly	<1.2m	1.2 – 1.5m	1.5 – 2m	over 2m
	Poor	Adequate	Good	Excellent

#### **Cycle Access**

The assessments include an evaluation of the cycle access to the range of facilities under consideration. This was a simple assessment of the local provision of cycle access which would use the following criteria:

Cycle routes	On major busy roads or not available – Poor
	On regular highway network – Adequate
	On quiet 'estate' roads or similar – Good
	On off-road cycle lanes – Excellent

#### Site Summary & Other Notes

At the bottom of each 'Site Assessment – Transport' sheet is a note providing further explanation and possibly detailed comment on some of the issues for the site.

The site assessment sheets also provide information on estimated capacity (housing units) and trip generation. This information was used as a guide only for the likelihood of the need for wider and more comprehensive transportation assessment should the site be taken forward in the planning process. It should not be taken as an actual indication of the number of houses a site could accommodate, or traffic generation, as other factors relating to the development of the site would affect that consideration.

#### **Highway Capacity Assessment**

For most of the potential development sites under consideration the sheet also includes a section on Highway capacity impact assessment. This is a very brief 'worse-case' scenario estimating the capacity and impact of the new development on the adjacent highway. In reality these assessments overstate the impact as they make no allowance for these new trips dispersing (i.e. going in different directions). These assessments do suggest that a number of sites would need further investigations (due to the percentage increases in traffic on the existing road network) but none of these initial link assessments show daily or peak hour link capacities, which are hence more prone to delays at peak times. The analysis of junction capacities has not been carried out within these assessments due to an absence of both data and resources, such detailed evaluation would need to be completed as part of a transportation assessment required at the plannign application stage for the larger development sites.

#### **Vehicle Access Road Details**

This section provides details and comments on a number of details relating to each development site.

### 2. Accidents & Road Safety Engineering and WDLPP2 Housing Sites

#### Investigation of accident locations

Road accidents resulting in injuries (PIA's = Personal Injury Accidents) are monitored by Hampshire County Council's (HCC) road safety engineering team. Information supplied by Hampshire Police is used to identify accident locations that may benefit from engineering measures to reduce the likelihood of more accidents occurring.

Engineering measures are usually considered when

1) Four or more accidents have occurred at a single location, or

2) When three accidents with similar features have occurred in a five year period, or

3) Investigations are also undertaken when significant accident patterns are identified over longer lengths of road.

Further information is found in Appendix 1: HCC Casualty Reduction & Engineering measures.

Five year accident data (01-06-08 to 31-05-13) has been obtained for each of the eight 'MTRA2' settlements with WCC housing allocations to determine if there are any obvious locations with a clear accident issue that will require further investigation.

The presence of a location or road with a known or apparent accident issue is unlikely to be a reason for a site not to be appropriate for development, but is most likely to warrant investigation and possible action from the site developers in conjunction with the County Council to ensure that accident rates are not detrimentally affected as a result of the development. Furthermore, where appropriate, it is likely that the new development would be expected to contribute to, or fund, appropriate safety engineering measures.

In terms of the WDLP settlements with housing allocations the following settlements with sites potentially needing further investigation are noted –

#### **Bishop's Waltham**

The B2177 junction of Claylands Road and Victoria Road has seen 3 PIA's in a five year period. Whilst further analysis suggest that these accidents do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This section of road is most likely to be affected by the Albany Road (1877, 2390, 2554 & 1879), Vineyard (356) and Martin Street (284 & 281) development sites.

The B3035 junction of Lower Lane and Free Street has seen 3 PIA's in a five year period. However further analysis suggest that these accidents do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measure. Furthermore none of the sites under consideration are likely to have a significant impact on this location.

The B2177 junction of Coppice Hill with Shore Lane has seen 2 PIA's in a five year period. Whilst two PIA's would not normally be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is require. This site is most likely to be affected by the Coppice Hill (2398 & 2519) development sites.

The B2177 junction of Coppice Hill with the B3035 (roundabout) has seen 2 PIA's in a five year period. Whilst two PIA's would not normally be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the

future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This site is most likely to be affected by the Coppice Hill (2398 & 2519) development sites.

There is a 450 metre long section of the B2177 road between Tangier Lane and Pondside Lane which has experienced 7 PIA's in a five year period (including the junction of Claylands Road mentioned above). This could suggest a location which would require further investigation and possibly the development of safety engineering measures. This section of road is most likely to be affected by the Albany Road(1877, 2390, 2554 & 1879), Vineyard (356) and Martin Street (284 & 281) development sites.

#### **Colden Common**

The B3335 at the junction of Highbridge Road and Brambridge had 3 PIA's in a five year period. Whilst further analysis suggest that these accidents do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This junction is most likely to be affected by the Spring Lane (1874) development site.

The junction of Bishopstoke Lane and Church Lane has had 3 PIA's in a five year period. Further analysis suggests that two of these accidents share common features and could therefore be the subject of future HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This junction is most likely to be affected by the Church Lane (1871 & 2561) development sites.

The B3354 Main Road has experienced 6 PIA's in a five year period on the 780 metre section through Colden Common village (between Spring Lane and Church Lane) and a further 6 PIA's on the 680 metre section between Church Lane and Hensting Lane. This could suggest a location which would require further investigation and possibly the development of safety engineering measures. The developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is require. This section of road is most likely to be affected by The Main Road (275, 888, 889, 2389, 2494) development sites.

#### **New Alresford**

The junction of Nursery Gardens and Jacklyns lane has had 3 PIA's in a five year period. Whilst further analysis suggest that these accidents do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This junction is most likely to be affected by the Sun Lane (277) development site.

The junction of Pound Hill, West Street and Jacklyns lane has had 3 PIA's in a five year period. Whilst further analysis suggest that these accidents do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This junction is most likely to be affected by all developments in the New Alresford area and the development of a package of town centre improvements would expect contributions from all sites, possibly via the CIL.

There is a 500 metre section of West Street through the town centre which has experienced 9 PIA's in a five year period. This could suggest a location which would require further investigation and possibly the development of safety engineering measures. This does include three accidents at the above location. This area would be affected by all developments in the New Alresford area and the development of a package of town centre improvements would expect contributions from all sites, possibly via the CIL.

#### Denmead

The PIA record for Denmead has not been considered or evaluated further as the progression of selected sites in this settlement has been carried out via the Neighbourhood Plan, which is now adopted.

#### Swanmore

There are no locations within Swanmore with more than 1 PIA therefore no further analysis has been undertaken within the settlement.

Locally the Waltham Chase / B2177 junction of Winchester Road & Forest Road crossroads has had 3 PIA's in a five year period. Further analysis suggests that two of these accidents share common features (pedestrian injuries) and could therefore be the subject of future HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This junction is could be affected by all of the development sites in Swanmore.

#### **Kings Worthy**

The junction of the B3043 and A33 (also including the junction of A33 and Lovedon Lane) is the site of an HCC programmed Accident Remedial scheme due to be constructed in 2015. This combined location has seen 9 PIAs in a 5 year period. The programmed works are designed to deal with the existing issues and further works should not be required. However if the development of the site is carried out later in the Local Plan period then any local development site will need to check and ensure that the accident problem has been resolved, failing which further measures may be required which may require funding from local developments.

The following junctions have all experienced 2 PIAs in a five year period:

- Springvale Road junction with Nations Hill
- Church Lane junction with B3047
- Bedfield Lane junction with B3047

Whilst further analysis suggest that accidents at each of these locations do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting these locations will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required.

#### Wickham

The junction of the A32 and Southwick Road location has seen 6 PIAs in a 5 year period. This is a known HCC Accident Remedial site. Developers of any site in Wickham affecting this location will need to discuss the development implications and the need for a financial contribution towards an accident remedial scheme.

The section of the A334 (Winchester Road) between the A32 and Buddens Road has featured 17 PIAs in a 5 year period. This could suggest a location which would require further investigation and possibly the development of safety engineering measures. The developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is require. This section of road is most likely to be affected by all of the Wickham development sites.

The 'Square' in Wickham has seen 7 PIAs in a 5 year period. This area of high pedestrian and vehicular activity could benefit from improvements for all users. This area would be affected by all developments in the Wickham and the development of a package of town centre improvements would expect contributions from all sites, possibly via the CIL.

There is an additional 'cluster' of 5 PIAs on the B2177 Southwick road approximately 300 metres east of the A32/B2177 cross roads. However these do not appear to be in one particular location and appear to include some loss of control at the two bends. This area has benefited from a reduction in the speed limit to 30mph and the provision of warning signs.

The following junctions have all experienced a number of PIAs in a five year period:

- A334 Winchester Road junction with The Square (3 PIAs)
- A334 Roundabout junction with A32 (4 PIAs)

Whilst further analysis suggest that accidents at each of these locations do not share common features and are therefore unlikely to be the subject of HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting these locations will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required.

#### Waltham Chase

The B2177 junction of Winchester Road & Forest Road crossroads has had 3 PIA's in a five year period. Further analysis suggests that two of these accidents share common features (pedestrian injuries) and could therefore be the subject of future HCC engineering measures, the developer of any proposed development affecting this location will need to check with HCC as to the current accident information, whether this site is likely to be the future subject of HCC engineering measures and if a contribution to road safety improvements is required. This junction is most likely to be affected by all of the development sites in Waltham Chase.

There is a 1000 metre long section of the B2177 road between Clewers Hill and Solomans Lane which has experienced 10 PIA's in a five year period (including the Winchester Road / Forest Road crossroads mentioned above). This could suggest a location which would require further investigation and possibly the development of safety engineering measures. This section of road is most likely to be affected by the development of all sites in the Waltham Chase area.

Special note: 9 of the 23 injury accidents in the area have involved injuries to pedestrians and therefore development should ensure that adequate provision is made for sustainable travel modes.

## 3. WDLP Housing Sites – Transport Issues & General Comments

This section attempts to cover and respond to a number of common questions likely to be raised in relation to specific housing sites. These questions and issues can be covered in general terms for all of the allocated housing sites for the WDLP.

It is important to note that the Local Plan Process it cannot hope to resolve or answer all of the detailed site specific queries in relation to individual housing sites, but more simply to ensure that there is unlikely to be definitive reasons that would prevent the allocation and subsequent development of identified and selected sites.

#### Quantum of Development – Can local roads cope with all the development planned?

The quantum of allocated development for the settlement/District has been tested through the Local Plan (Part 1) Process. This has been subject to examination in public and has been found to be sound. This essentially sets out and agrees the required level of development for the settlement under consideration. As part of that process there is an understanding of the need and ability to accommodate the transportation requirements of the development within the settlement and local area. It should also be noted that the settlements with housing allocations have been chosen for their ability to locally provide at least some of the facilities required for residential users, such as shopping and educational facilities.

#### Surely it would be better to spread the housing over lots of smaller sites?

The notion of providing the required housing numbers in a number of allocations, rather than on a limited number of sites, would not reduce the overall scale or impact of traffic on the local highway network. The detailed transportation assessments that would be expected to accompany any development application would need to demonstrate that any local junction notably affected by the associated traffic would be able to cope.

#### Road Safety – What about the accidents at ....?

All settlements have been assessed against the County Councils (Local Highway Authority) criteria for remedial accident action and this is covered elsewhere within this document. However the location of an existing or perceived accident risk would not necessarily negate the development of a site, but more likely warrant a financial contribution to the highway authority for the construction or development of a remedial scheme.

#### Parking - Will there be enough parking?

All development sites will need to provide car parking to meet the adopted standards of the City Council (Residential Parking Standards SPD). These have been developed to ensure that sites can accommodate their own parking demands.

#### Traffic Management – There are already parking problems in....?

Where there are existing minor traffic management issues (inappropriate parking on corners etc) then the City Council does have the powers to develop and implement traffic regulation orders to control such issues.

#### Public Transport – The public transport provision is poor, more buses are needed!

Whilst the public transport provision in the settlements selected for development may not match that of urban areas, each settlement is served by an acceptable minimum provision and the allocation of additional housing can only assist the viability of such public transport provision.

The level of additional housing provision in most of the WDLP settlements is unlikely to provide sufficient funding to procure additional bus services, but the allocation of new development in such areas will assist in retaining the commercial viability of existing services.

#### Provision of Appropriate Access – How is safe access to be achieved?

The WDLP is a land use plan, it has been prepared by the planning authority with general assistance from the Highway Authority. The Local Plan does not and cannot specify the exact form of access that would be required to serve an allocated development site. This would be the responsibility of the Highway Authority or its representatives at the time of submission of a planning application.

Nor would it be appropriate for the Local Plan to indicate or dictate the type and location of road or traffic management measures that may be required to offset the impacts of traffic from a development site. It can and does, however, refer in general terms as to what provision may be required.

#### Traffic Impact / Road Capacity & Congestion – Can the local roads cope?

Where ever development is located local roads will inevitably accept some increases in use. However the predominantly rural nature of Winchester District and the dispersed locations of settlements is such that on the local roads congestion is unlikely to be experienced to the same extent as that in urban areas, and as such is not likely to be a tenable reason in itself to refuse or prevent development.

Furthermore, congestion is often a positive inducement to encourage users to try more sustainable travel modes or retime their journeys to reduce demands on the highway network.

The Highways Agency has published the 'Design Manual for Roads and Bridges' which includes document TA 77/99 (found in DMRB Vol 5, Section 1, part 3) which details of the traffic capacity of urban roads. Whist ostensibly this is a design guide for new roads, the capacities set out within the manual may also be used as a guide to the capacities of existing urban roads (para 1.5 of the manual refers).

For the purposes of a capacity assessment all main roads that are likely to be affected by development have been classified as UAP (Urban All Purpose) in ether class 3 or 4 (which have lower traffic capacities than 1 & 2). This does indicate that the capacities of roads are far higher than people would imagine, and what many users view as 'congestion' is often localised delays of a few minutes rather than congestion caused by a widespread pattern of traffic flows exceeding the network capacity.

The following table combines details from Table 1 & Table 2 in TA 77/99 to show how the types of urban roads and their features relate to link capacities.

Feature	Road Type: UAP 2	Road Type: UAP 3	Road Type: UAP4
General Description	Good standard single/dual carriageway road with frontage access and more than two side roads per km.	Variable standard road carrying mixed traffic with frontage access, side roads, bus stops and at-grade pedestrian crossings	Busy high street carry predominantly local traffic with frontage activity including loading and unloading
Speed limit	Generally 40 mph	Generally 40 mph 30 mph to 40 mph	
Side roads	More than 2 per Km	More than 2 per Km	More than 2 per Km
Access to roadside development	Access to residential properties	Frontage access	Unlimited access to houses, shops and businesses
Parking and loading	restricted	unrestricted	unrestricted
Pedestrian crossings Some at-grade		Some at-grade	Frequent at-grade
Bus stops	At kerbside	At kerbside	At kerbside
Capacities for 6.1 metre wide road	1,020 – hourly one way busiest direction	900 – hourly one way busiest direction	750 – hourly one way busiest direction
	1,700 – hourly two way	1,500 – hourly two way	1,250 – hourly two way
	20,400 – daily (12hr) capacity	18,000 – daily (12hr) capacity	15,000 – daily (12hr) capacity
Capacities for 6.75 metre wide road	1,260 – hourly one way busiest direction	1,100 – hourly one way busiest direction	900 – hourly one way busiest direction
	2,100 – hourly two way	1,850 – hourly two way	1500 – hourly two way
	25,200 – daily (12hr) capacity	22,200 – daily (12hr) capacity	18,000 – daily (12hr) capacity
Capacities for 7.3 metre wide road	1,470 – hourly one way busiest direction	1,300 – hourly one way busiest direction	1,140 – hourly one way busiest direction
	2,450 – hourly two way	2,167 – hourly two way	1,900 – hourly two way
	29,400 – daily (12hr) capacity	26,004 – daily (12hr) capacity	22,800 – daily (12hr) capacity

 Table showing urban roads & their features in relation to link capacities (information from TA 77/99)

## 4. HCC Comments WDLPP2 Sites – May 2014

# This section reproduces a response from Hampshire County Council (the Highway Authority)on the emerging sites identified for inclusion within the Local Plan.

The Allocations Plan identifies a number of potential residential sites across the district. Each application will need to be supported by an appropriate form of transport assessment in order to demonstrate that the site can be accessed to the satisfaction of the highway authority. The scope and detail of the necessary transport assessment will vary according to the size of the proposed development and should be in accordance with the Department for Transport's Guidance on Transport Assessments (2007).

In particular the Highway Authority will need to be satisfied that new access arrangements to individual proposals are achievable within the existing highway boundary, or that additional land can be secured and dedicated as new highway to accommodate the proposals. The additional traffic generated by each site will need to be appropriately assessed to demonstrate that the proposals will not have a severe impact on the existing highway and transport network, or that suitable mitigation is identified and delivered. The impact of any proposals on road safety, and an appropriate review of recorded accidents will need to be provided where the size of the development makes this necessary or there are proposed changes to the highway layout.

At an appropriate level of detail, a review should also be undertaken to assess the accessibility of individual site by sustainable modes of transport, and any shortfall in infrastructure should be identified and suitable mitigation provided by the development. This could include the provision of missing sections of footway that link the site to important local destinations such as schools, shops or healthcare facilities, or the provision of public transport infrastructure to serve the site.

Whilst it has not been possible to review all of the proposed housing allocations, I have reviewed the proposed allocations that are considered strategic in transport terms (i.e. 100 units and above), and am able to provide the following specific comments in addition to the general comments made above. Those sites that are proposed for less than 100 units will need to be considered by your Agency Engineer under the terms of the Highways Development Control Agency Agreement.

#### **Bishops Waltham**

#### Albany Farm - HCC Comment May 2104

The development will form a new gateway into the town with access to be served from Winchester Road. It is noted that a new access point on Winchester Road is likely to be deliverable, although the impact on trees will need to be fully assessed.

There are a small number of local amenities and bus stops within reasonable walking distance from the development however trips to the town centre may be considered to be outside typically acceptable walking distances. A review of pedestrian and cycle routes should be provided together with identified improvements to encourage sustainable modes of travel between the site and the town centre.

#### The Vineyard – HCC Comment May 2104

It is not clear where the point of access into the site will be achieved as Tangier Lane appears constrained by narrow widths and on-street parking, whilst there is no physical connection between the red line boundary and The Avenue on which to provide an access. This matter will need to be resolved to the satisfaction of the highway authority.

#### Swanmore

#### Land to the north of The Lakes - HCC Comment May 2104

There are 3 individual sites identified to the north of The Lakes which are expected to provide 140 dwellings on the south western boundary of the residential area of Swanmore. Swanmore is a rural community with a Secondary School, Shop, and Primary School. It would be expected that the majority of travel for retail and employment from the proposed sites would be to destinations outside of Swanmore, although the transport assessment for these sites will need to consider sustainable access to local amenities.

It is not clear where vehicular access will be taken for the site/s. It is noted that an unadopted road known as The Lakes runs parallel with the southern boundary of the site although it is understood that there are no plans to upgrade this road to provide access. It is unclear whether the sites would be accessed by more than one main vehicular access and where these points of access will be formed. It will be for any future planning application to demonstrate the means of access to these sites to the satisfaction of the highway authority.

It will also be necessary to demonstrate where pedestrian and cycle connections will be made into the site to provide access to the main settlement area of Swanmore.

#### Wickham

#### Winchester Road - HCC Comment May 2104

Hampshire County Council has advised on pre-application consultations regarding the site on Winchester Road. The form of junction into the site from Winchester Road will need to be demonstrated through further assessment as advised in HCC pre-application advice.

It will also be necessary to ensure the impacts from development traffic are suitably mitigated on the local highway network, including the Winchester Road/Blind Lane junction.

The site is within reasonable walking distance to the local amenities including shops and a GP surgery. Pedestrian facilities on Winchester Road are limited, particularly further south where footways are narrow. Alternative routes from the site to the village centre should be examined and promoted.

#### **Colden Common**

#### East of Main Road - HCC Comment May 2104

The location of the proposed site to the east of Main Road will require pedestrian and cycle improvements to enable safe and convenient linkages from the site to the centre of Colden Common to access local amenities, including the school and local shops.

#### **New Alresford**

#### Land east of Sun Lane – HCC Comment May 2104

Hampshire County Council has been involved in pre application discussions with the developer.

A junction from the A31 is being explored by the site promoter to provide access to the commercial uses, but with the possibility of opening for general access which would be available for all users. Alternative access would be from the neighbouring residential area, via largely residential streets. A number of constraints are noted on the local highway network, particularly on Sun Lane from the rail bridge to its junction with East Lane with narrow carriageway widths and on street parking. The Sun Lane/East Street junction has restricted visibility and a large increase in trips through this junction could impact upon

operational safety. These matters will need to be fully addressed though any future planning application to the satisfaction of the highway authority.

If a new access is promoted from the A31, the traffic impacts on the A31 and within New Alresford will need to be assessed to demonstrate that the impact on the highway network is acceptable or can be suitably mitigated. The assessment will need to consider in detail the amount of additional traffic that will divert through the residential roads to the new junction to access Alresford instead of using East and West Street as they currently do.

A review of pedestrian and cycle links from the site to the centre of New Alresford will also need to be provided, together with improvements required to provide safe and convenient routes. It is noted that Sun Lane beyond the railway bridge lacks footway provision.

#### Appendix 1: HCC Casualty Reduction & Engineering measures.

When engineering measures are installed at an accident location, the HCC team monitors it to see whether the works have made a difference. Sometimes this leads to new issues being identified and further works may be undertaken.

The annual casualty reduction engineering programme involves several different programmes/initiatives:

- Casualty Reduction Partnership (CRP)
- Low cost programme (LCP)
- Carriageway surface treatment programme (CSTP)
- Capital safety audit programme (CSAP)
- Major infrastructure changes

#### Casualty Reduction Partnership (CRP)

All fatal and potentially fatal accidents which occur on roads maintained by Hampshire County Council are the subject of an individual investigation by the Casualty Reduction Partnership.

The CRP consists of officers from the County Council, Hampshire police and the relevant district or borough council. The CRP meets monthly to examine fatal and potentially fatal accident sites.

#### Low cost programme (LCP)

Safety schemes using relatively low cost measures such as signing, lining, bollards, high friction surfacing and vehicle activated signs.

#### Carriageway surface treatment programme (CSTP)

A programme of surface dressing, resurfacing and retexturing works to improve the skidding resistance of the carriageway surface for roads with a higher than average proportion of accidents that have occurred in the wet.

#### Capital safety audit programme (CSAP)

A dedicated budget to maintain the various safety schemes across Hampshire.

#### Major infrastructure changes

E.g. new traffic signals, a roundabouts or major junction alterations. This is only considered if other measures have proved unsuccessful

'SITE ASSESSMENTS - TRANSPORT' for HOUSING SITES WDLPP2					
Settlement: New Alre	sford		SHLAA No:	277	
Prev LP No.: 16/51 Site Name: Sun Lane					
Housing Units (30 per Ha): 320		Potential trips (all day):		2240	
Average distance to facilitie	s: 733	metres	Pk trips in:	123	
'ACCESSIBILITY' rating: GOOD			Pk trips out:	67	
Strategic sized site - HCC would dealPk Hr trips:190					
Transportation Asssessment required as housing number is more than 50 units					

Site Overview					
Access	Primary access could be provided via:	Sun Lane (N)			
	Secondary access could be provided via:	Sun Lane (S)			
	Are visibility requirements likely to be met?	Yes			
	Could access affect landscape / vegetation? some impac				
Vehicles	Is vehicle speed data available?	Yes			
	Existing Speed limits - Primary access	30 mph			
	Existing Speed limits - Secondary Access	30 mph			
Pedestrian	Pedestrian access to and around the site is	good			
Cycles	Cycle access to and around the site is	good			

Dublia Transport	Nearest bus stops and services are found		800	metres away
Public Transport Pedestrian		to the bus stops are	good	
		Access to bus services is found between 40 provision is considered as adequate.	00 & 800 metres fro	m the site, so

Local centre, shops	Nearest local sh	ops and facilities are found	900	metres away
& facilities	Pedestrian links	to the shops & facilities are	good	
Assessment of accest centre, shops and fa	cilities	Access to these facilites is between considered adequate. Whilst not id preclude site development.		,

Local Primary	Nearest local Primary schools are found		500	metres away
Schools	Pedestrian links	to the local schools are	good	
Assessment of acces schools	-	Access to these facilites is betweer considered good. Whilst not ideal, development terms.		

#### Site Summary / Additional Notes

Site requirements - Development of this site is likely to need

major works on and off site

There are highway issues with this site. This is a strategic sized site and would be dealt with by HCC. Comments previously made as part of the WDLP in 2006 highlight the issues present with this site (see attached supplementary note). Whilst HCC did not direct an objection, it was made clear that certain problems with the access would need to be overcome. Assessing accessibility for the top half of site 277 (as the area likely to be developed) improves rating from adequate to good. Considerable other work is ongoing (HCC/Systra) to demonstrate an accesptable access strategy for this site, including the provision of a new access to the A31 to provide alternative access and relief for some town centre traffic movements.

SITE ASSESSMENTS	FOR WINCHEST	ER DISTRICT LO	CAL PLAN PART2			
Settlement: New Alresford		Site Name:	Sun Lane			
Other Traffic & Transport Conside	rations					
Sun Lane (N)	30	mph limit	6.3 Metres (width)			
85% speed 29.4	mph	Traffic Flow	1405 veh/day			
A road width of over 6 metres is suita	ble for all traffic ne	eds				
Sun Lane (S)	30	mph limit	6.3 Metres (width)			
85% speed 37.1	mph	Traffic Flow	1269 veh/day			
A road width of over 6 metres is suita	ble for all traffic ne	eeds				
Visibility sight line requirements either set	Sun Lane (N)		47 metres			
by :(MfS: < 37mph; DMRB: > 37mph)	Sun Lane (S)		64 metres			
Highway capacity impact	Sun La	ane (N)	1405 24 hr flow			
assessement		AM pk hr	166 PM pk hr			
Indicative 'worse case' traffic impact		trips all day	159% Increase			
on local classified highway	190	pk hr trips	104% Increase			
Further detailed trafffic impact assessment required as increase is above 5%						
Road Type (DMRB) UAP 3	18000	12hr capacity	1500 Pk Hr capacity			
Congestion indicator (flow/capacity)	20%	all day	25% peak hour			
Site Access Considerations & Deta	ails					
Access arrangement - Types and adequacy of each junction		d at planning appli development is cl	cation stage when the scale learer			
Identified transport improvements	Strategic Site - H	CC to clarify				
On street parking issues/need for waiting restrictions	Northern end of S	Sun Lane has DYL	and limited width			
Personal Injury Accident record	See separate rep	ort on Personal In	jury Accidents			
Street lighting	Street lights do e	xist on on the prim	nary access route			
	Street lights do e	xist on on the seco	ondary access route			
Significant constraints		olume of traffic ge identified access	nerated is likely to be roads			
Other known highway constraints	Particular issues with northern section of Sun Lane					
Previous highway authority comments/advice	Extensive - see H	ICC representatio	ns			
Suitability of highway for on road cycling (traffic speed/volume)	The local roads have relatively low traffic flow/speeds, so may be viewed as acceptable for cycling					
Barriers to walking/cycling (busy roundabouts / junctions / roads)	The local highwa use	y network has no l	barriers to pedestrian and cycle			

Settlement: New Alresford

Site Name: Sun Lane

Pedestrian & Cycling provision & access to facilities, schools and public transport						
Footway provision on access roads	Option A:	Sun Lane (N)	1.7	metres		
	A footway width	1.5 metres or more is an acceptable provision				
	Option B:	Sun Lane (S)	1.6	metres		
	A footway width 1.5 metres or more is an acceptable provision					
Improvements to footways identified		Some improvements to local footway provision is required to make the site acceptable				

Public Transport provision & facilities						
(*only bus routes / s	services in excess	of 1 bus per ho	our mon-sat are consid	lered)		
What is the nearest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?What is the furthest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?					800	
Proximity to public t	Proximity to public transport is considered to be Adequate to Adequate					
Do continuous footw	ways >1.5 m wide	exist between t	he site and bus stops?	?	Yes	
If continuous footwa	ays do not exist, is	there space in	the verge to provide?		N/A	
Details of bus services	Route 64: Alton - Alre	sford - Winchester, 0	700-2000 Mon-Sat every 1/2 h	nour, Every 2hrs Sur	(HCC)	
Details of bus       Route 67: Winchester - Kings Worthy - New Alresford, 0700 -1900 Mon-Fri Every 2 hours, 4 buses Saturday, No         services       Sunday Service						
Access to bus servi adequate.	ces is found betwe	en 400 & 800 i	metres from the site, s	o provision is a	considered as	

Access to Local centre / shops / facilities				
What is the nearest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?	600	What is the furthest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?		900
Proximity to local facilities is considered	Adequate			
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local centre?				Yes
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?				N/A
Access to these facilites is between 800 & 1600 metres, which is considered adequate. Whilst not ideal, it would not necessarily preclude site development.				

Access to local Primary (Infant / Junior) Schools				
What is the nearest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?	0	What is the furthest site to the local sch measured in metres	ools	500
Proximity to local Schools is considered to be Excellent to Good				
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local schools?				Yes
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?				N/A
Access to these facilites is between 40 presents no difficulties in site develop		es, which is considered	l good. Whilst	not ideal, it

Settlement: New Alresford

Site Name: Sun Lane

#### Access Road Assessments

Access Road name		Sun Lane (N)		
width of access road			6.3	metres wide
speed limit(s) on acc	speed limit(s) on access road			mph
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width	1.7	metres wide
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width		metres wide
If no footways - is there space to provide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?			No	
If the footways are less than 1.2m wide - is there space to widen on verge?				
Does the access road have any controlled crossing facilities?				No
Does the access road have any uncontrolled crossing facilities?				No
any weight / width restrictions on road?				
is the access road used for on-street parking? None / little / lots				None
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?				No
Is there street lighting on the road?			Yes	
Most southern site	on Sun Lane, b	y Sun Hill Cresent.		

Access Road name		Sun Lane (S)		
width of access road			6.3	metres wide
speed limit(s) on access road			30	mph
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width	1.6	metres wide
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width		metres wide
If no footways - is there space to provide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?			No	
If the footways are le				
Does the access roa	No			
Does the access roa	No			
any weight / width re	No			
is the access road us	None			
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?				No
Is there street lighting on the road?				Yes
Most Northern Site o	n Sun Lane			

'SITE ASSESSMENTS - TRANSPORT' for HOUSING SITES WDLPP2				
Settlement: New Alresford	b		SHLAA No: 2535/3	4
Prev LP No.: Site Name: The Dean				
Housing Units (30 per Ha):	35	Potential trips (all day):		
Average distance to facilities:	500	metres	Pk trips in:	13
'ACCESSIBILITY' rating:	GOOD		Pk trips out:	7
			Pk Hr trips:	21

Site Overview				
Access	Primary access could be provided via:	The Dean		
	Secondary access could be provided via:	0		
	Are visibility requirements likely to be met?	Yes		
	Could access affect landscape / vegetation?	No impact		
Vehicles	Is vehicle speed data available?	No		
	Existing Speed limits - Primary access	30 mph		
	Existing Speed limits - Secondary Access	0 mph		
Pedestrian	Pedestrian access to and around the site is	excellent		
Cycles	Cycle access to and around the site is	good		

Dublic Transport	Nearest bus stops and services are found		300	metres away
Public Transport	Pedestrian links	to the bus stops are	excellent	
		Access to bus services is within 400 metres of the site, so provision is considered as excellent.		site, so

Local centre, shops Nearest local		ops and facilities are found	300	metres away
& facilities	Pedestrian links	to the shops & facilities are	excellent	
centre, shops and facilities		Access to these facilites is within 400 metres, which is considered to be excellent and presents no difficulties in site development terms.		

Local Primary	Nearest local Pr	imary schools are found	900	metres away
Schools	Pedestrian links	to the local schools are	good	
Assessment of acces schools	-	Access to these facilites is betweer considered adequate. Whilst not ic preclude site development.		

## Site Summary / Additional Notes

Site requirements - Development of this site is likely to need

minor works on and off site

**No overriding transport issues** - Brownfield site in close proximity to town facilities, no issues with development.

Settlement: New Alresford

Site Name: The Dean

Other Traffic & Transport Considerations					
The Dean	30	) mph limit	7.2	2 Metres (width)	
85% speed	mph	Traffic Flow		veh/day	
A road width of over 6 metres is suita	ble for all traffic n	eeds			
0	0	) mph limit	C	) Metres (width)	
85% speed	mph	Traffic Flow		veh/day	
Visibility sight line requirements either set by :(MfS: < 37mph; DMRB: > 37mph)	The Dean			metres	
by :(ואוס. < סיוואס, שאורס. > סיוואס,	0			metres	
Highway capacity impact	The	Dean		24 hr flow	
assessement		AM pk hr		PM pk hr	
Indicative 'worse case' traffic impact	ł	trips all day		Increase	
on local classified highway		pk hr trips		Increase	
	<b></b>	<u> </u>			
Road Type (DMRB) UAP 3	18000	12hr capacity	1500	Pk Hr capacity	
Congestion indicator (flow/capacity)	0%	all day	0%	peak hour	
		· · ·			
Site Access Considerations & Deta					
Access arrangement - Types and adequacy of each junction	To be determined at planning application stage when the scale and nature of the development is clearer				
Identified transport improvements	CIL contributions	s will go towards loo	cal identified sc	hemes	
On street parking issues/need for waiting restrictions	none				
Personal Injury Accident record	See separate rer	port on Personal Inj	jury Accidents		
Street lighting	Street lights do e	exist on on the prim	ary access rou	te	
			-		
Significant constraints	No identified con	Istraints			
Other known highway constraints	none				
Previous highway authority comments/advice	none				
Suitability of highway for on road cycling (traffic speed/volume)	The local roads h viewed as accep	have relatively low to table for cycling	traffic flow/spee	ds, so may be	
Barriers to walking/cycling (busy roundabouts / junctions / roads)	The local highwa use	ay network has no b	parriers to pede	strian and cycle	
No local traffic flow data available for has not been carried out. This may n low generated traffic flows replace an	need to be address	sed as part of a pla	anning application		

Settlement: New Alresford

Site Name: The Dean

Pedestrian & Cycling provision & access to facilities, schools and public transport						
Footway provision	Option A:	The Dean 2.6	metres			
	A footway width	n in excess 2.0 metres is a desirable provision				
	Option B:	0 0	metres			
Improvements to footways identified Footpath provision within the site and connecting to existing footways will be required.						

Public Transpo	rt provision & facilit	ies			
(*only bus routes	/ services in excess	of 1 bus per ho	our mon-sat are consid	lered)	
What is the nearest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?What is the furthest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?				300	
Proximity to public transport is considered to be Excellent to Excellent					
Do continuous fo	otways >1.5 m wide	exist between	the site and bus stops?	?	Yes
If continuous foot	tways do not exist, is	there space in	the verge to provide?		N/A
Details of bus services	Route 64: Alton - Alre	sford - Winchester, (	0700-2000 Mon-Sat every 1/2 h	nour, Every 2hrs Su	n (HCC)
Details of bus       Route 67: Winchester - Kings Worthy - New Alresford, 0700 - 1900 Mon-Fri Every 2 hours, 4 buses Saturday, No         services       Sunday Service					

Access to bus services is within 400 metres of the site, so provision is considered as excellent.

Access to Local centre / shops / facilities				
What is the nearest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?	cal centre measured in 200 site to the local centre measured		300	
Proximity to local facilities is considered to be Excellent to				
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local centre?				
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?				N/A
Access to these facilites is within 400 metres, which is considered to be excellent and presents no difficulties in site development terms.				

Access to local Primary (Infant / Junior) Schools				
What is the nearest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?			900	
Proximity to local Schools is considered to be Good to				Adequate
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local schools? Yes				
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide? N/A				
Access to these facilites is between 800 & 1600 metres, which is considered adequate. Whilst not ideal, it would not necessarily preclude site development.				

Settlement: New Alresford

Site Name: The Dean

#### Access Road Assessments

Access Road name		The Dean		
width of access road 7			7.2	metres wide
speed limit(s) on access road			30	mph
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width	2.2	metres wide
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width	2.6	metres wide
If no footways - is there space to provide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?				
If the footways are lea	ss than 1.2m wid	de - is there space to widen on verge	?	
Does the access road have any controlled crossing facilities?				
Does the access road have any uncontrolled crossing facilities?				
any weight / width restrictions on road?				
is the access road used for on-street parking? None / little / lots				
Does the access road	Single Yellov			
Is there street lighting	Yes			

Access Road name				
width of access road			metres wide	
speed limit(s) on acc	ess road		mph	
Are there footways on the		side - if YES measure width	metres wide	
Are there footways on the		side - if YES measure width	metres wide	
If no footways - is the				
If the footways are less than 1.2m wide - is there space to widen on verge?				
Does the access road have any controlled crossing facilities?				
Does the access roa	d have any unco	ntrolled crossing facilities?		
any weight / width re	strictions on roac	?		
is the access road us	sed for on-street	parking?		
Does the access roa	d have any parki	ng restrictions / yellow lines?		
Is there street lighting on the road?				

'SITE ASSESSMENTS - TRANSPORT' for HOUSING SITES WDLPP2					
Settlement: New Alresford	ł		SHLAA No:	2552	
Prev LP No.: Site Name: The Avenue					
Housing Units (30 per Ha):	64	Potential trips (all day):		448	
Average distance to facilities:	700	metres	Pk trips in:	25	
'ACCESSIBILITY' rating:	GOOD		Pk trips out:	13	
			Pk Hr trips:	38	
Transportation Asssessment required as housing number is more than 50 units					

Site Overview					
Access	Primary access could be provided via:	The Avenue			
	Secondary access could be provided via:	0			
	Are visibility requirements likely to be met?	Yes			
	Could access affect landscape / vegetation?	severe impact			
Vehicles	Is vehicle speed data available?	No			
	Existing Speed limits - Primary access	40 mph			
	Existing Speed limits - Secondary Access	0 mph			
Pedestrian	Pedestrian access to and around the site is	adequate			
Cycles	Cycle access to and around the site is	adequate			

Public Transport Nearest bus stor		os and services are found	500	metres away
Fublic Transport	Pedestrian links	to the bus stops are	adequate	
		Access to bus services is found between 400 & 800 metres from the site, so provision is considered as adequate.		

Local centre, shops	Nearest local sh	ops and facilities are found	500	metres away
& facilities	Pedestrian links	to the shops & facilities are	adequate	
Assessment of accest centre, shops and fa	cilities	Access to these facilites is between considered good. Whilst not ideal, development terms.		,

Local Primary	Nearest local Pr	imary schools are found	1100	metres away
Schools	Pedestrian links	to the local schools are	adequate	
Assessment of acces schools	-	Access to these facilites is betweer considered adequate. Whilst not id preclude site development.		

#### Site Summary / Additional Notes

Site requirements - Development of this site is likely to need

minor works on and off site

**No overriding transport issues**. There are existing footways linking to the town centre, though these would benefit from improvement and upgrading to a better width. It is assumed that this site would be developed in advance of site 278 and would incorporate the consideration of subsequent access to that site. It would need to be demonstrated that adequate safe access can be achieved without detriment to the existing mature trees.

#### SITE ASSESSMENTS FOR WINCHESTER DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN PART2 Site Name: The Avenue Settlement: New Alresford Other Traffic & Transport Considerations The Avenue 40 mph limit 6.3 Metres (width) n/k Traffic Flow n/k veh/dav 85% speed mph A road width of over 6 metres is suitable for all traffic needs 0 0 mph limit 0 Metres (width) 85% speed Traffic Flow mph veh/day Visibility sight line requirements either set The Avenue 101 metres by :(MfS: < 37mph; DMRB: > 37mph) 0 metres The Avenue 24 hr flow Highway capacity impact assessement AM pk hr PM pk hr trips all day Increase Indicative 'worse case' traffic impact on local classified highway pk hr trips Increase UAP 3 Road Type (DMRB) 18000 12hr capacity 1500 Pk Hr capacity Congestion indicator (flow/capacity) 0% 0% all day peak hour Site Access Considerations & Details Access arrangement - Types and To be determined at planning application stage when the scale adequacy of each junction and nature of the development is clearer Identified transport improvements Improved footways from site to town centre On street parking issues/need for None waiting restrictions Personal Injury Accident record See separate report on Personal Injury Accidents Street lighting Street lights do exist on on the primary access route No identified constraints Significant constraints No identified constraints Other known highway constraints Previous highway authority None comments/advice The local roads have relatively moderate traffic flow/speeds, so Suitability of highway for on road may only be viewed as acceptable for experienced cyclists cycling (traffic speed/volume) The local highway network has some barriers to pedestrian and Barriers to walking/cycling (busy cycle use roundabouts / junctions / roads) No local traffic flow data available for identified access roads in the vicinity of the site, so critical analysis has not been carried out. This may need to be addressed as part of a planning application.

Settlement: New Alresford

adequate.

Site Name: The Avenue

Pedestrian & Cycling provision & access to facilities, schools and public transport					
	Option A:	The Avenue 1.3	metres		
Footway provision	A footway width between 1.2 & 1.5 is not ideal and would benefit from upgradin				
on access roads			metres		
Improvements to footways identified		Some improvements to local footway provision is re the site acceptable	quired to make		

Public Transport pr	ovision & facilit	ies			
(*only bus routes / se	ervices in excess	of 1 bus per hou	r mon-sat are conside	ered)	
What is the nearest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?		300	What is the furthest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?		500
Proximity to public transport is considered to be Excellent to				Adequate	
Do continuous footw	Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and bus stops? Yes				
If continuous footway	ys do not exist, is	there space in the	ne verge to provide?		N/A
Details of bus       Route 64: Alton - Alresford - Winchester, 0700-2000 Mon-Sat every 1/2 hour, Every 2hrs Sun (HCC)         services					ו (HCC)
Details of bus services	Route 67: Winchester Sunday Service	- Kings Worthy - New	Alresford, 0700 -1900 Mon-Fi	ri Every 2 hours, 4 l	buses Saturday, No
Access to bus servic	es is found betwe	een 400 & 800 m	etres from the site, so	provision is a	considered as

Access to Local centre / shops / facilities				
to the local centre measured in 300 site		What is the furthes site to the local cen in metres?		500
Proximity to local facilities is considered to be Excellent to				Good
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local centre?				
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?				N/A
Access to these facilites is between 400 & 800 metres, which is considered good. Whilst not ideal, it presents no difficulties in site development terms.				

Access to local Primary (Infant / Junior) Schools						
What is the nearest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?	900	What is the furthes site to the local sch measured in metre	ools	1100		
Proximity to local Schools is considered	Adequate					
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local schools? Ye						
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?						
Access to these facilites is between 80 it would not necessarily preclude site of		es, which is considere	ed adequate. V	Whilst not ideal,		

Settlement: New Alresford

Site Name: The Avenue

#### Access Road Assessments

metres wide mph metres wide metres wide				
metres wide				
metres wide				
No				
No				
No				
None				
No				
Yes				
any weight / width restrictions on road?Nois the access road used for on-street parking? None / little / lotsNoneDoes the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?No				

Access Road name				
width of access road	metres wide			
speed limit(s) on acc	ess road		mph	
Are there footways on the		side - if YES measure width	metres wide	
Are there footways on the		side - if YES measure width	metres wide	
If no footways - is the	re space to prov	ide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?		
If the footways are less than 1.2m wide - is there space to widen on verge?				
Does the access road have any controlled crossing facilities?				
Does the access road have any uncontrolled crossing facilities?				
any weight / width restrictions on road?				
is the access road us	ed for on-street p	parking?		
Does the access road	d have any parki	ng restrictions / yellow lines?		
Is there street lighting on the road?				

'SITE ASSESSMENTS - TRANSPORT' for HOUSING SITES WDLPP2						
Settlement: New Alresford	k		SHLAA No:	2553		
Prev LP No.:	Site Name	: New Farm Road (North)				
Housing Units (30 per Ha): 50		Potenti	al trips (all day):	350		
Average distance to facilities:	1067	metres Pk trips in:		19		
'ACCESSIBILITY' rating:	ADEQUATE	E Pk trips out:		11		
			Pk Hr trips:	30		
The new sufation Accessory and new						

## Transportation Asssessment required as housing number is more than 50 units

Site Overview					
Access	Primary access could be provided via:	New Farm Road			
	Secondary access could be provided via:	0			
	Are visibility requirements likely to be met?	No			
	Could access affect landscape / vegetation?	severe impact			
Vehicles	Is vehicle speed data available?	Yes			
	Existing Speed limits - Primary access	30 mph			
	Existing Speed limits - Secondary Access	0 mph			
Pedestrian	Pedestrian access to and around the site is	adequate			
Cycles	Cycle access to and around the site is	adequate			

Public Transport	Nearest bus sto	arest bus stops and services are found		metres away
Fublic transport	Pedestrian links	to the bus stops are	adequate	
		Access to bus services is within 40 provision is considered as excellen		site, so

Local centre, shops	Nearest local sh	ops and facilities are found	1300	metres away
& facilities	Pedestrian links	to the shops & facilities are	poor	
Assessment of accest centre, shops and fa	cilities	Access to these facilites is between considered adequate. Whilst not id preclude site development.		

Local Primary	Nearest local Pr	imary schools are found	1600	metres away
Schools	Pedestrian links	s to the local schools are adequate		
Assessment of acces schools	-	Access to these facilites is over 160 to be poor as it is too distant to for i suggest that other sites could be pr	most users to wa	

## Site Summary / Additional Notes

Site requirements - Development of this site is likely to need

major works on and off site

**There are highway issues with this site:** It is not clear how and if safe access to this site can be provided. The lack of footways over the old railway bridge is another issue. It suffers from poor access to schools and only adequate access to shops and facilities, suggesting that other sites may be preferable for development purposes.

Settlement: New Alresford

Site Name: New Farm Road (North)

New Farm Road		30 mph limit	5.	8 Metres (width)		
85% speed 3	36.3 mph	Traffic Flow	311	5 veh/day		
A road width of 5.5 metres is the I	owest minimum v	width for all purpose traffi	С			
0		0 mph limit		0 Metres (width)		
85% speed	mph	Traffic Flow		veh/day		
Visibility sight line requirements either	<sup>set</sup> New Farm R	oad	6	4 metres		
by :(MfS: < 37mph; DMRB: > 37mph)	0			metres		
Highway capacity impact	Nev	w Farm Road	311	5 24 hr flow		
assessement		338 AM pk hr	30	6 PM pk hr		
Indicative 'worse case' traffic impa	act	350 trips all day	119	% Increase		
on local classified highway		30 pk hr trips	99	% Increase		
Further detailed trat	fffic impact asses	sment required as increa	se is above	5%		
Road Type (DMRB) UAP 3	18000	12hr capacity	1500	Pk Hr capacity		
Congestion indicator (flow/capacity)	19%	all day	25%	peak hour		
Site Access Considerations & I	Details					
Access arrangement - Types and adequacy of each junction		To be determined at planning application stage when the scale and nature of the development is clearer				
Identified transport improvements	Lack of footv	Lack of footways over old railway line				
On street parking issues/need for waiting restrictions	none					
Personal Injury Accident record	See separate	e report on Personal Inju	ry Accidents			
Street lighting	Street lights	do exist on on the primar	y access rou	ute		
Significant constraints	Likely to be i arrangement	nsufficient visibility to pro t	vide a safe	access		
Other known highway constraints	Lack of footv	vays over old railway line				
Previous highway authority comments/advice						
Suitability of highway for on road cycling (traffic speed/volume)		ads have relatively low tra cceptable for cycling	affic flow/spe	eds, so may be		
Barriers to walking/cycling (busy oundabouts / junctions / roads)	The local hig cycle use	hway network has some	barriers to p	edestrian and		
It is understood that developers a seeking to establish a way of prov is likely to overestimate the actua	viding safe vehicu	lar access with appropia				

Settlement: New Alresford

Site Name: New Farm Road (North)

Pedestrian & Cycling provision & access to facilities, schools and public transport						
Footway provision on access roads	Option A:	New Farm Road	1.5	metres		
	A footway width 1.5 metres or more is an acceptable provision					
	Option B:	0	0	metres		
Improvements to footways identified						

Public Transpor	t provision & facilit	ies			
(*only bus routes	/ services in excess	of 1 bus per ho	our mon-sat are consid	lered)	
What is the nearest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres? What is the furthest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?				300	
Proximity to public transport is considered to be Excellent to					
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and bus stops? Yes					
If continuous foot	tways do not exist, is	there space in	the verge to provide?		N/A
Details of bus services	Route 64: Alton - Alre	sford - Winchester, (	0700-2000 Mon-Sat every 1/2 I	nour, Every 2hrs Su	HCC)
Details of bus services	Route 67: Winchester Sunday Service	- Kings Worthy - Ne	w Alresford, 0700 -1900 Mon-I	Fri Every 2 hours, 4	buses Saturday, No
	-				

Access to bus services is within 400 metres of the site, so provision is considered as excellent.

Access to Local centre / shops / facilities					
What is the nearest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?	1100	What is the furthest site to the local cen in metres?	•	1300	
Proximity to local facilities is considered	Adequate				
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide	Yes				
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?				N/A	
Access to these facilites is between 800 & 1600 metres, which is considered adequate. Whilst not ideal, it would not necessarily preclude site development.					

Access to local Primary (Infant / Junior) Schools					
What is the nearest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?What is the furthest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?				1600	
Proximity to local Schools is considere	Adequate				
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide e	ols?	Yes			
If continuous footways do not exist, is		N/A			
Access to these facilites is over 1600 r most users to walk and would suggest		· · · ·	or as it is too d	istant to for	

Settlement: New Alresford

Site Name: New Farm Road (North)

#### Access Road Assessments

Access Road name		New Farm Road		
width of access road			5.8	metres wide
speed limit(s) on acc	ess road		30	mph
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width		metres wide
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width	1.5	metres wide
If no footways - is there space to provide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?				No
If the footways are less than 1.2m wide - is there space to widen on verge?				
Does the access road have any controlled crossing facilities?				No
Does the access road have any uncontrolled crossing facilities?				No
any weight / width restrictions on road?				
is the access road us	sed for on-street	parking? None / little / lots		Little
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?				No
Is there street lighting on the road?				Yes

Access Road name			
width of access road			metres wide
speed limit(s) on access road			mph
Are there footways on the		side - if YES measure width	metres wide
Are there footways on the		side - if YES measure width	metres wide
If no footways - is the			
If the footways are le	?		
Does the access road			
Does the access road	d have any unco	ntrolled crossing facilities?	
any weight / width res	strictions on road	?	
is the access road us	ed for on-street	parking?	
Does the access road	d have any parki	ng restrictions / yellow lines?	
Is there street lighting on the road?			

'SITE ASSESSMENTS - TRANSPORT' for HOUSING SITES WDLPP2					
Settlement: New Alresford	d		SHLAA No:	1927	
Prev LP No.: Site Name: New Farm Road (South)					
Housing Units (30 per Ha):	100	Potential trips (all day):			
Average distance to facilities:	1233	metres	Pk trips in:	39	
'ACCESSIBILITY' rating:	ADEQUATE	E Pk trips out:		21	
Strategic sized site - HCC would deal Pk Hr trips:					
Transportation Asssessment required as housing number is more than 50 units					

	Site Overview						
Access	Primary access could be provided via:	New Farm Road					
	Secondary access could be provided via:	Spring Gardens					
	Are visibility requirements likely to be met?	No					
	Could access affect landscape / vegetation?	severe impact					
Vehicles	Is vehicle speed data available?	Yes					
	Existing Speed limits - Primary access	30 mph					
	Existing Speed limits - Secondary Access	60 mph					
Pedestrian	Pedestrian access to and around the site is	adequate					
Cycles	Cycle access to and around the site is	adequate					

Public Transport	Nearest bus stops and services are found		400	metres away
Fublic transport	Pedestrian links	to the bus stops are	adequate	
		Access to bus services is found between 400 & 800 metres from the site, so provision is considered as adequate.		

Local centre, shops	Nearest local sh	ops and facilities are found	1600	metres away
& facilities	Pedestrian links	to the shops & facilities are	adequate	
Assessment of acces centre, shops and fa	cilities	Access to these facilites is over 1600 metres, which is considere to be poor as it is too distant to for most users to walk and would suggest that other sites could be preferable.		

Local Primary	Nearest local Pr	imary schools are found	1700	metres away
Schools	Pedestrian links	to the local schools are	adequate	
Assessment of acces schools	-	Access to these facilites is over 160 to be poor as it is too distant to for i suggest that other sites could be pr	most users to wa	

#### Site Summary / Additional Notes

Site requirements - Development of this site is likely to need

major works on and off site

**There are highway issues with this site:** It is not clear how and if safe access to this site can be provided. There would appear to be difficulties securing safe access with good visibility from New Farm Road, and Spring Gardens would be totally inappropriate for the volumes of traffic this site could generate. The lack of footways over the old railway bridge to the north is another issue. It suffers from poor access to schools, shops and facilities - suggesting that other sites may be preferable for development purposes.

SITE ASSESSMENTS	FOR WINCHESTI		CAL PLAN PAF	RT2
Settlement: New Alresford		Site Name:	New Farm Roa	d (South)
Other Traffic & Transport Consider	ations			
New Farm Road	30	mph limit	5.8	Metres (width)
85% speed 36.3	mph	Traffic Flow	3115	veh/day
A road width of 5.5 metres is the lowe	est minimum width	for all purpose trai	ffic	
Spring Gardens	60	mph limit	3.1	Metres (width)
85% speed	mph	Traffic Flow		veh/day
A road width of less than 4.1 metres is insufficient for two cars to safely pass and therefore is only considered wide enough to serve a small number of dwellings and is not acceptable for general access use.				
Visibility sight line requirements either set by :(MfS: < 37mph; DMRB: > 37mph)	New Farm Road		64	metres
	Spring Gardens			metres
Highway capacity impact	New Fa	rm Road	3115	24 hr flow
assessement	338	AM pk hr	306	PM pk hr
Indicative 'worse case' traffic impact		trips all day	22%	Increase
on local classified highway		pk hr trips		Increase
Further detailed trafffic	impact assessme	nt required as incre	ease is above 5	%
Road Type (DMRB) UAP 3	18000	12hr capacity	1500	Pk Hr capacity
Congestion indicator (flow/capacity)	21%	all day	27%	peak hour
Site Access Considerations & Deta	nils			
Access arrangement - Types and adequacy of each junction		d at planning applic development is cle	-	en the scale
Identified transport improvements	Strategic Site - H	CC to clarify		
On street parking issues/need for waiting restrictions	None			
Personal Injury Accident record	See separate rep	ort on Personal Inj	ury Accidents	
Street lighting	Street lights do ex	xist on on the prima	ary access rout	е
	No street lighting	exists on the seco	ndary access r	oute
Significant constraints	Likely to be insuff arrangement	ficient visibility to p	rovide a safe a	ccess
Other known highway constraints				
Previous highway authority comments/advice				
Suitability of highway for on road cycling (traffic speed/volume)	The local roads h viewed as accept	ave relatively low t able for cycling	raffic flow/spee	ds, so may be
Barriers to walking/cycling (busy roundabouts / junctions / roads)	The local highway cycle use	y network has som	e barriers to pe	destrian and
It is understood that developers actin seeking to establish a way of providin is likely to overestimate the actual ca	ig safe vehicular a	ccess with appropi		

Settlement: New Alresford

Site Name: New Farm Road (South)

Pedestrian & Cycling provision & access to facilities, schools and public transport					
	Option A:	New Farm Road 1.3	metres		
Footway provision	A footway width between 1.2 & 1.5 is not ideal and would benefit from upgra				
on access roads	•		metres		
	Unless traffic or pedestian flows are very low, the absence of a footway is not acceptable and provision is required				
Improvements to footways identified					

Public Transport provision & facilities						
(*only bus routes / s	(*only bus routes / services in excess of 1 bus per hour mon-sat are considered)					
What is the nearest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres? What is the furthest point of the site to the local bus stops measured in metres?			400			
Proximity to public transport is considered to be Excellent to Excellent					Excellent	
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and bus stops? No					No	
If continuous footwa	ays do not exist, is	there space in	the verge to provide?		No	
Details of bus services	Route 64: Alton - Alre	sford - Winchester, C	0700-2000 Mon-Sat every 1/2 h	nour, Every 2hrs Sur	(HCC)	
Details of bus       Route 67: Winchester - Kings Worthy - New Alresford, 0700 - 1900 Mon-Fri Every 2 hours, 4 buses Saturday, No         Services       Sunday Service						
Access to bus services is found between 400 & 800 metres from the site, so provision is considered as adequate.						

Access to Local centre / shops / facilities				
What is the nearest point of the site to the local centre measured in metres?	1400	What is the furthest point of the 1400 site to the local centre measured in metres?		1600
Proximity to local facilities is considered to be Adequate to				
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide e	e?	Yes		
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?				No
Access to these facilites is over 1600 metres, which is considered to be poor as it is too distant to for most users to walk and would suggest that other sites could be preferable.				

Access to local Primary (Infant / Junior) Schools							
What is the nearest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?	1500	What is the furthest point of the site to the local schools measured in metres?		1700			
Proximity to local Schools is considere	Adequate	to	Poor				
Do continuous footways >1.5 m wide exist between the site and local schools?							
If continuous footways do not exist, is there space in the verge to provide?							
Access to these facilites is over 1600 r most users to walk and would suggest		•		stant to for			

Settlement: New Alresford

Site Name: New Farm Road (South)

#### Access Road Assessments

Access Road name width of access road		New Farm Road		
		5		metres wide mph
speed limit(s) on access road			30	
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width	1.3	metres wide
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width		metres wide
If no footways - is the	No			
If the footways are lea	ss than 1.2m wid	de - is there space to widen on verge?		
Does the access road have any controlled crossing facilities?			No	
Does the access road have any uncontrolled crossing facilities?				No
any weight / width restrictions on road?				No
is the access road used for on-street parking? None / little / lots				
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?				No
Is there street lighting	Yes			

Access Road name		Spring Gardens			
width of access road			3.1	metres wide	
speed limit(s) on access road			60	mph	
Are there footways on the	Left	side - if YES measure width		metres wide	
Are there footways on the	Right	side - if YES measure width		metres wide	
If no footways - is there space to provide a 1.5 / 2m footway on verge?				No	
If the footways are le					
Does the access road have any controlled crossing facilities?			No		
Does the access road have any uncontrolled crossing facilities?				No	
any weight / width re	Unsuitable for M				
is the access road used for on-street parking? None / little / lots				None	
Does the access road have any parking restrictions / yellow lines?			No		
Is there street lighting on the road?				No	
Single carriageway re	oad with ditches	on either side of the road.			