



**DECISIONS MADE BY DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT
UNDER DELEGATED POWERS**

UP TO 18 September 2006

For Guidance

1. Those applications which have a **star** by them are FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY. Please see over for a list and description of application types.
2. If the **Grid Reference** is blank, this is because it is a property which is in the process of being entered on the Property Database for the District.
3. The Conservation Area only appears where the application is known to be in a **Conservation Area**.
4. The **Publicity Period** is 21 days after the Site Notice Date or 21 days after a Press Advert date, where this has been made, whichever is the later. Applications may be determined after this date
5. Representations totals are as received at the date of printing the list.

If you require any further information, please enquire at Avalon House Reception quoting the Case Number. We are open Monday - Friday 8.30am - 5.00pm.

APPLICATION CODES AND DESCRIPTION

AVC	Full Advert Consent
AVT	Temporary Advert Consent (non-standard time limit)
AGA	Agricultural Application
APN	Agricultural Prior Notification
CER	Certificate of Alternative Use
CHU	Change of Use
DEC	Demolition Consultation
EUC	Established Use Certificate
FUL	Full Planning Application
GVN	Government Department (no comment)
GVO	Government Department (outline)
GVT	Government Department (temporary)
HAZ	Hazardous Substances
HCS	HCC Consultations (letter)
HCM	HCC Consultation Minerals
LBC	Conservation Area Application
LDC	Lawful Development Certificate (existing use)
LDP	Lawful Development Certificate (proposed use)
LIS	Listed Building
OUT	Outline Application
REM	Reserved Matters
TCP	Telecom Prior Notification
TFE	Tree Felling Licence
TPO	Tree Preservation Order
TPC	Tree in Conservation Area

Bishops Waltham

Ward

Bishops Waltham

Conservation Area: Conservation Area: Bishops Waltham Conservation Area
Case No: 06/02207/AVC
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 25 July 2006
Grid Ref: 455339 117403
Team: WEST **Case Officer:** Mrs Jane Rarok
Applicant: Mrs Belcher
Proposal: (AMENDED DESCRIPTION) Erection of 3 no non illuminated flat fascia sign (RETROSPECTIVE) (RE-SUBMISSION)
Location: Driver And Co The Square Bishops Waltham Southampton Hampshire SO32 1GJ
Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 Any advertisements displayed and any site used for the display of advertisements shall be maintained in a clean and tidy condition to the reasonable satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.

01 Reason: As required by the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) Regulations 1992.

02 Any structure or hoarding erected or used principally for the purpose of display of advertisements shall be maintained in a safe condition.

02 Reason: As required by the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) Regulations 1992.

03 Where an advertisement is required under the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) Regulations 1992 to be removed, the removal shall be carried out to the reasonable satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority.

03 Reason: As required by the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) Regulations 1992.

04 No advertisement is to be displayed without the permission of the owner of the site or any other person with an interest in the site entitled to grant permission.

04 Reason: As required by the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) Regulations 1992.

05 No advertisement shall be sited or displayed so as to obscure, or hinder the ready interpretation of any road traffic sign, railway signal or aid to navigation by water or air, or so otherwise to render hazardous the use of any highway, railway, waterway or aerodrome (civil or military).

05 Reason: As required by the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (Control of Advertisements) Regulations 1992.

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, E16

Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006: DP3, HE4, HE5, HE11

SPG: Design Guidance for Shopfront and Signs

Bishops Waltham

Ward

Bishops Waltham

Conservation Area: Conservation Area: Bishops Waltham Conservation Area

Case No: 06/02281/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 22 July 2006

Grid Ref: 455426 117427

Team: WEST

Case Officer: Mr Ian Cousins

Applicant: Mrs Elizabeth Bengé

Proposal: Change of use from office (B1) to retail (A1) and financial and professional (A2) (Mixed Use)

Location: European Marine Consultants Basing Mews Lower Basingwell Street Bishops Waltham Southampton Hampshire SO32 1AL

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:

The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: S2

Winchester District Local Plan Review: SF1; SF2

Bishops Waltham

Ward

Bishops Waltham

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02427/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 22 July 2006

Grid Ref: 454179 118078

Team: WEST

Case Officer: Mr Ian Cousins

Applicant: Mr And Mrs Smith

Proposal: Rear conservatory

Location: The Pines Winchester Road Bishops Waltham Southampton
Hampshire SO32 1BZ

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:

The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3

Winchester District Local Plan Review: DP3

Bishops Waltham

Ward

Bishops Waltham

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02435/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 8 August 2006

Grid Ref: 455638 117388

Team: WEST

Case Officer: Miss Megan Birkett

Applicant: Michael Gailer

Proposal: Rear conservatory

Location: 3 Shore Crescent Bishops Waltham Southampton Hampshire
SO32 1DZ

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the conservatory hereby permitted shall match those used in the existing building.

02 Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship between the new development and the existing.

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:

The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3

Winchester District Local Plan Review Proposals: DP3

Bishops Waltham

Ward

Bishops Waltham

Conservation Area: Bishops Waltham Conservation Area

Case No: 06/02610/TPC

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 10 August 2006

Grid Ref: 455718 117441

Team: WEST

Case Officer: Mr Kevin Cloud

Applicant: Mr Ian Cooper

Proposal: Fell 2 no elder and 1 no sumach trees

Location: Dolphins Bank Street Bishops Waltham Southampton Hampshire
SO32 1AN

Decision: **That no objection be raised**

Informatives

01. The Local planning Authority encourages replanting where trees are to be removed. This helps to provide future trees for the benefit of the conservation area and our environment.

Colden Common

Ward

Colden Common And Twyford

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02457/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 27 July 2006

Grid Ref: 449464 121452

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mr Robert Ainslie

Applicant: Dr & Mrs L Whale

Proposal: Erection of agricultural barn and associated hardstanding area to provide cattle housing and storage of farm machinery, hay, straw and silage.

Location: Upper Swifts Farm Hensting Lane Fishers Pond Eastleigh
Hampshire SO50 7HH

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 Details of the facilities for the storage of bovine manure and its means of disposal from the site shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority before the use of the building is commenced. The facilities shall be provided and thereafter maintained in accordance with the approved details.

02 Reason: In the interests of the amenity of the area.

03 No development shall take place until details and samples of the materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the building hereby permitted have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

03 Reason: To ensure that the development presents a satisfactory appearance in the interests of the amenities of the area.

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:

The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, C1, C2

Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006: DP3, CE5, CE13

03. The proposed development must comply with the terms of the Control of Pollution (Silage, Slurry and Agricultural Fuel Oils) Regulations 1991, (as amended 1997).

04. Under the terms of the Water Resources Act 1991 the prior written agreement is required for discharging dewatering water from any excavation or development to any controlled waters. The applicant is advised to contact the Hants and IOW Area office Environment Management Itchen Team to discuss this matter further.

Colden Common

Ward

Colden Common And Twyford

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02559/TPO

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 8 August 2006

Grid Ref: 448351 122444

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mr Michael Edwards

Applicant: Mr And Mrs Stevenson

Proposal: Crown lift 2 no oak tree by 35% (Tree B not subject to TPO)

Location: The Shires Boyes Lane Colden Common Winchester Hampshire
SO21 1TA

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Corhampton And Meonstoke

Ward

Upper Meon Valley

Conservation Area: Corhampton And Meonstoke Conservation Area

Case No: 06/02418/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 22 July 2006

Grid Ref: 461033 120279

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mr Simon Avery

Applicant: Mr And Mrs Gann

Proposal: Removal of existing bay window and erection of single storey extension; demolition of detached garage and boundary wall and erection of new boundary wall

Location: The Old Vicarage Warnford Road Corhampton Southampton
Hampshire SO32 3ND

Decision: **Application Withdrawn**

Compton And Shawford

Ward

Compton And Otterbourne

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02293/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 8 July 2006

Grid Ref: 446364 124274

Team: WEST

Case Officer: Mrs Jill Lee

Applicant: Brian Pebble

Proposal: Demolition of 'Balakitch' and erection of 2 no. four bedroom and 2 no. two bedroom terraced dwellings with associated car parking (RE-SUBMISSION)

Location: Ballakitch Highways Road Compton Winchester Hampshire SO21 2DF

Decision: **Application Refused**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The proposed development is contrary to policy UB3 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan and policy DP3 of the Winchester District Local Plan and PPG3 in that it would result in the overdevelopment of the site with inadequate space about the buildings and insufficient space for landscaping and resulting in development that fails to respect the character of development on Highways Road.

02 The proposed development is contrary to policy H7 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan Review and policy H7 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review in that it fails to provide a mix of dwellings with the proposed two bedroom dwellings exceeding 75m² floorspace measured gross internally.

03 The proposal is contrary to the policies of the Hampshire County Structure Plan and the Winchester District Local Plan in that it fails to make adequate provision for public recreational open space to the required standard, and would therefore be detrimental to the amenities of the area. The proposal would also be likely to prejudice the Hampshire County Structure Plan (Review), the Winchester District Local Plan and the emerging Winchester District Local Plan (Review), in that it would undermine this Plan's Policies for recreational open space provision within the District.

(No Open Space)

04 The application fails to make provision for contributions towards sustainable transport improvements contained in the central Hampshire rural transport strategy and so is contrary to policy T5 of the Hampshire Country Structure Plan and policy T5 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review.

05 The applicant has failed to demonstrate that foul and surface water from the dwellings can be adequately disposed of within the curtilage of the development and has not indicated if an alternative disposal method is available. It is considered that as proposed the development would place an unacceptable burden on the amenity of the area and increase the risk of flooding to existing properties.

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, R2, H7, T5

Winchester District Local Plan Review: H7, DP3, RT4, T5

Compton And Shawford

Ward

Compton And Otterbourne

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02426/OUT

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 22 July 2006

Grid Ref: 446485 125101

Team: WEST

Case Officer: Mrs Jane Rarok

Applicant: Mr And Mrs M R Russell-Smith

Proposal: Erection of 1 no detached dwelling with access onto Field Way

Location: Erdely Clease Way Compton Down Winchester Hampshire SO21
2AL

Decision: **Application Refused**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The roads leading to and from the site are of inadequate width to accommodate safely the additional traffic which the proposed development would generate.

02 The road leading to and from the site has substandard junctions with Otterbourne Road, which are inadequate to accommodate safely the additional traffic that the proposed development would generate.

03 The proposal is contrary to the policies of the Hampshire County Structure Plan and the Winchester District Local Plan in that it fails to make adequate provision for public recreational open space to the required standard, and would therefore be detrimental to the amenities of the area. The proposal would also be likely to prejudice the Hampshire County Structure Plan (Review), the Winchester District Local Plan and the emerging Winchester District Local Plan (Review), in that it would undermine this Plan's Policies for recreational open space provision within the District.
(No Open Space)

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, H5, T5

Winchester District Local Plan Proposals (2006): H1, H3, RT4, T2, T5

Denmead Ward Denmead

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02369/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 18 July 2006

Grid Ref: 465667 111346

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mr Simon Avery

Applicant: Jordan Homes

Proposal: Erection of 1 no.1 bedroom house, 1 no. 1 bedroom and 1 no. 2 bedroom flats, 1 no 2 bedroom Bungalow with associated parking and access road/Re-submission

Location: Four Oaks Forest Road Denmead Waterlooville Hampshire PO7 6TZ

Decision: Application Permitted

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 No development shall take place until details and samples of the materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the development hereby permitted have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

02 Reason: To ensure that the development presents a satisfactory appearance in the interests of the amenities of the area.

03 Prior to the commencement of the development hereby approved details of the measures which will be undertaken to protect the public sewers shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The works shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

03 Reason: In order to protect drainage apparatus.

04 The watercourse which crosses the northern edge of the development area must be maintained and shall not be altered in any way without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority.

04 Reason: To ensure that the watercourse is not damaged or blocked.

05 Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (or any Order revoking and re-enacting that Order with or without modification) no development permitted by Classes A, B, C, E and F of Part 1 of Schedule 2 of the Order, shall be carried out without the prior written consent of the Local Planning Authority.

05 Reason: To protect the amenities of the locality and to maintain a good quality environment.

06 Detailed proposals for the disposal of foul and surface water the development is first occupied shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority before the commencement of the development hereby permitted. The approved details shall be fully implemented before the development is first occupied.

06 Reason: To ensure satisfactory provision of foul and surface water drainage.

07 Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, (or any order revoking and re-enacting that order, with or without modification), no first floor windows other than those expressly authorised by this permission shall, at any time, be constructed in the west elevation of the first floor flat (unit 2) hereby permitted.

07 Reason: To protect the amenity and privacy of the adjoining residential properties.

08 Details of measures to be taken to prevent mud from vehicles leaving the site during construction works being deposited on the public highway shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and fully implemented before development commences. Such measures shall be retained for the duration of the construction period. No lorry shall leave the site unless its wheels have been cleaned sufficiently to prevent mud being carried onto the highway.

08 Reason: In the interests of highway safety.

09 Details of provisions to be made for the parking and turning on site of operative and construction vehicles during the period of development shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and fully implemented before development commences. Such measures shall be retained for the construction period.

09 Reason: In the interests of highway safety.

10 Before the development hereby approved is first brought into use, the access shall be constructed with a non-migratory surfacing material for a minimum distance of 4.5 metres from the highway boundary.

10 Reason: In the interests of highway safety.

11 The existing access(es) to the site shall be stopped up and abandoned and the footway crossing shall be reinstated to the requirements of the Local Planning Authority, immediately after the completion of the new access hereby approved and before the new access is first brought into use.

11 Reason: In the interests of highway safety and the amenities of the area.

12 Before the development hereby approved is first brought into use, a turning space shall be provided within the site to enable vehicles using the site to enter and leave in a forward gear. The turning space shall be retained and kept available for such purposes at all times.

12 Reason: In the interests of highway safety.

13 The parking spaces hereby approved shall not be used for any other purpose than the parking of cars.

13 Reason: To ensure the provision and retention of the in the interests of local amenity and highway safety.

14 No development or site preparation prior to operations which has any effect on disturbing or altering the level of composition of the land, shall take place within the site until the applicant or their agents or successors in title has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological work in accordance with a written scheme of investigation to be submitted by the applicant and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

14 Reason: To ensure that the archaeological interest of the site is properly safeguarded and recorded.

15 Prior to the commencement of works details of the finished levels, above ordnance datum, of the ground floor of the proposed buildings and their relationship to the levels of any existing adjoining buildings shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

15 Reason: In the interests of the amenity of the area.

16 Prior to the commencement of works details of secure and undercover cycle parking shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The works shall be fully implemented in accordance with the approved plans before the units are first occupied.

16 Reason: In the interests of sustainability and highway safety.

17 Protective fencing in accordance with the Arboricultural Impact Appraisal and Method Statement reference BJH-0043 written by Bernie Harveson and submitted to the Local Planning Authority shall be installed prior to any demolition, construction or groundworks commencing on the site.

The Arboricultural Officer shall be informed once the fencing has been installed so that it can be inspected and deemed appropriate and in accordance with Method Statement BJH-0043. Telephone 01962 848317.

17 Reason: To ensure protection and long term viability of retained trees and to minimise impact of construction activity.

18 The Arboricultural Officer shall be informed prior to the commencement of construction of special surfacing under tree canopies so that a pre commencement site visit can be carried out. Telephone 01962 848317.

18 Reason: To ensure protection and long term viability of retained trees and to minimise impact of construction activity.

19 The Arboricultural Officer shall be informed prior to the commencement of pre construction tree surgery so that a pre commencement site visit can be carried out. Telephone 01962 848317.

19 Reason: To ensure protection and long term viability of retained trees and to minimise impact of construction activity.

20 No arboricultural works shall be carried out to trees other than those specified and in accordance with Method Statement 5220-AIA.AMS-BD.

Any deviation from works prescribed or methods agreed in accordance with Method Statement 5220-AIA.AMS-BD shall be agreed in writing to the Local Planning Authority.

20 Reason: To ensure protection and long term viability of retained trees and to minimise impact of construction activity.

21 A detailed scheme for landscaping, tree and/or shrub planting shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority before development commences. The scheme shall specify species, density, planting, size and layout. The scheme approved shall be carried out in the first planting season following the occupation of the building or the completion of the development whichever is the sooner. If within a period of 5 years from the date of planting, any trees, shrubs or plants die, are removed or, in the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, become seriously damaged or defective, others of the same species and size as that originally planted shall be planted at the same place, in the next planting season, unless the Local Planning Authority gives its written consent to any variation.

21 Reason: To improve the appearance of the site in the interests of visual amenity.

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:

The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, E2, E5, E8, R2, T2

Winchester District Local Plan Proposals: HG3, EN5, EN7, EN9, EN13, RT3, T9, T11

Emerging Development Plan- WDLP Review Deposit and Revised Deposit: DP3, DP5, DP6, DP10, HE1, RT3, T3, T4

03. The applicant is advised that a licence will be required to carry out highway works. Please contact: Hampshire Highways, Central Depot, Bar End Road, Winchester, SO23 9NP. (Telephone: 01962 892850).

04. A formal application for connection to the public sewerage system is required in order to service this development. To initiative a sewer capacity check to identify the appropriate connection point for the development, please contact Southern Water's Network Development Team (Wastewater) based in Otterbourne, Hampshire or www.southernwater.co.uk.

05. All building works including demolition, construction and machinery or plant operation should only be carried out between the hours of 0800 and 1800 hrs Monday to Friday and 0800 and 1300 hrs Saturday and at no time on Sundays or Bank Holidays. Where allegations of noise from such works are substantiated by the Environmental Health and Housing Department, a Notice limiting the hours of operation under The Control of Pollution Act 1974 may be served.

06. No materials should be burnt on site. Where allegations of statutory nuisance are substantiated by the Environmental Health and Housing Department, an Abatement Notice may be served under The Environmental Protection Act 1990. The applicant is reminded that the emission of dark smoke through the burning of materials is a direct offence under The Clean Air Act 1993.

07. The applicant is advised that there may be asbestos within the fabric of the building. The removal of such material may be subject to Licensing Regulations and Codes of Practice. For further information contact the Health and Safety Executive, Priestley House, Priestley Road, Basingstoke, Hampshire. RG24 9NW. Tel: 01256 404000.

Denmead Ward Denmead

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02496/LIS

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 9 August 2006

Grid Ref: 465660 110505

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mr Nick Fisher

Applicant: Mr J & Mrs H Whitehead

Proposal: Small barn-thatch, removal of cedar shingles and modern battons, replacement with pressure treated battons, original old battons to be retained , thatch in combed wheat straw, ridge in blockcut wrap over style, cover in galvanised wire, remove assorted peg tiles from exterior barn walls to expose original weatherboard.

Location: Furzehill Cottage Furzeley Corner Denmead Waterlooville
Hampshire PO7 6TS

Decision: Application Permitted

Conditions/Reasons

01 The works hereby consented to shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this consent.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 The re-roofing shall be carried out using Combed Wheat to match the thatch used for the front elevation of the main dwelling-house.

02 To maintain the character and integrity of the Listed Building.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the building hereby permitted shall be as quoted in the approved application form, section 9.

02 Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship between the new development and the existing.

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:

The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, C1, C2

Development Plan- WDLP Review: DP3, CE6, CE13

Droxford

Ward

Droxford, Soberton And Hambledon

Conservation Area: Droxford Conservation Area

Case No: 06/02611/TPC

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 10 August 2006

Grid Ref: 460660 118367

Team: WEST

Case Officer: Mr Kevin Cloud

Applicant: Sophie Bateman

Proposal: Fell 1 no Cypress (to be replaced with liquidambar)

Location: Meon House High Street Droxford Southampton Hampshire SO32
3PA

Decision: That no objection be raised

Durley Ward Owslebury And Curdrige

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02230/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 1 July 2006
Grid Ref: 452010 116111
Team: WEST **Case Officer:** Mrs Jane Rarok
Applicant: Parsons Construction Ltd
Proposal: Erection of office extension and associated parking
Location: 3 Mill Court The Sawmills Durley Southampton Hampshire SO32
2EJ

Decision: **Application Withdrawn**

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: C1, C2, UB3, E11, EC3
Winchester District Local Plan Proposals: DP3, CE9, CE18

Durley Ward Owslebury And Curdrige

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02438/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 25 July 2006
Grid Ref: 451666 116457
Team: WEST **Case Officer:** Mrs Jane Rarok
Applicant: Mr L Millar
Proposal: Two storey rear extension
Location: White Owl Cottage Parsonage Lane Durley Hampshire SO32 2AD

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the development hereby permitted shall match those used in the existing building.

02 Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship between the new development and the existing.

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, C1
Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006 Policies: DP3, C23

Exton **Ward** **Upper Meon Valley**

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02383/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 20 July 2006

Grid Ref: 461272 122413

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mr Simon Avery

Applicant: Mr C Martin

Proposal: Demolition of existing steel-framed barn and erection of holiday accommodation; erection of cycle store (RE-SUBMISSION)

Location: Beaconhill Farm Alton Road Warnford Southampton Hampshire
SO32 3LA

Decision: **Application Refused**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The proposal is contrary to Policies UB3, C1, C2 and R3 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan and Policies DP3, CE6, CE28, RT17 and RT18 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review and would be detrimental to the character and appearance of the countryside which forms part of the East Hampshire Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty in that:-

(i) there is no overriding justification to allow a new building in the countryside for holiday accommodation which would not be totally screened from public viewpoints;

(ii) the height and design of the proposal would result in a building that would be more visually intrusive than the one that it replaces;

(iii) the proposed amenity spaces are formal and ornamental in design and would be out of character in this open, rural location.

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, R3, C1, C2
Winchester District Local Plan Revised 2006: DP3, CE6, CE28, RT17, RT18

Kings Worthy Ward Kings Worthy

Conservation Area: Conservation Area: Kings Worthy Conservation Area
Case No: 06/02040/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 8 June 2006
Grid Ref: 449080 132438
Team: EAST **Case Officer:** Mr Dave Dimon
Applicant: Infinity Homes Ltd
Proposal: Demolition of 6,7 and 8 Court Road and redevelopment comprising
23 no dwellings , associated car parking, landscaping and open
space
Location: 6 Court Road Kings Worthy Hampshire SO23 7QJ
Decision: **Application Refused**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The proposed development is contrary to Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP1(ii) in that it fails to provide a topographical site survey with accurate details of trees, hedgerows and other important landscape features on the site and in relation to surrounding development and details of levels.

02 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy UB3 and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP.3(i)(ii) in that the design (massing, scale, height and layout) does not respond positively to the character and appearance of the local environment, and together with the proposed density of development would be an undesirable over-intensive use / overdevelopment of the site which would not contribute to the quality of the built environment. It would not therefore make an efficient and effective use of land.

03 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy E16 (a)(c)(e)(f) and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy HE.4 in that it would adversely affect the setting of Kings Worthy Conservation Area and not maintain or enhance the character and appearance of the Conservation Area which would also be to the detriment of visual amenity generally.

04 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy UB3 and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP3(vii) in that it would result in overlooking and loss of privacy and an overbearing form of development harmful to residential amenity.

05 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy UB3 and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP3(viii), DP5(i) in that it does not make satisfactory provision for private amenity garden areas to serve some of the proposed three bed dwellings or to serve the proposed flats and would therefore have an unacceptable adverse impact on residential amenity.

06 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire Structure County Plan Review policy T5, H5(ii)(a) and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP9, T1, T5 in that it fails to make adequate provision for off site highway improvements to mitigate the impact of the development on the local highway network and promote access to the site by sustainable modes of transport.

07 The proposed development would be likely to encourage the parking of vehicles on the public highway which would interrupt the free flow of traffic and thereby add to the hazards of road users at this point.

08 The proposal does not incorporate adequate facilities to enable service vehicles to turn on the site and so enter a highway in a forward gear which is considered essential in the interests of road safety.

09 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy UB3 and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP3(ii)(vii), DP4(iv), HE4 in that it would establish an undesirable precedent for development of a similar form on adjacent sites that would be harmful to the character and appearance of the area.

10 The road leading to and from the site has a substandard junction with London Road which is inadequate to safely accommodate the additional traffic which the proposed development would generate.

11 In the opinion of the Planning Authority the proposal involves development that cannot be reconciled with national planning policy guidance in PPG 13 in that it fails to make the best possible use of opportunities to reduce reliance on the private car. The failure to utilise alternative means of transport to the private car would result in an unacceptable increase in the number and length of car journeys to the detriment of the environment and the locality. The proposal therefore conflicts with the strategy of the Hampshire County Structure Plan particularly policies T1 – T5.

12 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy R2 and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP9, RT4 in that it fails to make adequate provision for on site public recreational open space to the required standard and/or a contribution to off site open space provision and would therefore be detrimental to the amenities of the area.

13 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy H8 and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP9, H5 in that it fails to make any provision for affordable housing and would therefore conflict with the housing strategies of these Plans.

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, T1,T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, H5, H7, H8, R2, E16.

Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006:DP.1, DP.3, DP.4, DP.5, DP.6, DP.9, DP.12, HE.4, H.2, H.5, H.7, T.1, T.2, T.5, T.6, RT.4.

Kings Worthy

Ward

Kings Worthy

Conservation Area: Conservation Area: Kings Worthy Conservation Area
Case No: 06/02041/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 8 June 2006
Grid Ref: 449130 132438
Team: EAST **Case Officer:** Mr Dave Dimon
Applicant: Infinity Homes Ltd
Proposal: Demolition of 9 and 10 Court Road and redevelopment comprising
15 no dwellings, associated parking, landscaping and open space
Location: 9 Court Road Kings Worthy Hampshire SO23 7QJ
Decision: **Application Refused**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The proposed development is contrary to Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP1(ii) in that it fails to provide a topographical site survey with accurate details of trees, hedgerows and other important landscape features on the site and in relation to surrounding development and details of levels.

02 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy UB3 and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP.3(i)(ii) in that the design (massing, scale, height and layout) does not respond positively to the character and appearance of the local environment, and together with the proposed density of development would be an undesirable over-intensive use / overdevelopment of the site which would not contribute to the quality of the built environment. It would not therefore make an efficient and effective use of land.

03 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy E16 (a) (c)(e)(f) and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy HE.4 in that it would adversely affect the setting of Kings Worthy Conservation Area and not maintain or enhance the character and appearance of the Conservation Area which would also be to the detriment of visual amenity generally.

04 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy UB3 and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP3(vii) in that it would result in overlooking and loss of privacy and an overbearing form of development harmful to residential amenity.

05 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy UB3 and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP3(viii), DP5(i) in that it does not make satisfactory provision for private amenity garden areas to serve some of the proposed three bed dwellings or to serve the proposed flats and would therefore have an unacceptable adverse impact on residential amenity.

06 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire Structure County Plan Review policy T5, H5(ii)(a) and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP9, T1, T5 in that it fails to make adequate provision for off site highway improvements to mitigate the impact of the development on the local highway network and promote access to the site by sustainable modes of transport.

07 Inadequate visibility splays are provided at the junction of the access with the highway and this would cause danger and inconvenience to users of the adjoining highway.

08 The proposed development would be likely to encourage the parking of vehicles on the public highway which would interrupt the free flow of traffic and thereby add to the hazards of road users at this point.

09 The proposal does not incorporate adequate facilities to enable service vehicles to turn on the site and so enter a highway in a forward gear which is considered essential in the interests of road safety.

10 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy UB3 and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP3(ii)(vii), DP4(iv), HE4 in that it would establish an undesirable precedent for development of a similar form on adjacent sites that would be harmful to the character and appearance of the area.

11 The road leading to and from the site has a substandard junction with London Road which is inadequate to safely accommodate the additional traffic which the proposed development would generate.

12 In the opinion of the Planning Authority the proposal involves development that cannot be reconciled with national planning policy guidance in PPG 13 in that it fails to make the best possible use of opportunities to reduce reliance on the private car. The failure to utilise alternative means of transport to the private car would result in an unacceptable increase in the number and length of car journeys to the detriment of the environment and the locality. The proposal therefore conflicts with the strategy of the Hampshire County Structure Plan particularly policies T1 T5.

13 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy R2 and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP9, RT4 in that it fails to make adequate provision for on site public recreational open space to the required standard and/or a contribution to off site open space provision and would therefore be detrimental to the amenities of the area.

14 The proposed development is contrary to Hampshire County Structure Plan Review policy H8 and Winchester District Local Plan Review policy DP9, H5 in that it fails to make any provision for affordable housing and would therefore conflict with the housing strategies of these Plans.

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, H5, H7, H8, R2, E16.

Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006: DP.1, DP.3, DP.5, DP.6, DP.9, DP.12, H.2, H.5, H.7, T.1, T.2, T.5, T.6, RT.4.

Kings Worthy

Ward

Kings Worthy

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02456/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 27 July 2006

Grid Ref: 448826 133719

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mr Nick Fisher

Applicant: Mr G Perrin & Mr N W Bell

Proposal: Single storey side extension to provide garage and leisure room and new wall to front boundary

Location: Crowded House 126A Springvale Road Kings Worthy Winchester Hampshire SO23 7RB

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the extension hereby permitted shall match those used in the existing building.

02 Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship between the new development and the existing.

03 A sample of the proposed flint-blocks is to be submitted to the Local Planning Authority and approved in writing by the authority prior to the commencement of the development.

03 To ensure that the appearance of the Flintblock is acceptable, to protect that appearance of the area.

04 The first 8 metres of existing hedgerow / vegetation (when measured from the dwellings rear elevation) located upon the side (southern) boundary with 128 Springvale Road, shall be retained in perpetuity but replanted within the next planting season should the hedge die or become seriously diseased or is otherwise removed. The hedge shall not be cut below a height of 2 metres from ground level.

04 To ensure that the residential amenity of the occupiers of the neighbouring dwelling to the south is protected.

05 Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, (or any order revoking and re-enacting that order, with or without modification), no windows, or dormer windows other than those expressly authorised by this permission shall, at any time, be constructed in the side (southern) elevation of the side extension hereby permitted.

05 Reason: To protect the amenity and privacy of the adjoining residential properties.

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3

Winchester District Local Plan Proposals: DP3

Littleton And Harestock

Ward

Littleton And Harestock

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/01134/LDC

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 21 March 2006

Grid Ref: 446089 131775

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Legal

Applicant: Mr Ian Hemingway

Proposal: Change of use of part of existing stable into dwelling
(RETROSPECTIVE)

Location: Harestock Stud Kennel Lane Littleton Winchester Hampshire SO22
6PT

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 This Certificate is issued solely for the purpose of section 191 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 It certifies that the operation specified in the First Schedule on the land described in the Second Schedule was lawful on the specified date and, thus, was not liable to enforcement action under section 172 of the 1990 Act on that date.

03 This Certificate applies only to the extent of the operation described in the First Schedule and to the land specified in the Second Schedule and identified on the attached plan. Any use/operations/matter which is materially different from that described or which relates to other land may render the owner or occupier liable to enforcement action.

04 A certificate under section 191 or 192 of the Act may be revoked if on the application for the certificate-

- (a) a statement was made or document used which was false in a material particular; or
- (b) any material information was withheld.

New Alresford

Ward

The Alresfords

Conservation Area: New Alresford Conservation Area
Case No: 06/02477/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 1 August 2006
Grid Ref: 458870 132493
Team: EAST **Case Officer:** Mrs Jill Lee
Applicant: Mr T Gard
Proposal: Conversion of the existing mill building into 7 flats over 4 floors, partial demolition of adjacent workshop in order to provide garden boundary wall and bicycle shelter, new office building consisting of 6 office units over 3 floors with enclosed ground floor parking
Location: Station Mill Station Road Alresford Hampshire SO24 9JQ
Decision: **Application Refused**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The proposed new office building would be contrary to PPG15, policy E16 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan Review and policies HE4, HE5 and HE16 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review in that it would result in a building which would by reason of its height, design and appearance, detract from the adjacent listed building and detract from the character and visual amenities of the conservation area.

02 The proposed development is contrary to policy E14 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan Review and policy HE1 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review in that it fails to make satisfactory provision for a programme of archaeological investigation and recording before or during development, on a site which is considered to be of archaeological interest.

03 The proposed alterations to the listed building are contrary to the provisions of PPG15, policy E16 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan Review and policy HE14 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review in that no justification for the work has been submitted by the applicant as required by PPG15, the historic features of the building have not been retained and the proposed works cause harm to the fabric of the listed building.

04 The proposals are contrary to the provisions of PPS23 and policy DP13 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review in that there is insufficient information to demonstrate that the risk of pollution to controlled waters is acceptable.

05 The proposal is contrary to the policies of the Hampshire County Structure Plan and the Winchester District Local Plan in that it fails to make adequate provision for public recreational open space to the required standard, and would therefore be detrimental to the amenities of the area. The proposal would also be likely to prejudice the Hampshire County Structure Plan (Review), the Winchester District Local Plan and the emerging Winchester District Local Plan (Review), in that it would undermine this Plan's Policies for recreational open space provision within the District.
(No Open Space)

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: E16

Winchester District Local Plan Review: HE14, DP13, HE16, RT4

New Alresford

Ward

The Alresfords

Conservation Area: New Alresford Conservation Area

Case No: 06/02478/LIS

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 1 August 2006

Grid Ref: 458870 132493

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mrs Jill Lee

Applicant: Mr T Gard

Proposal: Conversion of the existing mill building into 7 flats over 4 floors, partial demolition of adjacent workshop in order to provide garden boundary wall and bicycle shelter, new office building consisting of 6 office units over 3 floors with enclosed ground floor parking for 4 cars.

Location: Station Mill Station Road Alresford Hampshire SO24 9JQ

Decision: Application Refused

Conditions/Reasons

01 The proposed new office building would be contrary to PPG15, policy E16 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan Review and policies HE4, HE5 and HE16 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review in that it would result in a building which would by reason of its height, design and appearance, detract from the adjacent listed building and from the character and visual amenities of the conservation area.

02 The proposed development is contrary to policy E14 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan Review and policy HE1 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review in that it fails to make satisfactory provision for a programme of archaeological investigation and recording before or during development on a site which is considered to be of archaeological interest.

03 The proposed alterations to the listed building are contrary to the provisions of PPG15, policy E16 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan Review and policy HE14 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review in that no justification for the work has been submitted by the applicant as required by PPG15, the historic features of the building have not been retained and the proposed works cause harm to the fabric of the listed building.

04 The proposals are contrary to the provisions of PPS23 and policy DP13 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review in that there is insufficient information to demonstrate that the risk of pollution to controlled waters is acceptable.

05 The proposal is contrary to the policies of the Hampshire County Structure Plan and the Winchester District Local Plan in that it fails to make adequate provision for public recreational open space to the required standard, and would therefore be detrimental to the amenities of the area. The proposal would also be likely to prejudice the Hampshire County

Structure Plan (Review), the Winchester District Local Plan and the emerging Winchester District Local Plan (Review), in that it would undermine this Plan's Policies for recreational open space provision within the District.
(No Open Space)

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: E16
Winchester District Local Plan Review: HE14, DP13, HE16, RT4

Northington Ward Itchen Valley

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02495/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 2 August 2006

Grid Ref: 456426 137489

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mr Robert Ainslie

Applicant: Ms Emma Howard

Proposal: Two storey side extension at rear and replacement conservatory

Location: Pathway Cottage 77 Church Lane Northington Alresford Hampshire
SO24 9TH

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the additions hereby permitted shall match those used in the existing building.

02 Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship between the new development and the existing.

03 Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, (or any order revoking and re-enacting that order, with or without modification), no first floor windows shall, at any time, be constructed in the south or north elevation(s) of the addition hereby permitted.

03 Reason: To protect the amenity and privacy of the adjoining residential properties.

Shedfield Ward Shedfield

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02384/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 20 July 2006

Grid Ref: 457634 113895

Team: WEST

Case Officer: Lisa Booth

Applicant: Mrs Janet Dennis

Proposal: Replacement dwelling (RE-SUBMISSION)

Location: High Ridge Farm Hospital Road Shirrell Heath Southampton
Hampshire SO32 2JR

Decision: **Application Refused**

Conditions/Reasons

01 Due to the site's highly visible location, the proposed dwelling and the associated garden area represents an undesirable intrusion which would be harmful to the character and appearance of the countryside. The proposal would also visually diminish the openness and the overall function of the Bishop's Waltham – Swanmore – Waltham Chase – Shedfield – Shirrell Heath Local Gap to which the site is included. The proposal is therefore contrary to proposals G3, C1, C2 and UB3 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan, proposals CE2, CE3, CE23 and DP3 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review.

02 The proposal is contrary to proposal CE23 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review, which seeks to limit the size of replacement dwellings in the countryside in the interests of conserving the character and appearance of the countryside and maintaining a stock of smaller, more affordable housing in the area.

03 The proposal is contrary to proposal R2 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan (Review) and proposal RT4 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review in that it fails to make adequate provision for public recreational open space to the required standard, and would therefore be detrimental to the amenities of the area and open space provision within the district.

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: C1, C2, G3, R2, UB3

Development Plan- Winchester District Local Plan Review: CE2, CE3, CE23, DP.3, RT4

Shedfield Ward Shedfield

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02546/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 7 August 2006
Grid Ref: 456989 114375
Team: WEST **Case Officer:** Mr Ian Cousins
Applicant: Mr And Mrs Walsh
Proposal: Conservatory to rear
Location: The Spring Black Horse Lane Shedfield Southampton Hampshire
SO32 2HT

Decision: **Application Withdrawn**

Shedfield Ward Shedfield

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02553/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 8 August 2006
Grid Ref: 455890 115464
Team: WEST **Case Officer:** Miss Megan Birkett
Applicant: Mr J Barnes
Proposal: Two storey rear extension
Location: 1 Clayhill Close Waltham Chase Southampton Hampshire SO32
2TT

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the extension hereby permitted shall match those used in the existing building.

02 Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship between the new development and the existing.

03 Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, (or any order revoking and re-enacting that order, with or without modification), no windows or dormers other than those expressly authorised by this permission shall, at any time, be constructed in the western elevation(s) of the extension hereby permitted.

Upham

Ward

Owslebury And Curdrige

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02399/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 21 July 2006

Grid Ref: 452223 119020

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mr Nick Fisher

Applicant: Mr And Mrs I Vaughan

Proposal: Erection of garage/ log store

Location: 2 Sciviers Villa Sciviers Lane Lower Upham Hampshire

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 The garage hereby permitted shall only be used for the purpose of accommodating private motor vehicles or other ancillary domestic storage purposes, and shall not, at any time, be used for living accommodation, business, commercial or industrial purposes.

02 Reason: To protect the amenity and privacy of the adjoining residential properties, and to protect the character and appearance of the countryside.

03 The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the roof of the garage hereby permitted shall match those used on the roof of the existing dwelling-house.

03 Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship between the new development and the existing.

04 Details of the proposed colour / staining to be used for the timber cladding shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority and approved in writing by the Authority before the development commences.

04 To ensure that colour / general appearance of the garage building is acceptable and relates well to the countryside location.

05 A detailed scheme for landscaping, tree and/or shrub planting shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority before development commences. The scheme shall specify species, planting, size and layout. The scheme approved shall be carried out in the first planting season following the occupation of the building or the completion of the development whichever is the sooner. If within a period of 5 years from the date of planting, any trees, shrubs or plants die, are removed or, in the opinion of the Local Planning Authority, become seriously damaged or defective, others of the same species and size as that originally planted shall be planted at the same place, in the next planting season, unless the Local Planning Authority gives its written consent to any variation.

05 To provide additional visual screening to protect the appearance of the countryside.

06 The existing hedge located to the east of the garage building shall be retained in perpetuity; the hedge shall not be cut below a height of 1.8 metres.

06 To ensure that the garage is screened by vegetation from the road, and to protect the character and appearance of the countryside.

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3

Winchester District Local Plan Proposals: DP3, CE5, DP4

02. The applicant should note, that this application only grants the erection of a garage / log store building. This permission does not grant the creation of a larger residential curtilage / change of use of the adjacent field area.

West Meon

Ward

Upper Meon Valley

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02324/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 22 July 2006

Grid Ref: 464279 124376

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mr Nick Fisher

Applicant: Mr And Mrs J Mitchell

Proposal: Relief from conditions 4 and 5 of permission W10723/04 and use of the retained building as self-catering holiday accommodation

Location: The Bungalow Alton Road West Meon Petersfield Hampshire
GU32 1HZ

Decision: **Application Refused**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The proposed development would be contrary to policies DP3, DP4, CE5, CE6, RT16, of the Adopted Local Plan 2006 and policies R3, E6, E7 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan 1996-2011 (review) in that :-

1) it would be intrusive in the countryside, can be viewed from various public locations and fails to protect or enhance the character of the countryside.

2) it represents an undesirable additional dwelling for tourist accommodation for which there is no justification in an area of countryside which has been designated as an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty. The development having regard to its prominent and exposed siting will harm the natural distinctive character of the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and is not essential for the economic or social wellbeing of the area.

3) the development is constructed from poor quality materials and fails to have a reasonable life expectancy. The building is not of architectural or historical importance.

02 The building is unlawful, and should have been removed in accordance with condition four of planning permission W10723/04 for the erection of a replacement dwelling.

03 The use of the land surrounding the replacement dwelling and proposed holiday accommodation for domestic curtilage / residential use is contrary to the policies protecting the countryside and Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty in so far as the open nature, tranquilly, and character of the rural area will be eroded. In accordance with condition 05 of planning permission W10723/04 the land outlined in green should have be laid to grass and used only for agricultural purposes and maintained in that condition.

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: R3, E6, E7

Winchester District Local Plan Proposals: DP3, DP4, CE5, CE6, RT16

02. The applicant should be aware that the building subject to this application is unlawful and should be removed from the site immediately.

Wickham

Ward

Wickham

Conservation Area: Conservation Area: Wickham Conservation Area

Case No: 06/02392/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 20 July 2006

Grid Ref: 457089 111430

Team: WEST

Case Officer: Mr Neil Mackintosh

Applicant: Wickham Laboratories Ltd

Proposal: Temporary approval for first floor laboratory building
(Retrospective)

Location: Wickham Laboratories Ltd Winchester Road Wickham Fareham
Hampshire PO17 5EU

Decision: **Application Refused**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The proposal is contrary to Policy UB3 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan (Review) and Policies DP3; HE.4; HE.5 and HE.6 of the Winchester District Local Plan (Review) 2006 in that:

(i) by reason of its siting, design and external means of finishing it would result in a form of development visually incongruous and detrimental to the character of the Wickham Conservation Area.

(ii) by reason of insufficient information in the form of a site analysis

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3

Winchester District Local Plan Review: DP3, HE.4, HE.5 and HE.6

Wickham

Ward

Wickham

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02616/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 14 August 2006

Grid Ref: 455832 109556

Team: WEST

Case Officer: Miss Megan Birkett

Applicant: Daniel And Michael Dorricott

Proposal: Change of use from B2 general industry (coating of UPVC sections) to B1c light industry

Location: Unit G2 Knowle Village Business Park Mayles Lane Knowle Fareham Hampshire PO17 5DY

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 No machinery shall be operated, no process shall be carried out and no deliveries taken at or despatched from the building other than between the hours of 0800 and 1800 Monday to Friday and 0800 and 1300 Saturdays and at no time on Sundays and Bank Holidays, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

02 Reason: To protect the amenities of the occupiers of nearby properties from unreasonable noise levels.

03 The buildings hereby permitted shall be used for a class B1c use only and for no other purpose (including any other purpose or class of the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987, or in any provision equivalent to that Class in any Statutory Instrument revoking and re-enacting that Order with or without modification).

03 Reason: To restrict the use of the premises in the interests of highway safety and local amenity.

04 No article of any description shall be manufactured, assembled or stored outside the buildings hereby approved.

04 Reason: In order to protect the amenities of the locality.

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:

The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: EC1, UB3, T5

Winchester District Local Plan Review Proposals: DP3, E1, E2, T4

Winchester Town

Ward

St Bartholomew

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02123/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 19 July 2006

Grid Ref: 447955 130288

Team: WEST

Case Officer: Mr Tom Patchell

Applicant: The Winchester Hotel Ltd

Proposal: Rear extension to retain ground floor car park with two levels of new bedroom units above and offices in roof space (Amendment to W01367/28)

Location: The Winchester Hotel Ltd Worthy Lane Winchester Hampshire
SO23 7AB

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 No development shall take place until details and samples of the materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the extension hereby permitted have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

02 Reason: To ensure that the development presents a satisfactory appearance in the interests of the amenities of the area.

03 No development shall take place until details of both hard and soft landscape works have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority and these works shall be carried out as approved. These details shall include the following, as relevant:

03 - hard surfacing materials:

03 Soft landscape details shall include the following as relevant:

03 - schedules of plants, noting species, planting sizes and proposed numbers/densities where appropriate:

03 - implementation programme:

03 Reason: To improve the appearance of the site in the interests of visual amenity.

04 The plans and particulars submitted in accordance with condition(s) 03 above shall include:

a) a plan showing the location of, and allocating a reference number to each existing tree on the site which has a stem with a diameter measured over the bark at a point 1.5 metres above ground level, exceeding 75mm, showing which trees are to be retained and the crown spread of each retained tree;

b) details of the species, diameter (measured in accordance with paragraph (a) above) and the approximate height, and an assessment of the general state of health and stability, of each retained tree and of each tree which is on land adjacent to the site and to which paragraphs (c) and (d) below apply;

c) details of any proposed surgery or other works to any retained tree, or of any tree on land adjacent to the site;

d) details of any proposed alterations in existing ground levels, and of the position of any proposed excavation

e) details of the specification and position of fencing (and of any other measures to be taken) for the protection of any retained tree from damage before or during the course of development. The fencing shall conform to the recommendations of BS 5837 unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

In this condition "retained tree" means an existing tree which is to be retained in accordance with the plan referred to in paragraph (a) above.

04 Reason: To enable proper consideration to be given to the impact of the proposed development on existing trees.

05 Details of the size, species and location of the replacement tree(s) shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority before the commencement of the development hereby permitted. Such replacement planting shall be undertaken during the next planting season following the completion of the development.

05 Reason: In the interests of visual amenity and to conserve the contribution of trees to the character of the area.

06 No development or site preparation prior to operations which has any effect on disturbing or altering the level of composition of the land, shall take place within the site until the applicant or their agents or successors in title has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological work in accordance with a written scheme of investigation to be submitted by the applicant and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

06 Reason: To ensure that the archaeological interest of the site is properly safeguarded and recorded.

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:

The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, E8, E14 and R7

Winchester District Local Plan Review Proposals: DP1, DP3, DP4, RT15 and HE.1

03. All works including demolition and construction should only be carried out between the hours of 0800 and 1800hrs Monday to Friday and 0800 and 1300hrs Saturday and at no time on Sunday or Bank Holidays. Where allegations of noise from such works are substantiated by the Health and Housing Service, a Notice limiting the hours of operation under The Control of Pollution Act 1974 may be served.

04. No materials should be burnt on site. Where the Health and Housing Service substantiate allegations of statutory nuisance, an Abatement Notice may be served under the Environmental Protection Act 1990. The applicant is reminded that the emission of dark smoke through the burning of materials is a direct offence under The Clean Air Act 1993.

Winchester Town

Ward

St John And All Saints

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02363/AVC

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 18 July 2006

Grid Ref: 449427 130407

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mr Simon Avery

Applicant: Martins Of Winchester

Proposal: 1 no free standing illuminated pylon sign (RE-SUBMISSION)

Location: Martins Of Winchester Easton Lane Winchester Hampshire SO23
7SL

Decision: **Application Refused**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The proposed pylon sign is contrary to Policy UB3 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan and Policies DP3 and HE11 of the Winchester District Local Plan Revised 2006 and the advice given in the Council's "Design Guidance for the Control of Shopfronts and Signs" in that it would result in unduly obtrusive feature in the general street scene to the detriment of the visual amenities of the locality, by reason of its scale, luminance level and its siting in close proximity to the highway.

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3
Winchester District Local Plan Revised 2006: DP3, HE11

Winchester Town **Ward** **St Michael**

Conservation Area: Conservation Area: Winchester Conservation Area
Case No: 06/02372/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 22 July 2006
Grid Ref: 447702 128441
Team: WEST **Case Officer:** Lisa Booth
Applicant: Mr Gary Little
Proposal: Rear single storey extension
Location: 45 St Cross Road Winchester Hampshire SO23 9PS
Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the extension hereby permitted shall match those used in the existing building.

02 Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship between the new development and the existing.

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:
The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-
Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, E16
Development Plan- WDLP Review: DP3, HE4, HE5

Winchester Town

Ward

St Bartholomew

Conservation Area: Conservation Area: Winchester Conservation Area
Case No: 06/02408/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 21 July 2006
Grid Ref: 447962 130123
Team: WEST **Case Officer:** Mr Ian Cousins
Applicant: Mr And Mrs Cole
Proposal: Single storey side extension
Location: 5 Worthy Lane Winchester Hampshire SO23 7AB
Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the extension hereby permitted shall match those used in the existing building.

02 Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship between the new development and the existing.

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:
The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-
Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3
Winchester District Local Plan Review Proposals: DP3, HE4, HE5

Winchester Town

Ward

St Bartholomew

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02409/FUL

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 21 July 2006

Grid Ref: 448267 131090

Team: WEST

Case Officer: Mr Ian Cousins

Applicant: Mr And Mrs Bardsley

Proposal: Rear, side and front single storey extensions

Location: Per Ardua 14 Courtenay Road Winchester Hampshire SO23 7ER

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the development hereby permitted shall match those used in the existing building.

02 Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship between the new development and the existing.

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:

The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3

Winchester District Local Plan Review: DP3

Winchester Town

Ward

St Michael

Conservation Area: Conservation Area: Winchester Conservation Area
Case No: 06/02411/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 21 July 2006
Grid Ref: 447285 129444
Team: EAST **Case Officer:** Mr Simon Avery
Applicant: Orchard View Property Developments Ltd
Proposal: Convert two town houses into no.8 one bedroom flats/Re-submission-49-51 Romsey Road
Location: 49 Romsey Road Winchester Hampshire SO22 5DE
Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 Before the development hereby approved is first brought into use, a turning space shall be provided within the site to enable vehicles using the site to enter and leave in a forward gear. The turning space shall be retained and kept available for such purposes at all times.

02 Reason: In the interests of highway safety.

03 The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the development hereby permitted shall match those used in the existing building.

03 Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship between the new development and the existing.

04 Prior to the commencement of works large scale drawings of the railings including details of fixings shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

04 Reason: In the interests of the amenity of the area.

05 All new and replacement windows on the buildings hereby approved to be converted and extended shall be of timber unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority.

05 Reason: In the interests of the amenity of the area.

06 The windows in the east and west elevations of the rear extension to the converted houses hereby permitted shall be glazed in obscure glass and thereafter retained.

06 Reason: To protect the amenity and privacy of the adjoining residential properties.

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:
The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, E16, R2
Winchester District Local Plan Revised 2006: DP3, HE4, HE5, T2, T4, RT4

03. All building works including demolition, construction and machinery or plant operation should only be carried out between the hours of 0800 and 1800 hrs Monday to Friday and 0800 and 1300 hrs Saturday and at no time on Sundays or Bank Holidays. Where allegations of noise from such works are substantiated by the Environmental Health and Housing Department, a Notice limiting the hours of operation under The Control of Pollution Act 1974 may be served.

04. No materials should be burnt on site. Where allegations of statutory nuisance are substantiated by the Environmental Health and Housing Department, an Abatement Notice may be served under The Environmental Protection Act 1990. The applicant is reminded that the emission of dark smoke through the burning of materials is a direct offence under The Clean Air Act 1993

Winchester Town

Ward

St Michael

Conservation Area: Conservation Area: Winchester Conservation Area
Case No: 06/02423/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 22 July 2006
Grid Ref: 447555 128538
Team: EAST **Case Officer:** Lisa Booth
Applicant: Mr And Mrs D Honiquann
Proposal: Single storey side extensions with additional windows and dormers
Location: Stable Gate 65 Christchurch Road Winchester Hampshire SO23
9TE

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 The materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the extensions hereby permitted shall match those used in the existing building.

02 Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship between the new development and the existing.

03 The existing tree shown as being retained on the approved plan shall not be lopped, topped, felled or uprooted without the prior written approval of the Local Planning Authority. This tree shall be protected during building operations in accordance with Barrell Tree Consultancy Arboricultural Impact Appraisal and Method Statement Ref 6095-AIA-BD dated 16th August 2006 and in accordance with BS 5837.

NB: The Local Authority Arboricultural Officer, Michael Edwards shall be notified once the protective fencing has been erected on (01962) 848102.

03 Reason: To retain and protect the trees which form an important part of the amenity of the area and to ensure that the tree is adequately protected during the construction phase.

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:
The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3, E16
Development Plan- WDLP Review: DP3, HE5

Winchester Town

Ward

St John And All Saints

Conservation Area: Conservation Area: Winchester Conservation Area
Case No: 06/02447/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 26 July 2006
Grid Ref: 448725 129392
Team: EAST **Case Officer:** Mr Nick Fisher
Applicant: Mr And Mrs Cronan
Proposal: Erection of front porch (RESUBMISSION)
Location: Cathedral View 9A Magdalen Hill Winchester Hampshire SO23 0HJ
Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

02 No development shall take place until details and samples of the materials to be used in the construction of the external surfaces of the front porch hereby permitted (bricks, slates and front door) have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

02 Reason: To ensure a satisfactory visual relationship between the new development and the existing.

Informatives

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: E16, UB3
Winchester District Local Plan Proposals: HE14, DP3

Winchester Town **Ward** **St Michael**

Conservation Area: Conservation Area: Winchester Conservation Area
Case No: 06/02454/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 26 July 2006
Grid Ref: 448004 129502
Team: WEST **Case Officer:** Mr Michael Wright
Applicant: Urban Leisure (UK) Ltd And Ask Restaurants Ltd
Proposal: Alterations to form a ground floor and basement restaurant with ground, first and second floor office accommodation
Location: South Hants Weekly News 57 High Street Winchester Hampshire SO23 9BX
Decision: **Application Withdrawn**

Winchester Town **Ward** **St Michael**

Conservation Area: Conservation Area: Winchester Conservation Area
Case No: 06/02517/FUL
Ref No: W
Date Valid: 4 August 2006
Grid Ref: 447527 128629
Team: WEST **Case Officer:** Ms Elizabeth Stewart
Applicant: Mrs Barton
Proposal: Installation of solar panels on roof to front and side
Location: 82 Christchurch Road Winchester Hampshire SO23 9TE
Decision: **Application Permitted**

Conditions/Reasons

01 The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

01 Reason: To comply with the provisions of Section 91 (1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

Informatives

01. This permission is granted for the following reasons:

The development is in accordance with the Policies and Proposals of the Development Plan set out below, and other material considerations do not have sufficient weight to justify a refusal of the application. In accordance with Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, planning permission should therefore be granted.

02. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Hampshire County Structure Plan Review: UB3

Winchester District Local Plan Review: DP3; HE.4; HE.5

Winchester Town

Ward

St Michael

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02523/TPO

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 4 August 2006

Grid Ref: 446734 129239

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mr Michael Edwards

Applicant: Mr Hitchin

Proposal: Removal of 1 no low hanging branch in rear garden

Location: Allos 15 Kerrfield Winchester Hampshire SO22 5EX

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Winchester Town

Ward

St Michael

Conservation Area: Conservation Area: Winchester Conservation Area

Case No: 06/02543/TPC

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 8 August 2006

Grid Ref: 448275 129213

Team: WEST

Case Officer: Mr Michael Edwards

Applicant: Carlton Bath

Proposal: Removal of one limb of 1 no lime tree

Location: 1 The Close Winchester Hampshire SO23 9LS

Decision: **That no objection be raised**

Winchester Town

Ward

St Michael

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02566/TPO

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 8 August 2006

Grid Ref: 446862 129260

Team: EAST

Case Officer: Mr Michael Edwards

Applicant: Mr Richard Mawson

Proposal: Crown lift 1 no acer tree, 1 no holly tree and yew shrubs; crown lift acer trees to 5 metres and thin by 5%; reduce height of yew hedge (not subject to TPO)

Location: 31 Queens Road Winchester Hampshire SO22 4PH

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Wonston

Ward

Wonston And Micheldever

Conservation Area:

Case No: 06/02632/APN

Ref No: W

Date Valid: 16 August 2006

Grid Ref: 447741 142742

Team: EAST

Case Officer:

Applicant: Whitewater Potatoes Ltd

Proposal: Steel portal fram barn to be used for agricultural storage

Location: Upper Norton Farm Norton Lane Sutton Scotney Hampshire

Decision: **Application Permitted**

Informatives

01. The applicant should be aware that the building can only be used for the storage of Agricultural Purposes (the storage of equipment and feed) only.
