

Question 4b. Is there a different option which will enable Winchester Town to address the issues and demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
00001	Badger Farm Parish Council	00001/00001/002	
<p>Prefer Planned Boundaries option, including Barton Farm, as believe it would provide best solution to affordable housing problem. If insufficient, increase in size of Barton Farm to allow better infrastructure provision preferred to development south of Winchester, which would increase congestion.</p>			
00007	Cheriton Parish Council	00007/00001/012	
<p>New planning should only be granted once all infrastructure requirements have been identified and agreed.</p>			
00009	Compton And Shawford Parish Council	00009/00001/012	
<p>Should consider further option of relocating major employers to the edge of the town, releasing land in the centre for housing.</p>			
00013	Denmead Parish Council	00013/00001/012	
<p>This parish council supports the release of land for development at Barton's Farm because it will bring the people who operate services, shop and infrastructure closer to the centre thus reducing carbon footprint.</p>			
00016	Hambledon Parish Council	00016/00001/013	
<p>Use the historical windfall achievements to justify no additional Greenfield allocations over the ones already made.</p>			
00028	Olivers Battery Parish Council	00028/00001/001	
<p>Additional housing will not necessarily lead to reduction of out- commuting, particularly as no sites have been identified for commercial development or growth within the creative and knowledge- based industries. A substantial proportion of any new housing must be affordable and retained for local workers to have any benefit.</p>			
00043	Wonston Parish Council	00043/00001/012	
<p>There must be an Option that combines 1 and 2 where suitable sites in "other larger settlements"- perhaps already identified- could mitigate the requirement for the degree of expansion proposed in Option 2.</p>			

Question 4b. Is there a different option which will enable Winchester Town to address the issues and demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
00084	South East England Regional Assembly	00084/00001/002	
Support Strategic choices for Winchester, reflects role as a Primary Town Centre in Policy STC2 of draft SE Plan. Key outputs for Housing, retail and employment should have been set out, should be clear at next stage.			
00085	Highways Agency	00085/00001/001	
HA supports the objective to reduce high levels of in-commuting by creating a better balance between employment and housing. Barton Farm and the Local Reserve Sites will need Transport Assessment (if over 80 dwellings) or Transport Statement (sites between 50-80 dwellings). Park and Ride supported in principle, but may create additional trips on already congested SRN around the town. A TA would be required for the sites and there should be a reduction in car parking provision within the town centre. Any potential employment sites or large developments such as retail identified in the Core Strategy should be subject to evaluation of transport impacts (ET) on the SRN.			
00089	Hampshire County Council	00089/00001/008	
Option 2 is preferable and the County is reviewing its land portfolio to identify improvements for service delivery to release sites within Winchester City which could be taken up by other commercial users or could be released for other town centre users. Also Carfax site and HCC staff park and ride facility may become available for development. Suggest undertaking townscape character appraisal to establish local densities and identify character areas that might benefit from new/ redevelopment.			
00090	English Heritage	00090/00001/005	
Character and capacity-based approach to development of the city should be promoted, in line with the towns forum's theme.			
00121	Mr A Foster	00121/00001/011	
Option 2 needs to concentrate on providing the (theoretical) opportunity to live locally if the aim of attempting to reduce the need to travel is to be met. Employment growth thus needs to be constrained, and focused in PUSH. May need the relocation of some public sector jobs to achieve this, eg. police. Greenfield business park not acceptable, nor higher buildings in the centre (Silver Hill likely to be too high as planned).			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 4b. Is there a different option which will enable Winchester Town to address the issues and demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
00127	Mr P Turner	00127/00001/010	
<p>Something between step change and staying within planned boundaries. This specifically involves Barton Farm but remaining at the level of 2000 dwellings. Its present boundaries of the planned reserve, and the land of Cala Homes are restrictive. The LDF should take the opportunity of extending the area of search northwards to the A34, and beyond if necessary. This would require a Master Plan for that area to meet two desirable objectives. 1. To maintain and enhance the substantial green wedge of landscape setting of the town, relating to and visible from the Andover Road approach. 2. To take advantage of the potential of a Northern Park and Ride facility and public transport service that would serve the development.</p>			
00146	Mrs Judith Hammond	00146/00001/009	
<p>Small to Medium size developments have less impact than 'Barton Farm' type schemes and should be encouraged.</p>			
00148	Mr Mrs Jenny And Machen Barker	00148/00001/011	
<p>The Development allowed should only be natural growth, imported growth in this already overcrowded and ill-provided area is not required.</p>			
00156	Mr Philip Ross	00156/00002/010	
<p>The scheme should be totally ignored by all local councils and see what this useless government do about it.</p>			
00160	Mr L Squires	00160/00003/009	
<p>Neither option 1 nor option 2 is appropriate. I DO NOT want development in high flood risk areas like Barton Farm, nor on Greenfield sites, nor 'Step change' explosive expansion of Winchester. A better and best option is to distribute the unpopular government house-building numbers more evenly among communities across the District and to utilise brown-field sites.</p>			
00217	Mr C McKinlay	00217/00003/006	
<p>See customer's previous contribution - Standard Winchester letter</p>			

Question 4b. Is there a different option which will enable Winchester Town to address the issues and demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
00220	Ms Elizabeth Read	00220/00002/001	
<p>This customer has also completed and submitted a Winchester Standard Letter. Would also like to add the following points. Concern about loss of greenfields, farmland and wildlife and increased demands on water, gas, electricity and increased traffic pollution. Accept need to build affordable houses for public sector workers but not the need for additional growth as well. Concern about the undemocratic nature of the imposition of the housing targets.</p>			
00270	Ms Meriel Walton	00270/00001/013	
<p>See other contribution from customer Winchester standard letter.</p>			
00270	Ms Meriel Walton	00270/00002/014	
<p>See other Contribution from customer Winchester Standard Letter.</p>			
00280	Mrs Cheryl Berry	00280/00002/034	
<p>Please refer to customer's previous contribution - Winchester Standard Letter</p>			
00289	Ms K Hill	00289/00002/022	
<p>Please refer to customer's previous contribution see - Winchester standard letter</p>			
00320	Ms R Wetherill	00320/00002/014	
<p>Option 1 would be redundant if a new town at Micheldever is pursued.</p>			
00415	Ms Laura Clarke	00415/00002/009	
<p>Does Winchester need more unaffordable housing. The smaller schemes are offering a high proportion of affordable homes, the Barton Farm scheme would encourage greater numbers of affluent home owners to move to the city and commute out of it for work - what does that do to the environmental objectives for the town with allegedly the worst carbon footprint. The distance of the BF site to the town means that it is very unlikely that the development will have a positive impact on traffic congestion and thus on pollution. Continuing to develop sites closer to town offers dwellers real opportunities for choice over mode of travel into town.</p>			
00420	Mr And Mrs W And M O'Brien	00420/00002/003	
<p>See customer's previous contribution - Standard Winchester Letter</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 4b. Is there a different option which will enable Winchester Town to address the issues and demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
00422	Mr A S Westlake	00422/00003/009	
See customer's previous contribution - Standard Winchester Letter			
00474	Mr Dan Chapman	00474/00002/011	
See customer's previous contribution - Standard Winchester letter			
00511	Mr Keith Story	00511/00002/011	
Refer to customer contribution - Winchester standard letter			
00556	Mr A Gossling	00556/00002/011	
See customer's previous contribution - Standard Winchester letter			
00557	Ms Fiona Chapman	00557/00002/011	
See customer's previous contribution - Standard Winchester letter			
00557	Ms Fiona Chapman	00557/00003/011	
See customer's previous contribution - standard winchester letter.			
00557	Ms Fiona Chapman	00557/00004/011	
See customer's previous contributions - Standard Winchester Letter.			
00783	Mr And Mrs CW And JR Eames	00783/00003/006	
Please see customer's previous contribution - Winchester standard letter.			
00784	Mr D J Barfield	00784/00002/009	
See customer's previous contribution. Standard Winchester Letter.			
01008	Mr And Mrs Anthony And Helen Drury	01008/00003/010	
Existing town boundaries should be respected to protect the countryside and avoid ongoing and creeping urban sprawl.			
01243	Ms Serita Campbell	01243/00002/004	
It is not appropriate to build on any green areas at all, as this will build on existing frustrations. The only option is to be expand upwards in established large town areas.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 4b. Is there a different option which will enable Winchester Town to address the issues and demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
01426	Mr And Mrs Catling	01426/00003/011	
Too depressing. We do not think this level of building is appropriate for Winchester.			
01460	Mr Michael John Bennett	01460/00002/010	
Actually a combination approach is needed			
01630	Mrs Eleanor Watts	01630/00002/010	
Due to the imbalance of jobs and housing in the Winchester area, new housing should be in the Winchester area, preferably within cycling distance of jobs.			
01821	Ms J Chapman-Andrews	01821/00003/008	
Neither. The different option is to prioritise development on brownfield sites; protect greenfield sites: identified windfall sites can be used. Keep development and growth dispersed and within existing boundaries. Avoid huge developments all in one place which have a major impact on already congested roads and trains/ railways and avoid building on flood risk areas or upstream of the River Itchen.			
01923	Captain John Ellis	01923/00001/011	
In order to minimise other problems of transport/infrastructure etc, housing must be placed adjacent to job areas (either urban or rural)			
01926	Mrs Fiona Turner	01926/00001/010	
Winchester must provide a greater range of low cost housing.			
01929	Mr Anthony Marshall	01929/00001/011	
Develop a "New Town" such as "Micedever Station" which has currently been shelved but would take pressure off all of the "North" section and allow for 'new schools' drainage main line station etc to be built into the infrastructure and not a make do and mend approach which will have to be adopted with existing settlements.			
01931	Mr Micheal Cunliffe	01931/00002/011	
It is vital that constraints on growth are loosened to provide additional housing, commercial and industrial premises. Winchester must expand or it will contract			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 4b. Is there a different option which will enable Winchester Town to address the issues and demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
01933	Mr David Parratt	01933/00001/010	
I do not know enough about Winchester town to give my opinion on this.			
01940	Mr And Mrs Ronald Rossiter	01940/00001/012	
I do not think so. You could ask young people to "emigrate" to the north of England where the population is less and many areas are unpopulated. Build new towns off Milton Keynes. Encourage businesses to have sub branches in the north of England. A serious push on relocation is required. We relocated to this area many years ago. So advertise the north			
01947	Mrs Samantha Warman	01947/00001/010	
We support the release of Bartons Farm, new housing closer to Winchester Town resolves people commuting in from further afield in the district and more affordable housing here provides entry level to people wishing to live in central winchetsre but currently cannot afford to.			
01949	Mr John Cornwell	01949/00001/010	
Option 1 could lead to treating the South East Plan housing requirement as a "ceiling" and not as a "target" which can be overshoot (Panels Report, Page 111, Final paragraph refers).			
01958	Commander Robin Whiteside	01958/00001/011	
Is there an option to challenge the targets handed down by central government			
01962	Mr John Harrison	01962/00001/010	
Unable to express an opinion as don't know the area concerned.			
01964	Mr John Beveridge	01964/00001/004	
While acknowledging it is important to maintain and enhance Winchester's economic vitality it is not clear that a step clear is required to achieve it. One area that could be considered is the Bushfield if in due course a site outside the existing boundaries is required but it is important to protect and maintain the undeveloped area to the North East of the camp site.			
01965	Mrs Joyce Simmons	01965/00001/008	
Winchester's historic centre must be preserved, and over-expansion of the outskirts should be avoided or its character will be lost.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)



































































































































