

Appendix 9: Community Event: Exercise 2. Results

Alresford Community Event 7th March 2007

SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate? • Is there a range of facilities available for everyone? • Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sense of community identity, but tiered society. • Large number of recent incomers, but high proportion retired • Proud of where we live. But some people feel not involved in the community. Long established families and poorer families. • Limited interest in Town Council affairs. • Good (range of facilities) but no swimming pool. Sports facilities at Perins & Arlebury Park • No hall that can be used as theatre • Boring (facilities) – less interest for teenagers. Things are available for younger children (toddlers etc.) • There are sport facilities available – but doesn't cater for everyone – Most will go to Winchester • Youth clubs are here, but too officious. • Some shops make good effort on disability access • There is a good range of facilities available for older generations (> 25's) but missing for other age groups. • No nursing home in Alresford – have to move away from friends etc. and community. • Social and sheltered housing is not accessible to shops and other facilities. • There is a lot of further education available, but not aimed at younger people. • Community does try to provide this (facilities for young people) e.g. skate park, 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Promote more volunteering especially through schools. • More facilities for different age groups especially the elderly • Need to make younger people aware of what is available to them e.g. funding to start new projects/groups. • Provide Internet Café for example • Provide cinema facility e.g. club trips out to facilities (organised coach etc.) e.g. bowling. • Better facilities in one community centre. • Need nursing home and in home care • Need to provide social housing to allow local young people to stay in area if want to. • Need range of further education available e.g. introduction to driving, car maintenance etc..... • Need to ensure age of access is available – not just internet • Downsizing is an issue – not easy • Housing for workers is very limited – services, sustainable community • More to help make community adhesive, economic benefits. • More facilities for young people • Broader spectrum of involvement by cross section of the community. • Inclusion – need more low cost housing – influences for purchase and or rent. • Local homes affordable and available for

Alresford Community Event 7th March 2007

<p>but difficulty in knowing how to connect.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Increasing use of internet is isolating.• No fuel source available• Shops converting to housing, stops people using areas.• Smaller parishes tend to be more integrated• Sense of a range of different communities• <u>Festivals</u> -Watercress• There are opportunities to be involved, however the same people tend to be involved all the time. People who are not involved may not participate in any activities.• Does have positive community Identity.• Lack of appreciation that “unaffordability” (lack of affordability) of housing will threaten Alresford’s future.• Need to look ahead and understand these implications.• Actual lack of affordable housing.• Bribes to get people to choose to move to smaller properties when they don’t need larger rented homes any more. DON’T WORK?• Low level crime/Antisocial behaviour• Low visibility of police affects feelings of safety, but there is low crime rate.• Active democracy and very vocal population but some sections are not heard and don’t participate.• Many groups and societies	<p>local people brought up in Alresford.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Shares equity so people can get on the ladder and move up. When they move other locals move in.• Some social rented housing will always be needed.• Find better ways to get smaller families/singles into more suitable social rented accommodation or into private accommodation if they can afford it. ?put their rent up?• PCSO’s will help neighbourhood wardens?• Joined up approach to make public presence affordable (youth service, social service, police, parking)• Better lighting on footpaths• BUT need to move to involve all sections of the community in local democracy and decision making.
---	---

Alresford Community Event 7th March 2007

SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable? • What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity? • What do you enjoy about where you live? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No (there isn't a range of housing which is accessible and affordable) – see other sheets. What is available is allocated outside of this community. • For many people housing available not affordable • Many homes too small for families – difficult to move on • Housing generally good standard, well kept • Variety styles of housing • People proud of town, nice place to live • Good sense of community – evening events • Green areas reinforce community – pleasant places in town to walk. • Tree lined roads • Jobs in Alresford but people can't afford to live here. • Not adequate transport system • Attractive river • Maintain tourism and visitor attraction • No (there isn't a range of housing which is accessible and affordable) • There are opportunities to encourage economic prosperity but could do with more tourism: We should make more of this. • Traditional heating - Need to reduce dependence on non-green heating • Good diversity of shops and getting better businesses • Access and views of the countryside • Self sufficient attractive destination – good amenities • Local economy is not vibrant 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bring back Council Houses and keep them as such • Non profit housing to be kept as rented • Housing should be in-keeping with area (not 8 floors etc.) • Developers should not be responsible for providing affordable housing • Exception sites within communities, not just in country. • Improve bus services – better interchange facilities bus/train. • More housing for local people but in step with schools and other infrastructure • Encourage people to use cars less by providing affordable public transport • More starter business units, more starter homes to keep community viable. • Keep historic area attractive and joyous – encourage community spirit. • People come because it is an attractive community • Ensure parking is available for visitors and residents, but discrete. • Maintain tree-lined roads and green areas – views through footpath links – views of gardens. • Housing for workers, low cost rent and for purchase • Environmental efficiency of new build and extensions • Better and more parking • Min % of micro-generation (10-20%) • Solar/providing own power – new build needs to take account of this • Needs to maintain balance

Alresford Community Event 7th March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wildlife – Tourism should make more of this • Local jobs are low paid – workers do not live in Alresford • Shops serve tourists and visitors more than locals. Very expensive and specialist. • Insufficient parking provision and enforcement • Public transport not sufficient to reduce private car use 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need more industrial units • Smaller incubation/ start-up units. 2-3 people • Needs additional car parking • Services less good than in past – dentists • Businesses must adjust to changes in their markets (e.g. working weekends to serve increased weekend trade) • Protect light industrial capacity (and increase) • High quality shops bring in trade and protect more basic/essential shops and services (so encourage them) • Basingstoke link is required • Integrated railway with mainline services
--	--

Alresford Community Event 7th March 2007

SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alresford fairly self-sufficient (for shops) • Food stores choice – Co-op and Tesco • No men's clothing shops • Specialist shops, but have lost some • Good range of shops • East street shops not accessible • Large scale food shops possible, most travel out • Health facilities available: Surgery (station) and dentist (west street) in Alresford, 2 Chemists in Alresford, 2 Opticians • Hospital in Winchester/ Basingstoke/ Southampton • Local jobs but low salary – people can't afford to live here. • Mainly service industry jobs • No large corporate firms 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Could aim to become fair trade town to attract visitors • Improve the market – add farmers market • Improvements (to shopping facilities) should not destroy environment • Maintain small-scale shops • Keep local medical facilities • Need starter units (to improve employment opportunities) – especially for high tech units. • Plus increase in facilities for home-working and flexible working • Health services need to keep pace with increasing population • Need rail connection, but may not be practical option • Less government interference • Less interference with voluntary services

Alresford Community Event 7th March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surgery at capacity • 1 surgery closing/ relocating further away • accessibility worse at new location • Hospital inaccessible by public transport • Higher order services – most look to Winchester or Basingstoke • Good community transport system to services • Poor range of jobs • Small traders and specialist shops a major attraction • Health starting to be brought out into community • No NHS dentist for new patients • Most people drive for work • Doctors surgery closing - Ropley • Good Tesco bus – once per week • Hospital bus? • Access good as most shops are walk able if you live in Alresford • Cargo bus – limited destinations – needs bookings 3 days • CAB outreach about to close • One NHS dentist • Primary care good • ‘First Responder’ scheme locally • Ropley surgery closing soon 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remove barriers for voluntary services • Job opportunities commensurate with property and living costs • Full range of housing to enable people to live, work and retire in Alresford • More help to support and encourage them – they may need preferential treatment e.g. business rates. • Would prefer to use train if possible • Survey to establish how many people would use train if attached to main line – also commuter patterns • More and better parking • Better public transport – cheaper, better subsidised • Extension of train line to Alton • Maintain capacity – need out of hours service
---	--

Alresford Community Event 7th March 2007

SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...

- Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)?
- Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces?
- Are there many sites for wildlife in your area?

What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Building regulations changing but not moving fast enough to consider other energy sources • Access to countryside and green spaces • Good access to countryside and riverside • High quality open spaces and children's play areas • Good access on foot to surrounding countryside • Litter a problem. Tourist town needs to be kept smart • <u>Wildlife</u> • Alresford pond but no public access • Riverside Wildlife access limited • Gardens and churchyard rich in wildlife • Railway embankment • New Co-Op too late for this (design to minimise impact on the environment) • Balance to be struck between new housing and environmental concerns • New Co-Op will increase turnover by 70% - Parking will be an issue! • Good (access to the countryside and greenspaces) - walkable • Good sites for wildlife • No (new development is not designed to minimise its impact on the environment) • Good access (to the countryside and wildlife sites) • Access supported by HCC and Town Council, not WCC • No shop mobility now • Yes (there are many wildlife sites around) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change builders attitudes – incentives needed and legislation • Improve condition of footpaths • Better maintenance by WCC of open spaces • Trimming hedgerows and verges use community services to help • Maintain and protect • Need to pay more attention to environmental concerns • All new developments need to take more account of better insulation (carbon neutral) • More deliveries (from shops), less driving • "Leave it (countryside and greenspace) well alone" • Needs to be balance between appearance and environmental sensitivity and function. • Planners need better knowledge of good design • Retain good access and protect it • Better provision for disabled access on existing paths • WCC need to get more involved in access provision • Need to retain and protect this asset including gardens and landscape views • Tree Protection Orders should be respected by all • Need to get people who visit now to stay longer and spend more money. • More incentives to be initiated by government

Alresford Community Event 7th March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• National park could bring tourism but then also associated problems too.• People should be able to live and work in the town• Need a decent hall that people want to use for dancing/parties etc.• Houses built to minimise 'sustainability' requirements• Few/no innovative designs coming forward• Good response to incentives• Conservation area mitigates against adaptation• Flooding not a problem at the moment• Great access to countryside – lots of wildlife – offers Osprey, Red Kite. May be threatened by abstraction in future.• Lots of biodiversity centres on the watercourse.• Lots of local conservation volunteers• No bike parking in the town• Insufficient safe footpaths, especially crossing the railway line.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• More regulatory powers for building control/planning services• Appropriate Land Use Policy• Provide bike stands• Provide more/better footpaths to encourage walking.
---	---

Bishops Waltham Community Event 2nd March 2007

SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate? • Is there a range of facilities available for everyone? • Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a community identity, but this is decreasing. Only some of the community participate. • There are lots of community groups and sufficient facilities for them, Bishops Waltham serves Meon Valley but general lack of participation from wider community in groups, activities, politics etc • Sense of community relates more to certain sections of the population, less so for working population, • People don't stay as long as the community gets bigger and due to jobs, although lots move and stay for the area. • There is not a lot of mixing and participation. Lots of young people like living here, but commute out for activities • There is not a range of facilities available for everyone. There are lunch clubs and facilities, but there is not a good range and younger people have less facilities available; although they are also too busy to take part • There is a range of facilities available for everyone • Schools are well integrated into community and local groups • Loss of 'Tyrella' club need for indoor/ outdoor local sports facilities for all ages • Policy today affects the answers to the future questions • The area doesn't consider the needs of future generations specifically except schools more focussed on needs of today 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To reach the younger community, more family houses are needed. • Include provision for 14 – 18 age range – socially i.e. provision of transport to larger centres and/or local provision • Add more cultural activities – may not be using current facilities to their capacity • More sport and recreation facilities are required now for younger people and community facilities for all in the future as proportion of elderly people in the population is increasing • Multi games areas needed • Move away from bricks and mortar decisions to address social impacts • A longer term view should be taken by the local community • Lack of finance

Bishops Waltham Community Event 2nd March 2007

<p>but does happen indirectly</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Needs are considered i.e. skateboard park • Peoples expectations are high 	
--	--

Bishops Waltham Community Event 2nd March 2007

SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable? • What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity? • What do you enjoy about where you live? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of social housing provision too many flats etc. Need to retain young population and facilities. • Physically accessible, but high priced/ not affordable • Development that occurs is at too high density and not in keeping with Bishops Waltham • There is probably a range of housing which is accessible and affordable for some, but not for young people and people on lower incomes. • House prices are still lower than other parishes • Inefficient house builders raising the cost of housing • Not a wide range of jobs. Transport infrastructure precludes expansion • Nature of employment changing; customer located; working at home • People more and more supporting local shops. Well provided for in Bishops Waltham • Good community, good facilities 'just the right size' access to countryside and 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More affordable housing for local families, regulate balance of housing to retain younger population • More housing, including affordable. Proportion of housing built that <u>is</u> affordable should be increased. • Development should be timed appropriately <u>after</u> all forms of infrastructure are in place • Reduction of land policies/ prices • Local authority housing without 'right to buy' • Efficient house building • Where good infrastructure and need improvements in infrastructure • Need different tiers of government to talk to each other and have some aims and objectives • Need to keep employment opportunities currently in Bishops Waltham to keep community • Keep key facilities and resist incremental loss of facilities and employment • Promote home working to reduce number

Bishops Waltham Community Event 2nd March 2007

<p>plentiful wildlife</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good centre with a mix of use. Minimize parking in High Street • Income for Bishops Waltham is important • Enjoy the following about living in Bishops Waltham: Community; Security; Golf course; Friendliness (welcoming and open); Village feel; Size 	<p>of lengthy commuter journeys</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IT centre – managed for work and children i.e. evening with meeting areas etc • Higher housing supply • Improve transport infrastructure provision. More roads, better road, public transport. Railway station? • ‘Preserve and enhance it’ ‘nothing’ • Encourage living above shops – to retain balance of use • Keep size within manageable limits • Mustn’t become ‘Hedge End’
---	---

Bishops Waltham Community Event 2nd March 2007

SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services? 	
What is the situation now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unemployment low • Commute to larger settlements for jobs • Small number of jobs in Bishops Waltham • Mainly use cars to get to jobs • For day to day and local jobs, shops and health facilities there is good access at moment • But for more specialist jobs, shop & health there is not. Due to location of Bishops Waltham • Poor access to local jobs particularly manual • Good range of local shops and turnover • Good range of shops but lack of clothing shops • Good health and related services at moment, but at capacity • Care group provide transport (charity) to hospital • Bishops Waltham has good range of care groups, otherwise only other option is cars 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourage local jobs and local employers for local people • Local authority (i.e. WCC) needs to decentralize..... • To allow better access for community • Don’t need large stores • Protecting work place sites around Bishops Waltham. More mixed development • Retain range i.e. through incentives and planning control • More local community hospital site identified through LDF • Greater emphasis on providing for walkers/cyclists i.e. Ridgemoor School Travel Plan • Shops – would not want large-scale retailers in Bishops Waltham because of car parking and traffic they would cause. (Group content to use Bishops Waltham for day to day top-up shopping and travel less frequently to Hedge End for major

Bishops Waltham Community Event 2nd March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Imbalance in range of shops though • GP service very good but affected by population growth with rise in age • Parking → long stay, problem affecting streets • Lack of local education for 16-18yrs 	<p>shopping)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Health facilities need to expand to cope with the increased demand in future (aging population) • Deliver education locally
---	---

Bishops Waltham Community Event 2nd March 2007

SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)? • Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces? • Are there many sites for wildlife in your area? 	
What is the situation now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New development and existing = too high density • Not enough infrastructure to support it • Not enough major facilities and services nearby → need to travel • B.W does have a very good range of <u>local</u> facilities for town of its size • Some viewed that B.W could never be sustainable (i.e. totally self-contained) because of its size and distance from centres • There is good access to the countryside and green spaces • There are there many sites for wildlife in the area • Buildings are not designed to minimize their impact on the environment. Controlled by building regulations. • New build lacks sense of local character; changing • Yes, there is good access to the countryside and green spaces, but no 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No new development here – no more room • Need for more infrastructure to support population and investment to achieve this • Need to keep access to countryside and greenspaces as it is. • And avoid disruption to the countryside from development. • Sponsor a local wind turbine • District heating in blocks of flats • Bus service to Southampton should be promoted/ retained. • Controlled new build design by development control/building control • Updating old housing stock to modern energy efficiency standards • Building regulations need to reflect needs • Hang on to it (countryside and green spaces). Impact of S. Downs NP • Provide green space centre • Education (on wildlife sites) important • Need to take visual impacts into account

Bishops Waltham Community Event 2nd March 2007

<p>'green' space in centre.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Yes – there are very good sites for wildlife.• Big plus point B.W Palace – with associated social events• No (buildings are not designed to minimize their impact on the environment), as not a criteria for planning or planning policies and would be unaffordable• Some environmental designs work better than others• Yes – good access to open space and countryside• Yes –many sites for wildlife – but could be affected by new house building	<p>as well</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Happy with their (open spaces and countryside) management but don't want just manicured sites• Do people know about, understand and use these (wildlife sites) sites?•
--	--

Denmead Community Event 21st February 2007

SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate? • Is there a range of facilities available for everyone? • Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Denmead is a dormitory – little joining in • Limited shops, especially food, lost petrol station 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scope to use schools/children to build community spirit/cohesion • Need for sport hall/provision • Need to slow down development

Denmead Community Event 21st February 2007

SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable? • What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity? • What do you enjoy about where you live? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing is not affordable in Denmead • Full employment but most outside Denmead • Increasing home working • Like the fact it is rural settlement • Good pubs, food etc • Good social life/spirit (in some sectors) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need social housing but needs to be as good quality as market • DIYSO would increase 'ownership' and choice

Denmead Community Event 21st February 2007

SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Have health centre, dentist, etc • QA hospital quite close and has A & E • Poor public transport and difficult links and expensive • Need to have a car to get about in Denmead • Poor condition of roads (potholes etc) • e.g. Southwick Rd, Fareham Rd • Traffic speeds are a problem • Lack of signs (e.g. health centre) zebra crossing Hambledon Rd 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School buses • Safe route to school – paths etc • Need safer routes for cyclists, e.g. Hambledon Rd to W'ville

Denmead Community Event 21st February 2007

SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)? • Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces? • Are there many sites for wildlife in your area? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Little done to save energy in new buildings • Development always in keeping with Denmead • Small houses don't suite 'large' children • Cramming housing doesn't make for cohesive society • Problem of tipping in harts copse and around Denmead • Development affecting water table (harm to trees) • Need to provide space/paths for people to walk 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More energy efficient building – Government to set standards • Need coherent design strategy for new development • Need land for recreation provision • Need to be able to walk to recreation areas • Recreation facilities for young people – accessible • Encourage people to participate in local groups • Need to take account of hydrology and effect on wildlife etc • More local input and discussion on planning applications

Kingsworthy Community Event 27th February 2007

SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate? • Is there a range of facilities available for everyone? • Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No visible policing – but also limited crime. • Concerns about time for emergency services to arrive when needed. • Pride in being a rural community. • Second homes – owners not part of community • People are leading individually isolated lives. • <u>CLEAR IDENTITY for Kingsworthy.</u> • HW – DISPARATE – but identifies with “WORTHIES” • Church – community focus • Community focus sustained by retirees – women not in full time employment • Village has no central hub • Saturation point – buildings (new) • Low crime rate • Neighbourhood watch • Neighbourhood care – lunch club for elderly, welcome pack 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Younger people active in community • Reduce barriers to volunteering. • Village speed limits • (give the village a) geographic FOCUS • Younger persons need to get involved • More affordable housing

Kingsworthy Community Event 27th February 2007

SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable? • What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity? • What do you enjoy about where you live? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some affordable housing – but at price of high density development • Lack of open space • Good communications road, rail • Good access to countryside. • Local employment 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better mix of tenure types – lower density. • More open space • Improvements to London Road junction

Kingsworthy Community Event 27th February 2007

SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Junction 9 A34/M3 • Peak times – backs up to London Road • Tesco Shop – (Express) Problems with car park • Well used P.O.'s but Fraser Road P.O. under threat. • Local doctor's surgery - a.m. only as part of Friarsgate – but need to be registered with GP that works in K.W. If not have to go to Winch. • No dentist • Thriving youth/junior football • Brownie, guides, cubs beavers • Some households 15+mins walk from bus stop • Commuter village • Over 2 cars per household • Free bus service encourages use (senior citizens club!) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improvements to this junction • Flexibility on part of GP • Local dentist • Broadband provision • Integrated tourism • Single ticket for bus/train • On-line shopping & delivery service • Mobile markets • Other mobile services (library) • Buses are <u>not</u> for poor people, they should be for everyone. • Highly localized bus service (minibuses) • Better cycle provision

Kingsworthy Community Event 27th February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cars seen as essential • V. limited local shopping, village shop only 	
--	--

Kingsworthy Community Event 27th February 2007

SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)? • Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces? • Are there many sites for wildlife in your area? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>NEW DEVELOPMENT - MIXED STORY</u> • Good access to countryside • Lack of local public open space • HW no local P.O.s • Flooding • 1st Wind Turbine • Redevelopment of existing area – putting strain on sewerage infrastructure – also storm drains • Good Local Recycling Facilities • Local developments reducing “Garden environments”. • Is “back garden” development well considered – do they provide for the needs of the community • Current planning policy does not place enough emphasis on strengthening community cohesion. • Poor examples from big business (Tesco and plastic bags) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NO MORE ELANS • Development needs to be sympathetic to the area • More (local public open space) • Some (Post Offices)! • Rainwater harvesting • Needs long term solution to ensure sustainability (particularly regarding sewage infrastructure). “GREEN” solutions • Servicing of (recycling) Facilities at Tesco could be improved • Energy efficient buildings • Awareness of energy efficiency opportunities • More recycling opportunities • Recycling made easier

Otterbourne Community Event 6th March 2007

SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate? • Is there a range of facilities available for everyone? • Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Colden Common (C.C) has good identity & participation • Shawford (Sh) has good identity; - participation is mostly among older people. • Otterbourne. Good identity, facilities there. Some lack of younger people participating. • C.C Pressures to develop on the facilities & open spaces. • Compton – pressure on facilities & amenities with new residents coming in. • Shawford – not enough provision for young people locally. • Allotments are threatened. • No (the area doesn't consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action) – lack of infrastructure including affordable housing. • Community safety – issues relating to ex-offenders hostel in Otterbourne. • Have a parish plan • Strong sense of community & 'special' village. • Sense of pride, too caring. • Just outside – 'out on a limb/misses out on community'. • Twyford quite self contained and active/'home working' • School 'heart of community' • Café – social 'hub' • Problem with house prices • Village hall well used • Compton has no focus of shops etc • Garage good (PO/Shop) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Village halls need to be retained as essential focal point for the community. • Retain separation between villages to keep their identity. • Infrastructure needs to be in place first. • Facilities/infrastructure to be provider IN the villages/locally themselves. • Provide affordable housing. • TRAFFIC Cars, Planes –(On flight path); this affects the community <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - less communication - less walking - safety issues - quality of life - M3 closures affects A roads - More heavy vehicles – vibration/noise • LOCAL EMPLOYMENT; particularly for youth e.g. Southern Water site. • Just outside Otterbourne need for a local shop. • Access to Dr's surgery • Local people need to support local shops/pubs/facilities. • Lighting needs improving – safety i.e. 'Dark areas'.

Otterbourne Community Event 6th March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hanging onto facilities in some places • Concern about safety re: probation hostel 	
---	--

Otterbourne Community Event 6th March 2007

SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable? • What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity? • What do you enjoy about where you live? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No (there is not a range of housing which is accessible and affordable). (Strong feeling) even 'affordable' housing is not really affordable for many on average wages. Poorly built in terms of energy efficient. • Local shops & post offices under threat – not good for local economy or social interaction if facilities close • What do you enjoy about where you live, what's it like? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - M3 noise - Traffic, lorries for recycling centre in Otterbourne - Aeroplane noise increasing - Access to nearby countryside - Good location – access to countryside and access to nearby facilities • Good location – across to countryside and to nearby facilities at Southampton and Winchester • Planning Policy Statements causing damage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - constraints that don't suit us - out of place development - justified by sustainability/environmental building - conservation rules come first over environmentally friendly 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bring back council houses not for sale • Central government needs to come up with improved funding and methods of providing social housing • Quiet road surface on M3 • Much greater input from Winchester Council into planning of Southampton airport • Extension of shared equity • More influence of village design statement • Development of Shawford station for economic purposes • Needs to improve parking • Encourage local commuting • Balance appropriate economic growth with development with development required • Identify 'type' of economic development i.e. knowledge/internet based encourages local economic growth and sustainable • Move jobs closer to housing

Otterbourne Community Event 6th March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lack of affordable housing/smaller homes/or 'exclusive' smaller properties. Local villages forced to move out. • But villagers would resist building of affordable housing • Continuing demand for small business units/ more office information workers 'nodule workers' • Enjoy the fact that it is still a rural community but near to urban facilities 	
---	--

Otterbourne Community Event 6th March 2007

SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bus services deteriorating <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - funding being withdrawn - no coordination between bus companies - fares too expensive • (Access to jobs is) Generally Ok as Winchester and Southampton are not too far away – provided you have a car • Shops on main bus routes • Local shop provision adequate at present • Reliance on personal car or voluntary services to access GPs • Not enough bus services to Winchester hospital • Car parking at Winchester hospital is limited • 'The' shop is good • Good choice of pubs • Big surgery (Twyford) but very centralized • Need for satellite surgery at Otterbourne • Church at Otterbourne most come from Chandlers Ford 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (public transport) Should be seen as a social service. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cheaper would encourage greater use - Reliability - Non-competing - Subsidy • More expensive car parking or just less availability in general would encourage greater use of buses and Park and Ride. • Satellite surgery – pharmacy needs to be part of this service • If elderly – need to drive to surgery as no satellite service • Need to change culture to encourage 'volunteering'.

Otterbourne Community Event 6th March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access to jobs good – good transport links but most by car journeys • Good bus routes • Good access to schools – good reputation • Rail links – station • Good voluntary transport service 	
--	--

Otterbourne Community Event 6th March 2007

<p>SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)? • Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces? • Are there many sites for wildlife in your area? 	
<p>What is the situation like now?</p>	<p>What needs to change?</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upgrade existing stock • Building control poorly enforced • Poor planning monitoring • Allotments are threatened • Inadequate cycle paths (poorly maintained or non-existent) • Road routes not safe to walk or cycle on – precludes use • Yes good spread of (wildlife) sites • But development on gardens is destroying these important habitats • Problems with environmental impact of traffic • Need financial incentives • Need more car sharing/efficient use of cars • Some development insensitive – building on flood plain • Higher density development • <u>No</u> new development is not environmentally sensitive – ‘tokenistic’ doing the minimum. • Recycling good • Good access to countryside and green spaces 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduce the ‘Morton Rule’ • Maintain BC services in house • Increase amount and efficiency of • Developments should be required to prepare and follow plans for recycling construction materials • Allotments need to be supported, more provided as much new housing is flatted or has very small gardens – would encourage wildlife/self sufficiency etc • Funding for cycle paths • Safe cycle path to Winchester would help sustainability • Split pavements between cycle and pedestrians • Greater protection of garden backlands • Council to work with landowners to encourage them to maintain green space • Need to keep landowners ‘on board’ • Education/raise awareness about what environmental measures people need to take individually • Energy efficiency as a selling point • Incentives

Otterbourne Community Event 6th March 2007

	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Need customer demand for it in energy efficiency etc• Council needs to encourage higher environmental standards with development• HECCA grants should be extended• WCC should be more proactive and promote higher standards – collaborating with development industry• Maintain green space/countryside
--	--

Other issues:

Recycling – needs to collect all types of plastics and recycle locally

- glass bottles should be collected as need to travel by car to bottle banks or community collection centres
- need good publicity

Whiteley Community Event 28th February 2007

SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate? • Is there a range of facilities available for everyone? • Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Split Fareham/Winchester but is improving • Great for families, but not enough for the elderly • Schooling is not adequate, greater provisions needed • Environment good for activities such as cycling, skating etc • No (no sense of community) • Centre poorly defined. Doesn't have local shops • Friendly • Safe • No physical church • Pubs • Different Communities • Wrong shops • Segregated • Political differences between councils • Decision making more accessible in Winchester • Nothing for young people to do • Links with Swanmore, 8 – 9 different school • High mover in population. • Sense of community. • Lack of youth services. • WCC are accessible/ PC same • Limited facilities • Local people are active/ do get involved • PC/WCC involved. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • One local authority or the other – Boundary commission or better cooperation • Centre to cater for the elderly • Swimming pool, Secondary school, primary school and community schools • Must consult local people more • Library • Internet café • Music venue/shop • Cinema • Bank • Bookshop • Hardware shop • Butcher • Baker • Pool • Squash courts • More pubs/bars but needs to be in the right • location (not in business park) • Eating facilities for younger people – café/bar • Maintain green space. • All tiers of government need to work together <u>especially</u> Hampshire County Council. • Whiteley should be all WCC (feel part of WCC) • Maintain “village” feel not suburb • Possible need for allotments. • Whiteley needs to come under one district

Whiteley Community Event 28th February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fairly typical community mix for Hampshire. • Lots of groups to get involved with if you choose for all ages. • Groups interconnect and grow new ideas. • Places to meet. • Loss of young people to universities, may lead to more transient community. • Not sure if this is a problem? • Housing prices perpetuate • ABC make – up of population. • Lack of coordination/communication arising from council boundaries. • Imbalance of representation with one parish council. • Joined up thinking on strategy and services. • Adventure play ground for older children • Bowls for all. • 3 Newspapers for the area – different people get different info. • Good sense of community which is getting stronger • Good mix of groups and social opportunities • “Marketing” of groups and opportunities needs of improving to reach out to all • Boundary issues – joined up services don’t happen often • Lack of educational facilities • Whiteley feels safe and is a low – crime area • Low car insurance. 	<p>council/authority</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to reach out to those who aren’t already “engaged” to make sure they don’t miss opportunities/ they do get to contribute • Agencies and councils must communicate better • Plan ahead to provide this locally – urgent priority. • Design out crime in N. Whiteley development • More visible police • Infrastructure must be adequate to create a sustainable N. Whiteley
---	---

Whiteley Community Event 28th February 2007

SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable? • What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity? • What do you enjoy about where you live? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quiet, green and plenty of landscaping • Design and planning done well – cycle paths/play area • Recreation ground • Good setting for school • Woodland area • Meadowside Centre • Access to amenities to local facilities • Good community spirit • Clean • Plenty of wildlife • Local of affordable housing • Large number of private rented accommodation • Lack of parking provision associated with residential property • No housing for the elderly • Good economic prosperity (service industry), (low manufacturing) • Good proportion of people employed locally • Traffic congestion • Range of housing sizes, mix but owner occupied not much social housing • Quite high prices compared to immediate area but not compared to likes of Bishop's Waltham/Winchester • New housing – not good design • Inadequate parking • Too much street signage • Good design for families • High cost of housing – lack of starter homes. Steep jumps in pricing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintain what's good but provide secondary school • Provision of traditional church • Improve the road links in order to reduce congestion • Library/discovery/parish hub provided • Evening social facilities i.e. restaurant, take away etc • Target of 35% of affordable housing that is integrated • Criteria for key worker accommodation (not salary based) • Review of legislation for right to buy to prevent rented housing sold off • Provision of more housing for the elderly • Sustain current levels but improve transport situation • Better design/local context • Better public transport reducing the need for parking • Solar panels built in – environmental sustainability • Businesses to save energy – turn off lights at the weekend • More housing affordable for those who live here would help with traffic situation • New housing development offers opportunity to enhance good aspects of Whiteley (e.g. green ways spaces) and address problem areas • Avoid mistakes (planning) of the past • Think about infrastructure (schools, roads, district centre facilities) first place markings • Crossing on busy roads e.g. consider routes to schools etc to enable safe

Whiteley Community Event 28th February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is a spread, but prices go up and don't start low • Call centres provide flexible opportunities (e.g. working mums) • Lots of green space • Design of Whiteley retains original hedge patterns • Companies (e.g. Zurich, air traffic control, meridian, abbey) bring people with them to live here • Lots of white collar, high tech jobs • Nothing much for unskilled – tend to focus on village and Segensworth • People <u>like</u> Whiteley and are positive • "Isolation" leads to a good sense of identity • Open spaces are good • Few opportunities for first - time buyers • "Buy to rent" has pushed up prices • Whiteley is relaxed and laid back 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • walking a reduce car dependence • More consultation with people who live here – don't make assumptions • Keep footpaths separate from roads • Central park might enhance facilities/social activity • Create/design in a <u>USP</u> (new facility? Possibly a minority view) to give positive image for Whiteley - get over the negative assumptions with traffic and village • N. Whiteley development must not negate these good attributes • Ensure open space for new developments • Dual carriage ways through the new development will divide the community (if housing on both sides) • Address this with range of housing (reserve for people who have a local connection) • Maintain this ambience, good for people's health
--	--

Whiteley Community Event 28th February 2007

SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services? 	
What is the situation now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retail/service based jobs – high number, • small manufacturing in Segensworth area • High number of outlet shops but no little provisions of local shopping/banking facilities • Provision of surgery but no health centre • No places for NHS dentist (waiting list) but private dentist • Access to hospitals via public transport poor but can drive 15 minutes good • Poor buses – not enough services/poor access to services for older people 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improved access and transport provision (public transport/link to railway) • More opportunities for home working • More variety of shops • Increase in internet shopping • Whiteley village lift the constraints to allow a variety of shops (dry cleaners, bank) • Health centre that can offer some hospital services (day surgery, dentist and optician etc) • Encourage more preventative health related activities. GP referral/walking/diet

Whiteley Community Event 28th February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No optician locally • Minor issue – broadband services • Limited mobile phone coverage • Tesco getting too small...? • Access issue for business park • Inadequate education facilities • Lack of footpaths to link settlements • Unlit roadways discourage walking/cycling (worse in winter) • Difficult to get from one side of Whiteley to another (e.g. schools, brownies etc) • Between 8 – 9 and 4 – 6, traffic jams only one road in and out. HCC lack of solutions/actions • Good local doctor with clinic and chemist on site – still requires car to access • Walking paths within Whiteley • Reasonable provisions for shops for day to day goods • Access to good leisure facilities within 10 – 15 minutes – Port Solent, Gunwharf and Fareham. • Events in village create a sense of community but can create additional congestion (e.g. fireworks display, Christmas) • Access to other shops e.g. Hedge End/West quay very easy • Niche shops in village • Access to primary care also problematic • Youth – good facilities in Fareham but transport an issue • Most jobs in Whiteley office based. • Light industrial area south of M27 • Lots of jobs/shops but not accessible by public transport • Cycling is too dangerous • Whitely shops aimed at visitors • Public transport to/from Q.A Hospital and Southampton Hospital is inadequate. Patient transport insufficient 	<p>etc Cemetery</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More primary schools and secondary schools • Access in and out but not through • Wouldn't want access improvements to spoil Whiteley's character • Better bus services • Park and ride for business park – businesses to get involved • Dentist/local health facilities • More community facilities in hall. Meals on wheels for example • Larger supermarket needed • Better relationship needed between business park and housing • Footpath/cycle lanes in and out needed • More restaurants/leisure facilities within Whiteley – more for young people • Open up access to Whiteley (e.g. via Botley Road) • More evening/night buses • More school places – primary and secondary • College provision • Central area/square for people to congregate • Local bank • Expand range of services provided in Whiteley • Ensure a range of jobs opportunities so that people can live near their jobs • New secondary school with links to businesses to facilitate work experience and first jobs • <u>Much</u> better transport services linking services – really designed to meet peoples needs • Provide cycle routes (especially in and off Whiteley) • Create proper town centre to serve the people of Whiteley when N. Whiteley is developed.
--	--

Whiteley Community Event 28th February 2007

SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...

- Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)?
- Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces?
- Are there many sites for wildlife in your area?

What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Standards are good but they could be improved • Not good at monitoring protected areas e.g. SSSI, but woodlands managed well • Residents and businesses not environmentally responsible • Good access to woodland and green spaces • Good provisions of well managed sites • Housing not designed to be environmentally friendly • Recycling not the complete service leading to driving out of Whiteley • Good green spaces/wildlife • Good setting/design of business park • Good setting and leisure walks • Good public open space • Green ways and spaces encourage people to get out and about – create sense of identity for Whiteley • Woods are an asset • Good variety of wildlife including deer • Good development in terms of day to day life within Whiteley but location/road access creates twice daily environmental problem in rush hour – deer's hit by cars etc • SSSI behind Solent hotel • Good habitats but they are isolated without corridors • Great volunteer group without vandalism • TPO's aren't enough to protect from developers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve standards solar panels/recycled water etc • Improve monitoring of protected areas • Education • Conserve what we have and ensure new development has the same • Maintain it • Protect and increase green spaces • More communal green space – Bowling green • Proper park with informal recreation area, quiet space • Multi sport court (in park) • Adventure trail through woods • Businesses to sponsor playgrounds • Green must be protected and interconnected • Support wildlife (e.g. deer movement) • Need to manage leisure activities (e.g. mountain biking in the woods) to ensure everyone can enjoy the special places • Protect wildlife sites (unlike SSSI on Fareham side which was built over) • Minimise damage to habitats when new development takes place • Use planning gain to enhance habitats • Increased rainfall – capture and <u>use!</u> • Better system to prevent destruction by developers • 'lifetime homes' suitable for older/disabled people • Makes homes good enough for people to

Whiteley Community Event 28th February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Homes not good for living in a whole lifetime• Poor design and 'cramming' will lead to slums• Leads to CO₂ – emissions• Insufficient parking. Parking in roads• Homes and businesses must use cars• New homes <u>not</u> energy efficient• No solar panels• Some developers better than others re efficiency• 'cramming' highest priority• Access to country side is <u>good</u> and well used	<p>care and want to make Whiteley a great community</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Regular circular bus route around Whiteley (requires mature joint working between councils)• Link to car parks so people don't drive into Whiteley• Aim for carbon – neutral homes, more grants for adaptation to create carbon neutrality• Architects should accommodate water butts etc• Maintain countryside• Area action plan for Whiteley
--	---

Wickham Community Event 13th February 2007

SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate? • Is there a range of facilities available for everyone? • Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Those who want to participate, do. There are opportunities to get involved and share ideas. • Strong <u>village</u> identity, people <u>pull</u> together. • Community centres are focal to Community life – Social life of village is critical to identity. • Community identity yes, but only in part • Feeling of isolation by some members of community • Perception that Winchester used as “dumping ground” by surrounding towns/cities. • WCC never listens to planning objections. • “Only found out by accident”, most found out via Parish Council. • Council remote & sitting in Winchester • Councillors not representative of community e.g. old/middle class • Community centre could be better used/used more, Scout Group, Brownies etc. • Good shops big shops at Hedge End • Community support shops • Too many restaurants • Have skate park • Transport getting worse. More discussion on roads/planning??? • How do we keep them (future generations) here? • Good schools but room for improvement. • Swanmore starting community involvement. Not always easy to involve younger people in decision making. Have had some 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase local governance/devolution (e.g. parishes) – less centralized decision making. • Work hard to retain identity – urban sprawl will erode this. • Change needs to recognize local identity of community. • Threat of SDA to galvanize community to galvanize what we have. • People have a right to what goes on in their village. • <u>Simplify</u> – e.g. jargon in this exercise • Cascade system. Think as a system to maximize publicity. • Sort out names of bodies – e.g. how to get more young people involved. • Balance needs to be maintained between shops of type. • Need more sport facilities, nothing walk able. Leisure facilities need better access. • Make buses more attractive. • Infrastructure and access and facilities needed for Whiteley and Knowle. • Planned communities rather than houses. • Environmental considerations • Affordable housing and jobs • Greater use of schools, shows etc. greater parental involvement. • Need to find ways to do this (consider the needs of future generation when making decisions and taking actions). • Need champion. Need to encourage younger people to be involved/entire

Wickham Community Event 13th February 2007

success in this. Needs somebody to organize.	community to be involved. Volunteering by all sections of the community.
--	--

Wickham Community Event 13th February 2007

SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable? • What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity? • What do you enjoy about where you live? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Big range (of housing which is accessible and affordable available) at Knowle but Wickham has fewer affordable units. • NO (there is not a range of housing which is accessible and affordable available) • Imbalance in different type of housing • Right to buy – leakage of housing through this. • Few opportunities for people to move out of home • Prices not dissimilar to “average” Winchester City Council BUT real lack of first time buyers. • Tourism potential (opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity). • Access to employment centres (e.g. Segensworth) but need car • Plenty of small units around – business/farms/ Knowle etc. • Reuse of redundant farm buildings= • More home working • Do we want growth/ incoming trade? • What do you enjoy about where you live: • It’s a proper village with a real sense of community • Located to have best of everything • Great Square – Focal point 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need for more (housing which is accessible and affordable available) to keep younger people • Affordable housing shouldn’t come at cost of community facilities – e.g. built on city centre sites. • Limit the scale of new development as a whole. • Housing for people that come from this area and not simply for outsiders. • Culture of home ownership needs to change and involvement in home ownership • Much more affordable housing • Reconcile demands and desire not to change • No new industry/business at the expense of things such as the environment • Telecottaging or more local small-scale employment therefore less need to travel. • Incoming trade may sustain community in long term in keeping with rural feel and values. • Open Knowle Halt Railway Station • Keep a green gap between Wickham and the North Fareham - SDA • More involvement in Parish Council • Build on events, fares, festivals etc.

Wickham Community Event 13th February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Beautifully chaotic • New development – Some poor design however it has been better in recent years • No connection between Knowle and Wickham • Less parking space in Pedestrianised Square • Residents parking in square • Visitors to restaurants • Small specialist businesses • Rural location (still) • All a community • Convenient access • Village • Access to the countryside • Village surrounded by green fields • Good central location, well contained character • Easy access to the countryside 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Too much time chasing HCC (Highways maintenance) • Balance economic issues – Vitality • H&S insurance issues • Community Events – Carnival • More employment locally • Maintain gap between village and new development • Maintain identity • Hold off development
---	---

Wickham Community Event 13th February 2007

SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services? 	
What is the situation now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Buses – infrequent destinations • Rail – Havant nearest option, long commute – can park, cost of parking • Car – greatest flexibility • No (alternatives to using the car to access jobs), or walk, or taxi, motorcycle • Home working • Some people are more attached to their cars than others • Majority commute out of area by car because of ineffective public transport services. • Introduction of SDA will only make 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Internet Café – Young people • Bus services – evenings for young people to: Fareham, Portsmouth • More cycle lanes • Cheaper buses, increase frequency • Flexible working hours/methods • SDA – catalyst for change in transport • Staggering rush hour • Car sharing via internet – Parish Council to be involved • Clothing/ footwear shops – go to Winchester and Fareham

Wickham Community Event 13th February 2007

<p>situation worse</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Winch/Portsmouth/Southampton Real incentives for park and ride using the train • Thriving centre • Car weekly shop • Good local shops – top up – can walk • Fareham – main centre • New charger have helped with car parking • Little us of internet shopping • Wickham doesn't have big enough shops for weekly shop. Use Fareham • Increasing delivery services • Locals keen to support local shops • Good services/shops – good range • Limited shopping options in Wickham and surrounding areas and expensive • Good local shops • Mobile library weekly • Dentist, opticians – in Wickham • Local Schools – Buses to Swanmore • Hospitals – Winchester, QA • Good active voluntary car share scheme • Schools- range of ages catered for • Folk festival • Boxing day • Classic car rally • No viable alternative to using car to get to schools • Walking/cycling school buses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good delivery food • Tourism to be supported to sustain local businesses • Maintain festivals • Encourage local shops, for example by preferential business rates – incentives • SDA will make situation worse (larger shops) • Cycle track on railway line • Disabled access to Wickham Health Centre • Need more parking • Free transport for under 18's
--	--

Wickham Community Event 13th February 2007

SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)? • Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces? • Are there many sites for wildlife in your area? 	
What is the situation now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Whiteley/ Knowle – middle of nowhere – all require car use/ create traffic problems • Recycling facilities poor – have to drive to recycle • No (new development is not designed to minimize its impact on the environment) – In control of developer. • Unproven technology • Planning permission not dependant on inclusion of sustainability issues • Development agreed independently of transport provision • Bungalow – 4 small houses – out of keeping • Affordable housing not fitting in environment • Energy profit rules • Water meadows and wildlife trail/walks, lovely walks • Organised walks • Lovely area – although noted car to access countryside • Access to coast/Solent • Access to green/open spaces but not good/safe access • Very good (access to the countryside) already • Watermeadows • Forest of Bere • Titchfield Haven • Wickham Vineyard • Yes (good access to the countryside and green spaces) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Think about the community facilities needed before siting the development • Planning policy needs to be more flexible (e.g. solar panels) • Planning process needs to be more open and less consultative • Government has too much say over housing allocations etc. need to listen to local people. • Planning Applications should include environment/transport audit • (Remit for CABE) • More Education - School Curriculum • Trial metering • 'Build for life' not thirty years • Legislation to require measures • Need to vastly improve public transport before restricting parking standards • Sort out waste/sewage facilities • More recreation space needed • New build has to include greenspace allocations • Public transport to countryside • Improved links to open/green spaces • e.g. expand national park to include Wickham • Protect and stay as green as possible • More cycle routes and lanes • Protect existing (wildlife) sites – include garden features e.g. ponds • Information point/ internet café • Succession planning for volunteer workers • More employment in Wickham – allocated

Wickham Community Event 13th February 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Good range (of wildlife sites) already• Farmers Market• Good Community Centre• Youth club – 50 – 60 people• Scout Hut damaged – (and room for hire)• 1x football pitch• Cricket club• Tennis court• After school club• Good restaurants• Play scheme in summer holidays• Poor fund raising for community group – Need core funding• Housing too expensive large houses – social gap• Dropping school roll numbers• Good access to theatre – Winchester and Chichester	<p>as part of housing development</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Business start-up units• Watermeadows – vandalism to tables• Knowle village not accessible• Where to put social housing• Complicated process to bid for grants• Claiming back V.A.T.• Mix of housing provision – accessible to village centre.
---	--

Stanmore Community Event 8th March 2007

SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate? • Is there a range of facilities available for everyone? • Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HURSLEY:- has a good sense of identity; Same people who participate in village activities; School – hub of community – but could advertise functions better; Village hall well used • STANMORE:- Same people running/getting involved in activities • COMMUNITY:- more transient than was previously the case • Housing density too great • CRIME – low level; Litter and graffiti; Cold callers • Neighbourhood wardens – have been of assistance - POLICE • Students – too many! • Tenure of the area/social change • Lack of community/family housing • No limits upon private landlords • Poor continuity of community due to students • Lack of community • Lack of community group integration • Lack of community activity awareness • Lack of facilities within walking distance (a historic problem) • Loss of community pubs/replaced with more commercial style pubs • Very good schools • Poor maintenance of facilities (parks) • Poor council management of open space & facilities • Need for someone to do this (need structured welcome for newcomers/ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better if more people got involved (in community) • External programme beyond Stanmore Winnall/Highcliffe (neighbourhood wardens) • (Police) Presence could be greater • More control regarding student accommodation • Student landlords to be more responsible • Landlords to pay council tax • More & better facilities • Poor management/maintenance of existing facilities • More dog waste facilities • More local decision making & a mechanism to do this (maintenance of facilities and open spaces) (a parish style authority) • Need for structured welcome for newcomers/young families/teens • Need to think about getting younger people and families being able to stay in Winchester. • •Health & sense of well being must be promoted • Facilities – need to be improved • Better security & safe environment • Better groups have been set up – needs to be continued • More serious crime a worry for the future – guns • Reducing crime can be achieved • Bring different groups together, lack

Stanmore Community Event 8th March 2007

<p>young families/ teens</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wardens only monitor 'LA Estates'; should be District wide • Good police presence in town centre but not outside • Good facilities:- Carroll Centre; River Park • Absence of sports facilities outside town centre • Town Forum – good voice but need Town Council • Relatively low crime in comparison • Winchester divided into a number of different communities • Lack of visible process to get voice heard on specific issues at Winchester City Council - Sense of apathy, powerlessness • Cost of community facilities (to hire) • Beginners to consider needs younger generations – conserve green spaces • Acknowledge that there is ageing population!! Will Winchester become like Torquay? 	<p>of sense of community</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More transparency • Peer pressure. More promotion of healthy lifestyles etc
--	--

Stanmore Community Event 8th March 2007

<p>SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable? • What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity? • What do you enjoy about where you live? 	
<p>What is the situation like now?</p>	<p>What needs to change?</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HURSLEY: - Not affordable. Lack of social housing • STANMORE/WINCHESTER • NO! (there is not a range of housing which is accessible and affordable?) • Lack of affordable housing • Lack of family housing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meet the demand local lettings policy • More social/affordable housing • Needs to be good quality housing – noise problems • More purpose built student accommodation • More public transport to jobs –

Stanmore Community Event 8th March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of available housing – due to student landlords • University expansion not managed properly in relation to housing facilities and amount • A lot of jobs in retail/services. Lack of local businesses/enterprise • Great view • Stanmore – was a lot of greenspace; being eroded • Right to buy – no community feel • Well designed – large gardens • Badger Farm:- community centre; modern/community; mix of housing • Fulflood:- quiet • Winchester:- positive community; good mix of housing • Expensive housing • Some well designed (housing), especially in Winchester • Outside Winchester design less important. • Good conservation areas. • In-character (development) important • Young people can't afford to live and work in Winchester • (Opportunities to encourage economic prosperity): Jobs in Winchester; Good education opportunities; Opportunities for low paid work; Silver Hill – opportunity for economic development; Housing • Poor opportunities for start-up business. Micro businesses. • Winnall has job opportunities • People working from home and commute to London • No opportunities for students to stay and carry on working in Winchester i.e. small workshops – creative jobs • Like:- Stanmore- original layout green estate; Badger Farm – accessible town on foot; Central Winchester – being able to walk everywhere; Architecture; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> employers to provide transport • Too many retail jobs • Need for small scale/low rent business accommodation for local persons • More live work accommodation • Protect it (great view) • Protect grass verges (more parking) • Maintain low density • Easier communication with authorities – parish magazine • (Stanmore) Should be run as an 'Estate' • Have Stanmore as a Parish • (take) Power away from Housing Department • (make the area) Less of a ghetto • Badger Farm:- no more development • Winchester:- retention of character • More open areas in Winchester i.e. public open space • Create opportunities for young people to stay in Winchester • Is Winchester full up? – Fix the boundaries • Lack of infrastructure for future housing – trains; schools; health • Congestion – development coming forward should be affordable • Live/work units. • Small business opportunities • Opportunities for culture/music • Wool Staplers Hall would be good (for small workshops) • Change peoples expectations/ aspirations re. property ownership • Encourage home grown tourism. Need more marketing strategies to promote diversity of Winchester • Conserving. Character of Winchester:- size; compact; surrounded by countryside; cultural
--	--

Stanmore Community Event 8th March 2007

<p>Intimate centre; Fulflood – character of houses Edwardian</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lack of affordable housing • Good range of rental properties available 	<p>events improved</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continue and promote cultural heritage
---	--

Stanmore Community Event 8th March 2007

SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services? 	
What is the situation now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HURSLEY:- Public transport ok to Winchester & Romsey only – no alternatives • Getting to jobs outside city difficult by public transport • Not enough timetabling at bus stops • SHOPS:- Not all local shops wheelchair accessible; Parking Cromwell Road – competition for space with students at University. • Multiple occupation increases car usage • WINCHESTER:- Good transport hub, trains, trunk roads, local airport, but restricted car parking • GPs available generally, but parking at hospital insufficient • Poor local shops. ‘No proper local shops’ • Poor public transport network and poor value for money • Stanmore bus service not reliable • No local health services • Local people dependent on car transport especially if ill • Farmers market - good • Good range at shops • Good access to facilities • Poor cycle routes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Greater provision of public transport in this area • Improvements to rural services • Greater frequency (public transport – buses) • Needs to be improved (information on public transport – bus stop timetables) • Park and ride with good services to uni, prison, police HQ, hospital • ENVIRONMENT POLICIES re car usage at Uni – no cars • But (G.P.) lists often full. Where do you go then? • More local health services • Improved public transport services • More independent retailers • More small food shops • Better routes to hospital • Reduce parking • Expand airport/ more choice • Reduce airport – increase Bournemouth • Expand schools sensitively • Improve opportunities for young • All purpose hall – Bapsey bequest • More start up units for new businesses – justified by unemployment levels

Stanmore Community Event 8th March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Good pub and sport on the whole• Too much parking• Excellent access to other national/international areas• Excellent schools• Good hospital under threat• Poor entertainment for young – need more choice• Recent loss of office space/office jobs to housing (<1% unemployment)• lower paid positions – workers commute into Winchester• Prestige of Winchester employers like to be here• Lack of independent stores• Lack of bulky goods shops – go elsewhere.• Good access to city centre/local provision• Good access to health facilities and can walk to some facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Need more diverse job opportunities• Need buildings suitable for range of jobs – offices – need modernizing• Farmers market have every week• Must consider needs of growing elderly population• Must keep hospital and all its services
---	--

Stanmore Community Event 8th March 2007

SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...

- Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)?
- Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces?
- Are there many sites for wildlife in your area?

What is the situation now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction of housing – not enough attention paid (to the design to minimise its impact on the environment) • SILVER HILL built to the minimum (design to minimise its impact on the environment) • Good access to countryside via variety of means • Plenty of good local space in Winchester and accessible • HURSLEY – rec: -Walking and cycling can be hazardous – frequency, speed and size (4x4s) of vehicles can cause damage to other road users • SAT NAV – sending vehicles to roads not sufficient for size of vehicles • Plenty of sites for wildlife • Need for green building practices and energy • Make solar panels, turbines etc compulsory, in new build • More subsidised cavity wall insulation and green energy e.g. domestic turbine • More recycling – plastic pots • Need for better access (public transport) to the countryside • Lack of quality and useable green spaces – benches etc • No evidence of environmentally sensitive development • More recycling • Good volunteer litter pickers • Good access to countryside • Country buses have been cut • Neighbourhood wardens an excellent thing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More encouragement of eco-homes – attention to building techniques • Footpaths – vigilance on part of Highway Authority re. ensuring paths remain open etc and accessible • Development needs to be more sympathetic to each area • Dog free zones needed • More dog mess bins • Need for better tending of footpaths to open spaces • Need for a managed wildlife area with public access for children families • More initiatives to help cut down on environment problems • Local areas need attention • (Good access to the countryside) needs to be safeguarded • Personal safety needs to be improved • Regular forum needed for support services, community (outreach) • Will accept infill provided it is sympathetic and sensitive to the neighbourhood • Need infrastructure to support development • Carbon neutral buildings to be made compulsory • Open space have rights of access. WCC to liase with landowners • Green infrastructure to be promoted & preserved • More variety of activities and equipment for everyone – not just children

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Negative policing• Infill development can dramatically change the character of our communities - & damage the environment• Construction methods poor use of new technology• Access is good but no absolute right of access (ownership e.g. National Trust, Butterfly Trust etc)• Loss of green space (develop)• compared to what there used to be• Variety of equipment/resource that is available	
--	--

Weeke Community Event 1st March 2007

SECTION 1: ACTIVE, INCLUSIVE AND SAFE, WELL RUN AND FAIR FOR EVERYONE...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a sense of community identity? Does everyone mix and participate? • Is there a range of facilities available for everyone? • Does your area consider the needs of future generations when making decisions and taking action? 	
What is the situation like now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compared to areas such as London it is very good for community spirit – Teg Down. • Based around streets • Good sense of belonging, know people in town • Lots of little communities • Teenage children feel part of it -Schools foster this • Churches work well together • Starting to feel not so safe • Young people feel left out – cause problems e.g. Stoney Lane • Weeke – Little sense of community. – depends who you are how your children act in community. • Increase in graffiti vandalism • Fear of antisocial behaviour • Large numbers of people out Fri/Sat nights • Fear of crime • Olivers Battery – good with community such as fate • No pub • Village hall good centre • Good mix of people use village • Services all in centre – shop, school, church, pub • Keep post office – local services • Good mix of council and other houses • Teenagers have problems in rural areas • Not range for all ages and interests 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HCC pay more for youth work • Elderly increasingly have to go elsewhere to get care • Muslim community growing need to be able to get more involved. Also other BME groups • Opportunities for integration for all communities • Provision of more ‘informal’ services, food etc • Boost to evening economy – trade in evening • Increase feelings of safety • Give children something they want to do. • Need bigger centre in Olivers Battery. focal point • Keep village hall and focal point in future • Need more for young • Better public transport for young and old • Aging population needs will be different in future. • Better controls (on anti-social behaviour) • Create a good balance (between different mix of people)

Weeke Community Event 1st March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Try to think about future • Help for elderly – good Neighbourhood Watch – dwindling. • Strong area identity, but lack of community & social involvement • Army community = separate community • Community only reacts and comes together when needed • Anti-social behaviour in city centre – keeping a sector of people out at night. • Older people and tourists generally quite safe. Young people congregating – intimidating. 	
---	--

Weeke Community Event 1st March 2007

<p>SECTION 2: WELL DESIGNED, BUILT AND THRIVING...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there a range of housing which is accessible and affordable? • What opportunities are there to encourage economic prosperity? • What do you enjoy about where you live? 	
<p>What is the situation like now?</p>	<p>What needs to change?</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Too expensive. Hard for people to get on property ladder • Infrastructure not sustainable • ‘Fashion’ for detached housing • Economic prosperity • Large amount of educational facilities • Historic feel of place – tourism • Proud of ‘reputation’ of city • Historic city – well designed • Green wedges • Too expensive to rent and buy • Too limited supply • Old and young people problem of affordability 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design buildings with minimum requirements for renewable resources - reduce carbon from new builds • Subsidised/affordable housing • Keep existing public housing • Agricultural land – allow affordable housing to be built on it? • Well designed high density – in the right place • Better training • More affordable housing • Use current housing to convert them to affordable dwellings • Starter homes

Weeke Community Event 1st March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • People can't afford to live and work here so commute • Older people need to move to get sheltered housing • Too many low paid jobs • Too much commuting • Compact • Near Winchester without being in centre and close to countryside • Low crime • Beautiful countryside • Proud of area live in • Fed up with new development. – driven by housebuilder – leads to resentment in community • High density development changes areas - knock on effects on facilities and infrastructure • Not enough social housing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encourage 'home working' • No local centre for community • More farmers markets • Public transport – cheaper; more frequent; quality • Difficult for young people • Local recreation • Empowerment for residents • Better communication with residents and developers • Pro-act not react! • Change legislation
---	--

Weeke Community Event 1st March 2007

SECTION 3: ACCESSIBLE WITH GOOD SERVICES...	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there good access to: jobs; shops; health and related services? 	
What is the situation now?	What needs to change?
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Elderly people find it hard to access services • Lot of activities you can walk to • Access, problem for people with mobility problems • Doctors surgery only one at end of bus route • Value local provision of services – hospital/A&E • Not many high quality jobs in Winchester 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More funding for services like Dial-a-Ride. • More volunteers • Help keep people mobile • Subsidised transport – targeted • Local area surgeries • Retain local services – emergency • Community officers high profile presence • Need better transport

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parking limited in centre • Taxes too expensive • Have to come into Winchester for health care (Sparsholt) • Not enough access to evening classes • No parking at hospital and doctors and dentists • Services are good • Good access to most shops and facilities by bus, foot, bicycle and car • Local facilities good • Out of centre stores – will lead to congestion etc • Winchester not a big employer? Commuters into city • Lack of centre with vitality and empty shops 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cycle route into local villages • Electric bikes • Better public transport (buses) into town • Transport cards – integrated • More doctors etc needed • Want service to stay eg. hospital • Park and ride in North of city • Keep to areas that can support these (out of centre stores) • Create more jobs (in Winchester), but retain historic nature of city. Balance need for housing with need for jobs • Need to draw in ‘big players’ (retail)
---	--

Weeke Community Event 1st March 2007

<p>SECTION 4: ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do you consider that new development is designed to minimise its impact on the environment (climate change, energy efficiency etc)? • Do you have good access to the countryside and greenspaces? • Are there many sites for wildlife in your area? 	
<p>What is the situation now?</p>	<p>What needs to change?</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ready access to countryside - public transport • New developments not sustainable enough • Green spaces recreation:- Winnall Moor; Itchen navigation • Housing has changed by becoming more energy efficient • Too expensive to improve current housing • Not enough public open space or amenity areas • Yes, good access to countryside • good footpaths 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LDF needs to state only planning permission for carbon neutral properties • Collecting water etc • Park & ride needs to correlate with reduction in spaces in town • Joined up ‘action’ for cycle paths – direct (not round one-way) • Sequential approach to traffic management – car towards bottom of list • Ban deliveries of goods during peak times • Maintain channels of space into city • oasis in parks & gardens maintained • development on edge of city

Weeke Community Event 1st March 2007

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor maintenance • “More rabbits than we know what to do with” • Wildlife in gardens • Fortnightly rubbish collections – problem • Good access to countryside • Higher density development = more traffic = less green space • Retain green wedges • Hear about climate change • Bus routes to other places not directly into centre (across town) high price/lack of service out of city • Poor maintenance of hard landscaping and paving • Litter around shops dropped by young people 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • More needs to be done to change this in the future (energy efficiency) • Education of what needs doing (energy efficiency) • Need insulation on housing • More grants from council – people need to know about it • Encourage more use of green energy • Local council needs to promote it (use of green energy) – get own technology, take leadership • HCC needs to work with WCC • Linking corridors between wildlife areas – maintain them. • Not enough money for maintenance (public open spaces) • Pro-active communities in wildlife conservation • Better education – schools etc • Better education for everyone • Reduce city centre car park • Improve public transport routes & price & frequency • Personal responsibility for community areas • Do things to change it i.e. house builders, better building regs. • More recreational activities
---	---