

Question 22c. Are there any suitable alternative approaches that could be developed to shape settlement patterns within the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00004	Bishops Waltham Parish Council	00004/00001/044	
There should be a local gap between Bishops Waltham and Durley.			
00010	Corhampton And Meonstoke Parish Council	00010/00001/051	
Enhancing the quality of life should be a key issue with the retention of the rural character of the villages and the reduction of intrusive noise and light pollution.			
00013	Denmead Parish Council	00013/00001/050	
The Denmead gap should be a strategic gap to prevent the coalescence of the existing Denmead community with Waterlooville.			
00015	Durley Parish Council	00015/00001/011	
Protect good quality farmland to create high quality environment.			
00015	Durley Parish Council	00015/00002/053	
Protect good quality farmland to create high quality environment.			
00025	New Alresford Town Council	00025/00001/055	
Some small settlements with large areas of green space around them could consider extending their boundaries. Parish Councils could propose housing of the same character to neighbouring properties at the end of a settlement or a second property on a large site, or an exception site with 25% commercial properties at a boundary point.			
00036	Swanmore Parish Council	00036/00001/047	
Retention of local gaps essential especially adjacent to PUSH area.			
00043	Wonston Parish Council	00043/00001/053	
We believe there is an opportunity here to review the existing gaps to establish whether or not their integrity should be preserved in view of the way the District has changed since they were established. At the same time, there is a parallel opportunity to examine the requirement for new gaps to be created that are more appropriate to the current footprint.			

Question 22c. Are there any suitable alternative approaches that could be developed to shape settlement patterns within the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00089	Hampshire County Council	00089/00001/042	
The emphasis on place making is supported.			
00127	Mr P Turner	00127/00001/056	
Take notice of guidance in Town/ Village/ Neighbourhood Design Statements. And a final comment: Historic Winchester settlement pattern and design 'Strategy for Growth, Conservation and change' At the Winchester Guidhall workshop 17.01.08 it was stated that 'Conservation is a given of environmental quality.' A Sustainability Appraisal by consultants is awaited that may clarify this point. What criteria have been used for the Historic City There was also discussion that revealed that 'Stakeholders favour traditional building design'. Why not have some design criteria for 21st century design Traditional is not always in conflict with eco homes. Define 'traditional.'			
00156	Mr Philip Ross	00156/00002/048	
No more green-field sites should be destroyed in pursuit of the government's plan to ruin the South of England regardless of perks offered to councils.			
00289	Ms K Hill	00289/00002/020	
Adding a few houses all round the area which would not alter the pattern much.			
00840	Mr Paul Reeves	00840/00002/051	
Re- introduction of small hamlets in the rural area would lessen the load of building elsewhere.			
01008	Mr And Mrs Anthony And Helen Drury	01008/00003/037	
If you want to maintain local identity then each settlement requires to be individual and that means retaining existing gaps.			
01083	Ms Elizabeth Robinson	01083/00002/041	
Some portions of the gaps could be sacrificed to stem the horrific tide of back yard building, which is destroying existing communities.			

Question 22c. Are there any suitable alternative approaches that could be developed to shape settlement patterns within the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01151	Mr C H Dore	01151/00002/044	
<p>Wickham: Perhaps, rather than allocating land on the south side of Wickham, allocate land on the east side of the A32 to add a small amount of residential accommodation near/around the parish church so that the church become more in the village than on the edge. Allocate land adjacent to the church to create a community facilities area. The A32 road junction would need to be widened.</p>			
01265	Ms N A Holladay	01265/00002/058	
<p>By changing to the alternative spatial strategy outlined earlier and abandoning the one presented in the LDF.</p>			
01430	Mr John F T Harris	01430/00002/033	
<p>Form a larger settlement by joining Knowle to the 10,000 new houses to be built North of M27. A rail link is there and there is plenty of space for businesses, shops etc.</p>			
01501	Revd David Simpson	01501/00005/046	
<p>The use of existing natural boundaries such as lines of trees and hedgerows rather than arbitrary ones that suit the financial interests of developers rather than local residents.</p>			
01929	Mr Anthony Marshall	01929/00001/052	
<p>Green lungs/recreational space is as important as carbon footprint.</p>			
01931	Mr Micheal Cunliffe	01931/00002/054	
<p>Policy needs flexibility to respond to local needs and wider issues.</p>			
01948	Mr Neil Lander-Brinkley	01948/00001/033	
<p>Denmead group should be a strategic gap to prevent the coalescence of the existing.</p>			
01949	Mr John Cornwell	01949/00001/054	
<p>Sustainability principles mean that local gaps and even strategic gaps should not be regarded as sacrosanct, but should be periodically critically examined in the light of prevailing development needs.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 22c. Are there any suitable alternative approaches that could be developed to shape settlement patterns within the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01960	Mr Ian Merritt	01960/00001/056	
Existing rural areas should be protected and enhanced to promote local farm production.			
01961	Mr Daniel Wilden	01961/00001/060	
Strategic/local gaps have no impact above normal countryside restraint policies so should not be used.			
01962	Mr John Harrison	01962/00001/046	
An absolute ban on any development within a gap area.			
01964	Mr John Beveridge	01964/00001/057	
There may be reasons for the reviewing the extent of some of the gaps if there are strong reasons for making changes. The value or extent of them may be questioned when examined.			
01965	Mrs Joyce Simmons	01965/00001/046	
Let communities keep their identity- do not create urban sprawl.			
01968	Mr Barry Collins	01968/00001/031	
Enhancing the quality of life should be a key issue with the retention of the rural character of villages, the reduction of intrusive noise pollution.			
01973	Mr Ewan Simmonds	01973/00001/062	
None that spring immediately to mind.			
01984	Mr Peter Gardner	01984/00001/051	
Stop building There has been too much building already			
01986	Reverend Mike Gardner	01986/00001/045	
Gap fill main roads/ bypasses/ motorways/ railways the new 'natural' boundaries.			
01994	Miss J Nicholson	01994/00001/058	
Avoid large conglomerations and a rough area for bees. No supermarkets.			

Question 22c. Are there any suitable alternative approaches that could be developed to shape settlement patterns within the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02003	Mr Ptol Slattery	02003/00001/065	
"Green gaps" are essential to preserve local character and minimise climate change and damage to tourist income. Avoid flood risk areas, which E.A. say should not be insured.			
02008	Mr Caesar Slattery	02008/00001/065	
Avoid flood risk areas development - increases flood risk nearby, and environment agency recommended in 2007 that flood risk development should not be insured.			
02009	Mr Ian Embrey	02009/00001/027	
Avoid Flood Risk area development which increases flood risk nearby.			
02010	Mrs Sylvia Moss	02010/00001/025	
Avoid areas that pose a threat to development E.G. increase flood risk nearby.			
02014	Mrs Carole Embrey	02014/00001/020	
Avoid flood risk areas.			
02023	Mr Micheal Sadler-Forster	02023/00001/044	
Wickham is identifying a ZONAL approach to village development, based on southern approaches to be protected with limited housing in a northern zone. This just identifies the need for avoiding "blanket" approaches and to be site sensitive.			
02038	Mr Philip Mason	02038/00001/052	
Review Key town/ areas that need to maintain existing 'status quo' to ensure future sustainability of the most attractive parts of the county.			
02096	White Young Green Planning	02096/00001/031	
The greater use of settlement policy boundaries.			
02099	Mrs D M Hare	02099/00001/034	White Young Gre
The greater use of settlement policy boundaries.			
02100	Burton Property	02100/00001/034	White Young Gre
The greater use of settlement policy boundaries.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 22c. Are there any suitable alternative approaches that could be developed to shape settlement patterns within the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02193	Mrs Dagmar Slater	02193/00001/065	
<p>To limit major developments to already large urban areas and permit only very limited development in local or key hubs. To refurbish derelict sites and use these to fulfil the housing requirements. Both would limit carbon emissions by encouraging businesses to invest where there is already a labour force and by making the provision of services and public transport easier and more cost effective.</p>			
02196	Weatherstone Properties Ltd	02196/00002/007	Turley Associates
<p>Need to review gap policy to ensure it accords with PPG17.</p>			
02229	Gleeson Homes	02229/00001/060	Turley Associates
<p>The distribution of strategic development requirements and subsequent review of settlement boundaries will be considered through the normal LDF process. Once settlement boundaries have been adopted, everything beyond them would normally fall under countryside restraint policies. As a result, there appears little merit in creating an additional policy constraint that essentially re-iterates normal countryside restraint policies.</p>			
02272	Mr Andy Blaxland	02272/00001/032	
<p>Gaps will inevitably have to be reviewed if planned growth is to be accommodated. The focus should be on protecting the intrinsic character of different settlements (where this exists), including key vistas etc.</p>			
02274	Mr Peter McManus	02274/00001/055	
<p>Avoid Flood Risk areas development- increases Flood Risk nearby, and Environment Agency recommended in 2007 that Flood Risk development should NOT be insured.</p>			
02377	Mr Colin Penfold	02377/00001/049	
<p>Define a clear green belt which limits the southern sprawl. This might be for example 1 mile to the north of the M27. No new major developments outside of this area.</p>			
02408	Mrs Sarah Bignold-Jordan	02408/00001/048	
<p>Maintain Winchester City within its existing boundaries.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 22c. Are there any suitable alternative approaches that could be developed to shape settlement patterns within the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02414	Mr David Simmons	02414/00001/059	
<p>Allow settlements to grow organically without too much "shaping". The current "shaping" at junction 7 M27 has Courts, Furnitureland, Halfords old site, Powerhouse all lying empty for many months, a step by step cautious approach is far better to meet needs incrementally.</p>			
02417	Mr Dennis Curling	02417/00001/048	
<p>Some limited amendment of local gaps will be inevitable if some infill in areas adjacent to the current boundaries are to be used for future development. However this will need to be carefully evaluated and without wholesale concreting over local gaps in each respective area.</p>			
02422	Mr Mike Robertson	02422/00001/047	
<p>Priority must be on using brown field sites and sensitive infilling. Any expansion must be limited within planned boundaries and it is critically important that already identified gaps must be maintained. The Step Change proposal will result in building on identified gaps and is therefore unacceptable. The step change proposal, for example, would turn Winchester and Kings Worthy into a single conurbation. I fail to see how this fact can be reconciled against the strategic objectives of the LDF.</p>			
02428	Mrs Christine Gardner	02428/00001/034	
<p>Do not build on any green fields or back gardens or near Winchester city. Back gardens should be classified as green, not brown, and should not be built on or developed. In particular, do not build on Barton Farm.</p>			
02430	Mr Roger Challis	02430/00001/050	
<p>Stop trying to erode Greenfield sites by devious means.</p>			
02437	Mr Geoffrey Stephens	02437/00001/044	
<p>New settlements on redundant farmland.</p>			
02449	Mr Andrew King	02449/00001/050	
<p>Option 3 would depend on what the alternative approach is.</p>			

Question 22c. Are there any suitable alternative approaches that could be developed to shape settlement patterns within the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02454	Mr David Phillips	02454/00001/057	
As you say above Gaps are important and are there for a purpose. The problem is that they do appear to offer an easy solution to 'unrestricted' development. Every time a problem arises planners always look to Gaps as a desired solution. Gaps should only be considered when all else has failed.			
02469	Mr A Digby	02469/00001/050	
We must avoid the amalgamation of settlement areas. Portsmouth and Southampton are already heading for one massive urban area. Let's not follow suit.			
02480	Mr Michael Westwell	02480/00001/054	
No, any change to existing gaps is unlikely to result in an improvement in the local environment.			
02500	Mrs Heather Smith	02500/00001/049	
We need to ensure that all green belt sites are maintained.			
02502	Mr Geoffrey Johnson	02502/00001/042	
It is vital that these gaps are kept.			
02504	Mr Peter Stevens	02504/00001/061	
Develop new towns such as Micheldever.			
02516	Mr Matthew Barker	02516/00001/059	
No- maintain green belts as are - they are few enough as is.			
02557	Mr Geoff Olden	02557/00001/050	
Keep any development near to the towns and cities. Micheldever Station, a whole new concentrated development, is a far better idea than a tapestry of housing estates springing up around our lovely villages.			
02562	Mr Peter Burke	02562/00001/040	
It should be accepted that the policy adopted to date has not always been successful. Where it has failed, the gaps might as well be filled in, in return broadening and strengthening others.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 22c. Are there any suitable alternative approaches that could be developed to shape settlement patterns within the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02566	Mrs Marilyn Curtis	02566/00001/052	
We have quite enough now and the town centre is too small to have any more large developments. The traffic at Elm Close is horrendous on morning and evening commutes and there will be a serious accident there (unfortunately, no one has considered the swans!!)			
02596	Mrs Sarah Mills	02596/00001/045	
We need to keep the character and identify of the various towns otherwise we are in danger of becoming one very large area of housing.			
02626	Mrs Sandra Harris	02626/00001/046	
Knowle has recently been developed and this area could easily be extended. There would also be ample space to provide small business units.			
02665	Mr Martin Burton	02665/00001/041	
Maintaining settlement gaps is essential in protecting the integrity of individual communities and avoidance of ribbon development. These communities have individual character which must be protected.			
02666	Mr Peter Richardson	02666/00001/048	
Whichever approach is taken, it is vital that each settlement is provided with the environment so that it can exist as a community - with the appropriate facilities and opportunities.			
02679	Mr David Renshaw	02679/00001/046	
The existing gaps should be reviewed and other areas protected. The Barton Farm area should be recognised as a key gap that should not be developed.			
02685	Mr Malcolm Thornton	02685/00001/033	
Yes. Uphold stringent immigration reduction, then you wont need so many new houses to spoil our countryside.			
02698	Mrs Rosemary Stephens	02698/00001/039	
New towns/communities on redundant farm land.			
02728	Mr Derek Hickman	02728/00001/053	
The principle of gaps is essential and should be maintained wherever possible. It provides the character of the area.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 22c. Are there any suitable alternative approaches that could be developed to shape settlement patterns within the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02740	North Hedge End Consortium	02740/00001/029	Terence O Rourk
<p>The relationship of Hedge End and the SDA is important and brings forward many cross boundary issues. In the context of the expanded settlement to be created it is important tha any gaps are reconsidered to ensure delivery of the most appropriate and sustainable development possible.</p>			
02753	Mrs Lorrie Smith	02753/00001/050	
<p>Again, a question that needs careful factual consideration, and I don't have the time to deal with this matter as fully as I wish, so sorry.</p>			
02764	Mr Graham Mulholland	02764/00001/050	
<p>Alternative approaches must be sorted as PUSH is promoting solutions which are only going to destroy the south of England.</p>			
02847	Mr Stuart Lindsay	02847/00001/042	
<p>Don't allow them to merge and keep distinct gaps between each to maintain individual identity.</p>			
02869	Mrs Olive Toleman	02869/00002/005	
<p>Need to retain/create protected gaps BW - Durley and Hedge End - Durley - Horton Heath.</p>			
02919	Mrs Catherine Pollitt	02919/00001/041	
<p>Be as flexible as needed. Some gaps may become neglected. They need to be actively managed and be a positive influence on the local environment, or it may be that a gap is better treated as brown field and would be more appropriately built on.</p>			
02924	Mrs Helen Field	02924/00001/047	
<p>Build where places are needed and not everywhere. Keep the green belt areas.</p>			
02932	Mr Jonathan Simmons	02932/00001/064	
<p>If anything, strategic gaps should be made wider. The ultimate example is the 180m gap between Durley Village and the proposed Hedge End SDA. This would be a joke if it wasn't so upsetting.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 22c. Are there any suitable alternative approaches that could be developed to shape settlement patterns within the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02939	Mr Lucian Warwick-Haller	02939/00001/048	
Strategic and local gaps should be maintained as far as possible. A glance at old maps shows how many greenbanks have been eroded over the years.			
02995	Mrs Sophie Bartrum	02995/00001/009	
Need to retain/create protected gaps BW - Durley and Hedge End - Durley - Horton Heath			
02996	Mr John Ridgett	02996/00001/004	
Need to retain/create protected gaps BW - Durley and Hedge End - Durley - Horton Heath.			
03004	Mr Martin Livermore	03004/00001/007	
Need to retain/create protected gaps BW - Durley and Hedge End - Durley - Horton Heath			
03042	Mrs Janet Nobes	03042/00001/043	
Do not build the Denmead Gap. Closewood Road and Soake Road should not be built on. It is very wet land, and the B2150 cannot cope with traffic coming out of the two roads.			
03105	Save Barton Farm Group	03105/00001/056	
Avoid Flood Risk areas development- increases Flood Risk nearby, and Environment Agency recommended in 2007 that Flood Risk development should not be insured.			
03136	The Dever Society	03136/00001/029	
We believe very strongly that the whole issue of strategic and local gaps needs revisiting. It is crucial that new gaps are identified to safeguard areas that currently act as important green buffers, but which are at risk from development. Eagle Star's efforts to gain approval for a large new settlement at Micheldever is a classic example. The area between Basingstoke and Winchester has always been viewed as an important green buffer by county and local authorities, but without statutory protection, it will always be at risk. WCC supported its inclusion as a strategic gap during the SE Plan process, and we urge it to designate the area formally within the LDF.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 22c. Are there any suitable alternative approaches that could be developed to shape settlement patterns within the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
03202	Mr M Charrett	03202/00001/033	
The City Council, not so long ago, had the wise policy of making Bushfield Camp a country park- a vital green lung between Compton and Winchester. What happened to these good intentions			
03223	Mr David Sansom	03223/00001/054	
Re-introduction of small hamlets would lessen the load of building elsewhere.			

Q22c

The following list made this comment:

Re-introduction of small hamlets in the rural area would lessen the load of building elsewhere.

Each response has been counted as an individual comment.

Customer Reference	Customer Name	Comment Reference
02296	Mr Cox	02296/00001/054
02297	Ms Gill Strickland	02297/00001/070
02298	Mrs J Block	02298/00001/054
02299	Mr And Mrs R Jones	02299/00001/072
02300	Mr R Yover	02300/00001/054
02301	Ms Gill Sprake	02301/00001/054
02302	Mr Kevin Chevis	02302/00001/054
02303	Mr F Bradley	02303/00001/054
02304	Mr And Mrs B Girling	02304/00001/054
02305	Mr M Clark	02305/00001/055
02306	Dr Milford	02306/00001/054
02307	Mr And Mrs Roger And Linda Wiltshire	02307/00001/054
02308	Mr D R Cox	02308/00001/054
02309	Mr Chris Tickner	02309/00001/055
02310	Mr Henry Vaughn	02310/00001/054
02311	Ms Katy Jones	02311/00001/055
02312	Mr C Deane	02312/00001/054
02313	Mr And Mrs Mills	02313/00001/057
02314	Mr And Mrs P And V Vinnall	02314/00001/054
02315	Mr A Howlett	02315/00001/059
02316	Mr H Gobl	02316/00001/054
02317	Mr I Davidson	02317/00001/057
02318	Mr J Proctor	02318/00001/054
02319	Mr N Marsh	02319/00001/057
02320	Mr K R Williams	02320/00001/057
02321	Mr C M Schofield	02321/00001/053

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Customer Reference	Customer Name	Comment Reference
02322	Mr B M Wright	02322/00001/057
02323	Mr K Machan	02323/00001/054
02324	Mr J Tee	02324/00001/057
02325	Mr And Mrs Bailey	02325/00001/054
02326	Mr P Mundy	02326/00001/058
02327	Mr S Eggleton	02327/00001/054
02328	Mr P J Selby	02328/00001/057
02329	Mr T J Madgwick	02329/00001/053
02330	Mr And Mrs Pettit	02330/00001/054
02331	Mr M M Gutter	02331/00001/048
02332	Mr P Hardy	02332/00001/056
02333	Mr J M Lane	02333/00001/055
02334	Ms Lisa Smith	02334/00001/054
02335	Ms Marilyn Farrow	02335/00001/055
02335	Ms Marilyn Farrow	02335/00001/056
02336	Ms Liz Crane	02336/00001/054
02337	Mr Brian Carpenter	02337/00001/054
02338	Mr And Mrs Bicknell	02338/00001/054
02339	Mr And Mrs Sebastian	02339/00001/055
02340	Mr R Sadler	02340/00001/049
02341	Mr E Sykes	02341/00001/054
02342	Mr Martin Smith	02342/00001/065
02343	Ms Michelle Josephs	02343/00001/054
02344	Mr E Pulsford	02344/00001/057
02345	Mr And Mrs Coombs	02345/00001/054
02346	Mr D Studley	02346/00001/054
02347	Ms Mandy Farmer	02347/00001/059
02348	Mr M Megson	02348/00001/054
02349	Mr E Tongs	02349/00001/054
02350	Mr And Mrs Payne	02350/00001/053
02351	Mr S Oliver	02351/00001/054
02352	Mr Danny Marshall	02352/00001/054

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Customer Reference	Customer Name	Comment Reference
02353	Mr D Logan	02353/00001/054
02354	Mr C M Buckler	02354/00001/054
02355	Mr And Mrs Madgwick	02355/00001/054
02356	Mr Mary Hampton	02356/00001/054
02357	Mr Dave Arbon	02357/00001/055
02358	Ms Julie Plant	02358/00001/054
02359	Mr M Barrick	02359/00001/054
02360	Mr And Mrs Pardoe	02360/00001/052
02361	Mr S Ralph	02361/00001/054
02363	Mr Graham Hayes	02363/00001/049
02364	Mr And Mrs J Hooper	02364/00001/054
02366	Mr C W Kettell	02366/00001/054
02367	Mr Onslow	02367/00001/054
02368	Mr And Mrs Buckle	02368/00001/054
03106	Mrs D Churcher	03106/00001/054
03107	Mr And Mrs P Gray	03107/00001/054
03109	Miss J Shiels	03109/00001/054
03110	Mrs Anna Lloyd	03110/00001/054
03111	Mr C B Moore	03111/00001/054
03112	Miss L M Higgins	03112/00001/054
03113	Mr And Mrs B Glenister	03113/00001/054
03114	Miss S L Johnson	03114/00001/054
03115	Mrs C M Allibon	03115/00001/054
03116	Mr And Mrs B Cocks	03116/00001/054
03117	Mr J P Hodge	03117/00001/054
03118	Mr G Martin	03118/00001/054
03119	Mr M Teversham	03119/00001/054
03120	Mr And Mrs M R Louch	03120/00001/054
03121	Mr And Mrs A H Madgwick	03121/00001/054
03122	Mr B Willers	03122/00001/054
03123	Mr W N James	03123/00001/054
03124	Mr I Plummer	03124/00001/054

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Customer Reference	Customer Name	Comment Reference
03125	Mr And Mrs Russell	03125/00001/054
03126	Mr R Bell-Davis	03126/00001/054
03127	Mr R Damron	03127/00001/054
03128	Mrs B V Kemp	03128/00001/054
03129	Ms K Holden-Crawford	03129/00001/053
03130	Mrs L Pepall	03130/00001/054
03131	Mr & Mrs C Trickett	03131/00001/054
03132	Mrs J Mayne	03132/00001/054
03133	Ms Paula Baker	03133/00001/054
03134	Mr M Vaughan	03134/00001/054
03137	Mr R Windebank	03137/00001/054
03138	Mr B Filer	03138/00001/054
03139	Mrs Catherine Cruz-Alonso	03139/00001/054
03140	Mr M Jenkinson	03140/00001/054
03147	Mrs J Rossell	03147/00001/054
03148	Mr R Marshall	03148/00001/054
03149	Mr R J Whelan	03149/00001/054