

Question 7e. Are there any other ways in which Key Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00003	Bishops Sutton Parish Council	00003/00001/001	
<p>Regarding Alresford: Concern regarding development of Area 1: Substantial improvements in infrastructure required in advance. Rural lanes already over-trafficked. Dentist in Alresford is full. Doctors surgery in Ropley is closing which will increase pressure on Alresford surgery. Old Park Wood: Support application for live/ work units on industrial site in Old Park Road. It is a Brownfield site, traffic would be less than for an industrial estate and is well sited in relation to the Alresford By Pass. Benefits for the economy and environment.</p>			
00004	Bishops Waltham Parish Council	00004/00001/016	
<p>Need to meet existing infrastructure needs, provide further local employment, and reduce carbon footprint.</p>			
00007	Cheriton Parish Council	00007/00001/018	
<p>For Alresford to become a Key Hub and support the surrounding rural area, adequate parking for cars must be included as Alresford does not have this facility at present.</p>			
00010	Corhampton And Meonstoke Parish Council	00010/00001/017	
<p>Does Winchester City Council maintain a dialogue with East Hants District Council to discuss and develop benefits of the Hub Strategy beyond their mutual border</p>			
00013	Denmead Parish Council	00013/00001/025	
<p>Other hub parishes will make their observations.</p>			
00016	Hambledon Parish Council	00016/00001/020	
<p>Whiteley require completion of Whiteley Way and an additional Primary School which would be facilitated by further development of North Whiteley. It is located close to the M27.</p>			

Question 7e. Are there any other ways in which Key Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00025	New Alresford Town Council	00025/00001/020	
<p>Generally: With proper assessment of Brownfield sites and home work/ starter units, new plant, most Hubs could achieve Option 3, as long as windfall sites are not allowed, unless they are taken from the housing number total. New Alresford: Limit housing to 300, provided they are phased between 2011-2026 and infrastructure and services are in place. No windfall developments should be permitted during the first ten years of the plan. This number of houses could be accommodated on brown field sites, provided the commercial viability of the town is improved. Provision of a southern entry point to the A31 bypass, a new business park there would attract new employers to the town; increasing the variety of employment on offer. Road from Cheriton brings huge lorries into the town. Provision of a link to and from the A31 required. Heavy lorry traffic from the Watercress company necessitates a feeder bypass to the site. Ban heavy lorries from part of the town. Further public car park should be built. Ring fence the NATC sites for development until 2011-2026 and identify no-go areas for development, having regard to sustainability, conservation and town's shortage of open space. Purchase open space.</p>			
00028	Olivers Battery Parish Council	00028/00001/004	
<p>WCC and HCC should lead in de-centralising public sector activities from the City of Winchester. Appropriate activities and employment should be dispersed to the market towns and PUSH. This would support hubs and provide some employment for the additional housing in these areas.</p>			
00030	Owslebury Parish Council	00030/00001/002	
<p>Infrastructure should be in place before planning permission granted.</p>			
00042	Wickham Parish Council	00042/00001/002	
<p>Wickham the preferred location for growth is to the north of the village and there is perceived growth for 3 and 4 bed dwellings to address a current deficit, the village wishes to maintain its separate identity from Fareham SDA. Requests that the parish council is represented during the master planning process of the SDA to ensure that the settlements of Wickham and Knowle are not compromised.</p>			

Question 7e. Are there any other ways in which Key Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00089	Hampshire County Council	00089/00001/015	
HCC supports Option 3 for all hubs as this is more likely to enable a wider range of services to be accessible by more people.			
00112	Alresford Chamber Of Commerce	00112/00001/002	
Alresford: A large increase in housing would lead to larger businesses such as national retailers moving to the area to serve the increased population. This would adversely affect the small independent businesses that give Alresford its unique character. In terms of employment, the ACC would like to see an enhancement of light industry in the area, in line with Alresford's past. If light business is moved from locations like The Dean and Prospect Road, it should be revamped and refreshed to ensure its survival. Additional population would put further strain on car parking. More space for parking could be created which is of importance to businesses in Alresford where the majority of shoppers travel by car. Increased housing must not jeopardise the tourism industry in the town. Careful management of development on brownfield sites within the boundaries of New Alresford will ensure the town's continuing prosperity.			
00113	Alresford Society	00113/00001/014	
I cannot make a sensible choice for Alresford because of the lack of information on: the (a) urban capacity of Alresford and (b) the remaining capacity of the infrastrucutre already seen to be significantly at risk in some areas. As the only local town appearing regularly in lists of desirable market towns, Alresford housing is more subject to wider competition to buy, with its affect on prices. I also think that there is a need to significantly revise the statement on Alresford on p42. Yes, it is a key hub but this is also for areas outside WCC District. Yes, it still remains some of its historic character as a market town and this is important to a key economic county due to the level of tourism. It is however no longer sustainable given the high property prices. It has a substantial community population, "Out" to earn salaries necessary to live there and "In" to work in its economy which is to a great degree paying modest wages. In any expansion it would be sensible to consider whether that will continue.			
00121	Mr A Foster	00121/00001/034	
Detailed study of how these can become as self contained as possible and provide the opportunities to live and work locally.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 7e. Are there any other ways in which Key Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00127	Mr P Turner	00127/00001/029	
For Whiteley, substantial growth, considerably more than 300 dwellings.			
00134	Mr Peter Franckeiss	00134/00001/017	
Sustainable transport, provision for communities to grow some of the one food, place of work and residence close together.			
00148	Mr Mrs Jenny And Machen Barker	00148/00001/019	
Leave the key hubs to deal with natural development not enforced expansion by government.			
00280	Mrs Cheryl Berry	00280/00002/018	
Whiteley needs the completion of their main road, Whiteley way, and an additional primary school.			
00320	Ms R Wetherill	00320/00002/021	
Comparing the historic market towns of Alresford, Bishops Waltham and Wickham together with Whiteley is a joke. Significant development of any of these 3 will do demonstrable harm.			
00381	Mr Paul Byers	00381/00001/021	
See previous			
00383	Mrs S Pitters	00383/00002/005	
Bishops Waltham and Wickham are unsuitable for further residential development. They are small historic communities with many listed buildings. Are they to be demolished They have unique water courses and therefore flora and fauna. Channel them away and concrete them over			
00395	Mr P Wells	00395/00002/015	
Alresford: Relocate the light industrial companies in the plan, prospect road and new ram road to a purpose built site next to the A31 on the Alresford bypass. Redevelop the exciting areas for housing and local amenities. Perins school could be relocated to East of here thus freeing up a prime site for housing etc.			

Question 7e. Are there any other ways in which Key Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00415	Ms Laura Clarke	00415/00002/018	
The employment opportunities would need to reflect the position of those living in the housing stock on offer if commuting was to be reduced.			
00424	Mrs M Westlake	00424/00003/010	
I think the areas covered in Key Hubs and Local Hubs are too diverse for one individual to comment on all the areas.			
01083	Ms Elizabeth Robinson	01083/00002/014	
Note should be taken of the 10,000 houses to be built between the M27 and Wickham and the 6000 around Hedge End. These are on the doorsteps of Bishops Waltham and Wickham. Building in the rest of this area should be minimal otherwise quality of life will be lost forever. We owe this to our grandchildren. Could you look yours in the eye and tell them your plan is for their good...			
01168	Mr L Malley	01168/00001/014	
Denmead should be re-categorised as a key hub.			
01234	Mr And Mrs Patrick And Margaret Spence	01234/00002/015	
I agree with limited growth in Wickham- up to 150 dwellings. I do not know the situation with the other places mentioned.			
01243	Ms Serita Campbell	01243/00002/023	
Development should be minimal and only in towns or cities. People should retain the choice of either living in a small village or a town/city. Those who have chosen to move to small villages wish to away from the busy, stressful concrete jungles. If they wished to walk/cycle to work they should live in a town.			

Question 7e. Are there any other ways in which Key Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01265	Ms N A Holladay	01265/00002/030	
<p>Points made earlier apply. Also include west of Waterlooville. Population concentration should be focussed where it is now and not destroy places that it currently isn't. In addition, it should be stated that the Council should register strong objection to the overall increase in housing in the District proposed by the Regional Assembly. This questionnaire assumes that residents of the District have no alternative but to accept the situation as presented: it would be highly desirable to know whether the Council has resisted the requirement. Local opinion could be canvassed to support their position: why was this question not also included in this consultation, which I would have thought was fundamental to assessing the value of the responses obtained.</p>			
01269	Mrs Haley Russell	01269/00002/026	
<p>I believe there is support for Whiteley to have access to a secondary school, better public transport, a better range of shops and a proper town centre. Whiteley could expand to achieve the provision of all these facilities.</p>			
01283	Mr And Mrs Roy And Jill Phillips	01283/00002/013	
<p>All of these areas need to develop their infrastructure to cope with any increase in housing.</p>			
01297	Ms Ann Sadler Forster	01297/00002/014	
<p>Wickham needs to keep a strategic gap from Fareham and the proposed North Fareham development plan of Knowle. If Wickham is to get in any of its present sense of a rural community.</p>			
01316	Mr And Mrs Paul And Valerie Shuttleworth	01316/00002/019	
<p>Housing available for local workers.</p>			
01412	Ms Camilla Meek	01412/00001/033	
<p>If you insist on making Wickham a Key Hub, something will have to be done about public transport in the area - it is currently atrocious.</p>			
01426	Mr And Mrs Catling	01426/00003/014	
<p>If Whitley wishes for option 3 step change, then so be it.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 7e. Are there any other ways in which Key Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01430	Mr John F T Harris	01430/00002/011	
This allows for normal expansion as local hub.			
01730	Mr And Mrs Graham Knight	01730/00002/019	
Knowle could develop into a village on its own.			
01923	Captain John Ellis	01923/00001/020	
Housing needs to meet job/employment availability - if no work in hub - then no need for further housing (which will only increase current infrastructure problems and commuting overload)			
01931	Mr Micheal Cunliffe	01931/00002/019	
1. Infrastructure development is very important 2. Clear parking policies - these hubs serve a large surrounding area where cars are the only viable transport option. If facilities in hubs are to be maintained and improved, people must be able to park easily.			
01932	Mrs Joy Fox	01932/00001/015	
Need to develop Brownfield site and use empty houses. Some scattered development is preferable to massive development.			
01933	Mr David Parratt	01933/00001/013	
Infill sites only.			
01934	Mr Paul Dix	01934/00001/015	
Positioning of new housing should encourage residents to walk to local amenities e.g. if some housing were to go east of sun lane, Alresford it should be to the north so that people could easily walk into town, catch buses, walk children to school, visit surgery, supermarkets and shops. Needs of elderly on such developments should be in mind - safe, level access on foot to encourage independence.			
01935	Mrs June Chilton	01935/00001/012	
Change local hubs with key hubs.			

