

# Appendix A01

Live for the Future letter to community  
groups and list of invitees

Your Ref:  
Our Ref: PO 16 03  
Enq. To: Elaine Bonnon  
Direct Dial: 01962 848100  
Email: [ebonnon@winchester.gov.uk](mailto:ebonnon@winchester.gov.uk)

26<sup>th</sup> February 2007

Dear Mr Raimes

**Live for the Future ....A Sustainable Winchester District**

Winchester City Council would like to invite representatives from your organisation to attend a community event at:-

**Perins Schools, Pound Hill, Alresford  
Wednesday 7<sup>th</sup> March 2007 starting at 7.00 pm**

The purpose of this event is to gather information from local community groups and residents to find out how sustainable they consider their area is at the present and how this may change in the future - looking ahead twenty years.

This information will be used by the City Council in preparing its Local Development Framework – a replacement local plan and its Community Strategy. The event will follow a workshop style format commencing with a short presentation by officers from the City Council. The event is programmed to last two hours.

If you cannot attend this event please check the City Council's website for alternative dates and venues. Alternatively, you may complete the online questionnaire - go to [www.winchester.gov.uk](http://www.winchester.gov.uk) and follow the links or ring us on 01962 840 222 for a paper copy or text FUTURE to 07797 877 004 with your name, address and email.

To assist with planning the evening it would be useful if you could let me know if you can attend and how many we can expect from your organization, please call me on the above or email [ldf@winchester.gov.uk](mailto:ldf@winchester.gov.uk).

Yours sincerely

Steve Opacic  
Head of Strategic Planning



**List of Invitations to Community Event at Perins School, Alresford  
Wednesday 7<sup>th</sup> March 2007**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
Mr Augusta Raimes	Alresford Agricultural Society
Mr John Hankin	Allsorts Motor Club
Mr Nigel Hall	Arlebury Park Sports & Social Club
Mr David Vellacott	Art Society
Mrs Judith Hant	Bridge Club
Mrs A Giles	Alresford Community Association
Ms Karen Millward	Secretary, Alresford Conservatives
Ms Jill Deeley	Flower Club
Mr G Clarke	Hampshire Wildlife Trust
Mr C Metcalfe	Alresford Historical & Literary Society
Mr T Bravery	Alresford Horticultural Society
Mrs M Fowkes	Alresford Lace Makers
Mrs D Hamiduddin	Alresford Ladies Group
Ms Joan Read	Alresford Liberal Democrats
Mr L Rae	The Medstead Players
Mrs M Pugh	Methodist Women's Fellowship
The Chairman	Mid Hants Railway Preservation Society
Mr R Pointer	Alresford Neighbourhood Watch
Mr D Goodman	The Alresford Society
Mr G Sayer	The Giles Group
Mr T Foreman	Alresford Twinning Association
Mrs B Griffiths	Voluntary Care Group
Mrs S Prior	Wine Circle
Ms R Boyd	Alresford Badminton club
Mr C Earthy	Community Association Badminton Club
Mr J D Cattle	Bowling Club

Mr A Cooke	Club Escrime
Mr S Fullick	Judo Club
Ms Katrina Tanner	Alresford Tennis Club
Ms Jeanette Hill	Alresford Town Youth Football Club
Mr T Ingram	Alresford Town Football Club
Ms Carlo Macri	Girl's Football
Mrs S Andrews	Ladies Badminton Club
Mr P Magee	New Alresford Cricket Club
Mrs S Primmer	Tichbourne Park Cricket Club
Mr R Whiffen	Yacht Club
	Zebedees Trampoline Club
Mr J Curtis	Girlguiding
Mr R Naismith	Aalresford & Cheriton Scout Group
	Alresford Youth Club
Mrs S Mackrell	Chatterbox Mother & Toddler Group
Mrs J Fairhead	Toddler Group
Mr R Ellis	1st Ropley Scouts Group
Mrs R Lansley	Afternoon Social Club
Mr M Miles	Probus Club
Mrs M Mayers	Senior Citizens Lunch Club
Mrs J Gale	Senior Citizens Club
Mrs J Ransom	Senior Citizens Hour
Dr Christopher Brill	Alresford First Responder Group
Mr B Gabriel	RAF Association
Mrs S Samuel	Royal British Legion
Mr W Smith	Royal Naval Association
Mrs G M Wood	Save the Children
Mr Piers Armstrong	Christian Aid
	Sun Hill County Infant School
	Sun Hill County Primary School
	Cheriton Primary School

Canon Paul Townsend

Deacon Nick Reynolds

Revd Philip Collins

Itchen Abbas County Primary School

St Gregory's RC Church

St John The Baptist Church

Alresford Methodist Church

New Farm Chapel

**List of Invitations to Community Event at Priory Park, Bishops Waltham**  
**Friday 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2007**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
Andy Digby	Amateur Radio
Helen Hills	Bishop's Waltham & District Bereavement Support
Dorothy Quiney	Bishop's Waltham & District Twinning Association
	Bishop's Waltham & The Meon Valley Citizen's Advice Bureau
Mrs M Archard	Bishop's Waltham Afternoon Womens Institute
Tony Dowland	Bishop's Waltham Aviation Group
Gwyn West	Bishop's Waltham Badminton Club
Mrs MacFeeters	Bishop's Waltham Badminton Club - Sunday
Kathy Lead	Bishop's Waltham Badminton Club - Thursday
Mrs A Roe	Bishop's Waltham Badminton Club - Tuesday
Jane Graham	Bishop's Waltham Badminton Club - Wednesday
Bev Rutter	Bishop's Waltham Bridge Club
	Bishop's Waltham Country Market
Ian Tromans	Bishop's Waltham Cricket Club
Roger Belton	Bishop's Waltham Dynamos Football Club
Mary Freemantle	Bishop's Waltham Evening Womens Institute
Mrs J Veck	Bishop's Waltham Festival
Steve Edge	Bishop's Waltham Fishing Club
Malcolm Thomas	Bishop's Waltham Gardening Club
J Cherchia	Bishop's Waltham Gateway Club
Martin Livermore	Bishop's Waltham In Bloom
Mrs Wemyss	Bishop's Waltham Meals on Wheels

Jill Stainer	Bishop's Waltham Mini-Bus Group
Georgina Busher	Bishop's Waltham Museum
Richard Carpenter	Bishop's Waltham R N L I
Mr & Mrs Knight	Bishop's Waltham Royal British Legion
Mrs Biddell	Bishop's Waltham Royal British Legion Women's Section
Ian Leesmith	Bishop's Waltham Society
Jonathan Belfield	Bishop's Waltham Tennis Club
Jim Bailey	Bishop's Waltham Town Football Club
Sandy Kara	Bishop's Waltham Voluntary Care Group
Linda Jeffcott	Bishop's Waltham Youth Club
Mrs C S Newman	Church Hall Pre - School
Mrs Fitall	FIR (Friends in Retirement) Club
John Perkins	First Responders
J Fairhurst	Friends of Waltham Wildlife
Kath Stanley	Geneology Club
Hilary Hunt	Guides
Margaret Johnston	Hampshire Beekeepers Association
Sue Holtem	Jubilee Writers Group
Edna Dear	Lunch Club - Monday
G Stainer	Lunch Club - Thursday
Mary Freemantle	Lunch Club - Tuesday
Betty Ivory	Meon Valley Bowling Club
Bill Drake	Meon Valley Carers Together
Serena Rowland	Meon Valley Child Minding Group
Sue Musselwhite	Meon Valley Home Start
Peter Loy	Meon Valley Lions Club
Alan Inder	Meon Valley Locomotive Society
	Meon Valley Self-Sufficiency
Bridget Carruthers	Probus Club
Lynne Bate	Ridgemedede School Swimming Association

R Castle	Rotary Club of Bishop's Waltham
Valarie Light	Scout Association
Dianne McLean	St John Ambulance
Yvette Spaven	Team Skate
Mrs H Tait	Tiddleywinks Pre-School
Mrs G Hill	Waltham Group Ramblers
	Parish Church of St Peter
	The King's Church
	Bishop's Waltham United Free Church
	Our Lady Queen of Apostles Catholic Church
	Bishop's Waltham Infant School
	Ridgemedede Junior School
	Community Action – Hampshire

**List of Invitations to Community Event at All Saints Church Hall, Denmead  
Wednesday 21<sup>st</sup> February 2007**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
Mrs E Goodman	Denmead Village Association
Ms Maureen Frost	Portsmouth & S E Hampshire Chamber of Commerce
	1 <sup>st</sup> Denmead Scout Group
Revd Sandra Edwards	All Saints Anglican Parish Church
	Denmead Belles Football Club
	Denmead Brass Band
	Denmead Community Centre
	Denmead Horse Shows
	Denmead Junior School



Denmead Operatic Society  
Denmead Methodist Church  
Denmead Striders (Running Club)  
Denmead Tennis Club  
Hambleton Infant School  
Hambleton Vineyard  
Southwick Golf Club  
Hambleton Parish Church  
    Bell Ringers  
    Choir  
Hambleton Village Hall  
    Dance Class  
    Good Companions  
    Toddler Group  
    Indoor Bowls Club  
    Womens Institute  
    Hambleton Arts Society  
    Hambleton Horticultural Society  
    Hambleton Badminton Club  
Hambleton Youth Hut  
    Hambleton Shambles Morris Dancers  
    Hambleton Youth Club  
    Hambleton Young Farmers  
    Hambleton Folk Club  
Hambleton Cricket Club  
Waterlooville Leisure Centre

**List of Invitations to Community Event at Otterbourne Village Hall**  
**Tuesday 6<sup>th</sup> March 2007**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
Mrs C Hawkins	Otterbourne Parochial Church Council
Mrs A Langrish	Otterbourne Primary School
	Shawford Village Residents Association
Mr R Douglas	Southern Water
Mrs A Forder-Stent	Twyford Residents Association
Ms J Mallone	School's Out After School Care
	Colden Common Community Centre
	Colden Common Pre School Play Group
	Rainbow Playgroup
Revd Lesley Martin	Methodist Church
Revd A Millar	Holy Trinity Church
Ms Pamela Morland	Twyford Cricket Club
	St Mary's Parish Church
	Twyford St Mary's C of E Primary School
	Compton C of E Primary School
	John Keble C of E Primary School

**List of Invitations to Community Event at Meadowside Centre, Whiteley**  
**Wednesday 28<sup>th</sup> February 2007**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
Ms Michelle Grant	Whiteley Conservation Group Quindell Golf & Country Club Meadowside Centre
Revd Barry Dugmore	Whiteley Ecumenical Church Whiteley Community Centre
Mr D Crowther	Whiteley Community First Response Anthony Nolan Bone Marrow Trust Hampshire Autistic Society
Nr Paul Miller	Whiteley Oaks Basket Ball Club Whiteley Juniors Football Club YMCA Fairthorne Group Whiteley Pre-School
Mrs Jan Upjohn	Townswomen's Guild

**List of Community Groups Invited to Wickham Event**

**Tuesday 6<sup>th</sup> February 2007 at 7.00 pm**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
	Home Start Meon Valley
Mr G Linke	Funtley Village Society
Mr M Carter	The Wickham Society
Mrs Debbie Thomas	
Mr P Hudson	Business Link Wessex
Revd Elizabeth Groves	St Nicholas Parish Church
Revd Stephen Wright	Wickham Methodist Church
	The Meon Valley Under Eights Forum
	Ravenswood House Hospital
	The 3 Bears Play Group
Mrs Evelyn Brown	Wickham Indoor Bowls
Mr Locke	Wickham Rifle Club
Mrs Carol Battersea	Yoga Group
Mrs C Jackson	Keep Fit Group
Mr N Fray	Wickham Bell Ringers
Ms Ann Sharp	Thursday Club
Mr S Pratt	Ancient Order of Foresters
Mr M Kerley	Royal British Legion
Mr B Marson	The History Society
Mr B Marson	Wickham Youth Group
	The White House (Curdridge) Ltd
Mrs C Burton	Wickham Primary School
Mrs G Walklate	Wickham Community Centre

**List of Invitations to Lionel Tubbs Hall, Kings Worthy, Winchester**  
**Tuesday 27 February 2007**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
Ms T Robertson	The Dever Society Winchester Group Ramblers Association Winnall Community Association South Wonston Table Tennis Club South Wonston Primary School
The Secretary	South Wonston Twinning Association
Ms Anita Francis	South Wonston Nursery School Ltd
Mr M Cunliffe	Winchester Gospel Hall Trust Kings Worthy Primary School Micheldever Primary School

**List of Invitations to Community Events at Wincheter Family Church, Stanmore**  
**Thursday 8<sup>th</sup> March**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Organisaiton</b>
Mr V Hayward	Stanmore Community Association
Ms G Dyke	Stanmore Community Association
Centre Manager	Carroll Youth Centre
Revd Mike Gardner	St Lukes Church
Ms C Pike	Kings School St Peter's RC Church Stanmore Primary School

Mr J Cutts	Stanmore Community Action Group
Ms R Prowse	Winchester Family Church
Mr J Richbell	8th Winchester Scout Group
Mr T Geddes (Administration)	King Alreds College, Vice President
Mrs S Rose	Stanmore Playgroup
Ms J Harper	St Clements Surgery
Mr N Swettenham	St Thomas Street Residents Association
Mr A G Weeks	Winchester City Residents Association
Mr B Scoates	Old Highcliffe Centennial Association
Mr Fleming	Sleepers Hill Association
Mrs A Rich	St Giles Residents Association
Mr A Kayum	Winchester Muslim Cultural Association
Mrs Beth Taylor	Churches Together Winchester
	Badger Farm & Oliver's Battery Community Centre
	Badger Farm Preschool Playgroup
	Badger Farm Surgery
	Battery Club, St Marks Church Hall
Mr P Bardon	University of the Third Age
	Jo Jingles
	Badger Farm Badminton Club
	Parents & Tots
Mr C J Barrett	Winchester Tae Kwon Do
Ms Sue Oldfield	Badger Farm Art Group

**List of Invitations to LDF Community Event at Weeke Community Centre  
Thursday 1<sup>st</sup> March 2007**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Organisation</b>
-------------	---------------------

Mrs K Walker

Weeke Community Association

Weeke Primary School

Weeke Community Centre

Open House

ACE - Peter Symonds College

Winchester District Girls Football League

Winchester Morris Men

Mr M Lupton

Littleton Village Care Group

Ms Janet Bird

Harestock Neighbourhood Care Group

Ms Elaine Hickley

WRVS

Barney Bears Parent & Toddler Group

Mr Godfrey Fey

Teg Down Residents Association

Weeke Parent & Toddler Group

Winchester Sport Association for the Disabled

Mr R Chisnell

Rotary Club of Winchester District

Mr M Healey

Winchester Round Table

Harestock Playgroup

Retirement Club

Henry's Kindergarten

Mr Brian Baxendale

Littleton Bowling Club

Littleton Millenium Memorial Hall

Sparsholt Village Hall

Sparsholt College

Littleton Cricket Club

Littleton Lawn Tennis Club

# Appendix A02

Hampshire Chronicle Article



# Vision of city 20 years on

HC

P4

## Winchester/People urged to attend public forums to discuss how they want to live and work

**BY STAFF REPORTER**  
news@hampshirechronicle.co.uk

PEOPLE in Winchester are being urged to think about how they want their lives in the city to be shaped for the next 20 years.

Winchester City Council wants to help local communities become more sustainable, which means they are not only great places to live, work and do business, but also take care of the environment and leave a good legacy for future generations.

It is working towards two important documents, the Local Development Framework, and the Community Strategy.

Both look forward 20 years and will help the council maintain and develop our communities so that the way we live in 2026 is how we all want it to be.

Cllr George Beckett, the leader of the city council, said: "It's hard to imagine what you will want for yourself, your children and your community in 20 years time, especially when things are changing so rapidly.

"But to become a sustainable community we need to be thinking at least this far ahead.

"It's really important that people take time to consider



the future of our city and district, and talk to us about what will make their communities fit for the challenges of the 21st century.

"Taking part in the 'Live for the Future' events and questionnaire, is a great opportunity for people to let us know their views, and also to understand more about the work we are doing to ensure the Winchester district continues to be one of the best places to live in the UK."

Sustainable communities have:

- Social and cultural wellbeing
- Environmental wellbeing
- A flourishing and diverse local economy
- Good transport services and communications
- Access to a full range of services
- Good governance

The council will be holding 'Live for the Future' events across the district over the next

month, asking people how sustainable their area is, and what they should maintain, or try and change.

Venues, dates and times of events are: February 13 — Wickham Community Centre, 7pm; February 21 — All Saints Church Hall, Denmead, 7pm; February 27 — Lionel Tubbs Hall, Kings Worthy, 7pm; February 28 — Meadowside Leisure Centre, Whiteley, 7pm; March 1 — Weeke Community Centre, 7pm; March 2 — Priory Park, Bishop's Waltham, 7pm; March 6 — Otterbourne Village Hall, 7.30 pm; March 7 — Perins School, Alresford, 7pm; March 8 — Stanmore Family Church, 7pm.

Anyone who cannot attend the meetings, but would like more information, can find it on the city council's website at [www.winchester.gov.uk](http://www.winchester.gov.uk).

Alternatively, you can call 01962 840 222 for a copy of the questionnaire.

# Appendix A03

Live for the Future questionnaire



# Live for the Future...

**Sustainable communities are great places to live, work, and do business, but also take care of the environment and leave a good legacy for future generations.**

Winchester City Council wishes to hear from local communities, individuals, commercial businesses – in fact from everyone, in preparing its plans and policies for the future.

Winchester City Council is thinking about change, as part of the process of preparing its Local Development Framework and Community Strategy. These will look ahead until 2026 to promote the creation and maintenance of sustainable communities through a range of policies and proposals for change, improvement and development in the District.

This questionnaire aims to explore a range of matters and we would value your views on how important you consider these matters by ranking them in order of priority firstly for your local area and then for the wider District.

When you have completed this questionnaire please return it in the envelope provided to the FREEPOST address – you do not need a stamp, we are however encouraging people to complete the questionnaire online – simply go to **[www.winchester.gov.uk](http://www.winchester.gov.uk)** and follow the links.

*Your individual responses will remain confidential to Winchester City Council and will be treated in accordance with the Data Protection Act. Reporting of responses will be anonymous and aggregated to produce a report on this consultation, which will be a public document. If you wish to be informed of the results and notified of the next stages in the production of the Local Development Framework and Community Strategy, please fill in your details at the end of the form.*



**Winchester**  
City Council

**A Sustainable  
Winchester District**



# 1

## Health, Wellbeing and Safe Communities

Please indicate how important you consider the following **a)** in your local area and **b)** in the whole District, by ranking the following in order of importance (7 most important - 1 least important).

Local Area		District
	There are safe routes for pedestrians and cyclists	
	A wide range of sport/leisure and cultural services are available	
	There are good support services for the elderly and disabled	
	New developments are designed to minimise opportunities for crime	
	Transport services are available	
	Housing meets the recognised needs of all sections of the community	
	There are a range of services and activities for children and young people	

# 2

## Economic Prosperity

Please indicate how important you consider the following **a)** in your local area and **b)** in the whole District, by ranking the following in order of importance (7 most important - 1 least important).

Local Area		District
	Town and village centres are successful and prosperous	
	Commuting distances (into and out of the area) are reduced	
	Suitable space is available to meet the needs of local businesses	
	Housing is available and affordable for people to live and work in the district	
	A wide range of training opportunities exist for those who need them	
	There are opportunities for people to work close to where they live	
	Public transport should be improved to reduce congestion	

# 3

## High Quality Environment

Please indicate how important you consider the following **a)** in your local area and **b)** in the whole District, by ranking the following in order of importance (7 most important - 1 least important).

Local Area		District
	New developments (commercial and domestic) meet high energy-efficiency specifications, above the minimum requirements and incorporate renewable energy technologies	
	Local distinctiveness is understood and valued	
	Pollution levels (air, ground, water and light) are low	
	Existing natural habitats are protected and enhanced and new ones created	
	Increased densities and 'brownfield' sites should be promoted to avoid using 'greenfield' sites	
	Sufficient off road car parking spaces should be available	
	New development should be concentrated in or on the edge of existing settlements	

# 4

## Inclusive Society

Please indicate how important you consider the following **a)** in your local area and **b)** in the whole District, by ranking the following in order of importance (7 most important - 1 least important).

Local Area		District
	The needs of future generations are taken into account in current actions and decisions	
	People participate in decisions about the future of their communities	
	Everybody has access to the services/facilities they need	
	The development of community centres, schools and health services should match the planned growth in housing	
	New housing should offer a choice of size and types of homes	
	All development should have good access to public transport facilities	
	Open space and recreational facilities are accessible and available to all	

**5** Your postcode

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**6** Your gender

Male

Female

**7** Your age

Under 16  40-59

16-19  60+

20-39

**8** To which of these groups do you consider you belong? (Please tick  one box.)

<b>White</b>		<b>Black or Black British</b>	
British <input type="checkbox"/>		Caribbean <input type="checkbox"/>	
Irish <input type="checkbox"/>		African <input type="checkbox"/>	
Any other white background <input type="checkbox"/> ..... (Please describe)		Any other Black background <input type="checkbox"/> ..... (Please describe)	
<b>Mixed</b>		<b>Asian or Asian British</b>	
White & Black Caribbean <input type="checkbox"/>		Indian <input type="checkbox"/>	
White & Black African <input type="checkbox"/>		Pakistani <input type="checkbox"/>	
White & Asian <input type="checkbox"/>		Bangladeshi <input type="checkbox"/>	
Any other mixed background <input type="checkbox"/> ..... (Please describe)		Any other Asian background <input type="checkbox"/> ..... (Please describe)	
Chinese <input type="checkbox"/>		Other ethnic group <input type="checkbox"/> ..... (Please describe)	

**9** Would you like us to keep you informed of the progress of this campaign?

YES  NO

*If yes, please give us your contact details*

Name .....

House Number / Name .....

Street .....

District .....

Post Town .....

Post Code .....

Email .....

**Thank you for completing this questionnaire.  
Please place it in the envelope provided and return to  
the address below by FRIDAY 30th MARCH.  
There is no need to put a stamp on the envelope.**

**Live for the Future Campaign, Strategic Planning, Winchester City Council,  
FREEPOST NAT15377, WINCHESTER, SO23 9ZW**

# Appendix A04


Live for the Future e-newsletter  
February 2007

Help get more people involved - [Forward to a friend](#)



# Live for the Future...

Issue 1  **Winchester**  
City Council



Dear @Name@

## Welcome to the first edition of our Live for the Future newsletter

Winchester City Council wants to help local communities become more sustainable, which means they are not only great places to live, work and do business - but they also take care of the environment and leave a good legacy for future generations.

We are working towards two important documents, the Local Development Framework and the Community Strategy: both look forward twenty years and will help us maintain and develop our communities so that the way we live in 2026 is how we all want it to be.

### what is a sustainable community?

Sustainable communities have:

- \* Social and Cultural Wellbeing
- \* A Flourishing and Diverse Local Economy
- \* Good Transport Services and Communications
- \* Environmental Wellbeing
- \* Access to a Full Range of Services
- \* Good Governance

We have had a great response already to the Live for the Future campaign, but we want to reach as many people in our communities as possible, so why not encourage friends family and colleagues to get involved - why not forward this email



### community consultation

Find out where and how you can get involved and let us know your views.



### sustainability

How can you live for the future? What does it really mean to be sustainable?

Want to know more about how you can make a difference and change small things in your everyday routines then click here.

### the process

This is the first stage of our Live for the Future campaign and in the



to them?

So far we have held two excellent events and people are enjoying the experience. But what should you expect? These meetings are not about being talked at or listening for hours; we want you to be at the heart of the meeting and join in to answer questions, tell us where you shop, work, spend your leisure time and get talking about the issues that concern you. So if you haven't already why not come along and join in with your community



autumn we will be asking you about the issues and options that have arisen from the questionnaires and events.

You will receive feedback from the events and consultation on the various plans and strategies the Live for the Future campaign feeds into by being subscribed to this e-newsletter, so look out for future editions.



### **let us have your views now**

Why not fill in our online questionnaire now - it should only take a few minutes and will be really important for us to start making plans to develop our communities the way you want us to.

[Unsubscribe](#)

[Forward this mailing to a friend](#)

# Appendix A05

Poster and flier



# Live for the Future...

**Sustainable communities are great places to live, work, and do business, but also take care of the environment and leave a good legacy for future generations.**

Winchester City Council wishes to hear from local communities, individuals, commercial businesses – in fact from everyone, in preparing its plans and policies for the next twenty years.

Over the next few weeks we will be holding community events to gather information for our Local Development Framework and Community Strategy.

A list of venues can be found overleaf.

**So come along and help us to plan for the future – we want to hear from everyone in the community.**

**A Sustainable  
Winchester District**

## Here's how you can get involved:-

1. attend one of our community events
2. fill in a questionnaire either online at [www.winchester.gov.uk](http://www.winchester.gov.uk), phone **01962 840 222** for a paper copy or text **FUTURE** to **07797 877 004** with your name, address & email.



**Winchester**  
City Council



## Community Events :-

<b>Date</b>	<b>Venue</b>
<b>Tues 13 Feb</b>	<b>Wickham Community Centre, Mill Lane, Wickham</b>
<b>Weds 21 Feb</b>	<b>All Saints Church Hall, Hambledon Road, Denmead</b>
<b>Tues 27 Feb</b>	<b>The Lionel Tubbs Hall, Kings Worthy Community Centre, Fraser Road, Kings Worthy, Winchester</b>
<b>Weds 28 Feb</b>	<b>The Meadowside Centre, Whiteley Way, Whiteley</b>
<b>Thurs 01 Mar</b>	<b>Weeke Community Centre, Taplings Road, Weeke, Winchester</b>
<b>Fri 02 Mar</b>	<b>Priory Park Clubhouse, Elizabeth Way, Bishops Waltham</b>
<b>Tues 06 Mar</b>	<b>Otterbourne Village Hall, Cranbourne Drive, Otterbourne</b>
<b>Weds 07 Mar</b>	<b>Perins School, Pound Hill, Alresford</b>
<b>Thurs 08 Mar</b>	<b>Winchester Family Church, Stanmore Lane, Winchester</b>

N.B. All events will commence at 7pm, except Otterbourne which will start at 7.30pm.

**Live for the Future...**

# Appendix A06

Perspectives articles

# ice could be nice

Skate away the days before Christmas on Winchester's own ice rink.

The Inner Close of the Cathedral will be transformed this December as, for the first time, a real ice rink and German-style Christmas market pay a visit. The rink will be open from 1 December to 7 January offering hourly sessions which are bookable in advance. The market will operate between 1 - 10 December offering a range of quality Christmas crafts.

For further information or bookings for the ice rink, contact the Cathedral box office on **01962 857 276**. For information about the market contact Stephanie Swann on **01962 857 274**, or email [stephanie.swann@winchester-cathedral.org.uk](mailto:stephanie.swann@winchester-cathedral.org.uk), alternatively visit the website at [www.winchester-cathedral.org.uk](http://www.winchester-cathedral.org.uk) where details about both can be found.

At the time *perspectives* was being printed, the Cathedral was awaiting planning approval for the rink.



## shape *the future*

The future of the Winchester District is being looked at in two important documents.

**The Winchester District Community Strategy** sets out a vision for the future of the District – looking forward 20 years. It identifies the most important changes which are needed to make the District a better place to live and work, now and for the future.

The Community Strategy is updated annually. You can find a draft of the new updated strategy on the City Council's website at [www.winchester.gov.uk](http://www.winchester.gov.uk)

This year's consultation process is almost complete so please let us have any comments as soon as possible. We will publish the final version of the updated Community Strategy in January.

**The Local Development Framework** is a new approach to planning the way land is used to achieve the vision of the Community Strategy.

We are just beginning work to create a Winchester District Development Framework, which is like a folder containing different documents. Each document needs to reflect the views of local people.

One of the most important documents is the 'Core Strategy'. This sets out the main policies for the way land and buildings can be used across the District.

We need to hear from local people about the way they want their communities to be in the future so that these important strategies reflect your views. What you tell us will help to shape both the new Core Strategy and the next update of the Winchester District Community Strategy.

### how YOU can get involved

- We will be issuing a special 'Shape the Future' bulletin to keep people informed. The easiest way to get hold of the bulletin is to visit the City Council website and sign up to have it delivered direct to your email inbox. If you do not have access to the Internet, please ring **01962 840 222** for a paper copy.
- Look out for special events happening in your area during the Spring. We will advertise these events in the media, on our website, on parish notice boards, and in the 'Shape the Future' bulletin.





# Live for the Future...

## A Sustainable Winchester District

How can you live for the future? What does it really mean to be sustainable? We hope you'll be asking yourself these questions and want to find out more.

The Council wants to help local communities become more sustainable, which means they are not only great places to live, work and do business - but also take care of the environment and leave a good legacy for future generations.

We are working towards two important documents, the Local Development Framework and the Community Strategy: both look forward twenty years and will help us enhance our city, towns and villages, adapt to pressures such as climate change – and maintain a good quality of life for everyone.

It is very hard to imagine what you will want for yourself, your children and your community in twenty years time, especially when things are changing so rapidly, but in order for us to become sustainable we need to be thinking at least this far ahead.


Sustainable communities have:

- Social and Cultural Wellbeing
- Environmental Wellbeing
- A Flourishing and Diverse Local Economy
- Good Transport Services and Communications
- Access to a Full Range of Services
- Good Governance

(For more information about what this means in practice visit our website)

We have been holding 'Live for the Future' events across our communities over the last month, asking people how sustainable their area is, and what we should maintain, or try and change. If you missed one of our events you can still let us have your views by visiting our website [www.winchester.gov.uk](http://www.winchester.gov.uk) and filling in the quick and simple on-line form, alternatively you can call **01962 840 222** and we will send you a copy of the questionnaire.

Get involved and feel proud of the district in which you live – why not sign up for our e-newsletter to follow the progress of the 'Live for the Future' campaign, just register on the website and find out what you and others want for our district.



# Live for the Future...



## we asked, people responded, do you agree?

A huge **thank you** to everyone who took part in the first stage of 'Live for the Future' by attending one of our many events, or by filling in a questionnaire either on paper or on-line. We had some great input from residents including young people, and from organisations

The main areas that people wanted us to plan for and act on over the next 20 years were...

- More affordable housing so families and young people can stay in the area
- More accessible and reliable public transport
- More local job opportunities to reduce commuting
- Eco buildings and carbon neutral new developments
- Low density and traditional housing
- Better cycle routes that link places
- Infrastructure to be provided when developments are built and not added at a later stage

To the right is just a small sample of what people said – if you want to find out more visit [www.winchester.gov.uk/liveforthefuture](http://www.winchester.gov.uk/liveforthefuture)

The great thing about 'Live for the Future' is that there are still opportunities for you to participate. We have asked what you want for the district in the next 20 years, people have responded and now we want to know if you agree. We will write up all the issues and suggest options to take us forward. This 'Issues and Options' report will be available in

late summer. Please give us your comments on these ideas and help us get a clear direction for the future of our district.

We will need your thoughts on these sorts of questions:

**young people also gave us some interesting insights...**

*I think they should stop building things on nature*

*I like being near to a park, country park, shopping centre – I love all the availability – I can walk from my house*

*Houses are too expensive*

*Too much traffic – one way system. Need to be more eco friendly*

- How should we best achieve the outcomes people say they want?
- If two issues require actions which are incompatible – which is more important to you?
- By asking you these questions now we will be able to grow as a district with common aims.

Another aspect of 'Live for the Future' is that your comments are shared with many different organisations in the district so they can work together to achieve the results people want more effectively. These shared aims are set out in our Community Strategy. The outcomes we are working towards are health and wellbeing, freedom from fear, economic prosperity, high quality environment, and an inclusive society.

The recently launched Winchester District Strategic Partnership website is a great way to find out about different groups working across the district and what they are trying to achieve. Why not have a look at [www.wdsp.co.uk](http://www.wdsp.co.uk) and see if there are ways that your groups and societies, or you as an individual, can work together with others to make good things happen in the Winchester district.



# Appendix A07

Live for the Future Community Events  
February – March 2007

## Appendix A07 : Live for the Future Community Events February – March 2007

1.1 A series of 9 community events were held in the following locations :-

Date	Venue
Tues 13 Feb	Wickham Community Centre, Mill Lane, Wickham
Weds 21 Feb	All Saints Church Hall, Hambledon Road, Denmead
Tues 27 Feb	The Lionel Tubbs Hall, Kings Worthy Community Centre, Fraser Road, Kings Worthy, Winchester
Weds 28 Feb	The Meadowside Centre, Whiteley Way, Whiteley
Thurs 01 Mar	Weeke Community Centre, Taplings Road, Weeke, Winchester
Fri 02 Mar	Priory Park Clubhouse, Elizabeth Way, Bishops Waltham
Tues 06 Mar	Otterbourne Village Hall
Weds 07 Mar	Perins School, Alresford
Thurs 08 Mar	Winchester Family Church, Stanmore Lane, Winchester

1.2 Each event was held during a weekday evening commencing at 7/7.30 pm for a period of two hours. The above locations were chosen to provide a good coverage of the whole of the district, due to the size of the urban area of Winchester two events were held to enable a range of organisations and local people to attend.

1.3 The invitations that were issued inviting local organisations and community groups to a specific community event targeting their area, also stressed that alternatives dates and venues were available if potential participants could not attend the venue nearest to them.

1.4 The events were staffed by officers from Winchester City Council and volunteers from Winchester Area Community Action (WACA) and staff from neighbouring local authorities who were members of the local facilitators network.

1.5 On arrival participants were requested to sign in and to provide contact details if they wished to be kept informed of the LDF process. They were then requested to complete a demographics chart by ticking a series of boxes covering questions such as age and ethnicity. In addition each participant was

given a set of sticky dots colour coded according to where they lived (for use in exercise 1).

- 1.6 The table at Annex 1 sets out the levels of attendance at each event together with the results of the age/ethnic survey.
- 1.7 Each event was run on the same basis and commenced with a welcome by a Winchester City Councillor (if available) followed by a short introductory presentation by City Council officers, explaining the purpose of the evening, the legislative obligations for the process including the need to take into account planning advice and guidance at both the national and regional level. The presentation also gave an introduction and some background information as to the concept of sustainable communities.
- 1.8 Two exercises were undertaken during the evening the first explored participants travel patterns when shopping for a range of goods and services and the second was expressed to investigate what participants liked about their local community and what they felt needed to change when compared to a series of headings looking at the components of a sustainable community. The second exercise was undertaken in smaller groups with 1-2 facilitators per group.

Photo 3



- 1.9 The events concluded with officers explaining that the information gathered will contribute to the development of an issues and options report for the Core Strategy that will be available for comment later in 2007. Finally participants leaving were requested to complete a feedback sheet as to how they felt the evening was run and would they attend a similar event in the future. The results of this feedback are set out at Annex 2

#### Community Event Exercise 1

- 1.10 Exercise 1 looked at travel patterns when shopping for a range of goods and services, and where people spent most of their time. A series of 9 maps covering the local area and the district were set up around the venue each covering one of the following questions:-

- Qu 1. Where do you go for every day/top up shop?
- Qu 2. Where do you do your weekly/monthly food shop?
- Qu 3. Where would you go to buy bulky goods and/or CD's/books?
- Qu 4. Where do you go for financial/banking services?
- Qu 5. Where would you go to buy clothes/shoes?
- Qu 6. Where do you go for health and related services?
- Qu 7. Where do you work/go to college/spend your day?
- Qu 8. Where do you go for your evening/social activities?
- Qu 9. Where would you prefer to go to access these goods and services?

- 1.11 The purpose of this exercise was to let participants demonstrate how far they travelled to access certain goods and services by asking some simple straight forward questions about behaviour. Each participant was requested to place one of their dots at the place that they undertook a particular activity. Facilitators were available to ensure participants only nominated one place per map and clarified the aim of the exercise was to get a general indication of behaviour. In all cases an 'internet' option was available as well as the opportunity to nominate places beyond the Winchester District boundary.

- 1.12 This information provides a useful insight into how settlements function and are used by the local community, including how far people are willing or have to travel to access certain goods. This data will contribute to the consideration of the spatial development strategy for the district. The results of this exercise at each of the 9 events are set out in full within Annex 3

- 1.13 Table 1 summarises the total number and percentage of people participating in the exercise and how far they travel to access different facilities/vices.

**Table 1**

	Qu1	Qu1	Qu 2	Qu 2	Qu 3	Qu 3	Qu 4	Qu 4	Qu5	Qu5
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Within Parish	85	66	46	24	18	11	56	35	23	14
Neighbouring Parish	21	16	37	19	3	2	14	9	8	5
Further within District	7	5	34	18	15	9	16	10	21	13
Outside District	15	12	66	35	80	50	33	21	110	65
Internet	0	0	7	4	43	27	41	26	6	4
Sum	128	100	190	100	159	100	160	100	168	100

	Qu6	Qu6	Qu7	Qu7	Qu8	Qu8	Qu9	Qu9
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Within Parish	101	62	87	56	71	43	76	58
Neighbouring Parish	30	18	10	6	23	14	23	17
Further within District	19	12	14	9	22	13	15	11
Outside District	14	9	44	28	47	29	16	12
Internet	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2
Sum	164	100	155	100	164	100	132	100


1.14 Figure 1 and Figure 2 show the general patterns of travel within the district. The graphs on the map indicate the total number of participants travelling to that parish to access a facility or service. The graphs below the maps show the distances travelled to access these facilities.

1.15 A brief analysis of the results suggests the following:-


1.16 Larger settlements play an important part in providing a range of facilities and services, however, parishes are also an important resource for everyday goods. While Winchester City is an important source for all facilities and services, the larger settlements such as New Alresford, Bishops Waltham, Wickham and Whitely are important for providing everyday/top up shop facilities and also play an important role in providing health and related services. The pattern of where people go for the majority of their daytime and evening activities is much more scattered.

1.17 Participants predominantly travel within their own parish for everyday/top up shops. However, a high percentage of participants tend to travel outside the district for weekly/monthly shopping, clothes and shoes and larger bulky goods than for health and related services. A lot of participants also spend their evening and daytimes outside the district, rather than in any neighbouring parish, or further within the district.


**Figure 1.** A) Map shows where participants go to access different services based on questions 1,2,3 and 5 in the key. B) graph shows the percentage of participants travelling within/outside the District to access these goods/services.



**Figure 2.** A) Map shows where participants go to access different services based on questions 4, 6,7 and 8 in the key. B) graph shows the percentage of participants travelling within/outside the District to access these goods/services.



**Figure 3.** Map showing the number of participants who would like to travel to a parish to access facilities and services and graph indicating the distance they would need to travel by percentage of participants.



1.18 Figure 3 shows the destinations where participants would like to travel to, to access the facilities and services highlighted in the questions. The graph demonstrates that a high proportion of participants if they were given the choice and the service was provided locally would use that facility.




1.19 Finally this exercise concluded with participants placing dots in boxes relating to how they travelled to/ accessed the various goods and services on the previous maps. The results of the travel exercise at each of the 9 events are set out in full within Annex 4.

1.20 **Figure 4** below summaries how participants predominately travel to the various goods and services listed in para. 1.10.

1.21 The chart shows that use the car is the main mode of transport for many of the activities listed. Driving a car was particularly high for buying heavy and bulky goods (for example their weekly or monthly shop). Walking is also a popular means of travel, especially for lighter shopping and accessing services and activities near to their home. The internet had a high response rate for accessing bank and financial services and for buying bulky goods and CDs. Little use was made of public transport services.

**Figure 4** Methods of travel to services & activities





## Community Event Exercise 2

- 1.22 What makes a community sustainable? This is a key question that the City Council wishes to explore as part of its LDF and Community Strategy.
- 1.23 The following four key themes have been adapted from the government guidance on sustainable communities. These were then used with a series of 'prompt' questions to allow participants to debate and agree what they liked about their area and what they felt needed to change looking ahead 20 years:-.
- Active, inclusive and safe, well run and fair for everyone
  - Well designed, built and thriving
  - Accessible with good services
  - Environmentally sensitive

### **Section 1 : Active, inclusive and safe, well run and fair for everyone...**

- Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate?
- Is there a range of facilities available for everyone?
- Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking actions?

### **Section 2 : Well designed, built and thriving...**

- Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable?
- What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity?
- What do you enjoy about where you live?

### **Section 3 : Accessible with good services...**

- Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services?

### **Section 4 : Environmentally Sensitive...**

- Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)?
- Do you have good access to the countryside and green spaces?
- Are there many sites for wildlife in your area?

1.24 The use of the ‘prompt’ questions whilst not restricting the debate guided the discussion to ensure that all the workshop groups at a particular event had the opportunity to contribute to all the themes being explored. Each debate was recorded by the facilitators on flip charts following the same format:-

<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
--	------------------------------

1.25 This method whilst highlighting a number of local issues beyond the scope of the LDF also allows for comparisons between the various parts of the district where common observations and concerns can be extrapolated. It also looks beyond the traditional topics (i.e. housing, employment, transport) that have in the past been used to gain the views of local communities. It raises awareness of the overall concept of the creation and maintenance of sustainable communities being a key consideration of the Council, and starts to link and consolidate the LDF and Community Strategy processes and desired outcomes. The results of this exercise at each of the 9 events are set out at Annex 5 in full.

1.26 This information will provide valuable data when determining the spatial portrait and subsequent spatial development strategy for the district. Despite the range of locations for these community events a number of common themes have been expressed by the participants for example :-

<b>Section 1</b>	<b>Active, inclusive and safe, well run and fair for everyone...</b>
a	Within the rural areas there is a general consensus that there is a strong sense of community identity, whilst there is an acknowledgement that only certain sectors of the population participate – typically the same groups and organisations for all purposes. In Winchester participants commented on there being lots of little communities and less integration.
b	All participants valued access to community/village halls and observations were made as to the presence of specific facilities – some aimed more at the older population whilst others were targeted at the young.
c	There was an overwhelming consensus recognising the need for more affordable, accessible housing so as to retain families and young people within the various communities and settlements.

<b>Section 2</b>	<b>Well designed built and thriving...</b>
a	Most participants liked the fact that they had access to a range of facilities (both locally and further afield) and more importantly the countryside, and generally enjoyed living where they did, and were proud of their area.
b	Reiteration of the need for more affordable housing but not at the cost of high density inappropriate development – recognition of the need for traditional forms of housing whilst making use of new technologies particularly in terms of being more energy efficient.
c	At a number of the events there was an acknowledgement that whilst jobs may be available people could not afford to live in the same area and had to commute. There was also a recognition that the nature of employment was changing through home working etc, and in some areas there was demand for small business units. In Winchester it was acknowledged there was a lack of ‘high quality’ jobs and opportunities for local enterprise, but there were many jobs in the retail and service sector.
d	The need for facilities (including access) to be provided at the time of development and change was a concern raised by a number of participants.

<b>Section 3</b>	<b>Accessible with good services...</b>
a	A number of the larger rural settlements acknowledged and enjoyed the fact that they were fairly self-sufficient with a range of facilities and services – shops, schools, but were poorly provided for in terms of health services, many doctors/dentists closing or amalgamating. All commented that it was essential to have a car to access more specialised facilities.
b	Many comments were made on the lack of public transport services or if there was a service that it was expensive and infrequent.
c	Both the rural areas and Winchester made comments relating to cycling and walking primarily relating to the lack of safe routes and the need for routes to link places.
d	The issue of car parking was raised in Winchester with contradictory comments – some suggesting the need for more parking spaces and others for less.

<b>Section 4</b>	<b>Environmentally Sensitive...</b>
a	There was a general consensus from all participants that they had reasonable access to the countryside and open space – many commenting on the richness of the wildlife. Within some settlements participants commented on the need for play space/open space to be better maintained.
b	Within the rural areas and Winchester participants commented on the need for more sensitive development both in scale and appearance.
c	There was a consensus for all new development to be more energy efficient.

## Appendix A07 (Annex 1)

Live for the Future Community Events February – March 2007  
(Levels of Attendance and Ethnic Breakdown)

## Appendix A07 - Annex 1: Community Events: Levels of Attendance and Ethnic Breakdown


date	venue	no. attending	White British <i>(not all participants completed these details)</i>					White Irish
			under 16	16-19	20 - 39	40 - 59	60+	60+
13/02/2007	Wickham	22			1	6	5	1
21/02/2007	Denmead	9				5	3	
27/02/2007	Kingsworthy	8				1	7	
28/02/2007	Whiteley	21		1	7	8	6	
01/03/2007	Weeke (Winchester 1)	18			2	2	12	1
02/03/2007	Bishops Waltham	20				5	12	
06/03/2007	Otterbourne	13			1	4	6	
07/03/2007	Alresford	25		1	3	2	10	
08/03/2007	Stanmore (Winchester 2)	32			3	8	5	1
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>168</b>		<b>2</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>% of total who completed age/ethnic profile</b>			<b>1.50%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>2%</b>




## Appendix A07 - Annex 2: Community Events: Feedback

At the end of some of the community events participants were asked to complete a feedback form. As not all participants had the opportunity to give feedback the following results do not represent the opinions all those who attended.

### Section 1



### Section 2



### **Section 3**

How did you find out about this event?

	%
Posters/Leaflets	14
Winchester City Council Website	5
Invitation letter	36
Word of mouth	21
Other	23

If 'other' please state by what means

- email
- husband,
- accidental,
- more by luck than anything else,
- working,
- church.
- Telephone call,
- Friends of the Earth
- Parish Council
- [www.whiteleymatters.com](http://www.whiteleymatters.com)
- Council email
- Winchester Trust,
- Stanmore Combined

## Appendix A07 (Annex 3)

Live for the Future Community Events February – March 2007  
(Results of Community Events: Exercise 1. Results: Facilities and  
Services)

## Appendix A07 - Annex 3: Results of Community Events: Exercise 1. Results: Facilities and Services

Exercise 1 looked at travel patterns when shopping for a range of goods and services. A series of 9 maps covering the local area and the district were set up around the venue each covering one of the following questions :-

**Qu 1.** Where do you go for every day/top up shop?

**Qu 2.** Where do you do your weekly/monthly food shop?

**Qu 3.** Where would you go to buy bulky goods and/or CD's/books?

**Qu 5.** Where would you go to buy clothes/shoes?

**Qu 4.** Where do you go for financial/banking services?

**Qu 6.** Where do you go for health and related services?

**Qu 7.** Where do you work/go to college/spend your day?

**Qu 8.** Where do you go for your evening/social activities?


**Qu 9.** Where would you prefer to go to access these goods and services?

The raw data showing how many people from each parish travelled to any other parish within the Winchester District, or travelling outside the district is held within tables 1 to 9 below. The colours within the tables correspond to how far the participant was considered to travel based upon the originating parish and the parish where the facilities are used, as shown below.

Within Parish
Neighbouring Parish
Further within District
Outside District
Internet

Table 1 Qu 1: Where do you go for everyday/top up shop?


Parish where facilities used 

Originating Parish 

Parish NAME	Badger Farm	Bishops Waltham	Colden Common	Denmead	Kings Worthy	New Alresford	Otterbourne	South Wonston	Sparsholt	Swanmore	Twyford	Whiteley	Winchester	Wickham	Botley	Fareham	Hedge End	Waterlooville	Chandler's Ford	Fair Oak	Park Gate	
<b>SUM</b>	5	15	2	4	4	24	2	2	2	1	2	14	15	17	1	5	2	6	1	3	1	128
Bighton						2																
Bishops Waltham		13																				
Colden Common			2									2					1					
Compton and Shawford	2											1										
Curdridge															1							
Denmead				4												1		4				
Headbourne Worthy													1									
Itchen Valley					1	2																
Kings Worthy					3																	
Littleton and Harestock													2									
New Alresford						18																
Old Alresford		1				1																
Otterbourne	1						2						1								3	
Shedfield		1													2							
South Wonston								2														
Sparsholt									2				1									
Swanmore										1												
Twyford											1											
Whiteley												14										1
Wickham														15		4	1					
Winchester	2												8									
Outside the District						1													2	1		

Table 2 Qu 2: Where do you go for your weekly/monthly food shop?


Parish where facilities used 

Originating Parish 

Parish NAME	Badger Farm	Bishops Waltham	Hursley	New Alresford	Whiteley	Winchester	Wickham	Internet	Eastleigh	Fareham	Hedge End	Southampton	Waterlooville	Chandlers Ford	Romsey	Alton	Guildford	Bursledon	Park Gate	
<b>Sum</b>	44	2	1	1	13	54	2	7	1	18	21	3	9	1	6	3	1	1	2	190
Bighton						1												1		
Bishops Sutton																				
Bishops Waltham		2						1		1	9		1							
Colden Common										1	2									
Compton and Curdrige	3																			
Denmead											1			6						
Headbourne Worthy						1														
Hursley	1		1																	
Itchen Valley				1		2		1												
Kings Worthy	1					3														
Littleton and	3					1														
New Alresford	3					14		2								1				
Old Alresford																			1	
Otterbourne	1					2										4				
Shedfield												3								
South Wonston						2														
Sparsholt	1												3							
Swanmore								1		1										
Twyford	1																			
Whiteley					13							6								2
Wickham							2	1		14										
Winchester	30					28		1						1	2	1				
Outside the District											1			2			1			

Table 3 Qu 3: Where do you Buy Bulky Goods and/or CDs/Books?


Parish where facilities used 

Originating Parish 

Parish NAME	Badger Farm	Bishops Waltham	Itchen Valley	Winchester	Internet	Basingstoke	Eastleigh	Fareham	Hedge End	Portsmouth	Segensworth	Southampton	Waterlooville	Reading	Alton		
<b>Sum</b>	1	1	1	1	33	43	2	3	10	14	3	1	40	5	1	1	159
Bighton						1									1		
Bishops Waltham		1			1	2			1	3			4	1			
Colden Common					2					2			1				
Compton and Shawford													2				
Curdridge										1							
Denmead						1			2	1	2			2			
Headbourne Worthy						1											
Hursley					1			1						1			
Itchen Valley					1	2											
Kings Worthy						3											
Littleton and Harestock					1	1							1				
New Alresford					7	4							6				1
Old Alresford								1					1				
Otterbourne				1	1	1							5				
Shedfield					2								1				
South Wonston						1		1									
Sparsholt		1				1							1				
Swanmore						1							1				
Twyford						1											
Whiteley						11			4	4			1				
Wickham						7			3	2	1	1	5				
Winchester					17	5		1		1			9				
Outside the District								1					1	2			

Table 4 Qu 4: Where do you go for Financial/Banking Services?

Parish where facilities used 

Originating Parish 

Parish NAME	Bishops Sutton	Bishops Waltham	Denmead	Itchen Valley	New Alresford	Winchester	Wickham	Internet	Eastleigh	Fareham	Hedge End	London	Portsmouth	Southampton	Waterlooville	Chandlers Ford	Alton	Park Gate	Sum
Sum	1	11	3	1	12	51	8	41	2	10	2	3	1	3	6	1	1	3	160
Bighton	1					1													
Bishops Waltham		9				1		2			1								
Colden Common						2			1						1				
Compton and Curdrige						3													
Denmead			2						1										
Headbourne Worthy						1		1											
Hursley						1													
Itchen Valley					1	1		1											
Kings Worthy						3													
Littleton and						2		1											
New Alresford				1	10	3		3				2							
Old Alresford						1													
Otterbourne							3	3									1		
Shedfield		2						1											
South Wonston						1		1											
Sparsholt						1		1											
Swanmore								2											
Twyford								1											
Whiteley								12		5									3
Wickham							7	7		4	1		1						
Winchester						28		5				1		2					
Outside the District			1							1					1		1		



Table 5 Qu 5: Where do you go to buy clothes/shoes?


Parish where facilities used

Parish NAME	New Alesford	Whiteley	Winchester	Internet	Basingstoke	Fareham	Hedge End	London	Portsmouth	Southampton	Waterlooville	Farnham	Guildford/Chichester	Alton	Salisbury	
<b>Sum</b>	2	3	49	6	3	14	27	3	8	47	2	1	1	1	1	168
Bighton			1							1		1				
Bishops Sutton																
Bishops Waltham			1	1		1	5			5	1					
Colden Common			2				2			1						
Shawford			1							2						
Curdrige			1													
Denmead		1	2				3		2	1	1					
Headbourne Worthy			1													
Hursley			1													
Itchen Valley	1			1						1						
Kings Worthy			2							1						
Harestock			3													
New Alesford	1		5	1	1		1	3		5				1		
Old Alesford						1				1						
Otterbourne			1							7						
Shedfield			1				1			1						
South Wonston			1							1						
Southwick and Widley																
Sparsholt			1				1			2						
Swanmore							1			1						
Twyford			1													
Whiteley		2	1	2		6	5		1	3						
Wickham			1	1		7	3		3	4						
Winchester			20				5			10					1	1
Outside the District			2			1				2						

Originating Parish

Table 6 Qu 6: Where do you go for health and related services?

Parish where facilities used 

Originating Parish 

Parish NAME	Badger Farm	Bishops Sutton	Bishops Waltham	Denmead	New Alresford	South Wonston	Swanmore	Twyford	Whiteley	Winchester	Wickham	Wonston	Hedge End	Southampton	Waterlooville	Chandlers Ford	Fair Oak	Ropley/F-Marks	Locks Heath	Sarisbury Green	
Sum	7	2	17	5	21	1	1	7	18	49	21	1	2	2	4	1	2	1	1	1	164
Bighton					2					1				1							
Bishops Waltham			12							1	1										
Colden Common								3		1			1								
Compton and Curdridge	1									1											
Denmead				5								1				2					
Headbourne Worthy										1											
Hursley	1									1											
Itchen Valley					3																
Kings Worthy										4											
Littleton and										3											
New Alresford		2			15																
Old Alresford					1																
Otterbourne								3		1									2		
Shedfield			2																		
South Wonston						1							1								
Sparsholt										3											
Swanmore			1									1									
Twyford								1													
Whiteley									18											1	1
Wickham			2				1					17		1							
Winchester	5									32					1						
Outside the District																2	1		1		





Table 9 Qu 9: Where would you prefer to go?

Originating Parish ↓

Parish where facilities used →

Parish NAME	Badger Farm	Bishops Waltham	Denmead	Hursley	Itchen Valley	New Alresford	Old Alresford	Otterbourne	South Wonston	Sparsholt	Titchborne	Whiteley	Winchester	Wickham	Internet	Eastleigh	Fareham	Hedge End	London	Portsmouth	Southampton	Waterlooville	Fair Oak	Park Gate	
Sum	5	10	4	1	1	20	2	1	1	2	1	16	50	1	2	2	1	1	3	2	3	1	1	1	132
Bighton						1																			
Bishops Waltham		8											1				1	1	2				1		
Colden Common																1					1				
Compton and Curdrige													3		1										
Denmead			4																		1				
Headbourne Worthy													1												
Hursley				1									1												
Itchen Valley					1								1												
Kings Worthy													3												
Littleton and													2												
New Alresford						16	2					1	4						1						
Old Alresford						1																			
Otterbourne								1					4			1									
Shedfield		2																							
South Wonston									1				1												
Sparsholt										2			1												
Swanmore																1									
Whiteley												16	1												1
Winchester	5				1								27									2			
Outside the District						1										1					1		1		


Table 100 summarises the total number and percentage of people participating in the exercise and how far they travel to access different facilities/vices.

**Table 10**


	Qu1	Qu1	Qu 2	Qu 2	Qu 3	Qu 3	Qu 4	Qu 4	Qu5	Qu5	Qu6	Qu6	Qu7	Qu7	Qu8	Qu8	Qu9	Qu9
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Within Parish	85	66	46	24	18	11	56	35	23	14	101	62	87	56	71	43	76	58
Neighbouring Parish	21	16	37	19	3	2	14	9	8	5	30	18	10	6	23	14	23	17
Further within District	7	5	34	18	15	9	16	10	21	13	19	12	14	9	22	13	15	11
Outside District	15	12	66	35	80	50	33	21	110	65	14	9	44	28	47	29	16	12
Internet	0	0	7	4	43	27	41	26	6	4	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2
Sum	128	100	190	100	159	100	160	100	168	100	164	100	155	100	164	100	132	100

Figure 1 and Figure 2 show the general patterns of travel within the district. The graphs on the map indicate the total number of participants travelling to that parish to access a facility or service. The graphs below the maps show the distances travelled to access these facilities.

**Figure 1.** A) Map shows where participants go to access different services based on questions 1,2,3 and 5 in the key. B) graph shows the percentage of participants travelling within/outside the District to access these goods/services.




**Figure 2.** A) Map shows where participants go to access different services based on questions 4, 6,7 and 8 in the key. B) graph shows the percentage of participants travelling within/outside the District to access these goods/services.





**Figure 3.** Map showing the number of participants who would like to travel to a parish to access facilities and services and graph indicating the distance they would need to travel by percentage of participants.



# Appendix A07 (Annex 4)

Live for the Future Community Events  
February – March 2007

(Exercise 1. Results: Travel)

## Appendix A07 - Annex 4: Community Events: Exercise 1. Results: Travel

Qu 1: How do you get travel to do an everyday/top up shop?

Originating Parishes	Parish NAME	Car-Driver	Car-passenger	Bus	Train	Taxi/shared services	Cycle	Walk	Internet
	Bighton	2	1						
	Bishops Waltham	5					1	8	
	Colden Common	1						1	
	Compton and Shawford	2							
	Curdridge						1		
	Denmead	7	1						
	Headbourne Worthy	1							
	Hursley							1	
	Itchen Valley	3							
	Kings Worthy							3	
	Littleton and Harestock	1		1				1	
	New Alresford	4					3	14	
	Old Alresford	1							
	Otterbourne	3		1				2	
	Shedfield	2					1		
	South Wonston						1		
	Southwick and Widley								
	Sparsholt	2	1						
	Swanmore	1						1	
	Twyford							1	
	Whiteley	10	2				1	6	
	Wickham	6						10	
	Winchester	6	2	2			3	18	
	Outside the District	2		1				1	
	<b>Total</b>	59	7	5	0	0	11	67	0

**Qu 2: How do you get travel to do a weekly/monthly food shop?**

Parish NAME	Car-Driver	Car-passenger	Bus	Train	Taxi/shared services	Cycle	Walk	Internet
Bighton	2							
Bishops Waltham	12		1				1	1
Colden Common	3							1
Compton and Shawford	2							
Curdridge	1							
Denmead	6	1						
Headbourne Worthy	1							
Hursley	1							
Itchen Valley	2							1
Kings Worthy	3							
Littleton and Harestock	2	1						
New Alresford	17							3
Old Alresford	1	1						
Otterbourne	4		1					
Shedfield	3							
South Wonston	1							
Sparsholt	1							
Swanmore	1							1
Twyford	1							
Whiteley	17	1					1	
Wickham	17	1						1
Winchester	25	1	1				4	1
Outside the District	2	2						
<b>Total</b>	125	8	3	0	0	0	6	9

Originating Parishes



**Qu 3: How do you get travel to buy bulky goods, CDs or books?**

Parish NAME	Car-Driver	Car-passenger	Bus	Train	Taxi/shared services	Cycle	Walk	Internet
Bighton	1							1
Bishops Waltham	9							2
Colden Common	2						1	
Compton and Shawford	1							1
Curdridge	1							
Denmead	6	1						1
Headbourne Worthy								1
Hursley	1							
Itchen Valley	1							1
Kings Worthy	2	1						
Littleton and Harestock	1		1					1
New Alresford	11	1	2					3
Old Alresford	1							
Otterbourne	3		2					1
Shedfield	3							
South Wonston								1
Sparsholt	2							1
Swanmore	1							1
Twyford								1
Whiteley	7							10
Wickham	10							6
Winchester	14	1				1	5	6
Outside the District	2	1	1					
<b>Total</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>			<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>38</b>

Originating Parishes



**Qu 4: How do you get travel to do financial and banking services?**

Parish NAME	Car-Driver	Car-passenger	Bus	Train	Taxi/shared services	Cycle	Walk	Internet	Post
Bighton	1							1	
Bishops Waltham	2					1	8	2	2
Colden Common	3						1		
Compton and Shawford	2		1						
Curdridge								1	
Denmead	4	1					1	1	
Headbourne Worthy	1								
Hursley	1							1	
Itchen Valley	3							1	
Kings Worthy	3								
Littleton and Harestock	1	1	1						
New Alresford	5		1	2		1	6	4	
Old Alresford							1		
Otterbourne	1		1				1	3	
Shedfield	1					1		1	
South Wonston								1	
Sparsholt	2						1	1	
Swanmore								2	
Twyford								1	
Whiteley	5	1	1				1	10	
Wickham	6	1					3	10	
Winchester	7		6	1		2	12	7	
Outside the District	2						1		
<b>Total</b>	50	4	11	3	0	5	36	47	2

Originating Parishes



**Qu 5: How do you get travel to buy clothes/shoes?**

Parish NAME	Car-Driver	Car-passenger	Bus	Train	Taxi/shared services	Cycle	Walk	Internet
Bighton	2							
Bishops Waltham	12						1	
Colden Common	2					1		
Compton and Shawford	2							
Curdrige	1							
Denmead	6	1						
Headbourne Worthy	1							
Hursley	1							
Itchen Valley	2							1
Kings Worthy	2							
Littleton and Harestock		1	1					
New Alresford	13		1	2				1
Old Alresford	1							
Otterbourne	4		1					
Shedfield	3							
South Wonston	1							
Sparsholt	2							
Swanmore	1							1
Twyford	1							
Whiteley	12	1	2	1		1	1	2
Wickham	13	1					2	1
Winchester	13		5	1		4	11	
Outside the District	2	1	1					
<b>Total</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>6</b>

Originating Parishes



**Qu 6: How do you get travel to get to health and related services?**

Parish NAME	Car-Driver	Car-passenger	Bus	Train	Taxi/shared services	Cycle	Walk	Internet
Bighton	2							
Bishops Waltham	7					1	4	
Colden Common	3							
Compton and Shawford	3							
Curdridge	1							
Denmead	5						3	
Headbourne Worthy	1							
Hursley	1							
Itchen Valley	3							
Kings Worthy	3							
Littleton and Harestock	1	1	1				1	
New Alresford	7					2	10	
Old Alresford	1							
Otterbourne	4		1				1	
Shedfield	1					1		
South Wonston							1	
Sparsholt	3							
Swanmore	2							
Twyford							1	
Whiteley	9	2					4	
Wickham	7						11	2
Wonston								
Winchester	10	1	5			2	16	
Outside the District	2	1						
<b>Total</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>2</b>

Originating Parishes





**Qu 7: How do you get travel to get to work/college/spend your daytime activities?**

Parish NAME	Car-Driver	Car-passenger	Bus	Train	Taxi/shared services	Cycle	Walk	Internet
Bighton	1							
Bishops Waltham	8						2	
Colden Common	3					2		
Compton and Shawford	1							1
Denmead	2						5	
Headbourne Worthy	1							
Hursley	1							
Itchen Valley	1			1				
Kings Worthy	3							
Littleton and Harestock			2				1	
New Alresford	6			1		2	8	
Old Alresford	1							
Otterbourne	4			1				
Shedfield	2						1	
South Wonston							1	
Sparsholt							1	
Swanmore	1							
Tichborne								
Twyford							1	
Whiteley	11	1				2	3	1
Wickham	7	1					6	1
Winchester	4		2	4		1	14	4
Outside the District	2		1					
<b>Total</b>	52	2	5	7	0	7	43	7

Originating Parishes


**Qu 8: How do you get travel to get to evening/social activities?**

Parish NAME	Car-Driver	Car-passenger	Bus	Train	Taxi/shared services	Cycle	Walk	Internet
Bighton	1							
Bishops Waltham	9					1	2	
Colden Common	3							
Compton and Shawford	1						2	
Curdrige	1							
Denmead	5						3	
Headbourne Worthy	1							
Hursley							1	
Itchen Valley	2		1					
Kings Worthy	3							
Littleton and Harestock	2						1	
New Alresford	9	1		1		1	6	
Old Alresford	1	1						
Otterbourne	3	2		1			1	
Shedfield	2							
South Wonston	1							
Sparsholt	1	1		1				
Swanmore	1							1
Twyford	1							
Whiteley	10	2		1			6	
Wickham	7	4		1		1	5	1
Winchester	13	5		2	1	2	13	
Outside the District		2					1	1
<b>Total</b>	17	18	1	7	1	5	41	3

Originating Parishes



**Figure 1** graph showing how participants from all events predominately travel to the various goods and services



# Appendix A07 (Annex 5)

Live for the Future Community Events  
February – March 2007  
(Exercise 2. Results)

## Appendix A07 - Annex 5: Community Event: Exercise 2. Results

### Alresford Community Event 7<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<b>SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate?</li> <li>• Is there a range of facilities available for everyone?</li> <li>• Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sense of community identity, but tiered society.</li> <li>• Large number of recent incomers, but high proportion retired</li> <li>• Proud of where we live. But some people feel not involved in the community. Long established families and poorer families.</li> <li>• Limited interest in Town Council affairs.</li> <li>• Good (range of facilities) but no swimming pool. Sports facilities at Perins &amp; Arlebury Park</li> <li>• No hall that can be used as theatre</li> <li>• Boring (facilities) – less interest for teenagers. Things are available for younger children (toddlers etc.)</li> <li>• There are sport facilities available – but doesn't cater for everyone – Most will go to Winchester</li> <li>• Youth clubs are here, but too officious.</li> <li>• Some shops make good effort on disability access</li> <li>• There is a good range of facilities available for older generations (&gt; 25's) but missing for other age groups.</li> <li>• No nursing home in Alresford – have to move away from friends etc. and community.</li> <li>• Social and sheltered housing is not accessible to shops and other facilities.</li> <li>• There is a lot of further education available, but not aimed at younger people.</li> <li>• Community does try to provide this (facilities for young people) e.g. skate park,</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Promote more volunteering especially through schools.</li> <li>• More facilities for different age groups especially the elderly</li> <li>• Need to make younger people aware of what is available to them e.g. funding to start new projects/groups.</li> <li>• Provide Internet Café for example</li> <li>• Provide cinema facility e.g. club trips out to facilities (organised coach etc.) e.g. bowling.</li> <li>• Better facilities in one community centre.</li> <li>• Need nursing home and in home care</li> <li>• Need to provide social housing to allow local young people to stay in area if want to.</li> <li>• Need range of further education available e.g. introduction to driving, car maintenance etc.....</li> <li>• Need to ensure age of access is available – not just internet</li> <li>• Downsizing is an issue – not easy</li> <li>• Housing for workers is very limited – services, sustainable community</li> <li>• More to help make community adhesive, economic benefits.</li> <li>• More facilities for young people</li> <li>• Broader spectrum of involvement by cross section of the community.</li> <li>• Inclusion – need more low cost housing – influences for purchase and or rent.</li> <li>• Local homes affordable and available for</li> </ul>

## Alresford Community Event 7<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<p>but difficulty in knowing how to connect.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increasing use of internet is isolating.</li> <li>• No fuel source available</li> <li>• Shops converting to housing, stops people using areas.</li> <li>• Smaller parishes tend to be more integrated</li> <li>• Sense of a range of different communities</li> <li>• <u>Festivals</u> -Watercress</li> <li>• There are opportunities to be involved, however the same people tend to be involved all the time. People who are not involved may not participate in any activities.</li> <li>• Does have positive community Identity.</li> <li>• Lack of appreciation that “unaffordability” (lack of affordability) of housing will threaten Alresford’s future.</li> <li>• Need to look ahead and understand these implications.</li> <li>• Actual lack of affordable housing.</li> <li>• Bribes to get people to choose to move to smaller properties when they don’t need larger rented homes any more. DON’T WORK?</li> <li>• Low level crime/Antisocial behaviour</li> <li>• Low visibility of police affects feelings of safety, but there is low crime rate.</li> <li>• Active democracy and very vocal population but some sections are not heard and don’t participate.</li> <li>• Many groups and societies</li> </ul>	<p>local people brought up in Alresford.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Shares equity so people can get on the ladder and move up. When they move other locals move in.</li> <li>• Some social rented housing will always be needed.</li> <li>• Find better ways to get smaller families/singles into more suitable social rented accommodation or into private accommodation if they can afford it. ?put their rent up?</li> <li>• PCSO’s will help neighbourhood wardens?</li> <li>• Joined up approach to make public presence affordable (youth service, social service, police, parking)</li> <li>• Better lighting on footpaths</li> <li>• BUT need to move to involve all sections of the community in local democracy and decision making.</li> </ul>
--	---

## Alresford Community Event 7<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<b>SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable?</li> <li>• What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity?</li> <li>• What do you enjoy about where you live?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No (there isn't a range of housing which is accessible and affordable) – see other sheets. What is available is allocated outside of this community.</li> <li>• For many people housing available not affordable</li> <li>• Many homes too small for families – difficult to move on</li> <li>• Housing generally good standard, well kept</li> <li>• Variety styles of housing</li> <li>• People proud of town, nice place to live</li> <li>• Good sense of community – evening events</li> <li>• Green areas reinforce community – pleasant places in town to walk.</li> <li>• Tree lined roads</li> <li>• Jobs in Alresford but people can't afford to live here.</li> <li>• Not adequate transport system</li> <li>• Attractive river</li> <li>• Maintain tourism and visitor attraction</li> <li>• No (there isn't a range of housing which is accessible and affordable)</li> <li>• There are opportunities to encourage economic prosperity but could do with more tourism: We should make more of this.</li> <li>• Traditional heating - Need to reduce dependence on non-green heating</li> <li>• Good diversity of shops and getting better businesses</li> <li>• Access and views of the countryside</li> <li>• Self sufficient attractive destination – good amenities</li> <li>• Local economy is not vibrant</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bring back Council Houses and keep them as such</li> <li>• Non profit housing to be kept as rented</li> <li>• Housing should be in-keeping with area (not 8 floors etc.)</li> <li>• Developers should not be responsible for providing affordable housing</li> <li>• Exception sites within communities, not just in country.</li> <li>• Improve bus services – better interchange facilities bus/train.</li> <li>• More housing for local people but in step with schools and other infrastructure</li> <li>• Encourage people to use cars less by providing affordable public transport</li> <li>• More starter business units, more starter homes to keep community viable.</li> <li>• Keep historic area attractive and joyous – encourage community spirit.</li> <li>• People come because it is an attractive community</li> <li>• Ensure parking is available for visitors and residents, but discrete.</li> <li>• Maintain tree-lined roads and green areas – views through footpath links – views of gardens.</li> <li>• Housing for workers, low cost rent and for purchase</li> <li>• Environmental efficiency of new build and extensions</li> <li>• Better and more parking</li> <li>• Min % of micro-generation (10-20%)</li> <li>• Solar/providing own power – new build needs to take account of this</li> <li>• Needs to maintain balance</li> </ul>

## Alresford Community Event 7<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wildlife – Tourism should make more of this</li> <li>• Local jobs are low paid – workers do not live in Alresford</li> <li>• Shops serve tourists and visitors more than locals. Very expensive and specialist.</li> <li>• Insufficient parking provision and enforcement</li> <li>• Public transport not sufficient to reduce private car use</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need more industrial units</li> <li>• Smaller incubation/ start-up units. 2-3 people</li> <li>• Needs additional car parking</li> <li>• Services less good than in past – dentists</li> <li>• Businesses must adjust to changes in their markets (e.g. working weekends to serve increased weekend trade)</li> <li>• Protect light industrial capacity ( and increase)</li> <li>• High quality shops bring in trade and protect more basic/essential shops and services (so encourage them)</li> <li>• Basingstoke link is required</li> <li>• Integrated railway with mainline services</li> </ul>
--	--

## Alresford Community Event 7<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<b>SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Alresford fairly self-sufficient (for shops)</li> <li>• Food stores choice – Co-op and Tesco</li> <li>• No men's clothing shops</li> <li>• Specialist shops, but have lost some</li> <li>• Good range of shops</li> <li>• East street shops not accessible</li> <li>• Large scale food shops possible, most travel out</li> <li>• Health facilities available: Surgery (station) and dentist (west street) in Alresford, 2 Chemists in Alresford, 2 Opticians</li> <li>• Hospital in Winchester/ Basingstoke/ Southampton</li> <li>• Local jobs but low salary – people can't afford to live here.</li> <li>• Mainly service industry jobs</li> <li>• No large corporate firms</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Could aim to become fair trade town to attract visitors</li> <li>• Improve the market – add farmers market</li> <li>• Improvements (to shopping facilities) should not destroy environment</li> <li>• Maintain small-scale shops</li> <li>• Keep local medical facilities</li> <li>• Need starter units (to improve employment opportunities) – especially for high tech units.</li> <li>• Plus increase in facilities for home-working and flexible working</li> <li>• Health services need to keep pace with increasing population</li> <li>• Need rail connection, but may not be practical option</li> <li>• Less government interference</li> <li>• Less interference with voluntary services</li> </ul>



## Alresford Community Event 7<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Surgery at capacity</li> <li>• 1 surgery closing/ relocating further away</li> <li>• accessibility worse at new location</li> <li>• Hospital inaccessible by public transport</li> <li>• Higher order services – most look to Winchester or Basingstoke</li> <li>• Good community transport system to services</li> <li>• Poor range of jobs</li> <li>• Small traders and specialist shops a major attraction</li> <li>• Health starting to be brought out into community</li> <li>• No NHS dentist for new patients</li> <li>• Most people drive for work</li> <li>• Doctors surgery closing - Ropley</li> <li>• Good Tesco bus – once per week</li> <li>• Hospital bus?</li> <li>• Access good as most shops are walk able if you live in Alresford</li> <li>• Cargo bus – limited destinations – needs bookings 3 days</li> <li>• CAB outreach about to close</li> <li>• One NHS dentist</li> <li>• Primary care good</li> <li>• ‘First Responder’ scheme locally</li> <li>• Ropley surgery closing soon</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Remove barriers for voluntary services</li> <li>• Job opportunities commensurate with property and living costs</li> <li>• Full range of housing to enable people to live, work and retire in Alresford</li> <li>• More help to support and encourage them – they may need preferential treatment e.g. business rates.</li> <li>• Would prefer to use train if possible</li> <li>• Survey to establish how many people would use train if attached to main line – also commuter patterns</li> <li>• More and better parking</li> <li>• Better public transport – cheaper, better subsidised</li> <li>• Extension of train line to Alton</li> <li>• Maintain capacity – need out of hours service</li> </ul>
---	--

## Alesford Community Event 7<sup>th</sup> March 2007

### SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...

- Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)?
- Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces?
- Are there many sites for wildlife in your area?

What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Building regulations changing but not moving fast enough to consider other energy sources</li> <li>• Access to countryside and green spaces</li> <li>• Good access to countryside and riverside</li> <li>• High quality open spaces and children's play areas</li> <li>• Good access on foot to surrounding countryside</li> <li>• Litter a problem. Tourist town needs to be kept smart</li> <li>• <u>Wildlife</u></li> <li>• Alesford pond but no public access</li> <li>• Riverside Wildlife access limited</li> <li>• Gardens and churchyard rich in wildlife</li> <li>• Railway embankment</li> <li>• New Co-Op too late for this (design to minimise impact on the environment)</li> <li>• Balance to be struck between new housing and environmental concerns</li> <li>• New Co-Op will increase turnover by 70% - Parking will be an issue!</li> <li>• Good (access to the countryside and greenspaces) - walkable</li> <li>• Good sites for wildlife</li> <li>• No (new development is not designed to minimise its impact on the environment)</li> <li>• Good access (to the countryside and wildlife sites)</li> <li>• Access supported by HCC and Town Council, not WCC</li> <li>• No shop mobility now</li> <li>• Yes (there are many wildlife sites around)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Change builders attitudes – incentives needed and legislation</li> <li>• Improve condition of footpaths</li> <li>• Better maintenance by WCC of open spaces</li> <li>• Trimming hedgerows and verges use community services to help</li> <li>• Maintain and protect</li> <li>• Need to pay more attention to environmental concerns</li> <li>• All new developments need to take more account of better insulation (carbon neutral)</li> <li>• More deliveries (from shops), less driving</li> <li>• "Leave it (countryside and greenspace) well alone"</li> <li>• Needs to be balance between appearance and environmental sensitivity and function.</li> <li>• Planners need better knowledge of good design</li> <li>• Retain good access and protect it</li> <li>• Better provision for disabled access on existing paths</li> <li>• WCC need to get more involved in access provision</li> <li>• Need to retain and protect this asset including gardens and landscape views</li> <li>• Tree Protection Orders should be respected by all</li> <li>• Need to get people who visit now to stay longer and spend more money.</li> <li>• More incentives to be initiated by government</li> </ul>

## Alresford Community Event 7<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• National park could bring tourism but then also associated problems too.</li><li>• People should be able to live and work in the town</li><li>• Need a decent hall that people want to use for dancing/parties etc.</li><li>• Houses built to minimise 'sustainability' requirements</li><li>• Few/no innovative designs coming forward</li><li>• Good response to incentives</li><li>• Conservation area mitigates against adaptation</li><li>• Flooding not a problem at the moment</li><li>• Great access to countryside – lots of wildlife – offers Osprey, Red Kite. May be threatened by abstraction in future.</li><li>• Lots of biodiversity centres on the watercourse.</li><li>• Lots of local conservation volunteers</li><li>• No bike parking in the town</li><li>• Insufficient safe footpaths, especially crossing the railway line.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• More regulatory powers for building control/planning services</li><li>• Appropriate Land Use Policy</li><li>• Provide bike stands</li><li>• Provide more/better footpaths to encourage walking.</li></ul>
---	---

## Bishops Waltham Community Event 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2007

<b>SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate?</li> <li>• Is there a range of facilities available for everyone?</li> <li>• Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is a community identity, but this is decreasing. Only some of the community participate.</li> <li>• There are lots of community groups and sufficient facilities for them, Bishops Waltham serves Meon Valley but general lack of participation from wider community in groups, activities, politics etc</li> <li>• Sense of community relates more to certain sections of the population, less so for working population,</li> <li>• People don't stay as long as the community gets bigger and due to jobs, although lots move and stay for the area.</li> <li>• There is not a lot of mixing and participation. Lots of young people like living here, but commute out for activities</li> <li>• There is not a range of facilities available for everyone. There are lunch clubs and facilities, but there is not a good range and younger people have less facilities available; although they are also too busy to take part</li> <li>• There is a range of facilities available for everyone</li> <li>• Schools are well integrated into community and local groups</li> <li>• Loss of 'Tyrella' club need for indoor/outdoor local sports facilities for all ages</li> <li>• Policy today affects the answers to the future questions</li> <li>• The area doesn't consider the needs of future generations specifically except schools more focussed on needs of today</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To reach the younger community, more family houses are needed.</li> <li>• Include provision for 14 – 18 age range – socially i.e. provision of transport to larger centres and/or local provision</li> <li>• Add more cultural activities – may not be using current facilities to their capacity</li> <li>• More sport and recreation facilities are required now for younger people and community facilities for all in the future as proportion of elderly people in the population is increasing</li> <li>• Multi games areas needed</li> <li>• Move away from bricks and mortar decisions to address social impacts</li> <li>• A longer term view should be taken by the local community</li> <li>• Lack of finance</li> </ul>

## Bishops Waltham Community Event 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2007

<p>but does happen indirectly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Needs are considered i.e. skateboard park</li> <li>• Peoples expectations are high</li> </ul>	
--	--

## Bishops Waltham Community Event 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2007

SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable?</li> <li>• What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity?</li> <li>• What do you enjoy about where you live?</li> </ul>	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of social housing provision too many flats etc. Need to retain young population and facilities.</li> <li>• Physically accessible, but high priced/ not affordable</li> <li>• Development that occurs is at too high density and not in keeping with Bishops Waltham</li> <li>• There is probably a range of housing which is accessible and affordable for some, but not for young people and people on lower incomes.</li> <li>• House prices are still lower than other parishes</li> <li>• Inefficient house builders raising the cost of housing</li> <li>• Not a wide range of jobs. Transport infrastructure precludes expansion</li> <li>• Nature of employment changing; customer located; working at home</li> <li>• People more and more supporting local shops. Well provided for in Bishops Waltham</li> <li>• Good community, good facilities 'just the right size' access to countryside and</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More affordable housing for local families, regulate balance of housing to retain younger population</li> <li>• More housing, including affordable. Proportion of housing built that <u>is</u> affordable should be increased.</li> <li>• Development should be timed appropriately <u>after</u> all forms of infrastructure are in place</li> <li>• Reduction of land policies/ prices</li> <li>• Local authority housing without 'right to buy'</li> <li>• Efficient house building</li> <li>• Where good infrastructure and need improvements in infrastructure</li> <li>• Need different tiers of government to talk to each other and have some aims and objectives</li> <li>• Need to keep employment opportunities currently in Bishops Waltham to keep community</li> <li>• Keep key facilities and resist incremental loss of facilities and employment</li> <li>• Promote home working to reduce number</li> </ul>

## Bishops Waltham Community Event 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2007

<p>plentiful wildlife</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good centre with a mix of use. Minimize parking in High Street</li> <li>• Income for Bishops Waltham is important</li> <li>• Enjoy the following about living in Bishops Waltham: Community; Security; Golf course; Friendliness (welcoming and open); Village feel; Size</li> </ul>	<p>of lengthy commuter journeys</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• IT centre – managed for work and children i.e. evening with meeting areas etc</li> <li>• Higher housing supply</li> <li>• Improve transport infrastructure provision. More roads, better road, public transport. Railway station?</li> <li>• ‘Preserve and enhance it’ ‘nothing’</li> <li>• Encourage living above shops – to retain balance of use</li> <li>• Keep size within manageable limits</li> <li>• Mustn’t become ‘Hedge End’</li> </ul>
---	---

## Bishops Waltham Community Event 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2007

<b>SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unemployment low</li> <li>• Commute to larger settlements for jobs</li> <li>• Small number of jobs in Bishops Waltham</li> <li>• Mainly use cars to get to jobs</li> <li>• For day to day and local jobs, shops and health facilities there is good access at moment</li> <li>• But for more specialist jobs, shop &amp; health there is not. Due to location of Bishops Waltham</li> <li>• Poor access to local jobs particularly manual</li> <li>• Good range of local shops and turnover</li> <li>• Good range of shops but lack of clothing shops</li> <li>• Good health and related services at moment, but at capacity</li> <li>• Care group provide transport (charity) to hospital</li> <li>• Bishops Waltham has good range of care groups, otherwise only other option is cars</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Encourage local jobs and local employers for local people</li> <li>• Local authority (i.e. WCC) needs to decentralize.....</li> <li>• To allow better access for community</li> <li>• Don’t need large stores</li> <li>• Protecting work place sites around Bishops Waltham. More mixed development</li> <li>• Retain range i.e. through incentives and planning control</li> <li>• More local community hospital site identified through LDF</li> <li>• Greater emphasis on providing for walkers/cyclists i.e. Ridgemoor School Travel Plan</li> <li>• Shops – would not want large-scale retailers in Bishops Waltham because of car parking and traffic they would cause. (Group content to use Bishops Waltham for day to day top-up shopping and travel less frequently to Hedge End for major</li> </ul>

## Bishops Waltham Community Event 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Imbalance in range of shops though</li> <li>• GP service very good but affected by population growth with rise in age</li> <li>• Parking → long stay, problem affecting streets</li> <li>• Lack of local education for 16-18yrs</li> </ul>	<p>shopping)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Health facilities need to expand to cope with the increased demand in future (aging population)</li> <li>• Deliver education locally</li> </ul>
---	---

## Bishops Waltham Community Event 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2007

<b>SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)?</li> <li>• Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces?</li> <li>• Are there many sites for wildlife in your area?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• New development and existing = too high density</li> <li>• Not enough infrastructure to support it</li> <li>• Not enough major facilities and services nearby → need to travel</li> <li>• B.W does have a very good range of <u>local</u> facilities for town of its size</li> <li>• Some viewed that B.W could never be sustainable (i.e. totally self-contained) because of its size and distance from centres</li> <li>• There is good access to the countryside and green spaces</li> <li>• There are there many sites for wildlife in the area</li> <li>• Buildings are not designed to minimize their impact on the environment. Controlled by building regulations.</li> <li>• New build lacks sense of local character; changing</li> <li>• Yes, there is good access to the countryside and green spaces, but no</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No new development here – no more room</li> <li>• Need for more infrastructure to support population and investment to achieve this</li> <li>• Need to keep access to countryside and greenspaces as it is.</li> <li>• And avoid disruption to the countryside from development.</li> <li>• Sponsor a local wind turbine</li> <li>• District heating in blocks of flats</li> <li>• Bus service to Southampton should be promoted/ retained.</li> <li>• Controlled new build design by development control/building control</li> <li>• Updating old housing stock to modern energy efficiency standards</li> <li>• Building regulations need to reflect needs</li> <li>• Hang on to it (countryside and green spaces). Impact of S. Downs NP</li> <li>• Provide green space centre</li> <li>• Education (on wildlife sites) important</li> <li>• Need to take visual impacts into account</li> </ul>

## Bishops Waltham Community Event 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2007

<p>'green' space in centre.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Yes – there are very good sites for wildlife.</li><li>• Big plus point B.W Palace – with associated social events</li><li>• No (buildings are not designed to minimize their impact on the environment), as not a criteria for planning or planning policies and would be unaffordable</li><li>• Some environmental designs work better than others</li><li>• Yes – good access to open space and countryside</li><li>• Yes –many sites for wildlife – but could be affected by new house building</li></ul>	<p>as well</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Happy with their ( open spaces and countryside) management but don't want just manicured sites</li><li>• Do people know about, understand and use these (wildlife sites) sites?</li><li>•</li></ul>
--	--



## Denmead Community Event 21<sup>st</sup> February 2007

<b>SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate?</li> <li>• Is there a range of facilities available for everyone?</li> <li>• Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Denmead is a dormitory – little joining in</li> <li>• Limited shops, especially food, lost petrol station</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Scope to use schools/children to build community spirit/cohesion</li> <li>• Need for sport hall/provision</li> <li>• Need to slow down development</li> </ul>

## Denmead Community Event 21<sup>st</sup> February 2007

<b>SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable?</li> <li>• What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity?</li> <li>• What do you enjoy about where you live?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Housing is not affordable in Denmead</li> <li>• Full employment but most outside Denmead</li> <li>• Increasing home working</li> <li>• Like the fact it is rural settlement</li> <li>• Good pubs, food etc</li> <li>• Good social life/spirit (in some sectors)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need social housing but needs to be as good quality as market</li> <li>• DIYSO would increase 'ownership' and choice</li> </ul>

## Denmead Community Event 21<sup>st</sup> February 2007

<b>SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Have health centre, dentist, etc</li> <li>• QA hospital quite close and has A &amp; E</li> <li>• Poor public transport and difficult links and expensive</li> <li>• Need to have a car to get about in Denmead</li> <li>• Poor condition of roads (potholes etc)</li> <li>• e.g. Southwick Rd, Fareham Rd</li> <li>• Traffic speeds are a problem</li> <li>• Lack of signs (e.g. health centre) zebra crossing Hambledon Rd</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• School buses</li> <li>• Safe route to school – paths etc</li> <li>• Need safer routes for cyclists, e.g. Hambledon Rd to W'ville</li> </ul>

## Denmead Community Event 21<sup>st</sup> February 2007

<b>SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)?</li> <li>• Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces?</li> <li>• Are there many sites for wildlife in your area?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Little done to save energy in new buildings</li> <li>• Development always in keeping with Denmead</li> <li>• Small houses don't suite 'large' children</li> <li>• Cramming housing doesn't make for cohesive society</li> <li>• Problem of tipping in harts copse and around Denmead</li> <li>• Development affecting water table (harm to trees)</li> <li>• Need to provide space/paths for people to walk</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More energy efficient building – Government to set standards</li> <li>• Need coherent design strategy for new development</li> <li>• Need land for recreation provision</li> <li>• Need to be able to walk to recreation areas</li> <li>• Recreation facilities for young people – accessible</li> <li>• Encourage people to participate in local groups</li> <li>• Need to take account of hydrology and effect on wildlife etc</li> <li>• More local input and discussion on planning applications</li> </ul>

## Kingsworthy Community Event 27th February 2007

<b>SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate?</li> <li>• Is there a range of facilities available for everyone?</li> <li>• Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No visible policing – but also limited crime.</li> <li>• Concerns about time for emergency services to arrive when needed.</li> <li>• Pride in being a rural community.</li> <li>• Second homes – owners not part of community</li> <li>• People are leading individually isolated lives.</li> <li>• <u>CLEAR IDENTITY for Kingsworthy.</u></li> <li>• HW – DISPARATE – but identifies with “WORTHIES”</li> <li>• Church – community focus</li> <li>• Community focus sustained by retirees – women not in full time employment</li> <li>• Village has no central hub</li> <li>• Saturation point – buildings (new)</li> <li>• Low crime rate</li> <li>• Neighbourhood watch</li> <li>• Neighbourhood care – lunch club for elderly, welcome pack</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Younger people active in community</li> <li>• Reduce barriers to volunteering.</li> <li>• Village speed limits</li> <li>• (give the village a) geographic FOCUS</li> <li>• Younger persons need to get involved</li> <li>• More affordable housing</li> </ul>

## Kingsworthy Community Event 27th February 2007

<b>SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable?</li> <li>• What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity?</li> <li>• What do you enjoy about where you live?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some affordable housing – but at price of high density development</li> <li>• Lack of open space</li> <li>• Good communications road, rail</li> <li>• Good access to countryside.</li> <li>• Local employment</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Better mix of tenure types – lower density.</li> <li>• More open space</li> <li>• Improvements to London Road junction</li> </ul>

## Kingsworthy Community Event 27th February 2007

<b>SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Junction 9 A34/M3</li> <li>• Peak times – backs up to London Road</li> <li>• Tesco Shop – (Express) Problems with car park</li> <li>• Well used P.O.'s but Fraser Road P.O. under threat.</li> <li>• Local doctor's surgery - a.m. only as part of Friarsgate – but need to be registered with GP that works in K.W. If not have to go to Winch.</li> <li>• No dentist</li> <li>• Thriving youth/junior football</li> <li>• Brownie, guides, cubs beavers</li> <li>• Some households 15+mins walk from bus stop</li> <li>• Commuter village</li> <li>• Over 2 cars per household</li> <li>• Free bus service encourages use (senior citizens club!)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improvements to this junction</li> <li>• Flexibility on part of GP</li> <li>• Local dentist</li> <li>• Broadband provision</li> <li>• Integrated tourism</li> <li>• Single ticket for bus/train</li> <li>• On-line shopping &amp; delivery service</li> <li>• Mobile markets</li> <li>• Other mobile services (library)</li> <li>• Buses are <u>not</u> for poor people, they should be for everyone.</li> <li>• Highly localized bus service (minibuses)</li> <li>• Better cycle provision</li> </ul>

## Kingsworthy Community Event 27th February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cars seen as essential</li> <li>• V. limited local shopping, village shop only</li> </ul>	
--	--

## Kingsworthy Community Event 27th February 2007

<b>SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)?</li> <li>• Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces?</li> <li>• Are there many sites for wildlife in your area?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>NEW DEVELOPMENT - MIXED STORY</u></li> <li>• Good access to countryside</li> <li>• Lack of local public open space</li> <li>• HW no local P.O.s</li> <li>• Flooding</li> <li>• 1<sup>st</sup> Wind Turbine</li> <li>• Redevelopment of existing area – putting strain on sewerage infrastructure – also storm drains</li> <li>• Good Local Recycling Facilities</li> <li>• Local developments reducing “Garden environments”.</li> <li>• Is “back garden” development well considered – do they provide for the needs of the community</li> <li>• Current planning policy does not place enough emphasis on strengthening community cohesion.</li> <li>• Poor examples from big business (Tesco and plastic bags)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NO MORE ELANS</li> <li>• Development needs to be sympathetic to the area</li> <li>• More (local public open space)</li> <li>• Some (Post Offices)!</li> <li>• Rainwater harvesting</li> <li>• Needs long term solution to ensure sustainability (particularly regarding sewage infrastructure). “GREEN” solutions</li> <li>• Servicing of (recycling) Facilities at Tesco could be improved</li> <li>• Energy efficient buildings</li> <li>• Awareness of energy efficiency opportunities</li> <li>• More recycling opportunities</li> <li>• Recycling made easier</li> </ul>

## Otterbourne Community Event 6<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<b>SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate?</li> <li>• Is there a range of facilities available for everyone?</li> <li>• Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Colden Common (C.C) has good identity &amp; participation</li> <li>• Shawford (Sh) has good identity; - participation is mostly among older people.</li> <li>• Otterbourne. Good identity, facilities there. Some lack of younger people participating.</li> <li>• C.C Pressures to develop on the facilities &amp; open spaces.</li> <li>• Compton – pressure on facilities &amp; amenities with new residents coming in.</li> <li>• Shawford – not enough provision for young people locally.</li> <li>• Allotments are threatened.</li> <li>• No (the area doesn't consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action) – lack of infrastructure including affordable housing.</li> <li>• Community safety – issues relating to ex-offenders hostel in Otterbourne.</li> <li>• Have a parish plan</li> <li>• Strong sense of community &amp; 'special' village.</li> <li>• Sense of pride, too caring.</li> <li>• Just outside – 'out on a limb/misses out on community'.</li> <li>• Twyford quite self contained and active/'home working'</li> <li>• School 'heart of community'</li> <li>• Café – social 'hub'</li> <li>• Problem with house prices</li> <li>• Village hall well used</li> <li>• Compton has no focus of shops etc</li> <li>• Garage good (PO/Shop)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Village halls need to be retained as essential focal point for the community.</li> <li>• Retain separation between villages to keep their identity.</li> <li>• Infrastructure needs to be in place first.</li> <li>• Facilities/infrastructure to be provided IN the villages/locally themselves.</li> <li>• Provide affordable housing.</li> <li>• TRAFFIC Cars, Planes –(On flight path); this affects the community                         <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- less communication</li> <li>- less walking</li> <li>- safety issues</li> <li>- quality of life</li> <li>- M3 closures affects A roads</li> <li>- More heavy vehicles – vibration/noise</li> </ul> </li> <li>• LOCAL EMPLOYMENT; particularly for youth e.g. Southern Water site.</li> <li>• Just outside Otterbourne need for a local shop.</li> <li>• Access to Dr's surgery</li> <li>• Local people need to support local shops/pubs/facilities.</li> <li>• Lighting needs improving – safety i.e. 'Dark areas'.</li> </ul>

## Otterbourne Community Event 6<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hanging onto facilities in some places</li> <li>• Concern about safety re: probation hostel</li> </ul>	
---	--

## Otterbourne Community Event 6<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<b>SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable?</li> <li>• What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity?</li> <li>• What do you enjoy about where you live?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No (there is not a range of housing which is accessible and affordable). (Strong feeling) even 'affordable' housing is not really affordable for many on average wages. Poorly built in terms of energy efficient.</li> <li>• Local shops &amp; post offices under threat – not good for local economy or social interaction if facilities close</li> <li>• What do you enjoy about where you live, what's it like?               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- M3 noise</li> <li>- Traffic, lorries for recycling centre in Otterbourne</li> <li>- Aeroplane noise increasing</li> <li>- Access to nearby countryside</li> <li>- Good location – access to countryside and access to nearby facilities</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Good location – across to countryside and to nearby facilities at Southampton and Winchester</li> <li>• Planning Policy Statements causing damage               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- constraints that don't suit us</li> <li>- out of place development</li> <li>- justified by sustainability/environmental building</li> <li>- conservation rules come first over environmentally friendly</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bring back council houses not for sale</li> <li>• Central government needs to come up with improved funding and methods of providing social housing</li> <li>• Quiet road surface on M3</li> <li>• Much greater input from Winchester Council into planning of Southampton airport</li> <li>• Extension of shared equity</li> <li>• More influence of village design statement</li> <li>• Development of Shawford station for economic purposes</li> <li>• Needs to improve parking</li> <li>• Encourage local commuting</li> <li>• Balance appropriate economic growth with development with development required</li> <li>• Identify 'type' of economic development i.e. knowledge/internet based encourages local economic growth and sustainable</li> <li>• Move jobs closer to housing</li> </ul>

## Otterbourne Community Event 6<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Lack of affordable housing/smaller homes/or 'exclusive' smaller properties. Local villages forced to move out.</li> <li>• But villagers would resist building of affordable housing</li> <li>• Continuing demand for small business units/ more office information workers 'nodule workers'</li> <li>• Enjoy the fact that it is still a rural community but near to urban facilities</li> </ul>	
---	--

## Otterbourne Community Event 6<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<b>SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bus services deteriorating                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- funding being withdrawn</li> <li>- no coordination between bus companies</li> <li>- fares too expensive</li> </ul> </li> <li>• (Access to jobs is) Generally Ok as Winchester and Southampton are not too far away – provided you have a car</li> <li>• Shops on main bus routes</li> <li>• Local shop provision adequate at present</li> <li>• Reliance on personal car or voluntary services to access GPs</li> <li>• Not enough bus services to Winchester hospital</li> <li>• Car parking at Winchester hospital is limited</li> <li>• 'The' shop is good</li> <li>• Good choice of pubs</li> <li>• Big surgery (Twyford) but very centralized</li> <li>• Need for satellite surgery at Otterbourne</li> <li>• Church at Otterbourne most come from Chandlers Ford</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• (public transport) Should be seen as a social service.                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Cheaper would encourage greater use</li> <li>- Reliability</li> <li>- Non-competing</li> <li>- Subsidy</li> </ul> </li> <li>• More expensive car parking or just less availability in general would encourage greater use of buses and Park and Ride.</li> <li>• Satellite surgery – pharmacy needs to be part of this service</li> <li>• If elderly – need to drive to surgery as no satellite service</li> <li>• Need to change culture to encourage 'volunteering'.</li> </ul>



## Otterbourne Community Event 6<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Access to jobs good – good transport links but most by car journeys</li> <li>• Good bus routes</li> <li>• Good access to schools – good reputation</li> <li>• Rail links – station</li> <li>• Good voluntary transport service</li> </ul>	
--	--

## Otterbourne Community Event 6<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<p><b>SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)?</li> <li>• Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces?</li> <li>• Are there many sites for wildlife in your area?</li> </ul>	
<p><b>What is the situation like now?</b></p>	<p><b>What needs to change?</b></p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Upgrade existing stock</li> <li>• Building control poorly enforced</li> <li>• Poor planning monitoring</li> <li>• Allotments are threatened</li> <li>• Inadequate cycle paths (poorly maintained or non-existent)</li> <li>• Road routes not safe to walk or cycle on – precludes use</li> <li>• Yes good spread of (wildlife) sites</li> <li>• But development on gardens is destroying these important habitats</li> <li>• Problems with environmental impact of traffic</li> <li>• Need financial incentives</li> <li>• Need more car sharing/efficient use of cars</li> <li>• Some development insensitive – building on flood plain</li> <li>• Higher density development</li> <li>• <u>No</u> new development is not environmentally sensitive – ‘tokenistic’ doing the minimum.</li> <li>• Recycling good</li> <li>• Good access to countryside and green spaces</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Introduce the ‘Morton Rule’</li> <li>• Maintain BC services in house</li> <li>• Increase amount and efficiency of</li> <li>• Developments should be required to prepare and follow plans for recycling construction materials</li> <li>• Allotments need to be supported, more provided as much new housing is flatted or has very small gardens – would encourage wildlife/self sufficiency etc</li> <li>• Funding for cycle paths</li> <li>• Safe cycle path to Winchester would help sustainability</li> <li>• Split pavements between cycle and pedestrians</li> <li>• Greater protection of garden backlands</li> <li>• Council to work with landowners to encourage them to maintain green space</li> <li>• Need to keep landowners ‘on board’</li> <li>• Education/raise awareness about what environmental measures people need to take individually</li> <li>• Energy efficiency as a selling point</li> <li>• Incentives</li> </ul>

## Otterbourne Community Event 6<sup>th</sup> March 2007

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Need customer demand for it in energy efficiency etc</li><li>• Council needs to encourage higher environmental standards with development</li><li>• HECCA grants should be extended</li><li>• WCC should be more proactive and promote higher standards – collaborating with development industry</li><li>• Maintain green space/countryside</li></ul>
--	--

Other issues:

Recycling – needs to collect all types of plastics and recycle locally

- glass bottles should be collected as need to travel by car to bottle banks or community collection centres
- need good publicity

## Whiteley Community Event 28<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<b>SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate?</li> <li>• Is there a range of facilities available for everyone?</li> <li>• Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Split Fareham/Winchester but is improving</li> <li>• Great for families, but not enough for the elderly</li> <li>• Schooling is not adequate, greater provisions needed</li> <li>• Environment good for activities such as cycling, skating etc</li> <li>• No (no sense of community)</li> <li>• Centre poorly defined. Doesn't have local shops</li> <li>• Friendly</li> <li>• Safe</li> <li>• No physical church</li> <li>• Pubs</li> <li>• Different Communities</li> <li>• Wrong shops</li> <li>• Segregated</li> <li>• Political differences between councils</li> <li>• Decision making more accessible in Winchester</li> <li>• Nothing for young people to do</li> <li>• Links with Swanmore, 8 – 9 different school</li> <li>• High mover in population.</li> <li>• Sense of community.</li> <li>• Lack of youth services.</li> <li>• WCC are accessible/ PC same</li> <li>• Limited facilities</li> <li>• Local people are active/ do get involved</li> <li>• PC/WCC involved.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• One local authority or the other – Boundary commission or better cooperation</li> <li>• Centre to cater for the elderly</li> <li>• Swimming pool, Secondary school, primary school and community schools</li> <li>• Must consult local people more</li> <li>• Library</li> <li>• Internet café</li> <li>• Music venue/shop</li> <li>• Cinema</li> <li>• Bank</li> <li>• Bookshop</li> <li>• Hardware shop</li> <li>• Butcher</li> <li>• Baker</li> <li>• Pool</li> <li>• Squash courts</li> <li>• More pubs/bars but needs to be in the right</li> <li>• location (not in business park)</li> <li>• Eating facilities for younger people – café/bar</li> <li>• Maintain green space.</li> <li>• All tiers of government need to work together <u>especially</u> Hampshire County Council.</li> <li>• Whiteley should be all WCC (feel part of WCC)</li> <li>• Maintain “village” feel not suburb</li> <li>• Possible need for allotments.</li> <li>• Whiteley needs to come under one district</li> </ul>

## Whiteley Community Event 28<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fairly typical community mix for Hampshire.</li> <li>• Lots of groups to get involved with if you choose for all ages.</li> <li>• Groups interconnect and grow new ideas.</li> <li>• Places to meet.</li> <li>• Loss of young people to universities, may lead to more transient community.</li> <li>• Not sure if this is a problem?</li> <li>• Housing prices perpetuate</li> <li>• ABC make – up of population.</li> <li>• Lack of coordination/communication arising from council boundaries.</li> <li>• Imbalance of representation with one parish council.</li> <li>• Joined up thinking on strategy and services.</li> <li>• Adventure play ground for older children</li> <li>• Bowls for all.</li> <li>• 3 Newspapers for the area – different people get different info.</li> <li>• Good sense of community which is getting stronger</li> <li>• Good mix of groups and social opportunities</li> <li>• “Marketing” of groups and opportunities needs of improving to reach out to all</li> <li>• Boundary issues – joined up services don’t happen often</li> <li>• Lack of educational facilities</li> <li>• Whiteley feels safe and is a low – crime area</li> <li>• Low car insurance.</li> </ul>	<p>council/authority</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need to reach out to those who aren’t already “engaged” to make sure they don’t miss opportunities/ they do get to contribute</li> <li>• Agencies and councils must communicate better</li> <li>• Plan ahead to provide this locally – urgent priority.</li> <li>• Design out crime in N. Whiteley development</li> <li>• More visible police</li> <li>• Infrastructure must be adequate to create a sustainable N. Whiteley</li> </ul>
---	---

## Whiteley Community Event 28<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<b>SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable?</li> <li>• What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity?</li> <li>• What do you enjoy about where you live?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quiet, green and plenty of landscaping</li> <li>• Design and planning done well – cycle paths/play area</li> <li>• Recreation ground</li> <li>• Good setting for school</li> <li>• Woodland area</li> <li>• Meadowside Centre</li> <li>• Access to amenities to local facilities</li> <li>• Good community spirit</li> <li>• Clean</li> <li>• Plenty of wildlife</li> <li>• Local of affordable housing</li> <li>• Large number of private rented accommodation</li> <li>• Lack of parking provision associated with residential property</li> <li>• No housing for the elderly</li> <li>• Good economic prosperity (service industry), (low manufacturing)</li> <li>• Good proportion of people employed locally</li> <li>• Traffic congestion</li> <li>• Range of housing sizes, mix but owner occupied not much social housing</li> <li>• Quite high prices compared to immediate area but not compared to likes of Bishop's Waltham/Winchester</li> <li>• New housing – not good design</li> <li>• Inadequate parking</li> <li>• Too much street signage</li> <li>• Good design for families</li> <li>• High cost of housing – lack of starter homes. Steep jumps in pricing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Maintain what's good but provide secondary school</li> <li>• Provision of traditional church</li> <li>• Improve the road links in order to reduce congestion</li> <li>• Library/discovery/parish hub provided</li> <li>• Evening social facilities i.e. restaurant, take away etc</li> <li>• Target of 35% of affordable housing that is integrated</li> <li>• Criteria for key worker accommodation (not salary based)</li> <li>• Review of legislation for right to buy to prevent rented housing sold off</li> <li>• Provision of more housing for the elderly</li> <li>• Sustain current levels but improve transport situation</li> <li>• Better design/local context</li> <li>• Better public transport reducing the need for parking</li> <li>• Solar panels built in – environmental sustainability</li> <li>• Businesses to save energy – turn off lights at the weekend</li> <li>• More housing affordable for those who live here would help with traffic situation</li> <li>• New housing development offers opportunity to enhance good aspects of Whiteley (e.g. green ways spaces) and address problem areas</li> <li>• Avoid mistakes (planning) of the past</li> <li>• Think about infrastructure (schools, roads, district centre facilities) first place markings</li> <li>• Crossing on busy roads e.g. consider routes to schools etc to enable safe</li> </ul>

## Whiteley Community Event 28<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is a spread, but prices go up and don't start low</li> <li>• Call centres provide flexible opportunities (e.g. working mums)</li> <li>• Lots of green space</li> <li>• Design of Whiteley retains original hedge patterns</li> <li>• Companies (e.g. Zurich, air traffic control, meridian, abbey) bring people with them to live here</li> <li>• Lots of white collar, high tech jobs</li> <li>• Nothing much for unskilled – tend to focus on village and Segensworth</li> <li>• People <u>like</u> Whiteley and are positive</li> <li>• “Isolation” leads to a good sense of identity</li> <li>• Open spaces are good</li> <li>• Few opportunities for first - time buyers</li> <li>• “Buy to rent” has pushed up prices</li> <li>• Whiteley is relaxed and laid back</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• walking a reduce car dependence</li> <li>• More consultation with people who live here – don't make assumptions</li> <li>• Keep footpaths separate from roads</li> <li>• Central park might enhance facilities/social activity</li> <li>• Create/design in a <u>USP</u> (new facility? Possibly a minority view) to give positive image for Whiteley - get over the negative assumptions with traffic and village</li> <li>• N. Whiteley development must not negate these good attributes</li> <li>• Ensure open space for new developments</li> <li>• Dual carriage ways through the new development will divide the community (if housing on both sides)</li> <li>• Address this with range of housing (reserve for people who have a local connection)</li> <li>• Maintain this ambience, good for people's health</li> </ul>
--	--

## Whiteley Community Event 28<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<b>SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Retail/service based jobs – high number,</li> <li>• small manufacturing in Segensworth area</li> <li>• High number of outlet shops but no little provisions of local shopping/banking facilities</li> <li>• Provision of surgery but no health centre</li> <li>• No places for NHS dentist (waiting list) but private dentist</li> <li>• Access to hospitals via public transport poor but can drive 15 minutes good</li> <li>• Poor buses – not enough services/poor access to services for older people</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improved access and transport provision (public transport/link to railway)</li> <li>• More opportunities for home working</li> <li>• More variety of shops</li> <li>• Increase in internet shopping</li> <li>• Whiteley village lift the constraints to allow a variety of shops (dry cleaners, bank)</li> <li>• Health centre that can offer some hospital services (day surgery, dentist and optician etc)</li> <li>• Encourage more preventative health related activities. GP referral/walking/diet</li> </ul>

## Whiteley Community Event 28<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No optician locally</li> <li>• Minor issue – broadband services</li> <li>• Limited mobile phone coverage</li> <li>• Tesco getting too small...?</li> <li>• Access issue for business park</li> <li>• Inadequate education facilities</li> <li>• Lack of footpaths to link settlements</li> <li>• Unlit roadways discourage walking/cycling (worse in winter)</li> <li>• Difficult to get from one side of Whiteley to another (e.g. schools, brownies etc)</li> <li>• Between 8 – 9 and 4 – 6, traffic jams only one road in and out. HCC lack of solutions/actions</li> <li>• Good local doctor with clinic and chemist on site – still requires car to access</li> <li>• Walking paths within Whiteley</li> <li>• Reasonable provisions for shops for day to day goods</li> <li>• Access to good leisure facilities within 10 – 15 minutes – Port Solent, Gunwharf and Fareham.</li> <li>• Events in village create a sense of community but can create additional congestion (e.g. fireworks display, Christmas)</li> <li>• Access to other shops e.g. Hedge End/West quay very easy</li> <li>• Niche shops in village</li> <li>• Access to primary care also problematic</li> <li>• Youth – good facilities in Fareham but transport an issue</li> <li>• Most jobs in Whiteley office based.</li> <li>• Light industrial area south of M27</li> <li>• Lots of jobs/shops but not accessible by public transport</li> <li>• Cycling is too dangerous</li> <li>• Whitely shops aimed at visitors</li> <li>• Public transport to/from Q.A Hospital and Southampton Hospital is inadequate. Patient transport insufficient</li> </ul>	<p>etc Cemetery</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More primary schools and secondary schools</li> <li>• Access in and out but not through</li> <li>• Wouldn't want access improvements to spoil Whiteley's character</li> <li>• Better bus services</li> <li>• Park and ride for business park – businesses to get involved</li> <li>• Dentist/local health facilities</li> <li>• More community facilities in hall. Meals on wheels for example</li> <li>• Larger supermarket needed</li> <li>• Better relationship needed between business park and housing</li> <li>• Footpath/cycle lanes in and out needed</li> <li>• More restaurants/leisure facilities within Whiteley – more for young people</li> <li>• Open up access to Whiteley (e.g. via Botley Road)</li> <li>• More evening/night buses</li> <li>• More school places – primary and secondary</li> <li>• College provision</li> <li>• Central area/square for people to congregate</li> <li>• Local bank</li> <li>• Expand range of services provided in Whiteley</li> <li>• Ensure a range of jobs opportunities so that people can live near their jobs</li> <li>• New secondary school with links to businesses to facilitate work experience and first jobs</li> <li>• <u>Much</u> better transport services linking services – really designed to meet peoples needs</li> <li>• Provide cycle routes (especially in and off Whiteley)</li> <li>• Create proper town centre to serve the people of Whiteley when N. Whiteley is developed.</li> </ul>
--	--

## Whiteley Community Event 28<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<b>SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)?</li> <li>• Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces?</li> <li>• Are there many sites for wildlife in your area?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Standards are good but they could be improved</li> <li>• Not good at monitoring protected areas e.g. SSSI, but woodlands managed well</li> <li>• Residents and businesses not environmentally responsible</li> <li>• Good access to woodland and green spaces</li> <li>• Good provisions of well managed sites</li> <li>• Housing not designed to be environmentally friendly</li> <li>• Recycling not the complete service leading to driving out of Whiteley</li> <li>• Good green spaces/wildlife</li> <li>• Good setting/design of business park</li> <li>• Good setting and leisure walks</li> <li>• Good public open space</li> <li>• Green ways and spaces encourage people to get out and about – create sense of identity for Whiteley</li> <li>• Woods are an asset</li> <li>• Good variety of wildlife including deer</li> <li>• Good development in terms of day to day life within Whiteley but location/road access creates twice daily environmental problem in rush hour – deer's hit by cars etc</li> <li>• SSSI behind Solent hotel</li> <li>• Good habitats but they are isolated without corridors</li> <li>• Great volunteer group without vandalism</li> <li>• TPO's aren't enough to protect from developers</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improve standards solar panels/recycled water etc</li> <li>• Improve monitoring of protected areas</li> <li>• Education</li> <li>• Conserve what we have and ensure new development has the same</li> <li>• Maintain it</li> <li>• Protect and increase green spaces</li> <li>• More communal green space – Bowling green</li> <li>• Proper park with informal recreation area, quiet space</li> <li>• Multi sport court (in park)</li> <li>• Adventure trail through woods</li> <li>• Businesses to sponsor playgrounds</li> <li>• Green must be protected and interconnected</li> <li>• Support wildlife (e.g. deer movement)</li> <li>• Need to manage leisure activities (e.g. mountain biking in the woods) to ensure everyone can enjoy the special places</li> <li>• Protect wildlife sites (unlike SSSI on Fareham side which was built over)</li> <li>• Minimise damage to habitats when new development takes place</li> <li>• Use planning gain to enhance habitats</li> <li>• Increased rainfall – capture and <u>use!</u></li> <li>• Better system to prevent destruction by developers</li> <li>• 'lifetime homes' suitable for older/disabled people</li> <li>• Makes homes good enough for people to</li> </ul>



## Whiteley Community Event 28<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Homes not good for living in a whole lifetime</li><li>• Poor design and 'cramming' will lead to slums</li><li>• Leads to CO<sub>2</sub> – emissions</li><li>• Insufficient parking. Parking in roads</li><li>• Homes and businesses must use cars</li><li>• New homes <u>not</u> energy efficient</li><li>• No solar panels</li><li>• Some developers better than others re efficiency</li><li>• 'cramming' highest priority</li><li>• Access to country side is <u>good</u> and well used</li></ul>	<p>care and want to make Whiteley a great community</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Regular circular bus route around Whiteley (requires mature joint working between councils)</li><li>• Link to car parks so people don't drive into Whiteley</li><li>• Aim for carbon – neutral homes, more grants for adaptation to create carbon neutrality</li><li>• Architects should accommodate water butts etc</li><li>• Maintain countryside</li><li>• Area action plan for Whiteley</li></ul>
--	---

## Wickham Community Event 13<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<b>SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate?</li> <li>• Is there a range of facilities available for everyone?</li> <li>• Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Those who want to participate, do. There are opportunities to get involved and share ideas.</li> <li>• Strong <u>village</u> identity, people <u>pull</u> together.</li> <li>• Community centres are focal to Community life – Social life of village is critical to identity.</li> <li>• Community identity yes, but only in part</li> <li>• Feeling of isolation by some members of community</li> <li>• Perception that Winchester used as “dumping ground” by surrounding towns/cities.</li> <li>• WCC never listens to planning objections.</li> <li>• “Only found out by accident”, most found out via Parish Council.</li> <li>• Council remote &amp; sitting in Winchester</li> <li>• Councillors not representative of community e.g. old/middle class</li> <li>• Community centre could be better used/used more, Scout Group, Brownies etc.</li> <li>• Good shops big shops at Hedge End</li> <li>• Community support shops</li> <li>• Too many restaurants</li> <li>• Have skate park</li> <li>• Transport getting worse. More discussion on roads/planning???</li> <li>• How do we keep them (future generations) here?</li> <li>• Good schools but room for improvement.</li> <li>• Swanmore starting community involvement. Not always easy to involve younger people in decision making. Have had some</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increase local governance/devolution (e.g. parishes) – less centralized decision making.</li> <li>• Work hard to retain identity – urban sprawl will erode this.</li> <li>• Change needs to recognize local identity of community.</li> <li>• Threat of SDA to galvanize community to galvanize what we have.</li> <li>• People have a right to what goes on in their village.</li> <li>• <u>Simplify</u> – e.g. jargon in this exercise</li> <li>• Cascade system. Think as a system to maximize publicity.</li> <li>• Sort out names of bodies – e.g. how to get more young people involved.</li> <li>• Balance needs to be maintained between shops of type.</li> <li>• Need more sport facilities, nothing walk able. Leisure facilities need better access.</li> <li>• Make buses more attractive.</li> <li>• Infrastructure and access and facilities needed for Whiteley and Knowle.</li> <li>• Planned communities rather than houses.</li> <li>• Environmental considerations</li> <li>• Affordable housing and jobs</li> <li>• Greater use of schools, shows etc. greater parental involvement.</li> <li>• Need to find ways to do this (consider the needs of future generation when making decisions and taking actions).</li> <li>• Need champion. Need to encourage younger people to be involved/entire</li> </ul>

## Wickham Community Event 13<sup>th</sup> February 2007

success in this. Needs somebody to organize.	community to be involved. Volunteering by all sections of the community.
--	--

## Wickham Community Event 13<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<b>SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable?</li> <li>• What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity?</li> <li>• What do you enjoy about where you live?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Big range (of housing which is accessible and affordable available) at Knowle but Wickham has fewer affordable units.</li> <li>• NO (there is not a range of housing which is accessible and affordable available)</li> <li>• Imbalance in different type of housing</li> <li>• Right to buy – leakage of housing through this.</li> <li>• Few opportunities for people to move out of home</li> <li>• Prices not dissimilar to “average” Winchester City Council BUT real lack of first time buyers.</li> <li>• Tourism potential (opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity).</li> <li>• Access to employment centres (e.g. Segensworth) but need car</li> <li>• Plenty of small units around – business/farms/ Knowle etc.</li> <li>• Reuse of redundant farm buildings=</li> <li>• More home working</li> <li>• Do we want growth/ incoming trade?</li> <li>• What do you enjoy about where you live:</li> <li>• It’s a proper village with a real sense of community</li> <li>• Located to have best of everything</li> <li>• Great Square – Focal point</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need for more (housing which is accessible and affordable available) to keep younger people</li> <li>• Affordable housing shouldn’t come at cost of community facilities – e.g. built on city centre sites.</li> <li>• Limit the scale of new development as a whole.</li> <li>• Housing for people that come from this area and not simply for outsiders.</li> <li>• Culture of home ownership needs to change and involvement in home ownership</li> <li>• Much more affordable housing</li> <li>• Reconcile demands and desire not to change</li> <li>• No new industry/business at the expense of things such as the environment</li> <li>• Telecottaging or more local small-scale employment therefore less need to travel.</li> <li>• Incoming trade may sustain community in long term in keeping with rural feel and values.</li> <li>• Open Knowle Halt Railway Station</li> <li>• Keep a green gap between Wickham and the North Fareham - SDA</li> <li>• More involvement in Parish Council</li> <li>• Build on events, fares, festivals etc.</li> </ul>

## Wickham Community Event 13<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Beautifully chaotic</li> <li>• New development – Some poor design however it has been better in recent years</li> <li>• No connection between Knowle and Wickham</li> <li>• Less parking space in Pedestrianised Square</li> <li>• Residents parking in square</li> <li>• Visitors to restaurants</li> <li>• Small specialist businesses</li> <li>• Rural location (still)</li> <li>• All a community</li> <li>• Convenient access</li> <li>• Village</li> <li>• Access to the countryside</li> <li>• Village surrounded by green fields</li> <li>• Good central location, well contained character</li> <li>• Easy access to the countryside</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Too much time chasing HCC (Highways maintenance)</li> <li>• Balance economic issues – Vitality</li> <li>• H&amp;S insurance issues</li> <li>• Community Events – Carnival</li> <li>• More employment locally</li> <li>• Maintain gap between village and new development</li> <li>• Maintain identity</li> <li>• Hold off development</li> </ul>
---	---

## Wickham Community Event 13<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<b>SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Buses – infrequent destinations</li> <li>• Rail – Havant nearest option, long commute – can park, cost of parking</li> <li>• Car – greatest flexibility</li> <li>• No (alternatives to using the car to access jobs), or walk, or taxi, motorcycle</li> <li>• Home working</li> <li>• Some people are more attached to their cars than others</li> <li>• Majority commute out of area by car because of ineffective public transport services.</li> <li>• Introduction of SDA will only make</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Internet Café – Young people</li> <li>• Bus services – evenings for young people to: Fareham, Portsmouth</li> <li>• More cycle lanes</li> <li>• Cheaper buses, increase frequency</li> <li>• Flexible working hours/methods</li> <li>• SDA – catalyst for change in transport</li> <li>• Staggering rush hour</li> <li>• Car sharing via internet – Parish Council to be involved</li> <li>• Clothing/ footwear shops – go to Winchester and Fareham</li> </ul>

## Wickham Community Event 13<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<p>situation worse</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Winch/Portsmouth/Southampton Real incentives for park and ride using the train</li> <li>• Thriving centre</li> <li>• Car weekly shop</li> <li>• Good local shops – top up – can walk</li> <li>• Fareham – main centre</li> <li>• New charger have helped with car parking</li> <li>• Little us of internet shopping</li> <li>• Wickham doesn't have big enough shops for weekly shop. Use Fareham</li> <li>• Increasing delivery services</li> <li>• Locals keen to support local shops</li> <li>• Good services/shops – good range</li> <li>• Limited shopping options in Wickham and surrounding areas and expensive</li> <li>• Good local shops</li> <li>• Mobile library weekly</li> <li>• Dentist, opticians – in Wickham</li> <li>• Local Schools – Buses to Swanmore</li> <li>• Hospitals – Winchester, QA</li> <li>• Good active voluntary car share scheme</li> <li>• Schools- range of ages catered for</li> <li>• Folk festival</li> <li>• Boxing day</li> <li>• Classic car rally</li> <li>• No viable alternative to using car to get to schools</li> <li>• Walking/cycling school buses</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good delivery food</li> <li>• Tourism to be supported to sustain local businesses</li> <li>• Maintain festivals</li> <li>• Encourage local shops, for example by preferential business rates – incentives</li> <li>• SDA will make situation worse (larger shops)</li> <li>• Cycle track on railway line</li> <li>• Disabled access to Wickham Health Centre</li> <li>• Need more parking</li> <li>• Free transport for under 18's</li> </ul>
--	--

## Wickham Community Event 13<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<b>SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)?</li> <li>• Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces?</li> <li>• Are there many sites for wildlife in your area?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whiteley/ Knowle – middle of nowhere – all require car use/ create traffic problems</li> <li>• Recycling facilities poor – have to drive to recycle</li> <li>• No (new development is not designed to minimize its impact on the environment) – In control of developer.</li> <li>• Unproven technology</li> <li>• Planning permission not dependant on inclusion of sustainability issues</li> <li>• Development agreed independently of transport provision</li> <li>• Bungalow – 4 small houses – out of keeping</li> <li>• Affordable housing not fitting in environment</li> <li>• Energy profit rules</li> <li>• Water meadows and wildlife trail/walks, lovely walks</li> <li>• Organised walks</li> <li>• Lovely area – although noted car to access countryside</li> <li>• Access to coast/Solent</li> <li>• Access to green/open spaces but not good/safe access</li> <li>• Very good (access to the countryside) already</li> <li>• Watermeadows</li> <li>• Forest of Bere</li> <li>• Titchfield Haven</li> <li>• Wickham Vineyard</li> <li>• Yes (good access to the countryside and green spaces)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Think about the community facilities needed before siting the development</li> <li>• Planning policy needs to be more flexible (e.g. solar panels)</li> <li>• Planning process needs to be more open and less consultative</li> <li>• Government has too much say over housing allocations etc. need to listen to local people.</li> <li>• Planning Applications should include environment/transport audit</li> <li>• (Remit for CABE)</li> <li>• More Education - School Curriculum</li> <li>• Trial metering</li> <li>• 'Build for life' not thirty years</li> <li>• Legislation to require measures</li> <li>• Need to vastly improve public transport before restricting parking standards</li> <li>• Sort out waste/sewage facilities</li> <li>• More recreation space needed</li> <li>• New build has to include greenspace allocations</li> <li>• Public transport to countryside</li> <li>• Improved links to open/green spaces</li> <li>• e.g. expand national park to include Wickham</li> <li>• Protect and stay as green as possible</li> <li>• More cycle routes and lanes</li> <li>• Protect existing (wildlife) sites – include garden features e.g. ponds</li> <li>• Information point/ internet café</li> <li>• Succession planning for volunteer workers</li> <li>• More employment in Wickham – allocated</li> </ul>

## Wickham Community Event 13<sup>th</sup> February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Good range (of wildlife sites) already</li><li>• Farmers Market</li><li>• Good Community Centre</li><li>• Youth club – 50 – 60 people</li><li>• Scout Hut damaged – (and room for hire)</li><li>• 1x football pitch</li><li>• Cricket club</li><li>• Tennis court</li><li>• After school club</li><li>• Good restaurants</li><li>• Play scheme in summer holidays</li><li>• Poor fund raising for community group – Need core funding</li><li>• Housing too expensive large houses – social gap</li><li>• Dropping school roll numbers</li><li>• Good access to theatre – Winchester and Chichester</li></ul>	<p>as part of housing development</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Business start-up units</li><li>• Watermeadows – vandalism to tables</li><li>• Knowle village not accessible</li><li>• Where to put social housing</li><li>• Complicated process to bid for grants</li><li>• Claiming back V.A.T.</li><li>• Mix of housing provision – accessible to village centre.</li></ul>
---	--

## Stanmore Community Event 8<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<b>SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate?</li> <li>• Is there a range of facilities available for everyone?</li> <li>• Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action?</li> </ul>	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>HURSLEY:-</b> has a good sense of identity; Same people who participate in village activities; School – hub of community – but could advertise functions better; Village hall well used</li> <li>• <b>STANMORE:-</b> Same people running/getting involved in activities</li> <li>• <b>COMMUNITY:-</b> more transient than was previously the case</li> <li>• Housing density too great</li> <li>• <b>CRIME –</b> low level; Litter and graffiti; Cold callers</li> <li>• Neighbourhood wardens – have been of assistance - <b>POLICE</b></li> <li>• Students – too many!</li> <li>• Tenure of the area/social change</li> <li>• Lack of community/family housing</li> <li>• No limits upon private landlords</li> <li>• Poor continuity of community due to students</li> <li>• Lack of community</li> <li>• Lack of community group integration</li> <li>• Lack of community activity awareness</li> <li>• Lack of facilities within walking distance (a historic problem)</li> <li>• Loss of community pubs/replaced with more commercial style pubs</li> <li>• Very good schools</li> <li>• Poor maintenance of facilities (parks)</li> <li>• Poor council management of open space &amp; facilities</li> <li>• Need for someone to do this (need structured welcome for newcomers/</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Better if more people got involved (in community)</li> <li>• External programme beyond Stanmore Winnall/Highcliffe (neighbourhood wardens)</li> <li>• (Police) Presence could be greater</li> <li>• More control regarding student accommodation</li> <li>• Student landlords to be more responsible</li> <li>• Landlords to pay council tax</li> <li>• More &amp; better facilities</li> <li>• Poor management/maintenance of existing facilities</li> <li>• More dog waste facilities</li> <li>• More local decision making &amp; a mechanism to do this (maintenance of facilities and open spaces) (a parish style authority)</li> <li>• Need for structured welcome for newcomers/young families/teens</li> <li>• Need to think about getting younger people and families being able to stay in Winchester.</li> <li>• Health &amp; sense of well being must be promoted</li> <li>• Facilities – need to be improved</li> <li>• Better security &amp; safe environment</li> <li>• Better groups have been set up – needs to be continued</li> <li>• More serious crime a worry for the future – guns</li> <li>• Reducing crime can be achieved</li> <li>• Bring different groups together, lack</li> </ul>



## Stanmore Community Event 8<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<p><b>young families/ teens</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Wardens only monitor 'LA Estates'; should be District wide</b></li> <li>• <b>Good police presence in town centre but not outside</b></li> <li>• <b>Good facilities:- Carroll Centre; River Park</b></li> <li>• <b>Absence of sports facilities outside town centre</b></li> <li>• <b>Town Forum – good voice but need Town Council</b></li> <li>• <b>Relatively low crime in comparison</b></li> <li>• <b>Winchester divided into a number of different communities</b></li> <li>• <b>Lack of visible process to get voice heard on specific issues at Winchester City Council - Sense of apathy, powerlessness</b></li> <li>• <b>Cost of community facilities (to hire)</b></li> <li>• <b>Beginners to consider needs younger generations – conserve green spaces</b></li> <li>• <b>Acknowledge that there is ageing population!! Will Winchester become like Torquay?</b></li> </ul>	<p><b>of sense of community</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>More transparency</b></li> <li>• <b>Peer pressure. More promotion of healthy lifestyles etc</b></li> </ul>
--	--

## Stanmore Community Event 8<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<p><b>SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable?</li> <li>• What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity?</li> <li>• What do you enjoy about where you live?</li> </ul>	
<p><b>What is the situation like now?</b></p>	<p><b>What needs to change?</b></p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>HURSLEY: - Not affordable. Lack of social housing</b></li> <li>• <b>STANMORE/WINCHESTER</b></li> <li>• <b>NO!</b> (there is not a range of housing which is accessible and affordable?)</li> <li>• <b>Lack of affordable housing</b></li> <li>• <b>Lack of family housing</b></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Meet the demand local lettings policy</b></li> <li>• <b>More social/affordable housing</b></li> <li>• <b>Needs to be good quality housing – noise problems</b></li> <li>• <b>More purpose built student accommodation</b></li> <li>• <b>More public transport to jobs –</b></li> </ul>

## Stanmore Community Event 8<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of available housing – due to student landlords</li> <li>• University expansion not managed properly in relation to housing facilities and amount</li> <li>• A lot of jobs in retail/services. Lack of local businesses/enterprise</li> <li>• Great view</li> <li>• Stanmore – was a lot of greenspace; being eroded</li> <li>• Right to buy – no community feel</li> <li>• Well designed – large gardens</li> <li>• Badger Farm:- community centre; modern/community; mix of housing</li> <li>• Fulflood:- quiet</li> <li>• Winchester:- positive community; good mix of housing</li> <li>• Expensive housing</li> <li>• Some well designed (housing), especially in Winchester</li> <li>• Outside Winchester design less important.</li> <li>• Good conservation areas.</li> <li>• In-character (development) important</li> <li>• Young people can't afford to live and work in Winchester</li> <li>• (Opportunities to encourage economic prosperity): Jobs in Winchester; Good education opportunities; Opportunities for low paid work; Silver Hill – opportunity for economic development; Housing</li> <li>• Poor opportunities for start-up business. Micro businesses.</li> <li>• Winnall has job opportunities</li> <li>• People working from home and commute to London</li> <li>• No opportunities for students to stay and carry on working in Winchester i.e. small workshops – creative jobs</li> <li>• Like:- Stanmore- original layout green estate; Badger Farm – accessible town on foot; Central Winchester – being able to walk everywhere; Architecture;</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>employers to provide transport</li> <li>• Too many retail jobs</li> <li>• Need for small scale/low rent business accommodation for local persons</li> <li>• More live work accommodation</li> <li>• Protect it (great view)</li> <li>• Protect grass verges (more parking)</li> <li>• Maintain low density</li> <li>• Easier communication with authorities – parish magazine</li> <li>• (Stanmore) Should be run as an 'Estate'</li> <li>• Have Stanmore as a Parish</li> <li>• (take) Power away from Housing Department</li> <li>• (make the area) Less of a ghetto</li> <li>• Badger Farm:- no more development</li> <li>• Winchester:- retention of character</li> <li>• More open areas in Winchester i.e. public open space</li> <li>• Create opportunities for young people to stay in Winchester</li> <li>• Is Winchester full up? – Fix the boundaries</li> <li>• Lack of infrastructure for future housing – trains; schools; health</li> <li>• Congestion – development coming forward should be affordable</li> <li>• Live/work units.</li> <li>• Small business opportunities</li> <li>• Opportunities for culture/music</li> <li>• Wool Staplers Hall would be good (for small workshops)</li> <li>• Change peoples expectations/ aspirations re. property ownership</li> <li>• Encourage home grown tourism. Need more marketing strategies to promote diversity of Winchester</li> <li>• Conserving. Character of Winchester:- size; compact; surrounded by countryside; cultural</li> </ul>
--	--

## Stanmore Community Event 8<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<p><b>Intimate centre; Fulflood – character of houses Edwardian</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lack of affordable housing</li> <li>• Good range of rental properties available</li> </ul>	<p><b>events improved</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue and promote cultural heritage</li> </ul>
---	--

## Stanmore Community Event 8<sup>th</sup> March 2007

SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services?</li> </ul>	
What is the situation now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>HURSLEY:-</b> Public transport ok to Winchester &amp; Romsey only – no alternatives</li> <li>• Getting to jobs outside city difficult by public transport</li> <li>• Not enough timetabling at bus stops</li> <li>• <b>SHOPS:-</b> Not all local shops wheelchair accessible; Parking Cromwell Road – competition for space with students at University.</li> <li>• Multiple occupation increases car usage</li> <li>• <b>WINCHESTER:-</b> Good transport hub, trains, trunk roads, local airport, but restricted car parking</li> <li>• GPs available generally, but parking at hospital insufficient</li> <li>• Poor local shops. ‘No proper local shops’</li> <li>• Poor public transport network and poor value for money</li> <li>• Stanmore bus service not reliable</li> <li>• No local health services</li> <li>• Local people dependent on car transport especially if ill</li> <li>• Farmers market - good</li> <li>• Good range at shops</li> <li>• Good access to facilities</li> <li>• Poor cycle routes</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Greater provision of public transport in this area</li> <li>• Improvements to rural services</li> <li>• Greater frequency (public transport – buses)</li> <li>• Needs to be improved (information on public transport – bus stop timetables)</li> <li>• Park and ride with good services to uni, prison, police HQ, hospital</li> <li>• <b>ENVIRONMENT POLICIES</b> re car usage at Uni – no cars</li> <li>• But (G.P.) lists often full. Where do you go then?</li> <li>• More local health services</li> <li>• Improved public transport services</li> <li>• More independent retailers</li> <li>• More small food shops</li> <li>• Better routes to hospital</li> <li>• Reduce parking</li> <li>• Expand airport/ more choice</li> <li>• Reduce airport – increase Bournemouth</li> <li>• Expand schools sensitively</li> <li>• Improve opportunities for young</li> <li>• All purpose hall – Bapsey bequest</li> <li>• More start up units for new businesses – justified by unemployment levels</li> </ul>

## Stanmore Community Event 8<sup>th</sup> March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Good pub and sport on the whole</b></li><li>• <b>Too much parking</b></li><li>• <b>Excellent access to other national/international areas</b></li><li>• <b>Excellent schools</b></li><li>• <b>Good hospital under threat</b></li><li>• <b>Poor entertainment for young – need more choice</b></li><li>• <b>Recent loss of office space/office jobs to housing (&lt;1% unemployment)</b></li><li>• <b>lower paid positions – workers commute into Winchester</b></li><li>• <b>Prestige of Winchester employers like to be here</b></li><li>• <b>Lack of independent stores</b></li><li>• <b>Lack of bulky goods shops – go elsewhere.</b></li><li>• <b>Good access to city centre/local provision</b></li><li>• <b>Good access to health facilities and can walk to some facilities</b></li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>Need more diverse job opportunities</b></li><li>• <b>Need buildings suitable for range of jobs – offices – need modernizing</b></li><li>• <b>Farmers market have every week</b></li><li>• <b>Must consider needs of growing elderly population</b></li><li>• <b>Must keep hospital and all its services</b></li></ul>
---	--

## Stanmore Community Event 8<sup>th</sup> March 2007

### SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...

- Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)?
- Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces?
- Are there many sites for wildlife in your area?

What is the situation now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Construction of housing – not enough attention paid (to the design to minimise its impact on the environment)</li> <li>• SILVER HILL built to the minimum (design to minimise its impact on the environment)</li> <li>• Good access to countryside via variety of means</li> <li>• Plenty of good local space in Winchester and accessible</li> <li>• HURSLEY – rec: -Walking and cycling can be hazardous – frequency, speed and size (4x4s) of vehicles can cause damage to other road users</li> <li>• SAT NAV – sending vehicles to roads not sufficient for size of vehicles</li> <li>• Plenty of sites for wildlife</li> <li>• Need for green building practices and energy</li> <li>• Make solar panels, turbines etc compulsory, in new build</li> <li>• More subsidised cavity wall insulation and green energy e.g. domestic turbine</li> <li>• More recycling – plastic pots</li> <li>• Need for better access (public transport) to the countryside</li> <li>• Lack of quality and useable green spaces – benches etc</li> <li>• No evidence of environmentally sensitive development</li> <li>• More recycling</li> <li>• Good volunteer litter pickers</li> <li>• Good access to countryside</li> <li>• Country buses have been cut</li> <li>• Neighbourhood wardens an excellent thing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More encouragement of eco-homes – attention to building techniques</li> <li>• Footpaths – vigilance on part of Highway Authority re. ensuring paths remain open etc and accessible</li> <li>• Development needs to be more sympathetic to each area</li> <li>• Dog free zones needed</li> <li>• More dog mess bins</li> <li>• Need for better tending of footpaths to open spaces</li> <li>• Need for a managed wildlife area with public access for children families</li> <li>• More initiatives to help cut down on environment problems</li> <li>• Local areas need attention</li> <li>• (Good access to the countryside) needs to be safeguarded</li> <li>• Personal safety needs to be improved</li> <li>• Regular forum needed for support services, community (outreach)</li> <li>• Will accept infill provided it is sympathetic and sensitive to the neighbourhood</li> <li>• Need infrastructure to support development</li> <li>• Carbon neutral buildings to be made compulsory</li> <li>• Open space have rights of access. WCC to liase with landowners</li> <li>• Green infrastructure to be promoted &amp; preserved</li> <li>• More variety of activities and equipment for everyone – not just children</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Negative policing</li><li>• Infill development can dramatically change the character of our communities - &amp; damage the environment</li><li>• Construction methods poor use of new technology</li><li>• Access is good but no absolute right of access (ownership e.g. National Trust, Butterfly Trust etc)</li><li>• Loss of green space (develop)</li><li>• compared to what there used to be</li><li>• Variety of equipment/resource that is available</li></ul>	
--	--

## Weeke Community Event 1<sup>st</sup> March 2007

<b>SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate?</li> <li>• Is there a range of facilities available for everyone?</li> <li>• Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation like now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Compared to areas such as London it is very good for community spirit – Teg Down.</li> <li>• Based around streets</li> <li>• Good sense of belonging, know people in town</li> <li>• Lots of little communities</li> <li>• Teenage children feel part of it -Schools foster this</li> <li>• Churches work well together</li> <li>• Starting to feel not so safe</li> <li>• Young people feel left out – cause problems e.g. Stoney Lane</li> <li>• Weeke – Little sense of community. – depends who you are how your children act in community.</li> <li>• Increase in graffiti vandalism</li> <li>• Fear of antisocial behaviour</li> <li>• Large numbers of people out Fri/Sat nights</li> <li>• Fear of crime</li> <li>• Olivers Battery – good with community such as fate</li> <li>• No pub</li> <li>• Village hall good centre</li> <li>• Good mix of people use village</li> <li>• Services all in centre – shop, school, church, pub</li> <li>• Keep post office – local services</li> <li>• Good mix of council and other houses</li> <li>• Teenagers have problems in rural areas</li> <li>• Not range for all ages and interests</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• HCC pay more for youth work</li> <li>• Elderly increasingly have to go elsewhere to get care</li> <li>• Muslim community growing need to be able to get more involved. Also other BME groups</li> <li>• Opportunities for integration for all communities</li> <li>• Provision of more ‘informal’ services, food etc</li> <li>• Boost to evening economy – trade in evening</li> <li>• Increase feelings of safety</li> <li>• Give children something they want to do.</li> <li>• Need bigger centre in Olivers Battery. focal point</li> <li>• Keep village hall and focal point in future</li> <li>• Need more for young</li> <li>• Better public transport for young and old</li> <li>• Aging population needs will be different in future.</li> <li>• Better controls (on anti-social behaviour)</li> <li>• Create a good balance (between different mix of people)</li> </ul>

## Weeke Community Event 1<sup>st</sup> March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Try to think about future</b></li> <li>• <b>Help for elderly – good Neighbourhood Watch – dwindling.</b></li> <li>• <b>Strong area identity, but lack of community &amp; social involvement</b></li> <li>• <b>Army community = separate community</b></li> <li>• <b>Community only reacts and comes together when needed</b></li> <li>• <b>Anti-social behaviour in city centre – keeping a sector of people out at night.</b></li> <li>• <b>Older people and tourists generally quite safe. Young people congregating – intimidating.</b></li> </ul>	
---	--

## Weeke Community Event 1<sup>st</sup> March 2007

<p><b>SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable?</li> <li>• What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity?</li> <li>• What do you enjoy about where you live?</li> </ul>	
<p><b>What is the situation like now?</b></p>	<p><b>What needs to change?</b></p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Too expensive. Hard for people to get on property ladder</li> <li>• Infrastructure not sustainable</li> <li>• ‘Fashion’ for detached housing</li> <li>• Economic prosperity</li> <li>• Large amount of educational facilities</li> <li>• Historic feel of place – tourism</li> <li>• Proud of ‘reputation’ of city</li> <li>• Historic city – well designed</li> <li>• Green wedges</li> <li>• Too expensive to rent and buy</li> <li>• Too limited supply</li> <li>• Old and young people problem of affordability</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Design buildings with minimum requirements for renewable resources - reduce carbon from new builds</li> <li>• Subsidised/affordable housing</li> <li>• Keep existing public housing</li> <li>• Agricultural land – allow affordable housing to be built on it?</li> <li>• Well designed high density – in the right place</li> <li>• Better training</li> <li>• More affordable housing</li> <li>• Use current housing to convert them to affordable dwellings</li> <li>• Starter homes</li> </ul>



## Weeke Community Event 1<sup>st</sup> March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• People can't afford to live and work here so commute</li> <li>• Older people need to move to get sheltered housing</li> <li>• Too many low paid jobs</li> <li>• Too much commuting</li> <li>• Compact</li> <li>• Near Winchester without being in centre and close to countryside</li> <li>• Low crime</li> <li>• Beautiful countryside</li> <li>• Proud of area live in</li> <li>• Fed up with new development. – driven by housebuilder – leads to resentment in community</li> <li>• High density development changes areas - knock on effects on facilities and infrastructure</li> <li>• Not enough social housing</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Encourage 'home working'</li> <li>• No local centre for community</li> <li>• More farmers markets</li> <li>• Public transport – cheaper; more frequent; quality</li> <li>• Difficult for young people</li> <li>• Local recreation</li> <li>• Empowerment for residents</li> <li>• Better communication with residents and developers</li> <li>• Pro-act not react!</li> <li>• Change legislation</li> </ul>
---	--

## Weeke Community Event 1<sup>st</sup> March 2007

<b>SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services?</li> </ul>	
<b>What is the situation now?</b>	<b>What needs to change?</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Elderly people find it hard to access services</li> <li>• Lot of activities you can walk to</li> <li>• Access, problem for people with mobility problems</li> <li>• Doctors surgery only one at end of bus route</li> <li>• Value local provision of services – hospital/A&amp;E</li> <li>• Not many high quality jobs in Winchester</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More funding for services like Dial-a-Ride.</li> <li>• More volunteers</li> <li>• Help keep people mobile</li> <li>• Subsidised transport – targeted</li> <li>• Local area surgeries</li> <li>• Retain local services – emergency</li> <li>• Community officers high profile presence</li> <li>• Need better transport</li> </ul>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Parking limited in centre</li> <li>• Taxes too expensive</li> <li>• Have to come into Winchester for health care (Sparsholt)</li> <li>• Not enough access to evening classes</li> <li>• No parking at hospital and doctors and dentists</li> <li>• Services are good</li> <li>• Good access to most shops and facilities by bus, foot, bicycle and car</li> <li>• Local facilities good</li> <li>• Out of centre stores – will lead to congestion etc</li> <li>• Winchester not a big employer? Commuters into city</li> <li>• Lack of centre with vitality and empty shops</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cycle route into local villages</li> <li>• Electric bikes</li> <li>• Better public transport (buses) into town</li> <li>• Transport cards – integrated</li> <li>• More doctors etc needed</li> <li>• Want service to stay eg. hospital</li> <li>• Park and ride in North of city</li> <li>• Keep to areas that can support these (out of centre stores)</li> <li>• Create more jobs (in Winchester), but retain historic nature of city. Balance need for housing with need for jobs</li> <li>• Need to draw in ‘big players’ (retail)</li> </ul>
---	--

## Weeke Community Event 1<sup>st</sup> March 2007

<p><b>SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)?</li> <li>• Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces?</li> <li>• Are there many sites for wildlife in your area?</li> </ul>	
<p><b>What is the situation now?</b></p>	<p><b>What needs to change?</b></p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ready access to countryside - public transport</li> <li>• New developments not sustainable enough</li> <li>• Green spaces recreation:- Winnall Moor; Itchen navigation</li> <li>• Housing has changed by becoming more energy efficient</li> <li>• Too expensive to improve current housing</li> <li>• Not enough public open space or amenity areas</li> <li>• Yes, good access to countryside</li> <li>• good footpaths</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LDF needs to state only planning permission for carbon neutral properties</li> <li>• Collecting water etc</li> <li>• Park &amp; ride needs to correlate with reduction in spaces in town</li> <li>• Joined up ‘action’ for cycle paths – direct (not round one-way)</li> <li>• Sequential approach to traffic management – car towards bottom of list</li> <li>• Ban deliveries of goods during peak times</li> <li>• Maintain channels of space into city</li> <li>• oasis in parks &amp; gardens maintained</li> <li>• development on edge of city</li> </ul>

## Weeke Community Event 1<sup>st</sup> March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Poor maintenance</li> <li>• “More rabbits than we know what to do with”</li> <li>• Wildlife in gardens</li> <li>• Fortnightly rubbish collections – problem</li> <li>• Good access to countryside</li> <li>• Higher density development = more traffic = less green space</li> <li>• Retain green wedges</li> <li>• Hear about climate change</li> <li>• Bus routes to other places not directly into centre (across town) high price/lack of service out of city</li> <li>• Poor maintenance of hard landscaping and paving</li> <li>• Litter around shops dropped by young people</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More needs to be done to change this in the future (energy efficiency)</li> <li>• Education of what needs doing (energy efficiency)</li> <li>• Need insulation on housing</li> <li>• More grants from council – people need to know about it</li> <li>• Encourage more use of green energy</li> <li>• Local council needs to promote it (use of green energy) – get own technology, take leadership</li> <li>• HCC needs to work with WCC</li> <li>• Linking corridors between wildlife areas – maintain them.</li> <li>• Not enough money for maintenance (public open spaces)</li> <li>• Pro-active communities in wildlife conservation</li> <li>• Better education – schools etc</li> <li>• Better education for everyone</li> <li>• Reduce city centre car park</li> <li>• Improve public transport routes &amp; price &amp; frequency</li> <li>• Personal responsibility for community areas</li> <li>• Do things to change it i.e. house builders, better building regs.</li> <li>• More recreational activities</li> </ul>
---	---