

WINCHESTER CITY COUNCIL

PLANNING COMMITTEE: DEVELOPMENT CONTROL MEETING

**RESOLUTIONS**

**23.09.2009**

PART II DEVELOPMENT CONTROL APPLICATIONS  
AND DECISIONS THEREON

**Item**                      **Upham**                                      **Ward**                      **Owslebury And Curdridge**

**1A Conservation**

**Area:**

**Case No:**                      08/01284/FUL

**Ref No:**                      W00387/31

**Date Valid:**                      22 September 2008

**Grid Ref:**                      452455 119261

**Team:**                      WEST                      **Case Officer:** Mr Neil Mackintosh

**Applicant:**                      Wickham Laboratories

**Proposal:**                      Erection of office and laboratory facilities with associated car parking and landscaping

**Location:**                      Torbay Farm Sciviers Lane Lower Upham Southampton Hampshire SO32 1HB

**Officer**                      REF

**Recommendation:**

**Committee Decision:**

REFUSED SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITION(S):

**Conditions/Reasons**

1 The scale, mass and design of the proposed building, and the lighting of the premises, would fail to respond positively to the character, appearance and variety of the countryside, and the proposed South Downs National Park, and would be detrimental to the amenities of neighbouring residential properties in Sciviers Lane. The development is therefore contrary to Policies CE.5, CE.18 and DP.3 (ii)(vii) of the Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006, Policies C2 and C4 of the South East Plan 2009 and the Upham Village Design Statement.

**Informatives**

1. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

South East Plan: CC4,RE3,C2,C4,BE1,BE5,NRM4,NRM5,T4,T5

Winchester District Local Plan Review: CE18,CE5,CE11,DP3,DP4,T1,T4

**Item**                      **Upham**                                      **Ward**                      **Owslebury And Curdridge**

**1B Conservation**

**Area:**

**Case No:**                      08/02890/FUL

**Ref No:**                      W00387/32

**Date Valid:**                      19 December 2008

**Grid Ref:**                      452455 119261

**Team:**                      WEST                      **Case Officer:** Mr Neil Mackintosh

**Applicant:**                      Wickham Laboratories

**Proposal:**                      Erection of security fence

**Location:**                      Torbay Farm Sciviers Lane Lower Upham Southampton  
Hampshire SO32 1HB

**Officer**                      REF

**Recommendation:**

**Committee Decision:**

REFUSED SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITION(S):

**Conditions/Reasons**

1 The security fence, by reason of its size, siting and design, would fail to respond positively to the character, appearance and variety of the countryside and the proposed South Downs National Park. The development is therefore contrary to Policies CE.5 and DP.3 (ii) of the Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006, Policies C2 and C4 of the South East Plan 2009 and the Upham Village Design Statement.

**Informatives**

1. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-  
South East Plan 2009: C2, C4  
Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006: CE5, CE11, DP3, DP4

**Item**                      **Compton And Shawford**                      **Ward**                      **Compton And Otterbourne**

**2**                      **Conservation**

**Area:**

**Case No:**                      09/01177/FUL

**Ref No:**                      W10198/04

**Date Valid:**                      17 June 2009

**Grid Ref:**                      446554 124507

**Team:**                      WEST                      **Case Officer:** Mr Ian Cousins

**Applicant:**                      Mr Taylor

**Proposal:**                      Erection of a two storey side extension, first floor side and rear extension and front porch

**Location:**                      Sherbrooke Otterbourne Road Compton Winchester  
Hampshire SO21 2RT

**Officer**                      REF

**Recommendation:**

**Committee Decision:**

REFUSED SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):

**Conditions/Reasons**

1 The proposed development is contrary to policy DP3 of the Winchester District Local Plan Review, in that:-

i) The proposed development would result in an excessive building bulk adjacent to an existing residential property, detrimental to the visual outlook and amenities of the occupier of such property and would result in loss of light to the neighbouring property.

**Informatives**

01. The Local Planning Authority has taken account of the following development plan policies and proposals:-

Winchester District Local Plan Review 2006: CC6  
South East Plan 2009: DP3

---