

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
00007	Cheriton Parish Council	00007/00001/009	
Objective 5 has too many issues for a single option.			
00009	Compton And Shawford Parish Council	00009/00001/009	
Options 2 and 5 should be linked.			
00010	Corhampton And Meonstoke Parish Council	00010/00001/009	
Many of these objectives fall beyond the powers of the LPA. What measures do the City Council envisage to bring these objectives to fruition- health, education etc			
00013	Denmead Parish Council	00013/00001/008	
It is very difficult to argue with these generalised statements			
00015	Durley Parish Council	00015/00001/001	
In Objective 1, should utilise existing business premises and local skill base before any future development.			
00015	Durley Parish Council	00015/00002/008	
In objective 1, should utilise existing business premises and local skill base before any future development.			
00016	Hambledon Parish Council	00016/00001/009	
2a: Objective 1: Sustaining an economy that promotes...industries that exist. Protect the agriculture, countryside, tourism and cultural assets of our District, historic towns, villages and rural landscapes. Ensure there are a range of Brownfield sites and premises in sustainable locations available to businesses etc.			
00025	New Alresford Town Council	00025/00001/009	
Objectives should show how aims are going to be achieved, and improvements measured. Objective 1: Line 5 needs to include keeping the skills base up to date. Objective 2: Needs to address meeting people's needs to tackle the present out-commuting. Objective 4: Needs to be stronger. Objective 5: Replace 'encourage' with 'improve'.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
00029	Otterbourne Parish Council	00029/00001/008	
Objective 3: Surely there is a need to protect and enhance all environments, not just the most valuable Objective 5: It needs to say on transport that a reduction in the impact of commercial vehicles is required.			
00036	Swanmore Parish Council	00036/00001/009	
Planned development of means of transport, not adequately addressed.			
00089	Hampshire County Council	00089/00001/004	
Objectives should include reference to the District contributing to the growth of South Hampshire.			
00090	English Heritage	00090/00001/003	
Protection and enhancement should extend to include features of local importance if the Council is to be successful in 'place shaping' and community cohesion.			
00091	Natural England	00091/00001/008	
Objective 3 - Suggest detailed changes to text and additions. Objective 6 suggest detailed addition to text. New objective should be added to address climate change.			
00113	Alresford Society	00113/00001/009	
Objective 6: The opportunities exist in abundance. It is for individuals to decide to use them			
00121	Mr A Foster	00121/00001/008	
Objective 1 implies an across the board encouragement of new businesses, as opposed to help for existing businesses. Given the jobs/ homes imbalance within Winchester town, this objective needs looking at again to ensure the right message is given.			
00148	Mr Mrs Jenny And Machen Barker	00148/00001/008	
We shouldbe making preparations for natural development. We already have a booming economy and masses of recreational apportunities. No further expansion of either is required.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
00156	Mr Philip Ross	00156/00002/008	
Prevent the destruction of our local environment by preventing green land from being destroyed for the sake of more building. Stop the destruction of properties with character and replacement by blocks of flats that look like offices.			
00217	Mr C McKinlay	00217/00003/005	
Creation of an economy that promotes the varied talents of the District, building on the creative and knowledge based industries that exist. Develop the agricultural, tourism and cultural assets of our historic towns and villages and valued landscapes. Ensure that there are a range of sites and premises available for businesses to set up and expand to meet their full potential and provide jobs to use the skills of the District's population.			
00270	Ms Meriel Walton	00270/00001/010	
Objective 1 suggests growth is necessary, improvement of current facilities may be preferable without stimulating growth.			
00270	Ms Meriel Walton	00270/00002/010	
I suggest growth is necessary.			
00276	Mr R I L Howland	00276/00002/009	
Objective 1. Delete "creation;" insert "encouragement." Objective 2. Insert "current," before "population." Objective 4. Delete reference to "lifestyles." Objective 5. Delete reference to "reduce use of private car." Objective 6. Ditto.			
00280	Mrs Cheryl Berry	00280/00002/009	
Protection of agricultural land and Greenfield sites and protect Winchester's rural landscape setting.			
00320	Ms R Wetherill	00320/00002/010	
Protect the special quality and status of Winchester by restricting its growth to sustainable levels			
00381	Mr Paul Byers	00381/00001/010	
Large scale development in a single place would not be sensible to meet all the above needs it should be distributed accordingly.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
00395	Mr P Wells	00395/00002/008	
I agree that we must reduce the use of the private car but we must also still provide adequate parking facilities in towns and cities for tourists and locals alike.			
00474	Mr Dan Chapman	00474/00002/009	
Obj 1 - I don't agree with the vision in the first place. Obj 2 - 5 - Nice to have but these should be put in place for the size of the city we are now.			
00511	Mr Keith Story	00511/00002/009	
There is only 1% unemployment in the district, so creating new employment opportunities and encouraging industrial growth will only suck in the unemployed from the UK, Europe, Asia etc and create more demand for housing, schools, hospitals etc. Employment growth should be encouraged only in areas of high employment. This is called joined up thinking.			
00556	Mr A Gossling	00556/00002/008	
Protect historic Winchester and its landscape setting. Use Brownfield sites for development. Investigate MOD land availability.			
00557	Ms Fiona Chapman	00557/00002/009	
Obj 1 - I don't agree with the vision in the first place Obj 2 - 5 - Nice to have but these should be put in place for the size of the city we are now.			
00557	Ms Fiona Chapman	00557/00003/009	
Obj 1 - I don't agree with the vision in the first place. Obj 2 - 5 - Nice to have but these should be put in place for the size of the city we are now.			
00557	Ms Fiona Chapman	00557/00004/009	
Obj 1 - I don't agree with the vision in the first place. Obj 2 - 5 - Nice to have but these should be put in place for the size of the city we are now.			
00783	Mr And Mrs CW And JR Eames	00783/00003/003	
Like the statement of spatial vision, the strategic objectives are megawaffle and could apply to anywhere. Must be more specific.			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
01008	Mr And Mrs Anthony And Helen Drury	01008/00003/009	
<p>None of the ideal will be achieved without the endorsement of Hampshire residents (those who live and work in the area, and ultimately pay) and for which the council should pay due attention. The proposals are driven by economic prerogatives and political objectives not by the health and well being of the residents. Social and human, and views of the Hampshire people are being ignored.</p>			
01083	Ms Elizabeth Robinson	01083/00002/009	
<p>Hampshire's way of life is being destroyed by the supermarkets, the incessant traffic and pollution problems and the overcrowded future slum new building sites. The existing population should be considered first before dumping thousands of new rabbit hutches and incomers on our already overstretched amenities. The south is already overpopulated.</p>			
01234	Mr And Mrs Patrick And Margaret Spence	01234/00002/009	
<p>Promote objectives 1 and 2 with only a small increase in population. Why has Wickham got to double in size Objective 5 implies there will have to be a business park as well as houses which will increase congestion and use of valuable resources like water.</p>			
01265	Ms N A Holladay	01265/00002/009	
<p>Objective 5: This objective should be re-worded to reflect the alternative vision outlined in the earlier response. It should read something like: 'Provision of the necessary services and support facilities including health, education, shopping etc, to ensure our existing communities are able to balance their viability with the maintenance of their rural or urban character and encourage sustainable transport alternatives that reduce the use of private car and enable people to live close to where they work'.</p>			
01297	Ms Ann Sadler Forster	01297/00002/007	
<p>All these objectives are subjectively described - I may have a completely different vision of option 1 then that intended.</p>			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
01418	Mr John Steeples	01418/00002/009	
<p>To protect the village domain and threatened local and regional leisure facility which has no room for large property development expansion, by encouraging some small development on Brownfield sites north of the village along the A334, Winchester road as well as, some new units to replace the laboratory when re-located soon.</p>			
01426	Mr And Mrs Catling	01426/00003/009	
<p>Objective one is inappropriate for small villages like Wickham. Objective two, building more houses does not reduce carbon emissions especially in somewhere like Wickham that has high unemployment because it is a small village and sustainable already.</p>			
01501	Revd David Simpson	01501/00005/009	
<p>If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative. The question is misleading - I suspect you are looking for an indication of respondent's wishes. I favour modest development that does not detract from the nature of existing communities and landscape. I particularly object to the extension of urban communities into the countryside on the scale proposed in the North Fareham SDA.</p>			
01821	Ms J Chapman-Andrews	01821/00003/007	
<p>I think it is wrong to think in terms of "creating an economy" implying there isn't enough of an economy already.</p>			
01920	Mr And Mrs Simon And Jane Merryman	01920/00001/008	
<p>Ref: Objective 5: Due to the high cost of buying and selling a home, it is not financially viable to move as and when your job changes, to be closer to your place of work.</p>			
01932	Mrs Joy Fox	01932/00001/005	
<p>We already have healthy and lifestyle amenities.</p>			
01933	Mr David Parratt	01933/00001/008	
<p>Reducing carbon emissions is a matter for building regulations, not planning.</p>			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
01938	Mrs Sandra Griffiths	01938/00002/006	
Objective 5 and 6 sound good but you will never reduce car use when public transport does not run at times early am, late pm or weekend at a cost that is cheap!			
01940	Mr And Mrs Ronald Rossiter	01940/00001/009	
All laudable but Denmead has been left out of the loop for years, eg. No buses on Sunday or Bank Holidays and No buses after 6.30pm each weekday. So these objectives depend on an almighty reorganisation of the bus service.			
01956	Mrs Barbara Holyome	01956/00002/009	
2a Land marketing is finite so we cannot ensure range of sites and premises, if we do then Greenfield sites will inevitably be used. Need to balance business potential with land availability.			
01959	Sir Patrick Rowe	01959/00001/009	
Objective 5 un-deliverable by Winchester district alone. What measures does the council envisage to achieve the provision of health, education etc?			
01961	Mr Daniel Wilden	01961/00001/009	
The intent of these objectives is laudable but they are poorly drafted. They are also rather unambitious.			
01962	Mr John Harrison	01962/00001/008	
Not convinced of the need for increase or expansion. You say Govt. requires us to build 'x' houses - who has challenged that Population increase is the primary factor leading to all other ills, e.g. increased energy use, demand for more roads and transport.			
01965	Mrs Joyce Simmons	01965/00001/004	
All these are very idealistic and will not be brought about by building thousands of new homes in the district. The welfare of the current population should be considered first. Waste/recycling and water/sewage problems will ensue if large housing targets are forced upon us. Authorities will not be able to deliver on reducing carbon footprint: the quality of our lives will fall.			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
01967	Mrs Marion Harrison	01967/00001/008	
There is pleasant walking between villages but so often its' spoiled by poor footpaths, badly broken edges overgrown hedges and flooding after heavy rain.			
01968	Mr Barry Collins	01968/00001/009	
Many of these objectives fall outside the operations of the District council. What measures do the council envisage to bring these objectives to fruition - Health, Education etc.			
01969	Mr David Owen	01969/00001/009	
This seems to be an excuse for endless development and needs to be expressed in language that shows its limitations.			
01973	Mr Ewan Simmonds	01973/00001/009	
To mitigate against, and to adapt to, the impacts- better expresses we are trying to state.			
01979	Mr Ian Kingdon	01979/00001/009	
Ensure that all objectives are consistent with the need for personal mobility of the elderly or handicapped and that public transport is safe, available and cheap.			
01981	Mr Julian English	01981/00001/003	
All too bland and general to mean anything. They could be written for almost anywhere.			
01984	Mr Peter Gardner	01984/00001/008	
Keep building and development away from Winchester city. Develop Micheldever and similar places instead, providing the necessary services there, not in Winchester.			
01987	Mr Martin Pettigrew	01987/00001/008	
Objectives 3-5 are highly unlikely to be delivered through large scale housing development around Winchester town.			
01988	Mr Clive Jacob	01988/00001/007	
Objective 2: Great care is needed to prevent overdevelopment in what is a city of both national and international importance.			

**Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)**

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
01990	Mr Mark Miller	01990/00001/009	
2a: I do not want Winchester to grow into another Basingstoke or Andover. Winchester is special and must not become just another sprawling town/city.			
01991	Mr Stephen Adam	01991/00001/008	
I would like to see specific mention of continuing infrastructure provision by all agencies concerned- make this more explicit in objective 5 It's all very well to have one off contributions from developers and communities, but what of off site infrastrucutre provision and additional demands on services (eg. police/fire/ network rail etc )			
01992	Mr David Brown	01992/00001/008	
Retain Winchester as a historic city with organic growth only.			
01997	Mrs Chris Slattery	01997/00001/009	
Protect and promote the agricultural, tourist and cultural assests of Winchester, our other historic towns and villages and valued landscapes.			
01998	Mrs Susan English	01998/00001/003	
All too bland and general.			
01999	Mrs Barbara Garfath	01999/00001/009	
Obj. 1 Emphasis should be supporting business and enterprises needed by Winchester (not setting up businesses to provide a tempting variety of jobs)			
02000	Mr Henry Garfath	02000/00001/009	
Objective 1 is self- contradictory, viz "by ensuring that there are...the District's population" is in conflict with the an otherwise laudable were "Creation" to be replaced by "Maintenance." Objective 2 would be acceptable if "Provision" were to be replaced by "Ensure the maintenance." Objective 3 is dangerously ambiguous without a definition of "a special place." This needs to be properly defined in "the Vision" and then reviewed in the light of this. Objective 4 is too restrictive; the qualification "through promoting... carbon emissions" should be omitted. Objective 5 is muddled by lumping together both EXISTING communities and NEW communities; it needs to be rewritten so as to reflect differing approaches and opportunities afforded for maintaining and providing facilities that each affords. Objective 6 conflicts with the "green" approach of utilising or restoring existing opportunities by the restriction to "new;" as it stands is a charter for waste.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02001	Mr David Briggs	02001/00001/008	
I agree that businesses should expand to their full potential without limit as implied.			
02002	Mrs Sarah Marsh	02002/00001/009	
2a: Target manufacturing.			
02003	Mr Ptol Slattery	02003/00001/009	
Maintain tourist income and character and quality of life. Minimise flood risks to lives and income and homes. Economy needs heritage and tourist and knowledge assets of Winchester to use. Not to copy low value mass-value retail of elsewhere (and low income).			
02005	Mrs Marion Keen	02005/00001/009	
Objective 1: This sounds like a utopian vision, but sites available for businesses are not likely to conserve the landscape/townscape. This has the ring of an empty mission statement.			
02006	Mr Raymond Marsh	02006/00001/009	
It doesn't mention manufacturing which appears to be a dirty word locally.			
02008	Mr Caesar Slattery	02008/00001/009	
Promote high income knowledge based income to maximise Winchester intellectual assets. Low margin employment generates low revenue incomes preserve heritage and landscape for tourism economy preserve Greenfield to help above and climate change.			
02009	Mr Ian Embrey	02009/00001/009	
Protect the agriculture, touristic and cultural assets of historic Winchester, market towns and villages. Ensure that there is a range of Brownfield sites for homes and employment. Protect the countryside, habitats, biodiversity and water resources to promote health and quality of life for the community.			
02010	Mrs Sylvia Moss	02010/00001/009	
Brownfield sites must rate as the first action for future building.			
02011	Mrs Elizabeth Hutchinson	02011/00001/009	
Objective 5 is some what contradicting up until the last line. I agree			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02012	Mr Hugh Fox	02012/00001/009	
There is no obligation for people to live close to where they work. Yes, reduce the carbon footprint of commuting but commuting itself is not wrong. If you reduce commuting but destroy Winchester in the process, that is not a success			
02013	Mrs Fiona Rutherford	02013/00001/009	
1. Don't agree with the vision in the first place. 2-5. Nice to have but these should be put in place for the size of city we are now.			
02014	Mrs Carole Embrey	02014/00001/008	
Ensure a range of Brownfield site for homes and employment. Protect countryside.			
02015	Mr Derek Rutherford	02015/00001/009	
This is not a vision I agree with in the first place. The other objective are still relevant for own city's growth, but should be limited to a smaller growth projection.			
02019	Mrs Jennifer Hollis	02019/00001/008	
Stop or restrain immigration then we would not need so much housing. (The birth rate figures).			
02022	Mr Michael Carter	02022/00001/009	
These objectives are fine in theory. They are already enjoyed by the similar, more rural communities in the district. The proposed Step Change options for these communities will reduce not enhance these objectives.			
02032	Mrs Joyce Thatcher	02032/00001/009	
2F- Where if B/W is full of new buildings. Objective 5- People will still commute to Portsmouth/ Southampton/ Winchester as now. How many will want to work in B/W			
02038	Mr Philip Mason	02038/00001/009	
ref 26) Should not mix housing types (i.e.. high/low cost) in same development. Reducing carbon emissions is fine but will houses be built where there is sufficient local employment.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02047	Dr Nigel Atherton	02047/00001/009	
Objective 3 should address the majority of environments- not just the most "valuable". Objective 6 should support existing facilities and initiative first- not just focus on new initiatives.			
02049	Mrs Wendy Thorpe	02049/00001/008	
1+2 imply expansion. This area is already overcrowded and does not have the infrastructure to cope with more businesses and homes.			
02092	Mr Fergus Christie	02092/00001/009	
See objective 1 on the paper questionnaire.			
02093	Mrs Jane Graham	02093/00001/007	
I feel there is enough housing and as for "affordable housing" I don't know anyone who can afford the affordable housing".			
02103	Mr Bruce Horn	02103/00001/009	Southern Plannin
Objective 6 - Object to proposals to reduce the use of the car in villages and rural areas. A car is absolutely essential for getting around the area for work, leisure, shopping and services. Increased provision of bus services in these areas is not a viable proposition. The Plan must recognise the transport needs of people in different parts of the district.			
02104	Mr And Mrs T Goss	02104/00001/008	Southern Plannin
Objective 6: Object to proposals to reduce the use of the car in villages and rural areas. A car is absolutely essential for getting around the area for work, leisure, shopping and services. Increased provision of bus services in these area is not a viable proposition. The plan must recognise the transport needs of people in different parts of the district.			
02107	Mr T R Houghton	02107/00001/006	Southern Plannin
Reducing the need to use cars in rural areas and villages is not possible. The new plan should acknowledge the character of different parts of the District and their different transport needs.			
02108	Mr Mark Gregory	02108/00001/006	Southern Plannin
Option 6 - it is unrealistic to expect to reduce car use in villages and rural areas. A car is essential for access to services and shops. The plan must acknowledge that there are different transport needs in different parts of the District.			

**Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)**

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02109	Mr Stephen Gregory	02109/00001/006	Southern Plannin
Option 6 - its is unrealistic to expect to reduce car use in villages and rural areas. A car is essential for access to services and shops. The plan mustv acknowledge that there are different transport needs in different parts of the District.			
02110	Mr R Cowen	02110/00001/006	Southern Plannin
OBJECTIVE 6 - Object to proposals to reduce the use of the car in villages and rural areas. A car is absolutely essential for getting around the area for work, leisure, shopping and services. Increased provision of bus services in these areas is not as viable proposition. The plan must recognise the transport needs of people in different parts of the district.			
02111	On Behalf Of Rural Clients	02111/00001/003	Southern Plannin
The Core Strategy must recognise the pressures and problems of the rural communities and countryside and work with local people and landowners to achieve viable communities and the maintenance and good husbandry of the landscape.			
02112	On Behalf Of Commercial Clients	02112/00001/005	Southern Plannin
OBJECTIVE 1 - This objective needs to incorporate the RSS objectives for sustained economic growth and the target for PUSH of a 3% per annum in GDP. OBJECTIVE 5 - This is not to be used to frustrate the steps needed to achieve objective 1. The expanding economy will fund better infrastructure and services but will be held back by requirements to fund improvements in advance.			
02114	Southern Planning Practice	02114/00001/002	
OBJECTIVE 1 - This needs to be made wider to include all industries/commercial activity including those based on tourism and service industries (not just creative and knowledge based ones. Foster other bodies which bring economic benefit and employment, e.g. recreation, schools, universities, clubs, hospitals and administration.			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
---------------------------	-------------	--------------------------	--------------

02115	Mapledean Developments Ltd	02115/00001/006	Southern Plannin
-------	----------------------------	-----------------	------------------

Objective 6: Object to proposals to reduce the use of car in villages and rural areas. A car is absolutely essential for getting around the area for work, leisure, shopping and services. Increased provision of bus services in these areas is not a viable proposition. The Plan must recognise the transport needs of people in different parts of the District.

02123	Winchester College	02123/00001/008	Adams Hendry
-------	--------------------	-----------------	--------------

Would also strongly agree with Objective 1 were it to be amended as follows.

"Creation of an economy that promotes the varied talents of the District, building on the creative and knowledge based industries AND EDUCATIONAL ESTABLISHMENTS that exist, INCLUDING THOSE IN THE FURTHER, HIGHER AND INDEPENDENT SECTORS, whilst developing the agricultural, tourism and cultural assets of our historic towns and villages and valued landscapes, by ensuring that there are a range of sites and premises available for businesses AND OTHER ORGANISATIONS to set up and expand to meet their full potential and provide jobs to use the skills of the district's population." Please see also the accompanying background paper, which summarises the significance of Winchester college to the prosperity and cultural heritage of the town.

02126	Taylor Wimpey	02126/00001/008	Boyer Planning
-------	---------------	-----------------	----------------

Objective 2 should contain an explicit reference to the need to provide a sufficient quantity of housing in response to the strategic housing requirement.

02127	Bewley Homes Plc	02127/00001/007	Charles Planning
-------	------------------	-----------------	------------------

It is considered that reference towards carbon emissions within objective 2 is unnecessary as all types of development should aim to reduce carbon emissions. In any event objective 4 provides an overarching objective in relation to climate change issues. It is therefore recommended that the sentence "whilst reducing carbon emissions" is deleted from the objective 2.

02134	Winchester New Allotment Holders Society Ltd	02134/00001/001	
-------	--	-----------------	--

Hope this is amended to include the provision of allotments, after the list "health, education etc."

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02146	Mr R Parker	02146/00002/009	
Objective 1: I would suggest that the Council does not create the economy but creates an environment in which an economy can flourish. Objective 3: This seems to be a contradiction- the status quo cannot be maintained if changes are to be made. Be honest and say what you mean.			
02168	Mr And Mrs John And Elena Goodwin	02168/00004/009	
Again with the number of houses planned I see more chaos and carbon emissions than good.			
02174	Tichbourne Estate	02174/00001/008	Dreweatt Neate
Objective 2 - Need to accommodate the strategic housing requirement for the district, set out in the South East. Plan. Objective 6 duplicates objective 4.			
02193	Mrs Dagmar Slater	02193/00001/009	
I think objective 1 need to be qualified by adding that businesses should be restricted to existing business centres, i.e. cities (e.g. Winchester, Southampton, Fareham, Whiteley, Gosport etc.) which would then mean that fulfilment of the other objectives would require major developments close to these sites (e.g. Knowle, Whiteley, outskirts of Southampton and possibly further development of Fareham) rather than in rural backwaters such as Wickham and Bishops Waltham.			
02195	Eagle Star Estates Ltd	02195/00001/005	Barton Wilmore
Locations for the development should not cause harm to the built environment e.g. loss of green wedges such as Barton Farm will have unacceptable harm on the settings of Winchester.			
02238	Holmes And Sons	02238/00001/008	Dreweatt Neate
Objective 2 - need to accommodate the strategic housing requirement for the district set out in the South East plan. Objective 6 - duplicates objective 4			
02239	Trustees Of E M Toogood Settlement	02239/00001/008	Dreweatt Neate
Objective 2 - Need to accommodate the strategic housing requirement for the District set out in the South East plan Objective 6 - duplicates objective 4			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02258	Mr Malcolm Tatum	02258/00001/009	
<p>Objective 2 - The PUSH initiative is concentrating on housing and appears to be ignoring both market forces and infrastructure. Whilst Winchester District council can only monitor market forces and make PUSH aware of the true demand Vs supply situation it can influence the infrastructure in support of the major developments being planned. Adding even more homes to rural areas than is required to maintain sustainability will not be a solution but will in fact be detrimental to the already delicate balance of rural Vs urban existence.</p>			
02270	Mr James Cameron	02270/00002/003	
<p>best is objective 3, 2, 5 and 6 need to remove 'Green' aspects. Discard objective 4 and remove first 2 lines from objective 1.</p>			
02271	Miss Mary Parker	02271/00001/009	
<p>Something is missing - we need the shops in the centre of Winchester (and in other centres) which provide for day to day needs. Winchester city centre has very few food shops (it has lost greengrocers and fish shops and has only one butcher, and it lost Somerfields) and if current trends continue we will need to travel to the edge of town to buy our daily needs (increasing transport needs).</p>			
02272	Mr Andy Blaxland	02272/00001/004	
<p>Objective 3: This refers only to Winchester's "most valuable environments." This is a subjective phrase and thus open to different interpretation by different stakeholders. Suggest it could be reworded as: "Protection and enhancement of Winchester District's varied environments, whether urban or rural or built or natural, to ensure that the changes we are seeking maintain the District as a special place".</p>			
02274	Mr Peter McManus	02274/00001/009	
<p>Objective 1: Emphasis for the District other than the 'PUSH' area should NOT be on economic growth. Protect the greenfield landscapes by ensuring development is on greenfield sites. Protect the distinctiveness of historic towns in their landscape settings to promote the tourist economy. Provide housing where jobs are available, on previously developed land to promote regeneration and urban renaissance. Provide sustainable services and necessary infrastructure are in place before development is permitted.</p>			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02374	Campaign To Protect Rural England (CPRE)	02374/00001/008	
<p>2a Obj. 1: Sustaining an economy that promotes... industries that exist. Protect the agriculture, countryside, tourism and cultural assets of our District, historic towns, villages and rural landscapes. Ensure there are a range of Brownfield sites and premises in sustainable locations available to businesses etc.</p>			
02375	Winchester City Residents Association	02375/00001/009	
<p>Objective 1: Delete "set up and expand to meet their full potential and..." Subject to the amendment shown since it may not be possible to permit expansion to full potential.</p>			
02376	Ms Janet Mckenzie	02376/00001/008	
<p>To acknowledge that continued housing growth is not sensible or desirable and that the area is already over populated.</p>			
02380	Mr Ian Berry	02380/00001/009	
<p>Protection of agricultural land and greenfield sites. Protection of Winchester's unique landscape setting.</p>			
02381	Mr John Doyle	02381/00001/009	
<p>I think that addressing climate change is a national priority rather than one which city councils should address independently. It is appropriate to promote recycling etc, but we should not attempt to save the planet by efforts in a small part of Hampshire.</p>			
02385	Mrs Elisabeth Hopson	02385/00001/009	
<p>2C - The loss of Twyford Down does not sit well with this. You have already lost probably your most important site. 2E - The disaster known as Whitley, where I live, cannot now be made to match these high ideals - totally unachievable due to HCC intransigence and pigheadedness HCC will make a mess of this objective at every stage, the classic example is the provision of our 2nd school in Whitley.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02386	Mr David Griffiths	02386/00001/009	
<p>Generally, the individual objectives are too all-embracing; there is a need for sub-objectives so that opinions on particular issues (e.g. car use) can be presented in a more focused way. There is something of the Nanny State in all this. How are the objectives to be funded and what is the overall order of priorities. Objectives normally form part of a definite plan; the above objectives are really aims forming part of a vision. What we want is a business-like plan, costed and with time-lines.</p>			
02399	Mr Chris Southgate	02399/00001/008	
<p>Too many objectives are anti- motorist. Is there a reason why alternative forms of transport (walking, buses etc) cannot run and be intergrated alongside cars. Rather than attempting to replace them.</p>			
02403	Mrs Pat Mann	02403/00001/009	
<p>Rather than attracting new businesses, we should be finding ways of preserving what we already have.</p>			
02404	Mr Geoffrey Faithfull	02404/00001/009	
<p>The local area can not take any more development now.</p>			
02410	Mr Joseph Meider	02410/00001/008	
<p>My views are that we barely have the infrastructure to support our present population so the building of further hundreds/thousands of new homes is futile. Also when I moved to Bishops Waltham it was because we wished to live in a rural environment for ourselves and children. We were a village, then a small town and now obviously to become a big town and no longer rural.</p>			
02411	Mr Tommy Geddes	02411/00001/008	
<p>Objective 1: Creation of an economy that promotes the varied talents of the District, building on the creative and knowledge based industries that exist, whilst developing the educational, agricultural, tourism and cultural assets of our historic towns and villages and valued landscapes, by ensuring that there are a range of sites and premises available for businesses to set up and expand to meet their full potential and provide jobs to use the skills of the District's population.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02415	Ms Kelsie Learney	02415/00001/008	
<p>Consider removing tourism from Objective 1 and refer more to the creation of high value jobs and educating our existing workforce to fill them. The expansion of the low paid sector is going to continue to draw in labour from outside the district and make it hard to meet sustainability objectives.</p>			
02416	Mr David Thompson	02416/00001/009	
<p>2b- I would suggest that a specific target is given for renewables provided by new housing. Guildford specify 10% currently. Should the aim not be higher than this</p>			
02421	Ms Catherine Macintosh	02421/00001/009	
<p>In relation to objective 4 the district should be more proactive, following the example of Woking and setting up it own CHP company delivering poer at lower than the market rate. See Woking Borough's website. The larger development are earmarked in the PUSH zone and at Barton Farm offer huge opportunities.</p>			
02427	Mr Michael Emett	02427/00001/003	
<p>To achieve the vision (to develop the district as a sustainable place to live and work) it is suggested that a further objective is added, referring to the need to redress the imbalance between employment and labour supply and to alleviate the consequential unsustainable commuting patterns in Winchester Town by increasing housing supply.</p>			
02428	Mrs Christine Gardner	02428/00001/009	
<p>Build somewhere else There has been too much building in Winchester and its surrounding already. In particular, keep all the green fields and back gardens green.</p>			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02430	Mr Roger Challis	02430/00001/009	
<p>In Bishops Waltham the reality is you continue to approve the development of business sites for domestic housing re-development, and the local opportunities for employment continue to reduce. To then open up Greenfield sites for business development contradicts your claims to wish to protect the rural landscape. To open up Greenfield sites for domestic housing, especially en masse, in rural towns and villages increases car usage unless you can be sure every one of the increased population will work within the town or village - we all know they will not so this will increase car usage into Winchester or other working conurbations, clogging further arterial roads and country lanes alike. It is a historical fact that such developments, facility development does not match the growth in population. Interestingly you continue to reduce bus services, too. The alternative, ecologically is to develop the environs of the cities where the work already is, such as Winchester, Southampton, etcetera. Objectives 4 and 6 are sound.</p>			
02435	Mr William Stroud	02435/00001/009	
<p>Objective 1 is too woolly and all-embracing : what does this actually mean That the range of sites for business to expand will be where and what Does this include heavy industry, quarrying, sand extraction, etc Are you going to volunteer to become a nuclear waste disposal site What does this objective actually mean</p>			
02443	Mr Martin Froment	02443/00001/008	
<p>Objective 6: Tow issues, healthy lifestyle, keeping fit and transport. Objective 5 also cross references. Why not tackle it head on and do transport, recognise need to develop urban and rural solutions</p>			
02447	Mr Paul Cooper	02447/00001/009	
<p>It should be an objective of the District to maintain the individuality of the communities living within the District and not allow urban sprawl to erode the community spirit which is key to making this a great place to live.</p>			
02448	Miss Lois Gravely	02448/00001/008	
<p>Your vision sounds good but does not tally with what I see actually happening in Winchester where development seems to be badly planned and piecemeal.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02449	Mr Andrew King	02449/00001/008	
Any vision for the future founded on an economic model which is dependent on continual growth is fundamentally flawed, unsustainable and at odds with objectives 3-6.			
02454	Mr David Phillips	02454/00001/009	
Objective 2 is a distortion. The 80,000 houses being built in the South have nothing to do with the housing needs of the Districts population. They are a government devised target, imposed mainly for not being a 'Labour' voting area. This should be reflected in the objective and not buried out of sight.			
02457	Mr I K G Arnold	02457/00001/009	
As we do not agree with the vision it follows that we cannot agree with most objectives			
02461	Mr Steve Robinson	02461/00001/003	
These objectives are all reasonable and appropriate - I have no objection to any of them.			
02465	Ms Denise Corlett	02465/00001/008	
Objective 2: I neither want or feel the area can cope with any further housing developments in and around Alresford, Bishops Waltham, Wickham, Swanmore or Waltham Chase.			
02466	Mr Harry Booth	02466/00001/008	
Build housing where the jobs are, building rural areas only increase car use.			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02467	Miss Jane Flowerdew	02467/00001/009	
<p>Objective 2 - I have disagreed with the point 2 "there needs to be a range of housing types and tenures to address the varied housing needs of the Districts' population" because the needs of the population may change over time and there may therefore be a shift in the ratios of types of housing. So unless a statement such as "whilst maintaining the very special character" is added to the vision, there would be no control on the population balance. And if the community balance changed this would have a significant impact on the "very special character". Objective 4 - "For the district to mitigate against impacts and adapt to impacts of climate change, through promoting lifestyles and maximising the use of technologies that are available to reduce waste and carbon emissions". - Whilst i agree with this statement in principle, it cannot be allowed to be applied as a regulation without: any common sence; or respect for what was previously in excistance, acceptable and appropriate in its orgional form; or be allowed to degrade the "very special character". e.g. some of the daft building regulations that mean you have to change the character of a period property by changing period windows to ugly heavy double glazing units when they need replacing to meet current energy waste regulations - when it would have been far more energy efficiant overall to insulate the loft.</p>			
02468	Mr Stephen Webb	02468/00001/009	
<p>Don't use Tangier Lane allotments for building. Leave Abbey Mill industrial estate for jobs - not housing.</p>			
02469	Mr A Digby	02469/00001/009	
<p>I really think the infrastructure issues need addressing first. The infrastructure improvements should take 1st place priority over everything else- especially building more houses. More houses mean more people, more people mean more demand on existing infrastructure. Existing infrastructure is struggling to cope with the present population, let alone still yet more</p>			
02470	Mr Edward Du Boulay	02470/00001/009	
<p>Objective 2 is a paradox: I cannot see how providing a 'range of housing types' will reduce carbon emissions. Housing development will increase the district's population density, which will simply augment congestion of transport links and services. An alternative approach would be to improve the efficiency of population distribution by enhancing the capacity of existing housing estates which are already served by established services.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02477	Mr David Evans	02477/00001/009	
See comments on first page.			
02494	Mrs Katie Dutson	02494/00001/008	
All the above are valid and appear to be forward thinking and protective... however I can see that interpretation could be abused!			
02495	Mr John Dixie	02495/00001/009	
Objective 2: Improve the housing stock for an ongoing population of the present size by promoting improvements which reduce the carbon emissions and adverse.			
02498	Mr Barry Wright	02498/00001/009	
Build housing on Brownfield sites and I don't mean gardens.			
02499	Miss Elizabeth Thorn	02499/00001/008	
Wording of Q4 is clumsy, with 'impacts of' used twice, and neither appropriately Suggested wording 'The district will take steps to reduce climate change as much as possible, and to adapt to any changes that do occur, by promoting lifestyles and using available technology to reduce waste and carbon emissions.' I think this is much clearer.			
02500	Mrs Heather Smith	02500/00001/009	
As we don't all live and work in the city, use of the car is both necessary and in many cases the only reasonable means of getting to work.			
02504	Mr Peter Stevens	02504/00001/009	
I simply do not understand what is meant by an objective 'delivering the vision'. It's just jargon. It remains to be seen in the future if the achievement of any of the objectives will contribute to what is seen today as a 'vision'.			
02505	Ms Janette Lloyd	02505/00001/002	
2d: Should read mitigate or 'mitigate' climate change.			
02511	Cllr Malcolm Wright	02511/00001/008	
Objective 2: Provision of a range of housing needs of the Districts population. Objective 4: Not required as there is no evidence to support the proposed action.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02511	Cllr Malcolm Wright	02511/00002/007	
Objective 2- provision of a range of housing types, and tenures to address the varied sustainable housing needs of the districts population. Objective 4 - Not required as there is no evidence to support the proposed action.			
02513	Mr Alexander Lade	02513/00001/009	
Who says that we need more housing in this area and where is the proof?			
02515	Mr Chris Gillham	02515/00001/004	
Objective 1 - Promotion of these industries will threaten historic and valued landscapes. Objective 2 - What does this mean and how will it be achieved? Objective 3 - The Strategy proposed will destroy the landscape setting of Winchester. Objective 4 - Need to reduce carbon emissions not mitigate. Objective 5 - Not much evidence of this in the document. Objective 6 - Not much evidence of this in this document.			
02515	Mr Chris Gillham	02515/00002/008	
Objective 1 - Promotion of these industries will threaten historic and valued landscapes. Objective 2 - What does this mean? How will it be achieved Objective 3 - The strategy proposed will destroy the landscape setting of Winchester. Objective 4 - Need to reduce carbon emissions not mitigate. Objective 5 - Not much evidence of this in the document. Objective 6 - Not much evidence of this in the document.			
02516	Mr Matthew Barker	02516/00001/008	
These are platitudes. By saying that one agrees to them, one is saying that one could agree to almost anything. Say what you are actually going to do.			
02517	Mr John Clayton	02517/00001/009	
Generally all the above proposals are unacceptable to the current residents of the surrounding area and Bishops Waltham in general. The alternative is to build the infrastructure for existing householders and not create additional housing.			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02526	Mr David Jenkins	02526/00001/009	
Objective 1: Let's not get too hung up about developing Winchester as a business district. If it's right and natural for a business to be based in the District that is fine. We should not be going out of our way to encourage and attract more business usage within the District. Business should continue to gravitate naturally towards large business/ industrial areas (e.g. Portsmouth, Southampton, Reading, Basingstoke).			
02527	Mr David Webb Carter	02527/00001/009	
There is too much "clever" management speak. What is required is robust local government local government that can represent the inhabitants interests and oppose overbearing central government.			
02529	Mr David Carr	02529/00001/008	
Objective 2 should either not appear or it should be subservient to Objective 3.			
02535	Mr Paul Clarke	02535/00001/009	
I think local government has to stand up against central government. The housing targets imposed are projections presupposing that single occupancy housing will increase (and can do) indefinitely while being sustainable. Of course it isn't. Say no. If people's choice is between no home and sharing housing with others, they'll take the shared housing every time. You ought to manage/encourage shared occupancy (and I don't mean archteypal HMOs, with their attendant problems). Imaginative ideas aren't in the gift of most politicians, but imagination doesn't cost more, it just means getting out of your trapped ways of thinking. The way your questions are posed mean responders have to agree with the principle, which will give you ammo for claiming that they agree with your outlook, when in fact they don't.			
02538	Mr Joshua Layish	02538/00001/009	
Objective 2 is: (a) somewhat inappropriate as the local authority should set the regulatory framework for housing, not provide it: that is the role of the market; (b) placed far too high up the list: it is less important and less suitable for local authority involvement than the others.			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02544	Mr A E Dore	02544/00001/009	
<p>Two of the objectives include reference to reducing the use of motor cars. In Winchester this is possible because there are suitable alternatives (i.e. main line railway station and bus services). Elsewhere in the district the public transport services are minimal. A private car is essential to get anywhere, even to the nearest point where public transport is available. An alternative objective must be to provide adequate off-street parking for each household, adequate parking to allow easy access to the shopping facilities in each existing village and small town and to refuse planning permission for any new development, either residential or commercial, that does not provide for new garaging or parking to meet the needs of the residents of the residents/occupiers/customers.</p>			
02549	Mr Andy Crowe	02549/00001/009	
<p>All the objectives sound good, but the real test is what this means in reality. The first and fifth are too long winded - they will not make an impact if they are indigestible.</p>			
02550	Mrs Alison Matthews	02550/00001/009	
<p>2a) Line 1 "Creation" should be replaced by "sustain". Line 3 "Developing" is not necessarily desirable in relation to cultural assests or villages, suggest "protecting". Line 5 suggest inserting "in sustainable locations" after "premises".</p>			
02565	Mr Alfred Witham	02565/00001/008	
<p>Objective 2 should be directed more to the needs of those who work here rather than the needs of those who already live here, or would like to live here.</p>			
02566	Mrs Marilyn Curtis	02566/00001/009	
<p>Would prefer the housing need to be smaller as the town could not cope with a greater density of housing - the car parks are full, the doctors and dentists are full - where are the extra people going to access these services.</p>			
02567	Mrs Sandy O'Leary	02567/00001/009	
<p>Objective 1 is too focused around economic growth which has implications for all the following objectives and threatens the character of Winchester.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02569	Mr David Williams	02569/00001/009	
<p>Objective 2 - if we want to reduce CO2, we must maintain green fields as they actively absorb it. A very good reason to develop on Brownfield sites.</p> <p>Objective 5 - if I say that I agree with the provision of necessary services you are making me agree to your policy having new communities, as you have included this into the statement too. Therefore, to be fair and objective, there should be two separate statements; one about the existing community and one about the proposed community.</p>			
02576	Mr Anthony Thorpe	02576/00001/008	
<p>They are all linked You cannot reduce carbon emissions whilst expanding housing, infrastructure and improving public transport facilities.</p>			
02578	Mr F C Goodland	02578/00001/009	
<p>Winchester needs more executive housing like a hole in the head. It promotes traffic within Winchester and more commuting. What is the point of building affordable housing which is bought by wealthy Londoners as a weekend retreat. It needs to be tied to local needs and kept cheap. Talking about more walking, cycling and public transport sounds great and ticks all the right boxes but what is the council record. It has certainly done nothing for cyclists in recent years and I have no trust that their words mean anything now.</p>			
02591	Mr Gordon Larcombe	02591/00001/009	
<p>Too much anti-car sentiment and pandering to the Global Warming scaremongers. If you have ACTUAL valid data showing how your strategies will benefit the population then show it, otherwise please: Objective 2 delete; whilst reducing carbon emissions; Objective 4; Change to: promoting lifestyles and implementing processes and technologies that reduce waste Objective 5; This is just plain anti-car! Change to: Provision of the necessary services and support facilities in the right places at the right time, including health, education, shopping etc, to ensure our existing and new communities are attractive and safe places to live and work. Objective 6; Anti-car!! Change to Maximise new opportunities for walking, cycling, sport and recreational/play to promote healthy lifestyles. Try also to promote use of smaller, cleaner cars and provide more parking for them. This is then a win-win. More support for people and less proscription, please - it makes us miserable.</p>			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02612	Mr Edward Jackson	02612/00001/009	
Objective 2 - Housing 'need' has to be carefully defined to avoid commercially driven pressures for an excess of large executive style homes. The latter is what developers will say the local population, and especially immigrants to the District from the London area (i.e. more commuters), 'need'.			
02618	Ms Debbie James	02618/00001/009	
Winchester is about at bursting point, further large scale housing developments would be detrimental to the area.			
02621	Mr Rod Passingham	02621/00001/008	
Objective 2 might imply building on 'Greenfield sites' which is at odds with the other objectives. Objective 2 is to rebuild or refurbish old properties to match the future requirement without the need to encroach on areas that are currently 'green'			
02623	Mr Jon Taylor	02623/00001/009	
Sustainable planning and protection of the environment and green spaces.			
02624	Miss Magaret Glover	02624/00001/008	
Further housing in the area seems to be excessive over development and placing an enormous strain on other facilities, most particularly in the villages. Bishops Waltham being my main concern. Further housing would place a severe strain on the health system, already no NHS dentists, too few school places and a clogged road system. Crime and drugs are a problem as there is no-where for youngsters to meet and socialise.			
02627	Mr David Page	02627/00001/008	
The really important test is whether these objectives are genuinely adhered to in the formulation of future plans for the area, or merely worked around with the weasle words and platitudes to give the impression that they are being adhered to. Too many are hollow or soft objectives (e.g. "to encourage" instead of "to deliver" and none are quantified). As such, my experience tells me that they are, for all intents and purposes, meaningless; there is not one hard, quantifiable deliverable listed anywhere amongst them and, consequently, nothing to which anyone in a position of responsibility or power can be held to account.			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02630	Mr Robert Shepherd	02630/00001/009	
<p>I'll provide a statement, not an objective: Local/regional businesses are unlikely to be able to pay salaries commensurate with housing prices and costs of living, as Winchester, and such areas as Bisops Waltham are becoming the extended commuter zone for London and areas up to 1.5 to 2 hours journey time.</p>			
02637	Mr Ewan Withers	02637/00001/009	
<p>Nowhere is it stated that where a community cannot contain a large expansion, that it will be protected from such.</p>			
02639	Mr Matt Richardson	02639/00001/008	
<p>The provision of a range of housing types and tenures to address the varied housing needs of the Districts' population whilst reducing carbon emissions is a laudable objective, however, it is not for the Local Authority to dictate a range of housing types. This is a market obligation and I would therefore suggest an objective that seeks out to provide everyone the opportunity to have a decent home is a more appropriate one.</p>			
02644	Mrs Laura Millen	02644/00001/009	
<p>These objectives would deliver the vision but are they ideals rather than practicalities</p>			
02646	Mrs Samantha Baldwin	02646/00001/009	
<p>Objectives are specific to the area in question - it is not possible to comment correctly if the area to which the objectives apply, hasn't been stated.</p>			
02647	Mr Victor Hatch	02647/00001/008	
<p>Objective 1 should also include provision for some light engineering/manufacturing industries, not everybody wants to work in a call centre.</p>			
02656	Mr Andrew Mclay	02656/00001/008	
<p>It all depends on how objective 2 is planned.</p>			
02662	Mrs Lynda Banister	02662/00001/008	
<p>Objective 5; needs more emphasis on public transport run as public service not a profit-making enterprise, like mainland Europe. I don't see how transport net.. allows people to live near their work, housing and business location seem more important.</p>			

**Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)**

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02663	Mr Nigel Dollery	02663/00001/009	
Where is the importance of your residents?			
02665	Mr Martin Burton	02665/00001/009	
I don't agree with your vision statement. Why link these objectives to the vision, why not ask if they are good/bad objectives in their own right? Your wording seems wrong ("Please tick... agree or disagree with this vision") - are you asking people to agree with the vision, or with the objective?			
02666	Mr Peter Richardson	02666/00001/009	
While I agree with Objective 1 - this must be in balance with the other objectives and not override them.			
02670	Mr Nigel Paterson	02670/00001/009	
objective 2 - .....produce a range of housing types and tenures which matches the varied housing needs... objective 4 - ...lifestyles which are sustainable for the environment and maximising....			
02677	Mr Martin Orford	02677/00001/009	
Objective 4 is based on an assumption that man-made global warming is a scientifically proven phenomenon. Whilst natural climate change may be occurring as it always has, I am not persuaded that man-made global warming is anything other than a trendy fad. For all that, supporting sustainable transport such as cycling etc is to be encouraged nonetheless.			
02679	Mr David Renshaw	02679/00001/009	
If you cannot write a "vision" in clear simple English then these statements cannot help you deliver it			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02680	Mr William Hoskins	02680/00001/009	
<p>1. Most people are not working within the town and have to commute out. There isn't the opportunities to gain local employment. 2. Providing for the local population is commendable but in my town there is a need for about 30 new dwellings. There is currently a proposal to build approx 70 dwellings on the Abbey Mills site. So there is more than enough here to fill the local need. What this will do is bring people into the area and they will commute out just as the vast majority of people do now Therefore, people will use their own transport as the local buses have been cut and there is no train link. The trees on the Abbey Mills site were cut down last summer and the possibility of the trees helping to reduce this particular developments carbon footprint has been lost forever. Will there be an assurance from the council that no trees will be felled 4. See note 2 above. 5. The right time to improve the infrastructure is at the time of building. Whiteley I believe was promised a new access road when the development was built, probably over 10 years ago and I understand that all house holders at that time paid the council a substantial amount of money to go towards this. The road has not happened. How can any of us believe that the Council will fulfil its promise?</p>			
02682	Ms Lynne Cordell	02682/00001/003	
<p>Winchester District has been and always will be at the heart of historical Britain, if this is stripped away to make room for over development it just leaves a district that had it all but was too short sighted to see its beauty.</p>			
02685	Mr Malcolm Thornton	02685/00001/003	
<p>This is all very pretty words which can be read in many ways. It's a shame you wasted so much of my tax putting this meaningless drivel together.</p>			
02695	Mrs Amanda Hutchings	02695/00001/008	
<p>Winchester is and always will be a commuting centre for London: the commuters account for much of the wealth in the city. Unless there are new high value businesses in Winchester, this situation will not change. Therefore the objective should be to provide good commuting access to where the high value jobs are located, mostly London.</p>			
02704	Mr Richard Carpenter	02704/00001/009	
<p>When considering the development of housing it is essential to maintain and develop the essential infrastructure ahead of the growth of that housing.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02710	Mrs Magaret Hounsham	02710/00001/009	
<p>I do not believe it is possible to reduce carbon emissions in a key hub that has no railway station and is 15 minutes drive to the motorway, especially if the proposal is to increase the population who will necessarily have to work out of town. The plan also takes no account of the presence of a flood risk area in Bishops Waltham. Significant paving over of the land close to this flood plain will cause problems.</p>			
02716	Mr Adrian Wood	02716/00001/008	
<p>Objective 6 - attempting to reduce the need of cars in a largely semi rural environment is impractical.</p>			
02740	North Hedge End Consortium	02740/00001/008	Terence O Rourk
<p>Although we are in agreement with 2e, it is considered that in its current format it is too general , after all, what is the 'right time'. It is agreed that new development should not over burden existing local facilities, but similarly provision should not automatically be required for different services/facilities up front which could serve to prevent development. The council will need to consider viability and phasing issues on case by case basis.</p>			
02747	Mr Clive Felstead	02747/00001/008	
<p>Sustain an environment in which the needs of the local population are met and at the same time retaining and enhancing those values and features that make Winchester a special place.</p>			
02761	Mr John Lambert	02761/00001/009	
<p>During recent heavy rain the road drain outside my house was backed up and overflowing into the road. With large amounts of development the risk of flash flooding will obviously increase. I have never known this to have happened before. I have lived at the present address for twenty nine years.</p>			
02771	Mr Kevin Fry	02771/00001/009	
<p>I find one eludes to expansion of housing and industrial need to that of maintaining market town boundaries and dencities.</p>			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02779	Ms Linda Yeodal	02779/00001/008	
<p>New housing provision must reflect the needs and wishes of local inhabitants. We currently need a small number of sheltered homes for the elderly, not a massive, profiteering housing development that will completely overwhelm the current community and destroy our way of life.</p>			
02783	Mrs Dorothy Quiney	02783/00001/008	
<p>2e: I am not convinced that WCC is in a position to achieve this vision when provision of the necessary infrastructure etc is within the remit of other bodies. How does it propose to effect any improvement in a sustainable alternative transport system when the funding of such falls to other bodies Quite realistic to think that people in the rural villages will not use their private cars when there are no readily available public transport services e.g. service 69 last bus leaves Winchester at 6:50pm How does one compel HGV's to use the motorways provided for their use instead of using the B roads or better still get the goods delivered by the rail network In order to reduce the carbon footprint, as directed by government, one needs to.</p>			
02786	Mr Anthony Cailles	02786/00001/008	
<p>I agree with option 5 but to reduce reliance on the car, increased public transport is needed. However, bus companies are reluctant to operate acceptable levels of service to rural areas because these routes are not commercially viable. It therefore needs large amounts of public money to subsidise these services or take public transport back into public ownership. Neither is likely to happen and therefore option 5 is not achievable.</p>			
02793	Mrs Naomi Flynn	02793/00001/008	
<p>Winchester is already at saturation with its housing. It is impossible to build more houses while simultaneously reducing carbon emissions - the two are mutually exclusive. It would be better if objective 2 was simply a statement about carbon emissions.</p>			
02800	Mr Malcolm Rowland	02800/00001/009	
<p>The objective is inappropriate for Bishops Waltham and surrounding areas. Over development would spoil the current charm of the town and place undue strain on roads, sewage services and water supplies.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02801	Mr Geoff Bruty	02801/00001/003	
<p>Objective 6 is unlikely to be achieved. The Winchester Mobility Access Plan (WMAP) achieved very little in Winchester town; all that has been done is to make getting in and out of town more difficult for pedestrians and cyclists. The one and only dedicated cycle path in Middle Brook Street has been removed following the reversal of the one-way traffic system in Parchment Street; cycle lanes in Hillier Way are used permanently for car parking; traffic humps in Hillier Way/ Nuns Walk have made walking and cycling more hazardous but there are no improvements in the road surface; in Worthy Road few cyclists use the combined cycle/ foot path because cyclists and pedestrians sharing the path increases the danger to both. HCC surveys for the town have shown that, instead of encouraging cycling, it is on the decline. I strongly disagree because it is not achievable, given the restraints of Winchester's geography and a large increase in population.</p>			
02833	Mrs Gillian Mulley	02833/00001/009	
<p>Objective 4: There is a word missing. We should be 'promoting SUITABLE' lifestyles or equivalent. 'Promoting lifestyles' could mean anything. Objective 3: I object to the words 'most valuable'. This implies that any environment that doesn't fall into the 'most valuable' category won't be protected and enhanced. This could lead to huge pressure from spectators and developers to get parcels of land classified as 'not most valuable' so that they fall outside the terms of this objective- leading to further marginalisation of some agricultural land etc. I think the two words 'most valuable' should be removed from this objective.</p>			
02847	Mr Stuart Lindsay	02847/00001/009	
<p>Difficult to tell what some of these will mean in practice. Some objectives contain parts I strongly agree with, mixed with unclear other parts.</p>			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02871	Ms Jo Hayward	02871/00001/007	
<p>Objective 1: "by ensuring that there are a range of sites and premises available for businesses to set up and expand to meet their full potential and provide jobs to use the skills of the District's population;" This does not mean that people in the area will work at these new businesses. As many of the businesses are in the area, people travel to the village to work. This is clearly evident with the amount of traffic around peak travel times. Have you ever tried to get out of Claylands Road at rush hour</p> <p>Objective 2: "Provision of a range of housing types and tenures to address the varied housing needs of the Districts' population whilst reducing carbon emissions". Recently, all the developments have been flats. All the houses are large properties which are way over budget for local people. More and more we are seeing people coming into the village from outside which again pushes house prices up. Myself and my partner earn a reasonable wage, but we can't afford to move from our one bed flat into a bigger property. I have lived in the village (and Swanmore) for thirty three years, and my parents are local. Why can't we afford a bigger property and why aren't options available for us If i was a single mother mother living on benefirs then I would be given a council house We are the kind of people that get forgotten and can't move on in life- unless we leave the village. There are many people in the village that have moved here and commute to London to work on a daily basis. This needs to be addresses.</p> <p>Objective 4: "maximising the use of technologies that are available to reduce waste and carbon emissions". How is this going to be achieved if you build more houses This will mean an increase in cars travelling out of the village to work on a daily basis</p> <p>Objective 5: " Provision of the necessary services and support facilities in the right places at the right time, including health, education, shopping etc". This needs to be addressed now, not with 1000 houses I can't get a NHS dentist in the area and keep my doctor at Wickham as Bishops Waltham has such a bad reputation. "places to live and work, and encourage sustainable transport alternatives that reduce the use of the private car and enable people to live close to where they work." The bus services in this area are very limited and not regular. Most people commute outside of the village to work. There aren't the skilled jobs in the area with good wages. People have to go further afield to earn a good wage so they can afford to stay in the village</p> <p>Objective 6: "Maximise new opportunities for walking, cycling, sport and recreation/ play to promote healthy lifestyles and to reduce the need to use the cae." There is no issue with walking in the village and area- we have plenty of scope for this- and many people do take advantage of this. You will never reduce the need for a car unless you build supermarkets and large businesses are based here for people to work at.</p>			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02872	Mr Peter Henley	02872/00001/003	
All the above are wonderful objectives, how can anyone disagree? BUT it is utopia - heaven on earth!			
02874	Mr Michael Hollis	02874/00002/009	
Little emphasis placed on sheltered housing for the aged, little mention on the retention and planting of trees. No faith that transport will be improved.			
02887	Mrs Judy Marsh	02887/00001/004	
In BW itself small industrial sites have been gradually eroded and replaced with more houses to date, it is difficult to see how these businesses will be encouraged back?			
02895	Mrs Wendy Ingamells	02895/00002/008	
Option 2 Alternative: Provision of a range of housing types and tenures to address the varied housing needs of the districts population whilst reducing carbon emissions; which promotes natural growth for every village and town. This would be achieved by increasing the housing capacity of each village and town by 3.5% over the next 20 years so that the character of each is not compromised or destroyed. This 'natural growth' would have very little effect on each local community and would not necessitate the need for increased infrastructure.			
02910	Mrs Sarah Walker	02910/00001/008	
I agree with the idea of reducing carbon emissions but feel that the building of more housing contradicts the objective to protect our urban and rural environment as we are at risk of becoming part of an urban sprawl spreading along the south coast.			
02917	Mrs Sarah Goddard	02917/00001/009	
Objective 1: Why on earth do we need to create this economy, why havn't you done it already How much more time does a council need Objective 2: We have too many houses in our district as it is, building more houses can only increase the carbon footprint, if you want to reduce it then you need to reduce the number of homes not increase. Objective 3: There is precious little green space left, it must all be protected. Objective 4: Absolutely, our most important legacy will be the state we leave the planet in. Objective 5: Makes good sense, but if you increase the size of the population how will you cope with the extra demand on services, it's hard enough as it is. Objective 6: Just leave enough green space so that we can all enjoy our recreation.			

**Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)**

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02925	Mrs Gaynor Parker	02925/00001/009	
<p>Create a balance of housing and industry that minimises the distances travelled to work. Consider the increase in congestion before allowing any development. Develop and encourage the use of effective and affordable public transport.</p>			
02932	Mr Jonathan Simmons	02932/00001/009	
<p>Objective 2 is misguided and wrong. It is impossible to reduce carbon emissions by increasing the housing stock. Also, while the "vision" is fine, pandering to housing demand cannot be sustained long term until we have a stable population. Simply building houses will only fuel population increases and exacerbate existing social problems. An alternative for objective 2 could be: "Ensuring that schemes are in place to enable key workers (such as nurses and teachers) to afford accommodation near their places of work, while striving to protect the district from overdevelopment."</p>			
02934	Mrs Eloise Appleby	02934/00001/009	
<p>I would like to see access to cultural services (culture its very broadest scene i.e. all those activities and things that make our lives worthwhile) made as important as access to local services and transport. Objective 5 and the rest of the document routinely refers to doctor's surgeries and schools, but need to make space for people to meet, enjoy, learn and achieve together which won't always be a community centre. There is also no mention in these objectives of ensuring that new developments and communities will be special places that we can be proud of. Obj 5 talks about attractive but thats really bland - they should have character and distinctiveness, neighbourhoods of which we can be proud. Objective 6 should mention culture specifically - you can't get physically healthy doing dance or drama or singing etc, and mental health from other cultural pursuits. Not clear whether this objective is really about not using the car or being healthy</p>			
02936	Mr Randolph Mulley	02936/00001/008	
<p>In objective 3, replace 'Winchester District's most valuable environments' with 'almost all of Winchester Districts environments'. The reason I suggest this change is that Objective 3 as it stands could be seen as an invitation to divide Winchester District into that which is 'most valuable' and that which is not so valuable, and then to regard these less valuable places as not needing any protection or enhancement.</p>			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02939	Mr Lucian Warwick-Haller	02939/00001/009	
<p>Objective 1- Clearly employment is needed but substantial developments in a rural district is inappropriate. Objective 2- Reduced carbon footprints can be achieved by avoiding big developments more effectively than by providing energy- efficient new housing, desirable though that is where developments are undertaken. Objective 5- Limiting growth would limit the need for additional facilities and more roads.</p>			
02941	Mr Piers Austin	02941/00001/008	
<p>In all cases it should read reduce the need to use private cars. This needs to be a proactive target or objective.</p>			
02944	Mr Andrew Bennett	02944/00001/009	
<p>It is not that I disagree with your objectives it is simply that your actions contradict most of your stated objectives Your housing policy aims at overdeveloping and so ruining small towns and villages rather than building new sustainable communities within the huge areas of prairie land that our farmers have created: the obsession with green belt is a huge mistake you "protect" sterile ruined countryside at the expense of jamming blocks of flats into country towns. I am amazed that you dare to talk about reducing carbon emissions when you have done nothing to challenge the slashing of the 69 bus route. Public transport is very expensive reducing usage and is, as a result, withering away- so please don't talk about climate change when you are encouraging car use. Objective 5 talks about education being in the right place yet children in the southern parishes have to travel to Winchester or Eastleigh for their sixth form education, and the trend, as you well know, is to continue the move to fewer and bigger schools, so again your objective is meaningless.</p>			
02968	Miss Joy Spiler	02968/00001/028	
<p>Since I believe the vision is flawed the objectives scarcely matter.</p>			
03010	Mr Peter Middleton	03010/00001/008	
<p>There is no evidence to the provision of an adequate infrastructure or to the avoidance of damage to the rural areas.</p>			

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
03029	Mr Robert Toleman	03029/00001/003	
The strategic objectives should be to find out what the existing residents need. I believe a great deal of planning is required to sort out the infrastructure to serve your existing rate payers.			
03047	Mrs Fleur Wainwright	03047/00001/009	
Economy is already fine.			
03058	Mr Andrew Rigg	03058/00001/008	
Objective 4 should be stronger and suggest additional wording to cover. For the District to mitigate against the impacts of and adapt to the impacts of climate changes, through sustainable lifestyles, support for production and consumption of renewable energy, support use of technologies to reduce waste and carbon emissions etc.			
03059	IBM	03059/00001/009	
Objective 2: Provision of a range of housing types and tenures to address the varied housing needs of the Districts' population. Objective 4: For the District to mitigate against impacts of and adapt to the impacts of climate change, through educating the public and promoting lifestyles to reduce waste and carbon emissions, while minimising the intrusive nature of surveillance on the tax paying public.			
03067	Denmead Village Association	03067/00001/004	
Development needs to occur near work facilities in order to reduce carbon emissions.			
03068	Dr Sheila E Gould	03068/00001/007	
Objective 2 - More housing only to meet the needs of the existing population. Not to draw in more people from elsewhere. Objective 4. Waffle! What does promoting lifestyles mean in practice?			
03070	Dr Robert A Gould	03070/00001/008	
All are laudable, but 2a and 2b involve creation of housing and business in an area becoming overwhelmed by the drift of both of these to the South of England.			
03071	Mr John Hayter	03071/00001/009	
Detailed wording changes suggested for all objectives.			

**Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)**

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
03080	Winchester Housing Board	03080/00001/001	
<p>Eradication of affordable housing need and meeting newly arising need should be a strategic objective. The provision of a range of tenures should allow housing to meet local need and for social rent to be prioritised. Maximisation of provision of affordable housing should be top priority, subject to sustainability and viability.</p>			
03082	City Of Winchester Trust	03082/00001/009	
<p>All objectives are appropriate but incomplete, and there should be an 7th objective, requiring the highest possible standards of design, giving expert design guidance at the outset.</p>			
03104	The Theatres Trust	03104/00001/001	
<p>Object to use of 'etc'. Suggested text: "facilities and services that provide for the health, welfare, social, educational, leisure and cultural needs of the community".</p>			
03105	Save Barton Farm Group	03105/00001/009	
<p>Objective 1: 2a. Emphasis for the District other than the 'PUSH' area should not be on economic growth. Add: Protect the greenfield landscapes by ensuring development is on greenfield sites. Protect the distinctiveness of historic towns in their landscape settings to promote the tourist economy. Provide housing where jobs are available, on pdl to promote regeneration and urban renaissance. Provision that sustainable services and necessary infrastructure are in place before development is permitted.</p>			
03135	Hampshire And Isle Of White Wildlife Trust	03135/00001/004	
<p>Concerned that there is no objective relating to ensuring the growth takes into account the carrying capacity of the infrastructure such as water resources.</p>			
03199	Sport England (South East Region)	03199/00001/005	
<p>Sport England fully supports the fifth and sixth objectives but as stated above it is our opinion that the sixth objective in particular should be shown to have a clearer link to the wording in the vision. In addition, it is suggested that the objective should read 'maximise new and all existing opportunities' in order for example to ensure that all existing land and facilities for sport and recreation are promoted and their full potential realised alongside new opportunities.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 2g. If you think an objective is inappropriate, please suggest an alternative.

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
03202	Mr M Charrett	03202/00001/002	
The objectives would be acceptable if the Spatial Strategy offered an acceptable, sustainable and non- destructive solution, but it most certainly does not.			