

Winchester District Local Plan Part 1 – Joint Core Strategy

**Legal Compliance Self-Assessment
June 2012**



Winchester
City Council

Winchester District Local Plan Part 1 Legal Compliance self-assessment 2012

The Council has prepared a separate Duty to Cooperate Statement to comply with the new duties under the Localism Act and the NPPF. This self-assessment should be viewed in conjunction with the Soundness self-assessment and the assessments of conformity with the NPPF and the RSS (South East Plan)

Stage 1: Inception

Activity	Legal Requirement	Evidence provided
1. Is the development plan document identified in the adopted local development scheme and have you recorded the timetable for its production?	The Act section 15(2); section 19(1)	<p>The Core Strategy was originally identified in the first Local Development Scheme (LDS) of 2005 and the timetable for its production outlined in the relevant profile and the gannt chart in Appendix 1 LDS 2005.</p> <p>The programme for the production of the Core Strategy has changed and been updated as necessary in subsequent updates to the LDS in 2006, 2007, 2009 and 2011.</p> <p>The current LDS dates from 2011 and the timetable for the Core Strategy is shown within it. 2011 LDS</p> <p>The production of the Local Plan Part 1 - Core Strategy proceeded in accordance with the timetable shown in the 2011 LDS, until the publication of the NPPF just prior to the proposed submission resulted in a need to check conformity with the NPPF. Now this has been completed the Local Plan Part 1 can proceed to submission. The LDS can be updated following submission to reflect the small slippage in timetable from submission to adoption if it is considered necessary.</p>
2. Have you considered how community engagement will be programmed into the preparation of the development plan document?	<p>1. The Act section 19(3)</p> <p>2. Regulation 25</p>	<p>The Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) SCI sets out the Council's procedure in respect of community engagement. Although the 2008 Planning Regulations made some changes in respect of SCIs, the principles of consultation - including the methods of consultation and the persons/groups to be consulted - remain relevant and have been used throughout the stages of the plan preparation.</p> <p>The LDS project plan includes timetables for all milestones, including community engagement. In addition a report (CAB</p>

Activity	Legal Requirement	Evidence provided
		<p>1328) was prepared for Cabinet in 2006 outlining the Council's approach to the initial stages of plan preparation.</p>
<p>3. Have you considered the appropriate bodies you should consult?</p>	<p>Regulation 25</p>	<p>The SCI contains a list of relevant bodies. The Council is aware of the changes to engagement as a result of the 2008 Planning Regulations and although the SCI itself has not been amended, the Council has ensured that all relevant bodies are consulted as appropriate under the new Regulations. Appendix BO1 of the Statement of Consultation lists all bodies consulted.</p>
<p>4. Is baseline information being collected and evidence being gathered to keep the matters which affect the development of the area under review?</p>	<p>The Act, section13</p>	<p>Technical studies have been prepared in order to support the Core Strategy and are available to view on the evidence base web page. Appendix 4 of the LDS provides a further list of the evidence base and includes wider documents of the Council, not just studies related specifically to the LDF.</p> <p>The Annual Monitoring Reports (AMRs) have provided baseline information about general matters relating to the characteristics of the District.</p>
<p>5. Is baseline information being collected and evidence being gathered to set the framework for the sustainability appraisal?</p>	<p>The Act section19(5)</p>	<p>Many of the documents referred to in question 4 above have also been used for this purpose. The Sustainability Scoping Report published in July 2007 set the framework for the sustainability appraisal itself. Appendix B provides the baseline information.</p>
<p>6. Have you consulted the statutory environment consultation bodies for five weeks on the scope and level of detail of the environmental information to be included in the sustainability appraisal report?</p>	<p>Regulations 9 and 13 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No 1633.</p>	<p>Paragraph 1.13 of the Scoping Report includes details of the bodies that were consulted at the scoping stage, under the Environmental Assessment Regulations.</p>

Stage 2: Plan preparation - frontloading phase

Activity	Legal Requirement	Evidence provided
1. Have you notified the specific consultation bodies that have an interest in the subject of the development plan document and invited them to make representations about its contents?	Regulation 25(1) and (2)(a)	Appendix B of the Consultation Statement contains a list of the specific and general consultation bodies that were invited to make comments under Reg 25, together with copies of the letters sent.
2. Have you notified the general consultation bodies that you consider have an interest in the subject of the development plan document and invited them to make representations about its contents?	Regulation 25(1) and (2)(b)	See above.
3. Are you inviting representations from people resident or carrying out business in your area about the content of the development plan document?	Regulation 25(3)	Parts A and B of the Consultation Statement explain how persons resident in the District and business and other stakeholders and local interest groups were engaged and invited to make representations about the content of the Core Strategy, throughout the early engagement and issues stages of the plan preparation. Appendices A and B provide copies of the letters, forms, posters articles etc used in this process and also notes of meetings held.
4. Are you engaging with stakeholders responsible for delivery of the strategy?	Regulation 25	<p>As above. Meetings and workshops were carried out with stakeholders and delivery agents. These have occurred at various stages throughout the processes. Meetings, workshops and letters are detailed in the Consultation Statement and its appendices.</p> <p>Early engagement included a stakeholder event 22nd March 2007 and LSP meetings during 2007. Issues consultations included stakeholder meetings throughout the District and LSP meetings in 2008 and 2009. Following the Preferred Options consultation, there was a delivery event on 16th July 2009, with key service and utility providers and a Housing Forum on 25th November 2009. Further engagement resulted in the</p>

Activity	Legal Requirement	Evidence provided
		Infrastructure Action Plan and the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.
5. Are you taking into account representations made?	Regulation 25(5)	Feedback from early frontloading was used to help identify issues for the Issues and Options consultation. Formal representations made under that consultation in response to Reg 25 notification, were taken account in developing the Preferred Option document. This was also subject to formal consultation, as detailed in the Consultation Statement. These processes are considered more in Section 19 below.
6. Does the consultation contribute to the development and sustainability appraisal of alternatives?	1. The Act section19(5) 2. Regulations 12 and 13 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No 1633.	See 5 above. Comments on the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report were used to improve the sustainability framework as well as being fed into the development of policies as described under question 5 above, where it was appropriate.
7. Is the participation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • following the principles set out in your statement of community involvement • integrating involvement with the sustainable community strategy • proportionate to the scale of issues involved in the development plan document? 	1. The Act s.19(3) 2. Regulation 25	<p>The SCI sets out suggested procedures for consultation on Core Strategies. The SCI describes a variety of engagement techniques that will be employed for different groups and also the types of consultations that should be undertaken at various stage of the plan preparation process. The participation process has followed the guidance in the SCI.</p> <p>Although changes have occurred to the regulations which affect the stages of plan preparation since the SCI was produced in 2007, and in the regulations regarding the SCI itself, the principles of participation outlined within the SCI are still relevant for the purposes of community engagement.</p> <p>The Core Strategy has been prepared very closely in association with the revised Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) which was produced during 2007 (and the subsequent refresh in 2010). The Core Strategy has been developed around the themes identified in the SCS and each stage of preparation has emphasised the role of the Core Strategy as one of the key delivery mechanisms for the SCS. The frontloading Live for the Future workshops covered the themes</p>

Activity	Legal Requirement	Evidence provided
		<p>of the SCS and the questionnaire was structured around its five themes. (The SCS has since been refreshed in 2010 and now only refers to three themes, the Local Plan Part 1 reflects this change).</p> <p>As described in the Consultation Statement, the participation has been extensive, including a variety of methods of engagement, covering a range of groups and bodies and extending throughout the District. This is considered comprehensive to cover the range of issues involved including District-wide and sub-regional considerations.</p>
<p>8. Are you keeping a record of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the individuals or bodies invited to make representations • How this was done • The main issues raised? 	Regulation 24	<p>The Consultation Statement shows how this was done at each stage of plan preparation and consultation. The issues raised are recorded and discussed in the relevant committee reports referred to in the Consultation Statement. The appendices to the Consultation Statement provide lists of the bodies invited to make representations.</p>
<p>9. Are you developing a framework for monitoring the effects of the development plan document?</p>	<p>1. The Act section 35 2. Regulation 48 Reg 17 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No1363</p>	<p>The Sustainability Appraisal includes a framework for monitoring the effects of the Core Strategy, which was used to assess the various options and policies proposed at the different stages of plan preparation.</p> <p>The Local Plan Part 1 includes a Monitoring Framework at Appendix 2, which links to the SCS and the Sustainability Appraisal objectives and provides targets and indicators for the policies.</p> <p>The AMRs provide a framework for monitoring the plan which follows the themes of the SCS.</p>
<p>10. Have you arranged to send copies of documents used in consultation to the Government Office and Planning Inspectorate?</p>	<p>Not statutory, but will assist in identifying issues leading towards a sound development plan</p>	<p>The Council has kept the Planning Inspectorate and GOSE fully informed of progress on the Core Strategy. They have been consulted along with other consultees at Issues and Options, Preferred Options and Pre-Submission stages (whilst GOSE still</p>

Activity	Legal Requirement	Evidence provided
	document	existed). Since the abolition of regional offices documents have been sent directly to PINS for information, to keep the Inspectorate up to date on progress.

Stage three: Plan preparation - formulation phase

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
<p>11. Are you preparing reasonable alternatives for evaluation during the preparation of the development plan document?</p>	<p>Regulation 12 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No. 1633</p>	<p>The Live for the Future frontloading exercise, together with the Sustainability Scoping Report led to the identification of a number of issues and possible options for policies. These were developed into the Issues and Options Plan, which presented a series of options for the spatial strategies and 'core policies' of the Plan. Each option was expressed as two or three alternatives, usually including a baseline 'do nothing' approach; limited growth/change vs significant growth or 'step change'. These represented a reasonable range of alternatives and the consultations invited people to suggest other reasonable alternatives for consideration.</p> <p>A Sustainability Appraisal was carried out on the Issues and Options Plan and the options were assessed in the light of this and the results of the issues and Options consultation. The results were discussed in a series of Cabinet meetings during 2008 – Spring 2009 : CAB1696(LDF); CAB1728-LDF; CAB1743 (LDF); CAB1772(LDF); CAB1783LDF; CAB1799(LDF).</p> <p>These resulted in recommended preferred approaches on each issue/section of the Plan. These were subsequently developed into the Preferred Option version of the Core Strategy.</p>
<p>12. Have you assessed alternatives against:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • consistency with national policy • general conformity with the regional spatial strategy? 	<p>The Act section19(2), section 24</p>	<p>The plan has been developed so as to be consistent with national policies. The evidence studies set out or refer to the Government advice applying at the time. The evidence has been used to develop locally-justified approaches. The plan has been prepared to be generally consistent with the South East Plan, although the government's intention to abolish regional spatial strategies has been noted and it is considered that the policies are robust enough to be self-sufficient. GOSE have been consulted during the preparation of the Plan and the process benefitted from an advisory visit and report from as</p>

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
		<p>Planning Inspector in 2009.</p> <p>Considerations of national policy were taken into account as part of the assessment of alternative strategies. Discussion of the relevant issues can be found in the Issues Reports referred to in 11 above. These reports also contained summaries of consultation responses and suggested responses to these. These included national and regional bodies where they responded.</p> <p>The NPPF was published just prior to submission of the Local Plan part 1 – Core Strategy. Following a further advisory visit from PINS in April, schedule of NPPF requirements and how the Local Plan responds to them has been produced using the PAS template. Any amendments necessary as a result of this have been submitted along with the Local Plan part 1 as minor changes to the Plan.</p>
<p>13. Are you having regard to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • adjoining regional spatial strategies, the spatial development strategy for London, or Welsh Spatial Plan (as appropriate) • the National Planning Framework for Scotland? 	<p>1. The Act section19(2)</p> <p>2. Regulation 15(1)(g)</p>	<p>Not applicable, the District does not adjoin other regions or Scotland. Migration issues have been taken into account in developing a locally-derived housing requirement.</p>
<p>14. Are you having regard to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the sustainable community strategy of the authority or other authorities whose area comprises part of the area of the council • any other local development documents adopted by the council? 	<p>The Act section19(2)</p>	<p>The Core Strategy has developed in conjunction with the Council's SCS and the themes of the Core Strategy reflect the themes of the SCS. This has been the case throughout the participation on the Core Strategy. Throughout the Core Strategy preparation liaison meetings have been held with the LSP to ensure due regard is taken of the views of the partners responsible for the delivery of the SCS. These meetings are listed in the Consultation Statement. Hampshire County Council Community Strategy 2008-2018 covers the Winchester District</p>

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
		<p>and representatives from HCC are on the Winchester LSP.</p> <p>The Core Strategy has been prepared with regard to the other local development documents adopted by the Council, that is the SCI and some Supplementary Planning Documents (SPD). The SPDs mostly relate design issues specific to a locality and do not raise any issues of a strategic nature. The other SPDs provide interpretation of existing saved Local Plan policies, so have been taken into account as part of the general development of the Core Strategy.</p>
<p>15. Do you have regard to other matters and strategies relating to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • resources • the regional development agencies' regional economic strategy • the local transport plan and transport facilities and services • waste strategies • hazardous substances and accidents? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Act section19(2) 2. Regulation 15 	<p>The Council has been in full consultation with the water and other utility suppliers throughout the plan preparation. The strategies of these infrastructure providers have been taken account in developing the Infrastructure Study which informed the Core Strategy and the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.</p> <p>SEEDA was consulted at the early stages of plan preparation. Its policies and comments were taken into account when developing the strategy. Regional strategies for development have been developed further in the PUSH sub-regional area of Hampshire and particular note has been taken of the PUSH economic strategy when preparing the Core Strategy, which is of relevance in the south of the District. The new LEPs were consulted during the Plans for Places consultation (2011), and their emerging aims have been taken into account in the Local Plan Part 1.</p> <p>The Hampshire Local Transport Plan 2011-2031 and the Winchester Town Access Plan have been taken into account in the Local Plan Part 1, as described in Policy CP10.</p> <p>The emerging Hampshire Minerals and Waste Core Strategy has been taken into account and there are no known significant hazardous substances issues in the District. The Hampshire Minerals and Waste policies are cross-referenced in the Local Plan Part 1 at paragraph 1.38 and the relevant adopted Minerals and Waste policies are shown on the Proposals Map of the Local Plan Part 1.</p>

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
16. Are you having regard to the need to include policies on mitigating and adapting to climate change?		<p>The High Quality Environment section addresses issues of climate change. Specific policies relating to sustainable buildings, carbon reduction, renewable energy and flood risk, have been in the evolution of the plan from the original identification of issues through to the final version of the Local Plan Part 1. The scoping for the Sustainability Appraisal identified climate change as a key issue.</p> <p>The scale and location of development has been a key issue throughout the process and the Plan seeks to make provision for sustainable levels of development in the most sustainable locations, as enshrined in Local Plan Part 1 Policy DS1 - Development Strategy and Principles</p>
17. Have you undertaken the necessary sustainability appraisal of alternatives, including consultation on the sustainability appraisal report?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Act section19(5) 2. Regulation 12 and 13 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No 1633 	<p>Sustainability Appraisal was undertaken at Issues and Options, Preferred Option and Pre-Submission stages, with a further update at Submission. The alternatives were therefore subject to appraisal at several stages. The formal appraisal of alternatives however, took place at the Issues and Options Stage.</p>
18. Are you setting out clear reasons for any preferences between alternatives?	<p>Regulation 13(1)</p>	<p>The Submission Plan does this in general terms and the series of reports on the Issues and Options and other consultations discuss proposed alternatives in detail and provide clear reasons for the preferred response proposed in each case. (See below for further detail).</p>
19. Have you taken into account any representations made on the content of the development plan document and the sustainability appraisal? 20. Are you keeping a record?	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Regulations 24, 25(5) and 30(1)(d)(iv) 2. Regulation 13(4) of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No 1633 	<p>Representations made at the Issues and Options stage were reported to the LDF Cabinet in a series of reports. The reports summarised the representations and the suggestions made for alternative approaches, with an assessment of the advantages and disadvantages of the suggested alternatives, prior to a recommendation proposing the preferred approach. The reports are: 21st October 2008, 12th November 2008, 16th December 2008, 28th January 2009, 6th March 2009. These were then carried forward to generate the Preferred Option version of the Core Strategy which was also subject to</p>

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
		<p>consultation, followed by consultation through Blueprint and Plans for Places, leading to the Pre-Submission Plan. The details are set out in the Consultation Statement</p> <p>All the representations made were recorded and summarised and responded to as appropriate.</p> <p>Appendix 2 of the Sustainability Appraisal 2012 sets out the bodies who were consulted, any representations made and the response. The Report itself contains more details of how any representations were taken into account during the appraisal process. The SA has been updated following Pre-Sub consultation (see Submission SA).</p>
<p>21. Where sites are to be identified or areas for the application of policy in the development plan document, are you preparing sufficient illustrative material to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • enable you to amend the currently adopted proposals map • inform the community about the location of proposals? 	<p>Regulations 9 and 14</p>	<p>The Pre-Submission Local Plan Part 1 showed the relevant designations for the three strategic allocations and the Bushfield Camp Opportunity Site. A map of the District was also included which showed the boundary of the new South Downs National Park. No changes are proposed to settlement boundaries as part of Local Plan Part 1. The adopted Local Plan Proposals Map and inset maps were updated in other respects for the Submission Plan to ensure that the locations of proposals and changes are clear. (See 26 below for further details).</p>
<p>22. Are the participation arrangements compliant with the statement of community involvement?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Act, section 19(3) 2. Regulation 25 	<p>Notwithstanding that the specific stages of participation and the statutory regulations referred to in the SCI may have changed, the participation arrangements comply with the aims of the SCI utilising the techniques proposed. This is discussed further in 7 above,</p>
<p>23. Have you remained in close contact with the Government Office and discussed any emerging issues that might affect the soundness of the development plan document?</p>		<p>The City Council was in close contact with GOSE until it was dissolved in 2011. GOSE made comments on early versions of the plan, raising issues which the Council have considered as part of its consideration of responses and as detailed in the Consultation Statement. GOSE officers were involved in the PINS advisory visit in 2009 and were able to input their detailed views and advice at that stage.</p>

Stage 4: Publication ‘Statutory Requirement’ for Section 4 was still under old Regs, but some of the ‘Evidence Provided’ column will need updating

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
1. Have you prepared the sustainability appraisal report?	1. The Act section19(5) 2. Regulation 12 of The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 No 1633	A sustainability appraisal was undertaken of the Pre-Submission Local Plan Part 1 and was published for consultation alongside the Pre-Submission Local Plan Part 1. A Non technical summary was also published.
2. Have you made clear where and within what period representations must be made?	Regulation 28(2) and (3)	The Consultation details were made available through the public notice which was published in local newspapers and displayed at deposit points, the representation form, both WCC's and SDNP websites and in all the notification letters and emails sent out.
1. Have you made copies of the following available for inspection: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed submission documents? the statement of the representations procedure? 	Regulation 27(a)	<p>The Pre Submission Local Plan Part 1 was available to view at both WCC and South Downs National Park offices and libraries. The proposed submission documents were available to view both on WCC's website and CD. Proposed Submission documents were available to any stakeholder who requested them.</p> <p>The Statement of Representations Procedure was also displayed in WCC and SDNP offices and at the libraries where the Pre-Submission document was available. It was sent to everyone who was notified of the consultation.</p>
1. Have you published on your website the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the proposed submission documents? the statement of the representations procedure? 	Regulation 27(b)	A web page was created for the Pre-Submission Local Plan Part 1 . This included links to all the proposed submission documents and the Statement of Representations Procedure (referred to as the Reg 27 Notice) and stated where and when the documents could be inspected.

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> statement and details of where and when documents can be inspected? 		
<p>5. Have you sent to each of the specific consultation bodies invited to make representations under Regulation 25(1):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A copy of each of the proposed submission documents The statement of the representations procedure? 	Regulation 27(c)	<p>The Consultation Statement submitted to the Planning Inspectorate details the specific consultation bodies invited to make representations at Regulation 25 stage. The Statement of Representations Made and Key Issues Raised details the specific consultation bodies invited to make representations at the Regulation 27 Stage.</p> <p>These bodies were sent a covering letter, statement of representations procedure and a paper copy of the Local Plan Part 1. In addition they were also sent a CD containing the Pre Submission Local Plan Part 1, its sustainability appraisal the Habitats Regulations Assessment, Consultation Statement, Statement of Representation Procedure, representation form, guidance notes, proposals map schedule of changes, key evidence documents and other supporting documents used to inform the Local Plan Part 1.</p>
<p>6. Have you sent to each of the general consultation bodies invited to make representations under Regulation 25(1):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the statement of the representations procedure? where and when the documents can be inspected? 	Regulation 27(d)	<p>See the 'Statement of Representations Made and Key Issues Raised' (Regulation 22(1)(c) of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012), appendix C submitted to the Planning Inspectorate.</p>
<p>7. Have you given notice by local advertisement setting out:</p> <p>8. the statement of the representations procedure</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> where and when the documents can be inspected? 	Regulation 27(e)	<p>The consultation regarding the Pre Submission Local Plan Part 1 was advertised in a public notice in both the Mid Hampshire Observer on 25 January 2012 and the Hampshire Chronicle on 26 January 2012. Copies are provided in Appendix E of the 'Statement of Representations Made and Key Issues Raised' submitted to the Planning Inspectorate.</p>

Activity	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
8. Have you requested the opinion of the regional planning body (or the Mayor in London) on the general conformity of the development plan document with the regional spatial strategy (or spatial development strategy)?	1. The Act section 24 2. Regulation 29	In the absence of a regional planning body a letter was sent to the Department of Communities and Local Government on 25 January regarding the need to request conformity with the Regional Planning Authority. A copy of the letter is provided at Appendix C of the Statement of Representations Made and Key Issues Raised submitted to the Planning Inspectorate. No reply was received to this letter.

Stage five: Submission

Question	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
<p>26 Are you ready to submit the DPD?</p> <p>26 Are there any major issues revealed by the representations on publication?</p> <p>26 Are all the relevant documents in place?</p>	<p>1. The Act section 20(2)(b)</p> <p>2. The Act section 20(1)</p> <p>3. Regulation 30(1)</p>	<p>Yes all the required documents are in place.</p> <p>All issues raised are set out in the 'Statement of Representations Made and Key Issues Raised' (Regulation 22(1)(c) of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012)</p>
<p>26 Has the development plan document been prepared in accordance with the local development scheme?</p> <p>26 Does the development plan document's listing and description in the local development scheme match the document?</p> <p>26 Have the timescales set out in the local development scheme been met?</p>	<p>The Act, section 19(1)</p>	<p>Yes. However the submission date was delayed by six weeks due to the requirement to ensure compliance with the NPPF.</p> <p>Evidence provided under the Section 1, question 1 provides further compatibility with the LDS</p>
<p>26 Has the development plan document had regard to any sustainable community strategy for its area (like a county and district)?</p>	<p>The Act section 19(2)</p>	<p>The Council's Sustainable Community Strategy provides the framework for the Local Plan Part 1. The Plan follows the themes of the SCS and the Plan policies develop the land use implications of the SCS where appropriate.</p>
<p>26 Is the development plan document in compliance with the statement of community involvement (where one exists)?</p> <p>26 Has the council carried out consultation as described in the statement of community involvement?</p>	<p>1. The Act s19(3)</p> <p>2. Regulation 32(1)(c)</p>	<p>Yes. See Stage 2 question 7 above for more details.</p>
<p>26 Has the development plan document been subject to sustainability appraisal?</p> <p>26 Has the council provided a final report of the findings of the appraisal?</p>	<p>1. The Act section 19(5)</p> <p>2. Regulation 32(1)(a)</p>	<p>A sustainability appraisal was undertaken of the Pre-Submission Local Plan Part 1 and was published for consultation alongside the Pre-Submission Local Plan Part 1. A Non technical summary was also published. A Sustainability Appraisal / Strategic Environmental Assessment (SA/SEA) has also been undertaken of the Submission Local Plan Part 1: www.winchester.gov.uk/planning-policy/local-plan-part-1</p>

Question	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
		1/submission/submission-documents/
26 Is the development plan document to be submitted consistent with national policy?	The Act section 20(2) and Schedule 8	Yes. The PAS NPPF Self Assessment Toolkit has been completed which demonstrates that the submitted Local Plan Part 1 Core Strategy is consistent with national policy. This has been submitted to the Secretary of State.
<p>26 Does the development plan document contain any policies or proposals that are not in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy?</p> <p>26 If yes, is there local justification?</p> <p>26 Has the council got confirmation from the regional planning body about the general conformity of the plan with the regional spatial strategy?</p>	<p>1. The Act s 24(1)(a); 24(2) and 24(4)</p> <p>2. Regulation 29</p>	<p>A separate conformity matrix has been produced for the Regional Spatial Strategy (the South East Plan) which covers this issue in more detail.</p> <p>Housing requirements are the main area of difference with the South East Plan, however the local derivation of housing targets better reflects current government policy and advice. The housing targets reflect the approach of the PUSH Sub-region and also extensive evidence base work (SHMA & SHLAA) and consultation. The Housing Technical Paper and Background Paper Number 1 – Housing Provision, Distribution and Delivery also provide more detail on this.</p> <p>See Section 4, question 5 above, for information on conformation from regional planning body.</p>
<p>26 Does the development plan document comply with the 2004 regulations (as amended)?</p> <p>26 Specifically, has the council published the prescribed documents, and made them available at their principal offices and their website?</p> <p>26 Has the council placed local advertisements?</p> <p>26 Has the council notified the development plan document bodies?</p>	<p>1. The Act section 20(2), 20(3) and 20(5)(b)</p> <p>2. Regulations 13(1), 13(2), 13(5) and 30(1)</p>	<p>The development plan complies with the 2012 regulations and the City Council has made the submission documents available on the website and at both the Winchester City Office in Winchester and South Downs National Park offices in Midhurst.</p> <p>In accordance with the 2012 regulations, it is no longer a requirement to place a local advertisement of the submission of a development plan document.</p> <p>The City Council has written to all the specific and general consultation bodies to notify them of the submission of the Core</p>

Question	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
26 Does the development plan document contain a list of superseded saved policies?		<p>Strategy.</p> <p>Appendix A of the Submission Plan identifies those saved Local Plan (2006) policies that are to be replaced by the Joint Core Strategy.</p>
<p>26 Are there any policies applying to sites or areas by reference to an Ordnance Survey map or to amend an adopted proposals map?</p> <p>26 If yes, have you prepared a submission proposals map?</p>	Regulations 13(4) 14 and 30(1)(b)	<p>The currently adopted proposals map will be amended when the Local Plan Part 1 is adopted and will continue to be updated until all the 2006 Local Plan policies indicated on it are either superseded or deleted. Replacement inset maps have been prepared for areas where policy boundaries are proposed to change, the key has been updated for all maps and a schedule of changes has also been prepared</p>
26 If the development plan document is not a core strategy, is it in conformity with the core strategy?	Regulation 13(6)	N/A Local Plan Part 1 – Joint Core Strategy is a Core Strategy.
<p>24. Have you prepared a statement setting out:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Which bodies and persons were invited to make representations under Regulation 25 • How they were invited • A summary of the main issues raised • How the representations have been taken into account? 	The Act section20(3) Regulation 30(1)(d)	<p>Yes. The Core Strategy Consultation Statement (January 2012) was published alongside the Pre submission Joint Core Strategy in February 2012 and has been submitted to the Secretary of State. This provides details of which bodies were invited to make representations under regulation 25, how these representations were invited, a summary of the main issues raised and details of how the representations were taken into account.</p>
<p>25. Have you prepared a statement giving:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the number of representations made under Regulation 28(2) • a summary of the main issues raised <p>OR</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • that no representations were made? 	The Act section20(3) Regulation 30(1)(e)	<p>Yes. A statement has been prepared setting out the number of representations received on the Pre Submission Joint Core Strategy and providing a summary of the main issues raised. This has been submitted to the Secretary of State (referred to as Statement of Representations Made and Key Issues Raised).</p>

Question	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
26 Have you collected together all the representations made under Regulation 28?	The Act section 20(3) Regulation 30(1)(f)	Yes. Copies of the representations made on the Pre Submission Joint Core Strategy have been submitted to the Secretary of State. Copies of all the representation have been placed at the two deposit points (Winchester City Council's City Offices and SDNP Midhurst office). In addition all comments are available to view at www.winchester.gov.uk/planning-policy/local-plan-part-1/submission/submission-documents/
27 Have you assembled the relevant supporting documents?	1. The Act section 20(3) 2. Regulation 30(1)(g)	Yes all Submission Documents can be seen on our website
28 Has your council approved the development plan document for submission?	The Act section 20	Yes, Council approved the submission of the Core Strategy on 8 December 2012.
29 Have you sent the Secretary of State (the Planning Inspectorate) a paper copy of the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• the development plan document?• the submission proposals map (unless there are no site allocation policies)?• the documents prescribed in Regulation 30(1)?	1. The Act s20(1) and 20(3) 2. Regulations 30(1) and 30(2)(a)	Yes. Paper copies of the documents as required by regulation 22 of the 2012 Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 have been submitted to the Secretary of State.
30 Have you sent the Secretary of State (the Planning Inspectorate) an electronic copy of the: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• development plan document?• submission proposals map (unless there are no site allocation policies)?• documents prescribed in Regulation 30(1)?	1. The Act s20(1) and 20(3) 2. Regulations 30(1) and 30(2)(b)	Yes. Electronic copies of the documents as required by regulation 22 of the 2012 Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 have been submitted to the Secretary of State.
31. Have you made the following available at the same places where the proposed submission documents were to be seen: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The development plan document?	Regulation 30(3)(a)	Copies of the relevant documents (as referred to in Regulation 22 of the 2012 Regulations) have been placed at the two deposits points and at both (Winchester City Council's and the South Down National Park receptions) and are also available to view on CD at the libraries where the Pre-Submission

Question	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The documents prescribed in Regulation 30(1)? 		documents were available to be seen.
<p>32 On your website, have you published the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> development plan document submission proposals map sustainability appraisal report Regulation 30(1)(d) statement Regulation 30(1)(e) statement supporting documents (where practicable) representations made under Regulation 28 (where practicable) statement as to where and when the development plan document and the documents are available? 	Regulation 30(3)(b)	<p>Yes. All of these documents have been placed on the City Council's website www.winchester.gov.uk/planning-policy/local-plan-part-1/submission/submission-documents/</p>
<p>33 For each specific consultation body invited to make representations under Regulation 25(1), have you sent the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> development plan document submission proposals map sustainability appraisal report adopted statement of community involvement Regulation 30(1)(d) statement Regulation 30(1)(e) statement supporting documents you consider relevant to each body statement as to where and when the development plan document and the documents are available? 	Regulation 30(3)(c)	<p>All of the consultation bodies on the City Council's Core Strategy database (including the specific and general consultation bodies) have been notified of the submission of the Core Strategy, that the documents are available for inspection and the places and times at which they can be inspected. This meets the requirements of Regulation 22 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.</p> <p>The requirement to send copies of the documents to the specific consultation bodies no longer exists.</p>
<p>34 For each general consultation body invited to make representations under</p>	Regulation 30(3)(d)	All of the consultation bodies on the City Council's Core Strategy database (including the specific and general

Question	Statutory Requirement	Evidence provided
<p>Regulation 25(1), have you sent:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. notification that the documents prescribed in Regulation 30(1) are available for inspection 2. where and when they can be inspected? 		<p>consultation bodies) have been notified of the submission of the Core Strategy, that the documents are available for inspection and the places and times at which they can be inspected. This meets the requirements of regulation 22 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.</p>
<p>35 Have you given notice by local advertisement setting out:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the title of the development plan document? • the subject and area covered by the development plan document? • notification that the documents prescribed in Regulation 30(1) are available for inspection • where and when they can be inspected? 	Regulation 30(3)(e)	<p>It is no longer a requirement to give notice by local advertisement. However, it is intended that a notice will be placed in the Hampshire Chronicle on 21 June 2012</p>
<p>36 Have you given notice to persons who have requested to be notified that submission has taken place?</p>	Regulation 30(3)(f)	Yes
<p>37 If an examination is being held, at least six weeks before its opening has the Programme Officer:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • published the time and place of the examination and the name of the person appointed to carry out the examination on your website • notified those who have made representations on the published development plan document which have not been withdrawn of these details • advertised these details? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Act section20 2. Regulation 34 	<p>The dates for the hearing sessions for the public examination have not been confirmed at the time of writing. The requirements of Regulation 24 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 will be followed at the appropriate time.</p>