

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00013	Denmead Parish Council	00013/00001/018	
Denmead has prepared an option to meet its own aspiration and a copy is supplied herewith			
00013	Denmead Parish Council	00013/00002/002	
Denmead to be one of 7 Lead Parishes or Hubs. Allow limited growth to allow for maintenance and enhancement of local employment and develop social and recreational facilities where possible. Examine boundaries of Denmead to indentify land for up to 70 dwellings, instead of on Little Frenchies Field. Further expansion is not sustainable in terms of infrastructure or socially. Maintain existing boundaries of Denmead in WDLPR, particularly the Local Gap. Improve social and physical infrastructure to meet needs of existing and additional population. Provide mix of market and affordable housing to meet local needs, within restrictions of VDS with average density of 35 dph. Overhaul greenways within Denmead to improve potential for walking/cycling to facilities. Provide open space to fulfil shortfalls at Little Frenchies Field and Denmead Community Association's site. Retain and develop existing employment premises wherever possible.			
00015	Durley Parish Council	00015/00001/003	
Local Hubs should not be overdeveloped and lose identity.			
00015	Durley Parish Council	00015/00002/021	
Local hubs should not be overdeveloped and lose identity.			
00016	Hambledon Parish Council	00016/00001/026	
8a: Denmead has suffered continual development over the last twenty years, but has largely depended on Waterlooville for retail services. The number of retail outlets for Denmead is fifteen units. Its location is thirteen miles from the nearest rail link. This should not be a 'key' hub. Its close proximity to Waterlooville puts it at risk of coalescence. Any additional development would have an adverse impact in the Designated South Downs National Park immediately to the North. 8a, b, c, d, e: Retain character, avoid coalescence, retain local gaps.			

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00025	New Alresford Town Council	00025/00001/026	
It is essential design maintains or encourages small homogenous groups and engender social cohesion.			
00036	Swanmore Parish Council	00036/00001/017	
Should allow small affordable housing schemes outside planned boundary, but maintain important gaps.			
00043	Wonston Parish Council	00043/00001/017	
We only comment on our local hub (Kings Worthy), in respect of which we believe the best interests of all will be served by Option 1.			
00121	Mr A Foster	00121/00001/025	
Affordable housing only unless there are clear local reasons for other development.			
00134	Mr Peter Franckeiss	00134/00001/023	
Existing settlement boundaries should be allowed to change to allow limited development as near the existing local hub, without expanding into greenfields.			
00156	Mr Philip Ross	00156/00002/020	
The council have already been trying for years to ruin these Local hubs by allowing over development.			
00320	Ms R Wetherill	00320/00002/027	
Pursue Micheldever.			
00381	Mr Paul Byers	00381/00001/016	
See previous (6b)			
00395	Mr P Wells	00395/00002/021	
Where appropriate any brownfield sites should be made available by relocating any light industrial companies and redeveloping the sites.			
00474	Mr Dan Chapman	00474/00002/024	
Twyford and Hursley			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00557	Ms Fiona Chapman Twyford and Hursley	00557/00002/024	
00557	Ms Fiona Chapman Twyford and Hursley	00557/00003/024	
00557	Ms Fiona Chapman Twyford and Hursley	00557/00004/024	
01083	Ms Elizabeth Robinson	01083/00002/015	
<p>As above: ...Note should be taken of the 10,000 houses to be built between the M27 and Wickham and the 6,000 around Hedge End. These are on the doorsteps of Waltham Chase and Swanmore. Building in the rest of this area should be minimal otherwise quality of life will be lost forever. We owe this to our grandchildren. Could you look yours in the eye and tell them your plan is for their good... Limited growth is acceptable to most people but please try to imagine that you will be living in this small area and think how you would like to put up with this outrageous plan for building on every scrap of land within it.</p>			
01243	Ms Serita Campbell	01243/00002/015	
<p>No. People should say no to development of any green areas, regardless of issues and demands.</p>			
01265	Ms N A Holladay	01265/00002/022	
<p>There is no need for these to develop: it is only because of the flawed spatial strategy that this question is asked at all. If the alternative spatial strategy definitions were adopted this would not apply.</p>			
01269	Mrs Haley Russell	01269/00002/021	
<p>As previously mentioned I think consideration should be given to re-categorising Denmead as a main rather than a local hub. Villages such as Waltham Chase, Swanmore and even Wickham are villages by definition because they have a smaller number of housing, limited shops and do not wish to be categorised as an aspiring centre which wishes to expand.</p>			

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01283	Mr And Mrs Roy And Jill Phillips	01283/00002/019	
A policy should of infrastructure improvement should be developed alongside the housing. All of these sites will not be able to support extra housing. Objective 4 will not be possible.			
01501	Revd David Simpson	01501/00005/017	
Are there any other ways in which local hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years.			
01923	Captain John Ellis	01923/00001/026	
Apart from Denmead, few jobs are available in the local hub area - no jobs - no housing - put houses where jobs are going to be created (which may be in rural areas)			
01930	Mr D Brunger	01930/00002/017	
Colden Common has had enough building on greenfields and infilling.			
01931	Mr Micheal Cunliffe	01931/00002/025	
Unless we are very careful. local hubs will expand and they will turn into key hubs. This should only happen by design, and not by chance/ poor policy/ bad decisions etc. Local people should decide. Please also make backland/redevelopment more difficult, as this changes the character of our villages drastically.			
01933	Mr David Parratt	01933/00001/014	
I don't know enough about these local hubs to give an opinion.			
01937	Mrs Lesley Hallett	01937/00001/023	
Denmead is already grossly overbuilt in proportion to employment and facilities which have diminished. The council have allowed replacement of businesses, such as petrol stations with housing.			
01939	Mrs Jean Buckle	01939/00001/020	
Promises need to be kept			
01941	Dr Richard Hallett	01941/00001/019	
Cannot read writing.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01948	Mr Neil Lander-Brinkley	01948/00001/015	
Denmead as an option 1 key hub			
01960	Mr Ian Merritt	01960/00001/022	
Local hubs should not be over-developed otherwise they will lose there identities and qualities that seperate them from each other.			
01964	Mr John Beveridge	01964/00001/026	
See answer 7 re; SMART economic development.			
01965	Mrs Joyce Simmons	01965/00001/023	
Urban sprawl should be avoided/ there should be large strategic gaps between communicaties. Denmead should retain its own identity.			
01967	Mrs Marion Harrison	01967/00001/023	
The main road through W. Chase already extremely heavy traffic and safety of children walking from Swanmore Secondary School and W. C Primary School and preschool in the village hall W. C are a priority concern - perhaps a footpath access from Solomon's Lane through to Beaucroft Road and housing estates near village hall.			
01971	Mrs Jean Aburrow	01971/00001/021	
Cannot read handwriting,			
01977	Mrs Ann Judd	01977/00001/023	
Must try to keep character			
01984	Mr Peter Gardner	01984/00001/027	
Do not develop Resist all this pressure to build If you must grow, build in "PUSH" area, not Winchester area.			
01989	Dr Keith Paskins	01989/00001/023	
Great care must be taken to control development in the local hubs so that they do not outgrow the limited infrastructure possible in such locations and become dormitory settlements overloading transport facilities.			

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01995	Mrs Diana Paskins	01995/00001/023	
<p>These local hubs are too small for realistic development without totally distorting their place in the wider environment of the district. They will become dormitory settlements adding to the transport disaster and nightmare which is looming.</p>			
01999	Mrs Barbara Garfath	01999/00001/022	
<p>Surely there could be more "local hubs" so that development would be fairly distributed. Most of the above are not near public transport centres- the young and the elderly would feel "stranded" without greatly improved transport and/or local services and facilities</p>			
02000	Mr Henry Garfath	02000/00001/027	
<p>Since development of these "hubs" would have a detrimental effect on existing "hubs" the minimal option above is the only option in keeping with the Vision and Strategic Objectives.</p>			
02003	Mr Ptol Slattery	02003/00001/027	
<p>Preserve local character by local in-fill to benefit only local residents. "Green gaps" between villages preserve local character. Northern villages like Hursley and Micheldever may also need in-fill only to meet local needs.</p>			
02005	Mrs Marion Keen	02005/00001/024	
<p>They should keep their post offices.</p>			
02008	Mr Caesar Slattery	02008/00001/027	
<p>Local infill north settlements exclude Denmead, Colden Common and Bishops Waltham from PUSH.</p>			
02012	Mr Hugh Fox	02012/00001/026	
<p>Yes, develop to the style of key hubs.</p>			
02013	Mrs Fiona Rutherford	02013/00001/024	
<p>Twyford and Hursley</p>			
02015	Mr Derek Rutherford	02015/00001/025	
<p>Twyford and Hursley</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02022	Mr Michael Carter	02022/00001/021	
<p>These communities have as great or greater housing numbers than Wickham. They differ only in that they have somewhat fewer central facilities. This means that the residents must commute to Winchester, other cities, or market towns for resources. It would be possible to provide these which would mean that housing provision could be spread more equably round the communities of the district.</p>			
02084	Mrs Melanie Walker	02084/00001/017	
<p>Waltham Chase and Swanmore are too close together to both be Local Hubs and neither has anything in the way of facilities except for a school and a local shop.</p>			
02094	Turley Associates	02094/00001/004	
<p>Colden Common is a large settlement within the District. It is in a sustainable location close to Winchester and this could be further enhanced by new housing development and the provision of further and enhanced local services and facilities. Land is available within Colden Common and adjacent to the existing settlement boundary as defined in the adopted Proposals Map for Colden Common to contribute to housing provision.</p>			

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02096	White Young Green Planning	02096/00001/008	

Obviously, as per the answer to question 7 above Denmead is incorrectly categorised as a Local Hub. Again, the approach advocated in respect of the Key Hubs also applies to the Local Hubs. Option 1 is clearly inappropriate as these settlements still have a contribution to make in meeting housing needs in the period up to 2026. Whether sites exist in all cases to accommodate up to or beyond 100 units is unclear at this stage without further detailed analysis but it is considered that Option 3 i.e. upgrading the settlements to Key Hub status by the provision of up to 200 new residential units is inappropriate for the following reasons. In the case of Waltham Chase, Swanmore and Kingsworthy, these settlements are already in close proximity to higher order centres which provide a greater range of services and facilities. The development of 200 units is not going to provide or support a level of service and facility provision within the settlements that would be a kin to that exhibited by the Key Hub settlements and clearly the settlements are not sustainable locations to direct this scale of development which will continue to sustain existing services and facilities and possibly lead to enhanced provision in due course. The settlement policy boundaries for these Local Hubs should be reviewed to examine opportunities for development at an early stage and this process should be subject to public consultation. Consideration should also be given to other settlements in terms of reclassification as Local Hubs. Such a designation does not obviously convey potential for up to 100 new dwellings in every case as this is an arbitrary figure suggested by the LPA, but certainly other settlements have services and facilities including schools, shops, public houses, village halls, employment opportunities and recreational provision that can both support new development on a modest scale and benefit from such development. It is unclear how these settlements differ from the Local Hub status afforded Waltham Chase in this respect. The settlements considered appropriate for potential reclassification are, in no particular order, West Meon, Cheriton, Droxford, Durley, Otterbourne, Twyford, Littleton, Sutton Scotney and Micheldever. The arguments for limited development in rural and semi rural locations are expanded in the commentary to question 9 below.

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02097	Gawthorpe Estates Ltd	02097/00001/005	White Young Gre
<p>Consideration should be given to re-grading certain settlements (examples: West Meon, Cheriton, Droxford, Durley, Twyford, Otterbourne, Littleton, Sutton Scotney, Micheldever) to an equivalent 'local hub' status. This could then provide scope for modest development/ expansion to support both the settlement and its surrounding area/ minor settlements.</p>			
02097	Gawthorpe Estates Ltd	02097/00001/005	
<p>Consideration should be given to re-grading certain settlements (examples: West Meon, Cheriton, Droxford, Durley, Twyford, Otterbourne, Littleton, Sutton Scotney, Micheldever) to an equivalent 'local hub' status. This could then provide scope for modest development/ expansion to support both the settlement and its surrounding area/ minor settlements.</p>			
02099	Mrs D M Hare	02099/00001/012	White Young Gre
<p>Option 1 is not appropriate. Option 3 is also inappropriate because these settlements are already close to higher order settlements which provide a greater range of services and facilities. 200 houses is not going to support a level of service provision that is exhibited by the existing key hub settlements, these settlements are not sustainable locations to direct this scale of development. More modest proposals are more likely to be appropriate to sustain existing services and lead to enhance provision in due course. Settlement boundaries of key hubs should be reviewed to examine opportunities for development. Need also to consider other settlements as local hubs that could support modest scales of growth and benefit from development e.g. West Meon, Cheriton, Droxford, Durley, Otterbourne, Twyford, Littleton, Sutton Scotney and Micheldever.</p>			

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02099	Mrs D M Hare	02099/00002/012	White Young Gre
<p>Option 1 is not appropriate. Option 3 is also inappropriate because these settlements are already close to higher order settlements which provide a greater range of services and facilities. 200 houses is not going to support a level of service provision that is exhibited by the existing key hub settlements, these settlements are not sustainable locations to direct this scale of development. More modest proposals are more likely to be appropriate to sustain existing services and lead to enhance provision in due course. Settlement boundaries of key hubs should be reviewed to examine opportunities for development. Need also to consider other settlements as local hubs that could support modest scales of growth and benefit from development e.g. West Meon, Cheriton, Droxford, Durley, Otterbourne, Twyford, Littleton, Sutton Scotney and Micheldever.</p>			
02100	Burton Property	02100/00001/012	White Young Gre
<p>Option 1 is not appropriate. Option 3 is also inappropriate because these settlements are already close to higher order settlements which provide a greater range of services and facilities. 200 houses is not going to support a level of service provision that is exhibited by the existing key hub settlements, these settlements are not sustainable locations to direct this scale of development. More modest proposals are more likely to be appropriate to sustain existing services and lead to enhance provision in due course. Settlement boundaries of key hubs should be reviewed to examine opportunities for development. Need also to consider other settlements as local hubs that could support modest scales of growth and benefit from development e.g. West Meon, Cheriton, Droxford, Durley, Otterbourne, Twyford, Littleton, Sutton Scotney and Micheldever.</p>			
02104	Mr And Mrs T Goss	02104/00001/014	Southern Plannin
<p>Support options 2 and 3 for Waltham Chase. The village is capable of expansion beyond 100 homes but still remain a local hub. The city council should look again at the development numbers for each option. At 200 homes (option 3) the village would still remain a local hub - this level of development would not result in Waltham Chase becoming a key hub.</p>			

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02115	Mapledean Developments Ltd	02115/00001/009	Southern Plannin
<p>Support Options 2 and 3 for Swanmore. The village is capable of expansion beyond 100 homes but still remain a Local Hub. The City Council should look again at the development numbers for each Option. At 200 homes (Option 3) the village would still remain a Local Hub- this level of development would not result in Swanmore becoming a Key Hub.</p>			
02117	Persimmon Homes	02117/00001/029	Southern Plannin
<p>There should be some growth at Denmead to encourage and support its future prosperity, without further growth the level of services may decline further, to consolidate its position it would need growth between 200 and 300 dwellings. The local reserve site needs to be reconsidered following the results of the SFRA which indicates much of the site is subject to flooding. Suggest land at Kidmore Lane is appropriate for residential development.</p>			
02118	Highbridge Properties	02118/00001/003	Southern Plannin
<p>There also needs to be a policy to support existing commercial development in the rural areas to enable them to continue to contribute to the local economy. It is considered important that the LDF promotes a positive stance towards supporting existing and encouraging appropriate employment opportunities within and around the local hubs, particularly where they are well located for the surrounding communities. The LDF should include appropriate policies to encourage a strong employment economy across the District utilising appropriate opportunities within the urban area as well as the rural areas.</p>			
02119	C D May	02119/00003/021	
<p>Well publicised and reliable bus services.</p>			

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02120	Mr C Hazzard	02120/00001/002	Southern Plannin
<p>Option 1, whereby development is limited to within the current planned boundaries, is not considered a viable option for the future of Colden Common. It would be unlikely to be sufficient to support an improvement in the level of local services to support a more sustainable community. The precise level of growth required by Colden Common, even just to consolidate its position and allow improved provision of services is difficult to quantify but the initial view is that it may well need to be in excess of 200 units over the plan period. It is not therefore considered that the proposal under option 2 of securing limited growth of up to 100 dwellings would be sufficient to secure the consolidation of the hub. This level of growth is also required essential to meet the identified affordable housing needs of the settlement. Whilst a larger amount of development is therefore considered essential for the future well being of Colden Common, it is considered an artificial and in fact incorrect view to suggest that 100 units (Option 2) would secure the consolidation of the hub, whereas 200 dwellings (Option 3) would lead to a step change to a key hub. In this regard the terms local and key hub are considered to be misleading and potentially divisive and the focus of attention needs to be directed to securing the appropriate level of development within Colden Common to secure a viable and more sustainable future for the benefit of the community. In this respect, Mr Hazzard is promoting a well located, brown field site on the east side of Main road for residential use - a site survey form has been prepared and is submitted in parallel.</p>			
02174	Tichbourne Estate	02174/00001/023	Dreweatt Neate
<p>Key satellite settlements in Winchester's accessible hinterland with the potential to be served by a substantially enhanced public transport system.</p>			
02193	Mrs Dagmar Slater	02193/00001/028	
<p>If the two areas above were selected for major development, considering that both already border large developments, they could take the pressure off other areas. With improved public transport and an improve road network, these two areas could act as access to business centres and in fact could probably support business centres themselves.</p>			
02211	Mr And Mrs Geoffrey And Allison Matthews	02211/00002/022	
<p>Denmead has a greater capacity as a key hub than Wickham.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02226	Mr N Barron	02226/00001/010	PRO Vision Plan
<p>A fifth Local Hub (Otterbourne) should be added (or replace one of the other proposed Hubs) as this is a more sustainable location than some of the other local hubs identified. It could assist Winchester in meeting its acknowledged problems of lack of affordable housing and in commuting whereas some of the other local hubs are too far away to assist Winchester with such issues.</p>			
02229	Gleeson Homes	02229/00001/026	Turley Associates
<p>The focus should be on achieving greater self sufficiency in such settlements. This should be delivered in a sequential manner. Firstly, through making more efficient use of land within planned boundaries and then looking beyond them for sustainable urban extensions. A sequential based approach will ensure that the option 1 objective of making more efficient use of urban land at a minimum of 30-40dph is fulfilled. It would also have the benefit of reducing the residual need for green field releases and facilitating greater self sufficiency within the plan period.</p>			
02233	Humphrey Farms Ltd	02233/00001/009	PRO Vision Plan
<p>A fifth Local Hub (Twyford) should be added (or should replace one of the other proposed Local Hubs). It is a sustainable location with a good range of services, facilities and employment opportunities. It is well connected by bus and rail (by virtue of its proximity to Shawford station) and is located close to Winchester (where higher order facilities are present). Its proximity could assist Winchester in meeting its acknowledged problems of lack of affordable housing/ in commuting whereas some of the other local hubs are too far away to assist with these issues. There is also Brownfield land available in the village that could be allocated to reduce the pressure for Greenfield development.</p>			
02237	Martineau Trustees	02237/00001/022	Dreweatt Neate
<p>Key satellite settlements in Winchester's accessible hinterland with the potential to be served by a substantially enhanced public transport system, including Sparsholt.</p>			
02238	Holmes And Sons	02238/00001/024	Dreweatt Neate
<p>Ket satellite settlements in Winchester's accessible countryside with the potential to be served by a substantially enhanced public transport system, including Littleton.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02239	Trustees Of E M Toogood Settlement	02239/00001/024	Dreweatt Neate
Key satellite settlements in Winchester's accessible hinterland with the potential to be served by a substantially enhanced public transport system, including Sutton Scotney.			
02242	Mr Peter Stokes	02242/00001/026	
To consolidate existing community not to fulfil targets and at local discretion.			
02243	Mr Stuart Jones	02243/00001/023	
Issue: Colden Common has half the residents of Bishops Waltham, but 1/6 of the shops. Proposal: Wider range of shops, in conjunction with the growth option above.			
02270	Mr James Cameron	02270/00002/010	
Waltham Chase, Swanmore and Wickham should be to allowed to grow more naturally avoid merging together.			
02272	Mr Andy Blaxland	02272/00001/020	
The need and justification for additional development beyond current boundaries has to be assessed in the context of the capacity of the existing built-up areas to accommodate housing. Only when that is known should development beyond existing boundaries be considered. There is no evidence that an additional 100 or 200 dwellings will allow the number of services or facilities to be retained or grow in a local hub. Significantly greater levels of population increase would be likely to be required for this- something that would in itself be likely to be unsustainable given the relatively low level of facilities that the local hubs can offer.			
02289	Mr J Sutton	02289/00001/004	Robert Tutton To
Waltham Chase is well placed to accept additional residential development to reduce the need to travel- suggests land north of Clewers Lane, east of Clewers Hill is suitable for development.			
02291	Mr D Smith	02291/00001/003	Robert Tutton To
Suggests approx 18 hectares of land South of Forest Road and either side of Bunkers Hill is available for development to focus growth on an existing bus route to reduce commuting.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02292	Mr C Cahalin	02292/00001/004	Robert Tutton To
Waltham Chase is well placed to accept additional residential development to reduce the need to travel- suggests 20 hectares of land between Forest Road and Ludwells Lane is suitable available for development.			
02293	Mr Chris Hoare	02293/00002/021	
In a sustainable way and in a manner that does not destroy their character.			
02374	Campaign To Protect Rural England (CPRE)	02374/00001/023	
Denmead have has continual development over 20 years. But have largely depended on Waterlooville/ Havant for retail services. The number of retail outlets for Denmead is 15 units. It's location is 13 miles from the nearest rail link. This should not be a "key hub". 8a,b,c,d,e: Retain character, avoid coalescence, retain local gaps.			
02377	Mr Colin Penfold	02377/00001/020	
Any significant increase in any of these towns would destroy their character.			
02386	Mr David Griffiths	02386/00001/014	
Where is the proof that they need to develop Denmead, for example, has probably reached its natural level of development over the past 20 years. It doesn't need more tinkering with. Don't fix things that aren't broken.			
02404	Mr Geoffrey Faithfull	02404/00001/024	
Stop now the area can not take any more.			
02414	Mr David Simmons	02414/00001/021	
Same general comments as 7 above but at scales appropriate to local need.			

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02417	Mr Dennis Curling	02417/00001/022	
<p>In most of these local hubs, services and facilities are already stretched or non-existent. If this option is adopted, which is preferable to mass increases in housing in these areas, then each hub will need to be carefully reviewed. To ensure that even increasing the boundaries as proposed that the local services and infrastructure can sustain the increase in housing. Simply to just add more is NOT an option. This has been done in the last 20 years and we now have the situation where services and facilities are either over stretched or simply do not exist. This option/approach requires real 'joined up radical thinking' and not more of the same, as has happened in the past 20 years.</p>			
02427	Mr Michael Emmett	02427/00001/012	
<p>Please see response to question 7e</p>			
02446	Mr Eric Birbeck	02446/00001/023	
<p>Housing growth to support local needs only.</p>			
02448	Miss Lois Gravely	02448/00001/014	
<p>I am not familiar with the above places so am not in position to make any judgements.</p>			
02454	Mr David Phillips	02454/00001/025	
<p>Denmead in particular has already absorbed as many houses as it's surrounding roads can deal with. The effect on the minor roads around the village has yet to be assessed as a result of the West of Waterlooville development. Many of its boundary roads are already used as 'rat runs' to Fareham, Portsmouth and Southampton from as early as 3am each morning.</p>			
02466	Mr Harry Booth	02466/00001/024	
<p>Keep development near the M27 and M3 to reduce rural traffic.</p>			
02480	Mr Michael Westwell	02480/00001/024	
<p>Short of doubling size, which is unacceptable, no level of development will bring any noticeable improvements in roads and other infrastructure.</p>			
02497	Mr Ian Parsons	02497/00001/024	
<p>As already stated get out and look at these places at rush hour. No need to say more.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02502	Mr Geoffrey Johnson	02502/00001/015	
Development is not required as there are always plenty of houses for sale at any time.			
02504	Mr Peter Stevens	02504/00001/027	
Option 2: Consolidation of local hub is an inappropriate description, since Colden Common, Swanmore and Waltham Chase are not at present local hubs but are commuter districts. Apart from minor day to day shopping, most residents go to Winchester, Southampton or, most probably, Fareham for larger needs.			
02511	Cllr Malcolm Wright	02511/00002/023	
There is insufficient information to provide for the needs of the district to make decisions to achieve the strategic objectives.			
02527	Mr David Webb Carter	02527/00001/024	
As per my previous answer.			
02549	Mr Andy Crowe	02549/00001/023	
Step change will help to increase the range of facilities available locally to these fairly characterless places. But the Council will not win the support of the local people unless it lays out clearly what the improvements will be.			
02557	Mr Geoff Olden	02557/00001/020	
I do not wish to see infilling.			
02558	Mr Kevin Andreoli	02558/00001/014	
Denmead has constructed its own option- see Parish Council response.			
02562	Mr Peter Burke	02562/00001/015	
Local hubs must develop in line with their existing or proposed infrastructure. Crucial to this development will be transport links or local commercial opportunity for the residents. In the case of Waltham Chase and Swanmore, the necessary transport links do not exist to support substantial development, and these could only be established at the expense of the rural nature of the area, which is its main attraction. In the case of Denmead, there has already been enormous development, with the consequence that little rural character remains. The proximity of the town to the A3 and the wider services available in Waterlooville supports further development in this area.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02568	Mr Michael Chaplin	02568/00001/021	
To encourage the development of small local shopping facilities to reduce the need for householders having the need to travel to the key hubs or beyond.			
02580	Mrs Ruth Shields	02580/00001/020	
These local hubs already suffer from ad-hoc expansion that has resulted in them being straggly muddles with poor infrastructure. Their present shortcomings need to be sorted out before any new developments take place.			
02591	Mr Gordon Larcombe	02591/00001/025	
Supply them with more car parks.			
02623	Mr Jon Taylor	02623/00001/025	
Denmead should not be a local hub: it is a key hub.			
02629	Mr Michael Blackstaff	02629/00001/016	
Comment on question 8b. 1. Colden Common has recently experienced substantial redevelopment of existing sites, which has already significantly changed the character of the village. 2. Regarding possible green field development, there is a strong need to maintain the existing small but significant green gaps between Colden Common and Twyford (to the north) and Fair Oak (to the south). 3. Quotation from Page 72 of the LDF document: "There is emerging evidence that green space contributes towards the health and well being of communities." Precisely, so please stop turning Colden Common into an urban desert by concreting over its gardens and pulling down its pleasing mixture of properties of different periods.			
02630	Mr Robert Shepherd	02630/00001/015	
Again leading questions. hubs should be North or North West of Winchester.			

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02635	Mr Chris Benham	02635/00001/009	
<p>The IOCS sets out three options for the approach to the growth of 'local hubs' : Option 1 - Limit development to within the existing defined development boundaries. Option 2 - Allow limited growth to support the retention of local services and facilities. Option 3 - Sustainable development to enable a local hub to grow to key hub status. The Core Strategy should acknowledge that given that most of the local hubs are located with the PUSH area, the decision on their role will need to be taken in light of the development strategy for the PUSH area in general. The settlement of Kings Worthy is located in close proximity to Winchester Town, and in particular to the reserved major development housing of Barton Farm. Given its geographical proximity to Winchester town, it is unlikely that housing development will successfully facilitate either the consolidation of the settlement as a local hub or expansion to key hub status. Additional housing development in Kingsworthy would be likely to predominantly become a 'dormitory' satellite to Winchester Town. On this basis, it will fail to provide a sustainable location for development and will exacerbate commuting problems within Winchester Town. The Core Strategy should conclude that development of Kingsworthy should be contained within the existing defined development boundary as proposed under Option 1. The Core Strategy should conclude that the required housing growth within the northern area of the district, outside of the PUSH area should more appropriate be located in key hubs that are more sustainable, self-contained and able to assimilate the requisite levels of growth.</p>			
02658	Mrs Sarah Duck	02658/00001/022	
<p>These areas are all fairly densely populated, further development, including the development of business/retail opportunities in these areas will match the amount of residential units thus reducing the need to travel and can be created without negatively affecting the existing character of the area.</p>			
02659	Mr Stuart Jones	02659/00001/023	
<p>Back garden development in these local hubs is a real concern and should be resisted where the density of schemes is significantly higher than the surrounding area. Such development in Kings Worthy is having a serious negative impact on the area.</p>			
02666	Mr Peter Richardson	02666/00001/016	
<p>Answer as for question 7 - but if there was no option but to have development then I would vote for option 1 in all cases - develop within existing boundaries.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02670	Mr Nigel Paterson	02670/00001/021	
The building done needs to be sympathetic to the older housing stock and not out of relation to it.			
02685	Mr Malcolm Thornton	02685/00001/010	
Likewise, these small villages should be left as small villages. Look how Denmead has already be ruined by overgrowth over the last 20 years. It has lost its charm and character, and now you want to do that to Bishops Waltham, Swanmore and Waltham Chase. these ridiculous plans will force there communities (with Wickham) into huge conurbation. There isn't sufficient space or infrastructure around this area to cope with it, and retain their identities/characters.			
02690	Mr Colin Thorne	02690/00001/023	
It seems that growth of local hubs is a viable way of sustaining growth across the county, rather than expanding the key hubs.			
02729	Mrs Maggie Smith	02729/00001/016	
All places need to accept growth over the next twenty years but it needs to be limited to preserve any character and local boundaries.			
02753	Mrs Lorrie Smith	02753/00001/015	
Again, I cannot comment on any of the villages apart from Waltham Chase where I live. I do believe that local hubs should allow for some limited growth (upto 100 dwellings) outside the existng boundary and agree that this would seek to strengthen the role of local hubs in the local community by supporting the retention of local services and facilities and would include the release of Local Reserve sites. Although you quote policy H2 of the adopted local plan, I feel that policy H4 should come into play because of the criteria that has to be looked into before any infilling or development can begin.			
02771	Mr Kevin Fry	02771/00001/024	
Any major growth in the population of any of these areas would have a knock on effect to local resources, roads and schools.			
02775	Mrs Rosemarie Lee	02775/00001/019	
Avoid the extensive ribbon development that will virtually merge the communities from Fareham to Bishops Waltham into one.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02783	Mrs Dorothy Quiney	02783/00002/010	
<p>Denmead has all the facilities necessary to be changed to a key hub. The highest number of residents of all the rural areas within WCC district, a good village centre which provides all of the retail outlets necessary to meet everyday living needs, a medium sized Co-op store, butcher, baker, ironmonger's shop (a rarity these days), hairdressers, car repairs etc. Plus a medical centre. Free public parking outside the shops and the benefit of a free car park courtesy of WCC. Regular public transport to Waterlooville and onwards further to other areas. Denmead is already a sustainable community which provides necessary services for villages, such as Hambledon and Southwick. The gap between Denmead and Waterlooville should be retained as the last thing the village need is to lose its identity via a merge with West of Waterlooville MDA. Waltham Chase should be removed as a local hub. It is just one part of the larger parish of Shedfield and in my personal experience and that of my relatives and friends living there, it only services the immediate local population. Shedfield and Shirrell Heath look to Wickham and Bishops Waltham to meet their service needs.</p>			
02786	Mr Anthony Cailes	02786/00001/024	
<p>These are small villages that have very limited services and would not be able to cope with further development. I would not wish to see further erosion of the existing gaps between villages.</p>			
02871	Ms Jo Hayward	02871/00001/023	
<p>Same as previous comment. My family came from Swanmore and I lived there for twenty five years. When I moved out of home I had to move to Bishops Waltham as there is no affordable property in Swanmore. I agree that it is good to have new people to the village, but you are driving out local people. With new house developments local people can't afford this and they are being purchased by 'commuters' who want the 'ideal village life'.</p>			
02895	Mrs Wendy Ingamells	02895/00002/017	
<p>Same as 6b.</p>			
02908	Mr Frank Harrison	02908/00001/013	
<p>Perhaps more 'local hub' situations could be created.</p>			
02929	Mrs Yvonne Case	02929/00001/022	
<p>Denmead should be a key hub.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02968	Miss Joy Spiler	02968/00001/013	
Do not feel that any further development should take place especially infilling and building on water logged pieces of ground.			
02997	Mrs Nikki Oliver	02997/00001/013	
Each local hub should be encouraged to identify what is appropriate and those requirements respected and accommodated by WCC.			
03016	Mrs Danielle F Giles	03016/00001/024	
There are many areas within existing boundaries that can be exploited without moving beyond and creating 'sprawl'.			
03029	Mr Robert Toleman	03029/00001/008	
Again you are running away in your planners dream land. The residents in our area are concerned with realistic considerations to maintain this pleasant environment.			
03042	Mrs Janet Nobes	03042/00001/020	
Denmead could do with more everyday shops when I moved here 44 years ago as we had a butcher, Barclays bank, main Post Office, private grocery shop, haberdashery shop.			
03054	Mr Peter Motchman	03054/00001/017	
The core strategy should seek to limit housing growth in local hubs to that which is necessary to preserve the existing level of services.			
03057	Community Action Hampshire	03057/00001/016	
Support limited growth outside boundaries, option 2, but this would require improvements to the infrastructure within the settlements.			

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
03059	IBM	03059/00001/025	
<p>Add Micheldever. For which I would choose Option 3. Micheldever benefits from a train station. This should be invested in to promote better use of train services to Southampton/ Basingstoke, thus minimising additional use of cars which would be the result of development of other hubs. Shawford likewise benefits from a train station- again it would be a remiss not to maximise use of the investment already made and additional use would minimise the possibility of future closure. Train stations are often closed but new ones are as rare as hen's teeth.</p>			
03061	Ms A F Cobbett	03061/00001/002	
<p>The following comments were given as reasons in support of Denmead being a local hub: Road network inadequate No increase in capacity has been planned to accomodate current W.O.W development. Inadequate facilities/infrastructure, e.g drainage, local shopping and services, open space. No local employment,</p>			
03062	Mr J R G Cobbett	03062/00001/005	
<p>The following comments were given as reasons in support of Denmead being a local hub: Road network inadequate No increase in capacity has been planned to accommodate current WOW development. Inadequate facilities/infrastructure e.g. drainage, local shopping and services, open space. No local employment.</p>			
03063	Mr Peter Martin	03063/00001/003	
<p>The following comments were given as reasons in support of Denmead being a local hub: Inadequate facilities/infrastructure e.g. drainage, shops and services, open space. No local employment Parking standard should be min 2 spaces per dwellings. Lower levels lead to dangerous parking on streets.</p>			
03065	Mrs V. A Day	03065/00001/003	
<p>The following comments were given as reasons in support of Denmead being a Local Hub: Frenchies Field to be used for open space/ recreation not housing Carpenters Field to be used for open space/ recreation not housing</p>			
03066	Mrs S Turner	03066/00001/003	
<p>The following comments were given as reasons in support of Denmead being a local hub: Inadequate facilities/infrastructure e.g. drainage, local shopping and services, open space. No local employment.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
03067	Denmead Village Association	03067/00001/007	
Denmead wishes to be a Local Hub, not a Key Hub. It has limited facilities. Facilities such as the community centre must be retained. Do not build on Frenchies Field, need more recreation space. Do not build on Carpenter's Field.			
03068	Dr Sheila E Gould	03068/00001/019	
The following comments in support of Denmead being a local hub: Inadequate facilities/infrastructure e.g. drainage, local shopping and services. No local employment.			
03070	Dr Robert A Gould	03070/00001/023	
I support the concept of spreading the "housing load" amongst local hubs, but feel Denmead, as clearly the largest "hub" in the district, has enough to cope with and expansion into key hub would edge it ever closer to being merged with Waterlooville.			
03071	Mr John Hayter	03071/00001/028	
Suggest Option 2 to provide for affordable housing and Option 3 for Kings Worthy which is the most sustainable. All Local Hubs should provide for affordable housing, which may exceed Options 1 and 2.			
03075	Denmead Baptist Church	03075/00001/002	
The church wishes to develop a community building to include indoor sports facilities, 500 seat auditorium with cafe, offices and meeting rooms - the release of their sites for residential purposes would part fund this proposal. This should be within the village to avoid additional car trips and be accessible to all, particularly the young and the elderly.			
03101	Winchester Area Community Action (WACA)	03101/00001/013	
The need for local affordable housing and facilities for these communities may require additional development as in Option 2 and in some instances Option 3.			
03144	Mrs J Robinson	03144/00001/001	
Object to large scale development in Denmead due to lack of public transport and impact of cars on local roads; the village also suffers from flooding.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 8f. Are there any other ways in which Local Hubs could develop that would help address the issues and demands they face over the next 20 years?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
03202	Mr M Charrett	03202/00001/010	
As for Key Hub comments: Brownfield and windfall sites should be actively sought, enabling significant but sustainable growth within existing boundaries. The following should be added to Local Hubs: Otterbourne, Hursley, Twyford, Hambledon. South Wonston.			
03225	Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd	03225/00001/013	Woolf Bond Plan
Option 2, the reserve housing site at Frenchies Field should be identified by the core strategy for early release.			

Q8f

The following list made this comment:

'Denmead must maintain its existing boundaries to protect the countryside setting that gives the settlement its valued rural character.'

Each response has been counted as an individual comment.

Customer Reference	Customer Name	Comment Reference
00801	Mr Chris Riley	00801/00001/002
00808	Mr Matt Hodges	00808/00001/002
00815	Mr Jon Pocock	00815/00001/002
00818	Mr Ian Jafkins	00818/00001/002
00822	Ms Amanda Ellis	00822/00001/002
00824	Mr Nigel Ellis	00824/00001/002
00827	Ms Julie Riley	00827/00001/002
00828	Mr G Paul	00828/00001/002
00829	Mr Steve Paul	00829/00001/002
00832	Ms Helen Coleman	00832/00001/002
00834	Ms Jean Stone	00834/00001/002
00837	Ms Enca Meadus	00837/00001/002
00838	Ms Kim Neve	00838/00001/002
00839	Ms Jane Smith	00839/00001/002
00840	Mr Paul Reeves	00840/00001/002
00841	Ms Nicky Smith	00841/00001/002
00842	Ms Judith Squibb	00842/00001/002
00843	Mr Ian Godwin	00843/00001/002
00844	Mr R Ward	00844/00001/002
00845	Ms Linda Shelton	00845/00001/002
00847	Mr T Ward	00847/00001/002
00849	Mr Iain Theptow	00849/00001/002
00851	Mr Kevin Sawley	00851/00001/002
00854	Mr Graham Watts	00854/00001/002
00855	Mr Mark Meadus	00855/00001/002
00857	Ms Yvonne Davidson	00857/00001/002

Customer Reference	Customer Name	Comment Reference
00859	Ms Emma Walton	00859/00001/002
00861	Ms Natalie Taylor	00861/00001/002
00865	Ms Sue Abraham	00865/00001/002
00867	Mr Ian Abraham	00867/00001/002
00870	Ms Stephanie Taylor	00870/00001/002
00873	Mr Ben Abraham	00873/00001/002
00875	Ms Molly Abraham	00875/00001/002
00877	Ms Lucy Ryan	00877/00001/002
00879	Ms Anna Hansley	00879/00001/002
00881	Ms Julie Kendrich	00881/00001/002
00884	Mr Jaz Coles	00884/00001/002
00887	Ms Jess Madgulick	00887/00001/002
00888	Mr Michael Riley	00888/00001/002
00893	Ms Hayley Wilcox	00893/00001/002
00896	Mr Elliott Bassett	00896/00001/002
00900	Ms Sal Burroughs	00900/00001/002
00901	Ms Hayley MacIgwick	00901/00001/002
00904	Ms P Williams	00904/00001/002
00906	Mr R Alexander	00906/00001/002
00908	Mr S Alexander	00908/00001/002
00909	Mr D Thomas	00909/00001/002
00910	Mrs Aston	00910/00001/002
00911	Mr S Moore	00911/00001/002
00914	Mr Tim Murphy	00914/00001/002
00916	Mr S A Murphy	00916/00001/002
00964	Ms Sarah Riley	00964/00001/002
00965	Mr Kay Hearnnes	00965/00001/002
00966	Ms Kathryn Nightingale	00966/00001/002
00967	Mr E Quainton	00967/00001/002
00968	Mr M Shaw	00968/00001/002
00969	Mr I Benting	00969/00001/002
00970	Mr Ian Williams	00970/00001/002

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)