

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00009	Compton And Shawford Parish Council	00009/00001/042	
Winchester should set the lead in exceeding minimum targets but question whether requirement to produce 20% energy from renewable sources is realistic.			
00015	Durley Parish Council	00015/00001/008	
Should be taking a lead, if achievable.			
00015	Durley Parish Council	00015/00002/041	
Should be taking a lead, if achievable.			
00016	Hambledon Parish Council	00016/00001/048	
Climate Change increases flood risk, which leads to homelessness, with dwindling secure food supplies. Winchester Town has the highest "Carbon Footprint" per capita in the UK.			
00025	New Alresford Town Council	00025/00001/039	
Prefer Option 2, with exception to water. Better storage and collection of water, effective drainage and not building on flood plains and water meadows.			
00029	Otterbourne Parish Council	00029/00001/021	
Difficult to exceed national targets.			
00043	Wonston Parish Council	00043/00001/037	
Once again, we are being asked to choose between Options when the optimum policy is almost certainly a hybrid. What we should be doing is adopting Option 1 to ensure we achieve statutory requirements and then, whenever the opportunity offers itself to pursue the more ambitious targets of Option 2, we go for it. If "going for it" jeopardises the business case, or threatens to undermine the primary target, then we put Option 2 to one side in that instance.			

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00084	South East England Regional Assembly	00084/00001/007	
Measures should make maximum possible contribution to mitigation. Should at least deliver commitments of Policy SH14 of draft SE Plan.			
00089	Hampshire County Council	00089/00001/033	
All new development should be directed towards most sustainable locations and fully exploit opportunities to great renewable energy. HCC request that Winchester is mindful of the financial implications of Option 2 and the viability and delivery of new development- some flexibility may be required.			
00090	English Heritage	00090/00001/007	
Climate change. English Heritage guidance on the historic environment and climate change (link provided)			
00091	Natural England	00091/00001/010	
To ensure that the natural environment is resilient in the face of climate change. Four areas are crucial: water use and flooding; air quality and pollution; greenhouse gas emissions; the use of renewable resources.			
00096	Southern Water	00096/00001/003	
Demand for water rising as a result of increased per capita consumption and population and household growth. Support adoption of BREEAM standards, Code for Sustainable Homes and use of SUDS.			
00121	Mr A Foster	00121/00001/041	
Set example. Think global, act local. WCC will be left behind unless the opportunities are taken now.			
00127	Mr P Turner	00127/00001/043	
Winchester District should aim for the highest measures, not be a junior partner of PUSH. In the timescale of the plan period, most LPAs will be aiming for the highest.			
00270	Ms Meriel Walton	00270/00001/040	
Long term advantage.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00280	Mrs Cheryl Berry	00280/00002/029	
Strengthen policies to protect areas subject to flood risk especially Barton Farm which is subject to repeated flooding eg. 2000/2001			
00320	Ms R Wetherill	00320/00002/044	
Why should the economic burden of achieving beyond national targets be imposed on Winchester			
00381	Mr Paul Byers	00381/00001/028	
To encourage more effort then at least the lower target may be met!			
00415	Ms Laura Clarke	00415/00002/029	
Someone has to take a lead and show other communities what can be achieved. There are many well educated, comfortably off residents in the district who I think would be supportive of making more changes themselves. New housing must be sustainable. I would support an expansion of the current recycling and biomass plant schemes.			
00511	Mr Keith Story	00511/00002/041	
Long term sustainability is more important than short term profitability and economic growth. Winchester district should help to set an example to the rest of the UK and beyond. Green tourism could compliment history tourism. Winchester's reputation as the most polluting city in the UK should be reversed by requiring all residential developments of 15 houses or more and all commercial developments (eg. shops, offices) to be carbon neutral.			
00556	Mr A Gossling	00556/00002/040	
WWF study identified Winchester as having worst carbon footprint in UK.			
01008	Mr And Mrs Anthony And Helen Drury	01008/00003/024	
The climate change issue has been hijacked by self seeking environmentalists and councils bent on using the issue as a revenue earner or cost/service cutter. The council should do what is necessary but should not assume that the residents wish to be saddled with additional, increased and un-necessary cost that appears to be nothing more than cost cutting exercise and job creation scheme. This is not necessary nor productive.			

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01065	Mrs J M Lucas	01065/00002/031	
Minimum requirements are not sufficiently ambitious. If an increase in population is going to be in this area we must aim to exceed minimum requirements or we shall only be standing still.			
01083	Ms Elizabeth Robinson	01083/00002/034	
Please remove Winchester District from PUSH- we do not want to be any more urban than we already are.			
01151	Mr C H Dore	01151/00002/029	
Simply because if we do not act quickly to reduce waste/carbon production our children will have a poor future and will have to spend even more money to undo the damage we gave allowed to happen.			
01265	Ms N A Holladay	01265/00002/042	
This needs a climate expert to respond, but the more we do as a communtiy the better will be ther result.			
01269	Mrs Haley Russell	01269/00002/038	
Our district should aim to set our targets high in the need for climate change.			
01283	Mr And Mrs Roy And Jill Phillips	01283/00002/033	
Would like to see option 2 - However I can see that would not be affordable to the majority of people especially as our council tax is at the maximum.			
01426	Mr And Mrs Catling	01426/00003/029	
Climate change is such an important issue for the future of our children, "we are borrowing the planet from our children's children"			
01460	Mr Michael John Bennett	01460/00002/026	
Everything we do is a waste of time until someone stops China polluting the planet Of course we must try to reduce carbon output, but crippling high cost measures are no good.			

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01501	Revd David Simpson	01501/00005/031	
With an expanding population current levels of environmental damage need to be significantly reduced to ensure they don't exceed existing levels. With current knowledge of the damage being caused by pollution, over-reliance on unsustainable energy supplies, we need to aim for the very highest standards.			
01630	Mrs Eleanor Watts	01630/00002/032	
Aim to exceed the minimum climate change targets would be more economical in the long term.			
01821	Ms J Chapman-Andrews	01821/00003/016	
Because climate change is the biggest threat to human health and we must do this for the sake of future generations.			
01920	Mr And Mrs Simon And Jane Merryman	01920/00001/037	
Everyone needs to consider their effect on the environment and therefore the district should aim to exceed to minimum.			
01922	Mrs Lynda Parker	01922/00001/036	
Because we should take a long-term approach to protecting our environment and planet.			
01923	Captain John Ellis	01923/00001/048	
Gov must lead this target as it has access to finances and regulatory powers.			
01924	Mrs Valerie Spendlove	01924/00001/036	
Because the problem is not going to go away and we must take it very seriously for the sake of our Grandchildren.			
01926	Mrs Fiona Turner	01926/00001/036	
By setting higher targets there is a better chance of exceeding minimum even if the authorities targets are not met.			
01933	Mr David Parratt	01933/00001/018	
Climate change should not be a planning matter.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01935	Mrs June Chilton	01935/00001/038	
If option 2 is aimed for 2012, it will probably exceed option 1 by at least 50-60%.			
01939	Mrs Jean Buckle	01939/00001/039	
Reduction of energy consumption and conservation of water			
01947	Mrs Samantha Warman	01947/00001/029	
Believe that council should be proactive and ambitious in environmental issues.			
01954	Mr Simon Evans	01954/00002/031	
Climate change does not exist, it is made up and recycling waste and reducing carbon has no effect on the climate of the planet. You could reduce carbon by plugging volcanoes			
01956	Mrs Barbara Holyome	01956/00002/041	
We should aim for better than minimum but accept that not all targets can be achieved outside urban areas. If we do not aim high then climate change could force us to more drastic measures.			
01958	Commander Robin Whiteside	01958/00001/040	
More stringent targets need to be set for the whole country.			
01960	Mr Ian Merritt	01960/00001/043	
Because Winchester should take the lead on climate change.			
01961	Mr Daniel Wilden	01961/00001/043	
Winchester has a very high ecological footprint			
01962	Mr John Harrison	01962/00001/035	
Because the minimum standards are farcical and will lead to climate catastrophe in the near future.			
01964	Mr John Beveridge	01964/00001/044	
Winchester has performed poorly in a recent assessment of greenhouse gas emissions compared to other areas. Also being an affluent area it could afford the extra costs involved.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01965	Mrs Joyce Simmons	01965/00001/037	
Winchester District will not be able to meet their targets on climate change with proposed overdevelopment. All new homes could currently be built with solar panels but this is not happening- price prohibitive			
01967	Mrs Marion Harrison	01967/00001/034	
Urgent need to reduce energy requirements.			
01969	Mr David Owen	01969/00001/037	
By aiming above the minimum requirement the focus will hopefully change in respect of the extent of the development that can be achieved without exceeding the set urban limits.			
01970	Mr David Poole	01970/00001/040	
Time is of the essence.			
01973	Mr Ewan Simmonds	01973/00001/045	
In order to take as reasonable view as possible as to the long term effects of climate change on our community .			
01977	Mrs Ann Judd	01977/00001/039	
Very special county of England.			
01985	Ms Angela Sealey	01985/00001/031	
Because UK is far behind in adopting to rapidly accelerating climate change. Its vital for our Grandchildren that we adopt now and fast.			
01987	Mr Martin Pettigrew	01987/00001/015	
As the county town Winchester should lead by example. Also development on the levels intended have to move towards more sustainable environmental living.			
01989	Dr Keith Paskins	01989/00001/041	
Every planning authority should be giving priority to tackling climate change and half-hearted measures will not work.			
01993	Mr Jock Macdonald	01993/00001/027	
Everybody should be. Launch time is going to be between 2015 and 2020. It could even be earlier, if you believe the latest reports.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01994	Miss J Nicholson	01994/00001/041	
If we do not then with all the new housing we will be submerged in our own rubbish wont have enough water or food or energy if we don't do this. We will destroy most of Britain.			
01995	Mrs Diana Paskins	01995/00001/041	
Priority must be given to tackling and halting climate change. Token efforts are no good.			
01997	Mrs Chris Slattery	01997/00001/044	
World Wildlife Fund Study of 23 October 2007 says Winchester has the WORST (Highest) carbon footprint per person in the whole UK- need to limit Climate Change environmental damage- minimise transport emissions, heat waste (use more heat insulation) etc.			
01999	Mrs Barbara Garfath	01999/00001/040	
There is more of a long term vision on option 2. Option 1 would be out of date and inadequate very quickly therefore the more expensive in the long run.			
02003	Mr Ptol Slattery	02003/00001/049	
Winchester already has excessively high carbon footprint "per capita." Minimise transport emissions, maximise public transport, minimise heat loss. Preserve agriculture- climate change floods food sources. Hampshire farmers generate income and market.			
02008	Mr Caesar Slattery	02008/00001/049	
World wildlife fund study 23/10/2007 says Winchester has highest carbon footprint per capita in the UK. Minimise emissions, Use heat insulation, etc. Avoid flood risk areas, avoid Greenfield build to reduce climate change.			
02009	Mr Ian Embrey	02009/00001/015	
Winchester has the highest "Carbon Footprint" in the UK (WWF Study 2007). Need to limit Climate Change environmental damage. Minimise transport emissions, heat waste etc.			
02010	Mrs Sylvia Moss	02010/00001/015	
Winchester already has the highest carbon footprint per person in UK.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02011	Mrs Elizabeth Hutchinson	02011/00001/020	
They are required thing but the coutry, and its good to start in Winchester given its current high carbon footprint.			
02019	Mrs Jennifer Hollis	02019/00001/039	
Climate change is a natural phenomen that takes place every few thousand years. We must prepare			
02020	Mr Tim Dicker	02020/00001/024	
As a country we do not set our sights high enough - we should aim high, be leaders (either regionally, nationally or globally) in doing our bit. I feel many of our leaders lack ambition or confidence to go that extra mile and really mean business in tackling this issue.			
02022	Mr Michael Carter	02022/00001/038	
Response to climate change will depend on the development of various technologies. This is a very complex subject and the response should be evidence based requiring the input of appropriate engineers and technologists. This is beyond the remit of most members of the public.			
02023	Mr Micheal Sadler-Forster	02023/00001/031	
Never go for minimum. But this doesn't mean that all the bullet points in option 2 are sensible/relevant or even achievable. Major new developments eg. SDA's should be "eco" towns.			
02033	Mr And Mrs Dennis And Yvonne Mills	02033/00002/033	
Realistically if higher targets are set the minimum might be achieved.			
02070	Mr And Mrs Roger Hockin	02070/00001/042	
26-32% is totally unrealistic. Higher targets are just risible			
02075	Mr Nicholas Jonas	02075/00001/015	
Much better public transport to/from towns/stations/shops to avoid use of cars.			
02092	Mr Fergus Christie	02092/00001/042	
Flood takes away homes and food, climate change increases flood risk.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02096	White Young Green Planning	02096/00001/025	
<p>We choose option 1 and it is recommended because, whilst the issue is important, the technologies to allow new development to meet the minimum requirements are still in their relative infancy and only when all new development can meet the Option 1 requirement should the policy be extended to 'raise the bar.' Otherwise the Council may have to grant too many exceptions to the policy reducing its credibility or stifling development which is not yet able to comply with the option 2 requirements and remain viable.</p>			
02115	Mapledean Developments Ltd	02115/00002/009	Southern Plannin
<p>The manner in which this text has been phrased is considered misleading. The targets set out under Option 1 are not the bare minimum, as suggested by the wording in the text. The targets which are being set reflect those which have been addressed at a national level and which have been the subject of very detailed and thorough assessment. These targets are ambitious and will, on their own, still be difficult to achieve in every high regard on individual sites. There is no case for a blanket imposition of higher targets across the District whilst recognising that it may be feasible to negotiate improved levels on individual schemes.</p>			
02117	Persimmon Homes	02117/00001/015	Southern Plannin
<p>The manner in which this question has been phrased is considered misleading. The targets set out under option 1 are not the bare minimum, as suggested by the wording in the text. The targets which are being set reflect those which have been addressed at a national level and which have been the subject of very detailed and thorough assessment. These targets are ambitious and will on their own still be difficult to achieve in every regard on individual sites. There is no case for a blanket imposition of higher targets across the district whilst recognising that it may be feasible to negotiate improved levels on individual schemes.</p>			

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02118	Highbridge Properties	02118/00001/007	Southern Plannin
<p>The manner in which this question has been phrased is considered misleading. The targets set out under option 1 are not the bare minimum, as suggested by the wording in the text. The targets set out under option 1 are not the bare minimum, as suggested by the wording in the text. The targets which are being set reflect those which have been addressed at a national level and which have been the subject of very detailed and thorough assessment. These targets are ambitious and will on their own still be difficult to achieve in every regard on individual sites. There is no case for a blanket imposition of higher targets across the district whilst recognising that it may be feasible to negotiate improved levels on individual schemes.</p>			
02120	Mr C Hazzard	02120/00001/004	Southern Plannin
<p>The manner in which this question has been phrased is considered misleading. The targets set out under option 1 are not the bare minimum, as suggested by the wording in the text. The targets which are being set reflect those which have been addressed at a national level and which have been the subject of very detailed and thorough assessment. These targets are ambitious and will on their own still be difficult to achieve in every regard on individual sites. There is no case for a blanket imposition of higher targets across the district whilst recognising that it may be feasible to negotiate improved levels on individual schemes.</p>			
02123	Winchester College	02123/00001/015	Adams Hendry
<p>Support Option 1 because the imposition of higher requirements would penalise local employers and hamper economic growth.</p>			
02127	Bewley Homes Plc	02127/00001/034	Charles Planning
<p>It is not reasonable for the council to adopt an approach which exceeds National Government expectations in relation to climate change. Option 1, whilst setting out the minimum targets, would not restrict developers or landowners to exceed those targets if circumstances allowed, including the availability of suitable technology.</p>			

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02146	Mr R Parker	02146/00001/002	
Need to positively consider all renewable generation schemes in particular wind and CHP and aim for higher standards as it has been left too late to start making significant contributions to the 115MW target by 2010. Therefore should:- - Adopt greater than 20% Merton standard - All targets to apply equally across the board - Encourage greater community participation in off site generation and ability to obtain 'green electricity' - Explore sites for wind turbines in areas of large agro-business			
02168	Mr And Mrs John And Elena Goodwin	02168/00004/016	
Is the responsible thing to do.			
02170	Mrs Caroline Jezeph	02170/00001/041	
Should aim as high as possible			
02171	Miss Charlotte O'Neill	02171/00001/044	
Should aim as high possible.			
02193	Mrs Dagmar Slater	02193/00001/049	
To protect the lovely countryside in Hampshire and the numerous wildlife reserves and also to slow down the relentless development in the English countryside.			
02229	Gleeson Homes	02229/00001/047	Turley Associates
The Core Strategy should be sufficiently flexible to react to emerging policy guidance or technologies, allowing such requirements to be exceeded on a site by site basis where appropriate and viable.			
02244	Mrs Penelope Dixie	02244/00001/011	
Not just Winchester, every authority should be acting this way.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02245	Mr Marcus Heys	02245/00001/039	
<p>If there were more stringent targets, then the likelihood of concreting over the carbon dioxide munching landscape would lesson and concentrate the minds of developers and planners to building where the jobs are, i.e. in cities, where they do not need vehicles, where the infrastructure is already in place. Rebuild on run down areas (and there are quite a few in Southampton) and when they do build, build upwards creating apartments and not outwards, thus protecting the countryside.</p>			
02250	Mr Nigel Mackie	02250/00001/036	
<p>It is a global issues, and all the communities need to play as active a role as possible in tackling the problem.</p>			
02252	Mr Paul Magee	02252/00001/037	
<p>Climate change affects us all so we should do the maximum amount possible to reduce the causes not just the minimum. This applies to no matter where you live.</p>			
02264	Mr Barry Stimpson	02264/00001/020	
<p>I consider that where an improvement above option 1 can be shown to have a high impact on the environment then in these areas the aim should be to exceed the minimum. I suggest that higher targets should probably be set for sustainable homes and for more emphasis on waste reduction, and adopt the more stringent PUSH targets for water efficiency and sustainable drainage.</p>			
02269	Mr Robert Whitmarsh	02269/00001/009	
<p>Because targets in the climate change bill are demonstrably inadequate (see Stern review 2006). Option 1 makes no allowance for the uncertainties in climate change modelling predictions whereas option 2 goes somw way in this direction. Small pain now will avoid a lot more pain for our descendants.</p>			
02270	Mr James Cameron	02270/00003/003	
<p>Someone needs to set a standard, the council should make it easier to be greener too.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02271	Miss Mary Parker	02271/00001/026	
<p>These standards need to be set over a wide geographic area than just Winchester district, but it would be dangerous to have targets lower than PUSH. It is not clear that the measures in option 1 will be enough to meet the targets. But we also need to be careful not to push measures such as those biofuels which might not be effective and have huge consequential e.g. on food costs.</p>			
02273	Professor James Coleman	02273/00001/036	
<p>Climate change is crucial to all. It is already too late to avoid significant impact, but urgent and major action is essential to minimise further impact.</p>			
02274	Mr Peter McManus	02274/00001/043	
<p>World Wildlife Fund Study of 23 October 2007 says Winchester has the WORST (Highest) Carbon Footprint per person in the whole UK- need to limit Climate Change environmental damage- minimise transport emissions, heat waste (use more heat insulation), etc.</p>			
02293	Mr Chris Hoare	02293/00002/036	
<p>Without more stringent targets there will be little point in planning for the future, our whole way of life will change beyond recognition. The time frame open to us is rapidly diminishing.</p>			
02365	Mr Ralph Pointer	02365/00001/036	
<p>To off set the increase in carbon emissions that will be bought about through the increase in vehicle (car) use, due to the fact that reliance on car use is not being given sufficient priority by WCC. For instance, major developments being considered for Alresford, where public transport is extremely limited will result in more of its increasing population be reliant on the car to get to work and/or leisure facilities.</p>			
02369	Mr Peter Bennett	02369/00001/038	
<p>It will recognise that these targets are likely to become more demanding in the future anyway.</p>			
02371	Mr Brian Anderson	02371/00001/034	
<p>The more that can be achieved to prevent climate change the better.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02374	Campaign To Protect Rural England (CPRE)	02374/00001/045	
<p>Minimise Carbon emissions. Climate change increases flood risk (as a high % of good agricultural food is producing farmland is within 5m of sea level). Good, productive agricultural land should be retained to promote and retain local food production and farmers' markets. Winchester Town has highest "Carbon Footprint" per capita in the UK. Protect habitats and biodiversity and protect the environment.: Increased flooding from rising sea levels will prevent food production on much of Grade 1 agricultural land, therefore it should be prevented from building on to preserve what can be preserved.</p>			
02377	Mr Colin Penfold	02377/00001/038	
<p>Winchester is a wealthy area of the country. If the current Winchester council can't take a lead in this area then who will.</p>			
02379	Mrs Jennifer Williams	02379/00001/030	
<p>Because every single measure which can be done to ameliorate climate change should be taken</p>			
02380	Mr Ian Berry	02380/00001/030	
<p>Strengthen policies to protect areas subject to flooding - especially Barton Farm which is subject to repeated flooding.</p>			
02383	Mr Robert Fallon	02383/00001/002	
<p>Winchester is typically a wealthy district, and if any place can afford these measures it would be appropriate for Winchester to lead the way. Also, Winchester is blessed in terms of size - Large enough to have clout, small enough to be dynamic - and people groups - to provide the motivation for such measures.</p>			
02384	Mr Patrick Wright	02384/00001/036	
<p>Quite simply it is the single most important issue on the planet.</p>			
02393	Mr Timothy Wright	02393/00001/036	
<p>There is no reason why developers can not meet these targets. All new homes should be energy efficient.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02394	Miss Claire Jones	02394/00001/019	
I do not believe the national minimum targets are stringent enough. Moreover, Winchester residents have one of the highest average carbon footprints in the country which suggests even more action is required than elsewhere. I would like to see Winchester District setting an example by not only setting stringent climate change targets, but also meeting them.			
02395	Mrs Carol Bradshaw	02395/00001/033	
Need stronger goals to aim for			
02398	Mr Edward Gurney	02398/00001/038	
Set an example. Be ambitious in targets - that way we will achieve some if not all Time is running out			
02404	Mr Geoffrey Faithfull	02404/00001/041	
To increase present targets.			
02407	Mr Nigel Hindle	02407/00001/037	
Investment in long term, clear evidence of warming.			
02408	Mrs Sarah Bignold-Jordan	02408/00001/036	
The District has a reputation for a high quality of life, but has a large carbon footprint. It should aim to gain competitive advantage by being as low carbon as possible.			
02409	Ms Emma Jones	02409/00001/026	
Because I believe we need to drastically improve the steps that we are taking to minimise damage to the environment..			
02411	Mr Tommy Geddes	02411/00001/037	
The cost of this (and all) communities of not choosing the tougher option will be much higher for generations to come.			

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02414	Mr David Simmons	02414/00001/044	
<p>Why have you just homed in on "climate change". Efficiency is good, use building regs to encourage more insulation and energy generation by all means, but to improve our lives, not to allow PUSH to squeeze more and more people into less and less space - against a general background of people becoming more stressed and anti-social exacerbated by population density. Any changes we make to support "climate change" are a drop in the ocean globally. What we can and should do locally for sustainable development is (eg). Figure out in detail what soils we have and how they are being used. Support local agriculture and local produce (fewer "food air miles"). Not increase population pressure on our water supplies and sewage treatment works.</p>			
02415	Ms Kelsie Learney	02415/00001/031	
<p>The district needs to be ahead of the game- higher targets are already likely and the earlier we start the less likely draconian measures are to be introduced. We also need to consider fuel security. Is the districts economy and its residents lifestyles able to deal with another petrol crisis or gas and electricity shut offs</p>			
02421	Ms Catherine Macintosh	02421/00001/018	
<p>Climate Change should be the over-riding issue driving the strategy for the future. The Stern report estimates that the effects of climate change will cost between 5-20% of world GDP whereas to take effective action could be achieved with 1% GDP. Local action can be effective as demonstrated by Woking.</p>			
02427	Mr Michael Emmett	02427/00001/018	
<p>CALA is a signatory to the 2016 commitment and fully supports the phased introduction of carbon reduction targets as set out in the CSH. These are stringent requirements, established by national government with the input of the housebuilding industry and it is imperative that their attainment is not undermined by the imposition of local variations.</p>			
02435	Mr William Stroud	02435/00001/027	
<p>Because we are not doing nearly enough at present.</p>			
02447	Mr Paul Cooper	02447/00001/038	
<p>Confront reality, this needs to be done.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02448	Miss Lois Gravely	02448/00001/023	
We don't have any option. Climate change is the most issue facing us.			
02449	Mr Andrew King	02449/00001/037	
1. To give a lead to surrounding areas. 2. Minimum requirements are insufficient. 3. For the sake of our children.			
02450	Mr Robert Hammond	02450/00001/034	
Generating electrical power can only be seen positively and planners should allow more wind generators.			
02453	Mrs Fredrica Teale	02453/00001/037	
I do not believe the national targets go far enough. I think we should all aim to reduce our carbon footprint as much as possible.			
02461	Mr Steve Robinson	02461/00001/037	
I am not an environment-obsessive, however I greatly value the character of our district and believe that environmentally sound and high quality development go hand in hand. I also believe it is necessary and responsible to do everything reasonably practicable to minimise negative environmental impact, and to reduce waste. By this I do not mean making parking impossible or collecting my bin less frequently, but rather making a wider range of more environmentally sound waste management options more widely available and understood, and by demanding that any developer seeking to build in our district does so to the highest standards of quality - in all senses. We are fortunate to be a relatively wealthy district (sadly I'm not typical), I believe we have an opportunity to lead rather than follow, and I believe doing so will positively contribute to keeping Winchester special.			
02464	Ms Jennifer Bennett	02464/00001/027	
All councils should be doing as much as they can on our and our children's behalf.			
02470	Mr Edward Du Boulay	02470/00001/041	
To improve the District's geo-political status by acting as a role model.			

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02475	Mr Steve Baxter	02475/00001/037	
I think we will need to aim "high" to ensure we reduce as far as possible the damaging effects of climate change. This is turn will demonstrate and accelerate adoption of the more radical technologies.			
02487	Mrs Jane Turner	02487/00001/031	
This is the most important challenge facing the human race and we should take every opportunity to address climate change.			
02490	Mrs Gillian Atkins	02490/00001/036	
There is a need to halt climate change so why should plans for our future development not aim higher than simply the minimum requirements.			
02494	Mrs Katie Dutson	02494/00001/034	
Any new build should by law be asked to hit the highest decrease of carbon emissions as is possible. If all builds only aimed for the minimal requirement standards they will always be low and with a 'that'll do attitude'. Reducing carbon emissions will only ever get more important and the costs of modifications to future existing buildings far exceed incorporation of high standards at the initial build. Surely in todays climate of technology buildings highly efficient housing isn't as expensive. Straw housing! Would support local agriculture.			
02495	Mr John Dixie	02495/00001/040	
Winchester has a reputation as a leader in the delivery of efficient local services and should seek to better the minimum standards as the world needs the standards of improvement to be pushed as high as possible.			
02499	Miss Elizabeth Thorn	02499/00001/036	
The District is not doing enough at present; if the more stringent standards were followed it could become one of the leading districts in the country, setting standards which could be followed by other parts of UK and other countries.			
02500	Mrs Heather Smith	02500/00001/037	
Look to the East and China. Stop penalising us			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02504	Mr Peter Stevens	02504/00001/047	
<p>Present targets are far too low. A visit to virtually any European city will show far greater commitment to energy use reduction. Visit Freiburg for an example of how ambitious Germany is. Britain's use of renewables is 4.6% of all energy used. Germany is already at 13%, a share which Germany will double by 2020 (The Guardian, 6 August 2007)</p>			
02506	Mr Barney Ball	02506/00001/041	
<p>All new buildings should be more sustainable, solar panelling etc should be fitted as standard and water re-use systems. This is quite minimal in the cost of building a new home. If this was now a legal requirement in ALL new buildings things would start to take effect in the future. Stop leaving issues to householders and take a step now to show some action, stop talking and get on with it.</p>			
02511	Cllr Malcolm Wright	02511/00002/035	
<p>Alternative Option - Adopting the National Code for Sustainable Homes Level 6 by 2016; require new developments to have more locally based recycling , composting and waste management and adopt national standards for water efficiency, sustainable drainage and flood protection.</p>			
02512	Meon Valley Constituency Labour Party	02512/00001/010	
<p>Option 1- Any advance on the minimum is to be welcomed but only if there are clear advantages to the environment.</p>			
02515	Mr Chris Gillham	02515/00002/023	
<p>Option 1 is doing next to nothing and option 2 is not ambitious.</p>			
02516	Mr Matthew Barker	02516/00001/043	
<p>Climate change is real - we have to everything that we can reasonably do to tackle it.</p>			
02517	Mr John Clayton	02517/00001/022	
<p>This has been poorly administered. For example - recycled rubbish and the various rules associated have been badly communicated. The bins are not big enough and the frequency is poor.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02519	Mrs Julie Eden	02519/00001/033	
Because we are starting from a very low level of achievement and more stringent targets will be more effective.			
02526	Mr David Jenkins	02526/00001/036	
Because the climate change measures have to be led by organisations rather than individuals. If more than the minimum needs to be done (and I suspect it does) then this should be driven by Winchester rather than placing emphasis on individuals to do more. Individuals will and should comply but need to be led on what and how.			
02530	Mr Martyn Heathcote	02530/00001/032	
Irrespective of many other concerns, we do need to plan now and implement challenging standards for housing etc that will form part of the response to rising levels of pollution. These developments will need to operate over a long life where standards will become tougher: so they need to be of a high standard now in terms of their efficiencies.			
02532	Mr Geoffrey Wedeman	02532/00001/030	
I believe more effort could be put into developing renewable energy and better energy conservation when new housing developments are planned.			
02534	Mrs Rachel Wade	02534/00001/022	
It would encourage people to take the issue seriously and perhaps set an example to other councils.			
02535	Mr Paul Clarke	02535/00001/042	
Population growth will almost certainly outstrip our estimates. Carbon cutting is based on an amount per person and is undermined by bpopulation increases.			
02536	Ms Andrea Fawcett	02536/00001/034	
We have a responsibility to consider the impact on the environment of any development and therefore we need to consider proactive approaches to sustainable development.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02537	Mr Mark Germain	02537/00001/038	
If we adopt more stringent requirements in a wealthy district, it seems likely that the market should be able to meet the increased capital costs of development.			
02540	Mr Michael Foster	02540/00001/037	
Long term planning for all.			
02541	Mr Andrew Saunders	02541/00001/027	
Renewable energy should be actively marketed and grants made available to homeowners.			
02549	Mr Andy Crowe	02549/00001/045	
The technology will be developed if society demands it. I understand that the cost of solar panels is plummeting, for example.			
02550	Mrs Alison Matthews	02550/00001/038	
To meet the sustainable solutions referred in "The Vision".			
02557	Mr Geoff Olden	02557/00001/037	
Water abstraction will be a real problem and biomass crops could help farming communities. Any measure that will help reduce our carbon footprint is essential.			
02562	Mr Peter Burke	02562/00001/032	
Achieving low energy consumption and low carbon emissions need not damage the economic prospects for the region. We should set an example of what can be achieved if an intelligent approach is employed.			
02568	Mr Michael Chaplin	02568/00001/041	
If the situation on climate change is as serious as the experts say, then Winchester District as a progressive local authority has to adopt the PUSH targets.			
02569	Mr David Williams	02569/00001/024	
Building homes adds to carbon emissions, whereas green fields in particular are highly efficient at absorbing CO2 through the process of photosynthesis.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02574	Miss Denise Oatham	02574/00001/037	
<p>The proposed developments will stretch an already overstretched sewerage and water provision and it is essential that no development be allowed that will add to this problem. Similarly unless local transport links can be improved without adversely impacting on the rural nature of the area any thought of development here should be abandoned.</p>			
02577	Mr Andrew Bateman	02577/00001/038	
<p>The potential addition of 80,000 homes in the PUSH area will put extreme and undesirable demands on the environment. To not aim for stringent targets would undermine the quality of life even further. Housing developers are profit driven and will not adopt sustainable priceless unless required to.</p>			
02578	Mr F C Goodland	02578/00001/017	
<p>As a wealthy area we probably use/waste more energy than other areas of the country, also I calculate if all the ice caps melt, Winchester Prison and Hospital will be on the beach</p>			
02579	Mrs Louise Turner	02579/00001/037	
<p>Don't feel that the national targets go far enough and feel that surpassing these makes Winchester a better place to live.</p>			
02580	Mrs Ruth Shields	02580/00001/030	
<p>Up till now targets to combat climate change have been met because it is hard to make the adjustments required. Targets must be higher therefore. The proposed continued building of houses and businesses, however green they are, is as bad as the destruction to rainforests.</p>			
02581	Mr Nicholas Lalor	02581/00001/038	
<p>Taking global warming seriously is our duty to our children. Also, our present extravagant use of water is already having a detrimental effect on wildlife and the countryside environment.</p>			
02584	Mr Paul Howden	02584/00001/037	
<p>Striving for higher targets should be considered for the good of the sustainability of the District beyond 2026.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02592	Mr Rob Gazzard	02592/00001/016	
<p>The Forestry Commission and its partners can provide innovative and tradition solutions as resilience and adaptation to Climate Change in respect of: 1. Renewable energy - Wood fuel (Provision of raw material from its forests and incentives/partnerships for private land owners) 2. Sustainably managed public woodland independently monitored by forest stewardship council (FSC) 3. Sustainable urban (and rural) drainage systems using woodlands and forests. 4. Tree species adaption based on Climate Change modelling.</p>			
02593	Mrs Sandra Barney	02593/00001/022	
<p>Because people need to be made to be more careful and we should all do our bit for the environment.</p>			
02594	Mr Martin Hughes	02594/00001/035	
<p>The proposed development in Bishops Waltham will make huge demands on water and electricity supplies. The development areas are largely along the line of the River Hamble and its floodplain, an area which is very prone to flood and wet because of the dense clay soil in the area. Additionally, the plans look as if they are designed to increase rather than decrease the carbon footprint, because of the paucity of public transport and greater distances to probable places.</p>			
02601	Mr Christopher Spicer	02601/00001/032	
<p>It is paramount that as much as possible is done to improve the environment. Increase building will result in more flooding and damage to the environment. The more residents etc are pushed the better chance for reduction in climate change</p>			
02611	Mr James Robins	02611/00001/040	
<p>We should take a lead.</p>			
02612	Mr Edward Jackson	02612/00001/043	
<p>Winchester needs to set an example and be a flagship for other parts of the country. Our economic prosperity makes us well placed to do this.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02623	Mr Jon Taylor	02623/00001/042	
Planners should not shun their environmental responsibilities. More development will mean greater energy consumption and more cars. Wickham saw significant flooding in November 2001 and any development in Wickham should have sustainable drainage and flood protection.			
02629	Mr Michael Blackstaff	02629/00001/024	
The scientific evidence is ambiguous regarding climate change. If more decisive evidence emerges, then we should be prepared to adopt option 2.			
02632	Ms Dorothy Hamilton	02632/00001/013	
They need to be set for the world, so this part of it too.			
02634	Miss Pauline Chalmers	02634/00001/037	
Managing and reducing climate change is a key factor in ensuring the continuity of our community. Where possible, we should take the lead on this.			
02637	Mr Ewan Withers	02637/00001/037	
Simply because more housing will strain our existing resources (water, waste, power, gas). These more stringent targets will help to reduce the impact of the new development on existing supply.			
02640	Mr Peter Church	02640/00001/029	
If we don't do it now in a planned way it will be forced on us at a later date in an unplanned way			
02641	Mr Ralph Bolton	02641/00001/028	
Significant opportunities for generating wind power - lets get some wind turbines on those downs (the Black Forest has loads, and its equally as beautiful as the South Downs)			
02652	Mr Vernon Tottle	02652/00001/016	
Every little helps			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02658	Mrs Sarah Duck	02658/00001/040	
<p>We have an obligation to consider the future of the area and the way it can be enjoyed by residents. Part of the issue is to identify where new housing is most sensibly located, another part of this future vision is to ensure sustainability and improved environmental statistics for this area.</p>			
02665	Mr Martin Burton	02665/00001/031	
<p>The best solution to address climate change is not to build more houses. Climate change will put increasing pressure on our water resources, the Environment Agency classify the Itchen as being over-abstracted. We are in one of the most water-stressed regions in the country and yet the government wants to build more houses here - this is not sustainable. It is not energy but water that is the constraint - more house, more people, less water for the natural environment.</p>			
02666	Mr Peter Richardson	02666/00001/034	
<p>I have ticked option 2 but am aware that this could be extremely difficult and would need to be managed very carefully. If done correctly, this could be a major benefit for the area - and could even provide economic benefit by developing services and products for the low carbon economy which could be sold and used elsewhere. However, done wrongly, this could be economically disadvantageous to the area and harm local communities in the short term.</p>			
02667	Mr Tim Gardner	02667/00001/037	
<p>They are generally already too light in their implementation and too late in their implementation.</p>			
02668	Mrs Wendy Pace	02668/00001/038	
<p>Because the true impact of climate change is only starting to be felt and looks to deteriorate further in the coming years. The current targets will in this event probably be increased over time and it would be of benefit financially if the area is 'ahead of the game' and has already fulfilled them.</p>			
02670	Mr Nigel Paterson	02670/00001/038	
<p>We have got to lead the way in those vital matter in a prosperous country like Hampshire. If we do not make the effort, why should less affluent areas in the UK do more?</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02675	Mr Simon Dorey	02675/00001/036	
The threat from climate change is of overriding concern. Option 2 may be more expensive in the short term, but will not necessarily be bad for economic growth.			
02677	Mr Martin Orford	02677/00001/039	
Come on, you don't honestly believe all this climate change rubbish do you By all means recycle and encourage people to get on their bikes but don't expect it to save the planet.			
02681	Mr Richard Fabian Williams	02681/00001/019	
We should always strive to do better than the minimum required.			
02684	Mr Christopher Sealey	02684/00001/029	
Existing targets now considered to be too low by climate scientists.			
02687	Mr Michael Stevens	02687/00001/018	
overwhelming global importance			
02695	Mrs Amanda Hutchings	02695/00001/026	
The statutory targets will not halt climate change: we need to do more and lead by example.			
02710	Mrs Magaret Hounsham	02710/00001/025	
The works is running out of time. At present, for example, there are restrictions on what we can recycle (silver paper, plastic boxes....) yet in this household we are keen to recycle as much as possible.			
02712	Mr William Vandersteen	02712/00001/023	
There is limited Landfill facilities so production of waste is essential. Renewable energy, such as solar could be incorporated in all new build homes. Water conservation is essential.			

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02740	North Hedge End Consortium	02740/00001/019	Terence O Rourk
<p>There is a need to ensure that any targets set are achievable . There is little point in setting targets that are not or are so expensive to achieve that they may mean that a development is not viable. Increased aspirations are commendable but the council must ensure that they are realistic, certainly in the short term. The targets set by government were phased for a reason, being to allow the industry sufficient time to adjust.</p>			
02746	Mrs Alexandra Porteous	02746/00001/034	
<p>Climate Change is only going to get worse, so the national targets will no doubt increase, so it is better if the Winchester area is already ahead of the game.</p>			
02750	Mrs Vera Bruty	02750/00001/016	
<p>The next twenty years are being planned for and of course the more stringent climate change targets need to be set- it goes without saying.</p>			
02753	Mrs Lorrie Smith	02753/00001/037	
<p>May I remind you of your special vision proposal on the first page. You stated you wish Winchester District to evolve and develop as a vibrant and sustainable place to live, worl etc. Therefore, you must push yourselves to achieve higher goals to remain in the game so to speak.</p>			
02758	Mr Oliver Bettis	02758/00001/024	
<p>Climate change is the most important issue facing our society. Massive changes are needed: our whole culture needs to change (refer to David King in his 2008 book "The Hot Topic," among otthers). The changes need to be made urgently within the next few years. As the science develops the message gets worse and worse, it is highly likely that the national targets will become more stringent over time. So, as well as being the right thing to do, it only makes sense to anticipate the more stringent targets on a local level.</p>			
02761	Mr John Lambert	02761/00001/038	
<p>Improve public transport.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02764	Mr Graham Mulholland	02764/00001/040	
<p>PUSH would appear to be an unrealistic organisation if we are to make a decision based on the proposals that PUSH are currently promoting. However we should be looking to firstly meet the minimum targets with further realistic plans to exceed these minimum levels. This is not a question of one or the other</p>			
02771	Mr Kevin Fry	02771/00001/042	
<p>It is likely that we will fail to meet any targets but the more stringent the more chance there is to meet the minimum.</p>			
02775	Mrs Rosemarie Lee	02775/00001/038	
<p>National targets usually minimise in ambition. If lots of new buildings on empty land take place, then they will probably be built on potential flood sites, so it would be better to focus on slowing climate change.</p>			
02793	Mrs Naomi Flynn	02793/00001/033	
<p>Winchester is already known for its excessive carbon emissions when compared with other cities. Thus, it needs to address this issue more vigorously than with a minimum target.</p>			
02801	Mr Geoff Bruty	02801/00001/009	
<p>We should change our image of being the most polluting town in the UK. Not only should the higher standards be imposed for new properties, there should be more incentives for existing properties to become more efficient.</p>			
02833	Mrs Gillian Mulley	02833/00001/035	
<p>In order to combat climate change it would seem that we should aim for the highest targets that are reasonably possible.</p>			
02871	Ms Jo Hayward	02871/00001/042	
<p>There is always more to be done</p>			
02874	Mr Michael Hollis	02874/00002/035	
<p>The statement in the LDF document that climate change is caused by man's activities is erroneous - it will happen because of solar activity, mitigation is possible :- Plant trees.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02887	Mrs Judy Marsh	02887/00001/038	
Because we should be aiming high to leave our future generations a climate similar to the one we have lived in.			
02904	Mrs Ruth Croger	02904/00001/016	
I believe all of us, from individuals to the whole nation, must make stringent efforts to combat climate change			
02911	Mr William Heaps	02911/00001/036	
We all have a responsibility and should lead by example.			

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02912	Winchester Friends Of The Earth	02912/00001/002	
<p>The reasons why more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District include: a) Winchester City Council must demonstrate decisive leadership on this most important matter. Its Climate Change Framework puts tackling climate change as the most significant challenge facing the council. It is essential that Winchester City Council sets a clear lead for residents of the district and for other local authorities by adopting the most effective policies and targets in its LDF Core Strategy. The targets set out in Option 2 are the least that should be adopted. b) In its Climate Change Framework document, Winchester City Council has set the target of achieving, by 2015, a 30% reduction in carbon emissions in the district from the 2004 baseline. The targets set out in Option 1 seem unlikely to be sufficient to deliver this target. Option 2 is the minimum that should be included in the LDF to give any realistic chance of achieving the 30% reduction. c) The financial implications of climate change were assessed in the report produced by Sir Nicholas Stern. In it, the cost of action is estimated as being between 5 and 20 times less than the cost of inaction. It goes on to state that the earlier this investment can be made, the less the impacts of climate change will be felt. Option 2 will require greater investment, but will bring greater rewards for this district. d) The Government has indicated that a reduction of 80% in carbon emissions may well become the target (currently 60%) for all local authorities to meet by 2050. This (along with other similar targets) is likely to be brought earlier and hardened, so it essential that Winchester City Council acts decisively now to avoid the risk of being unable to meet the more onerous targets that will probably be imposed in the future. Progress made by authorities such as Woking (72% carbon reduction 1990- 2002) and promised by others (Eastleigh to be carbon- neutral by 2012) adds pressure on Winchester City Council to choose Option 2 to demonstrate the necessary corporate commitment. e) The BREEAM Ecohome standards proposed in Option 2 are those proposed by PUSH for all development in the PUSH area. If Winchester City Council does not adopt at least the same targets for development within the district, developers may avoid building in the PUSH area in favour of the financially more favourable conditions across the rest of the Winchester district. This would leave Winchester with new homes of a lower environmental standard than is needed to achieve its targets. f) Option 2 will impose some extra costs on development, although this is likely to be less than predicted as market pressures will force down the cost of higher environmental standards. The high price of buying a home in Winchester will allow the increased costs of achieving the higher standards to be subsumed with only a small increase in the percentage cost. As the</p>			

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
		finished home will be of a higher quality and attract lower future bills, a premium price could reasonably be expected. Option 2 is affordable for Winchester as compared with other districts. g) Apart from its location and natural environment, the feature that makes Winchester stand out from its immediate neighbours as a good place to live is the character and quality of its built environment. The City Council should always adopt policies to enshrine that character and quality. Option 2 provides the basis for such policies far better than Option 1.	
02912	Winchester Friends Of The Earth	02912/00002/003	
		Support Option 2 based on: Need for Winchester to lead the way, Option 2 targets should be seen as the minimum, Need to act now to avoid not being able to meet more onerous targets later, Must have at least same standards as PUSH.	
02917	Mrs Sarah Goddard	02917/00001/042	
		It is ridiculous to think in this environmentally aware age that we would settle for the bare minimum.	
02918	Mr Rodney Lock	02918/00001/033	
		Modest targets are likely to achieve only modest results. For the benefit of future generations it is necessary to set ambitious targets.	
02919	Mrs Catherine Pollitt	02919/00001/032	
		All districts throughout the country and world need more stringent climate change targets.	
02921	Mr Ian Tait	02921/00001/031	
		Feel we have little choice for the long term health of the planet.	
02923	North Whiteley Consortium	02923/00001/040	Terence O'Rourk
		The Consortium supports Option 1 due to the fact that the national targets remain challenging. The fact is that there is a need for technology to catch up on order to facilitate attainment of higher targets.	

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02925	Mrs Gaynor Parker	02925/00001/044	
<p>More emphasis on waste reduction. Cut traffic congestion thus reducing the waste of energy produced by stationary cars. Higher density of population will make energy conservation more difficult for the district.</p>			
02926	The Whiteley Co-ownership	02926/00001/040	Terence O'Rourk
<p>There is a need to ensure that any targets set are achievable. There is little point in setting targets that are not achievable or are so expensive that they may mean that a development is not viable. Increased aspirations are commendable but the Council must ensure that they are realistic, certainly in the short term.</p>			
02928	Mr Barry Jerome	02928/00001/033	
<p>Water is a scarce resource, overuse is already having a major impact on local streams and ponds. The proposed increase in housing will have some serious impact.</p>			
02930	Mrs Jacey Jackson	02930/00001/019	
<p>We have a moral obligation. The science is now suggesting we may already be too late to reverse the trend of climate change. We have to take a lead on this. If we aim high with option 2 we might stand a chance of hitting the option 1 targets.</p>			
02932	Mr Jonathan Simmons	02932/00001/048	
<p>Manmade climate change is an extremely serious issue, with huge potential consequences for mankind. We should therefore all strive to do the most we possibly can to combat it.</p>			
02934	Mrs Eloise Appleby	02934/00001/025	
<p>Throughout the document, there are green options which seem very desirable but almost impossible to achieve in the opening years of the new LDF. Green technology and materials will inevitably become more available/cheaper over the course of the next decade, so while option 2 might be impossible now it could be wholly plausible in the second decade of the LDF. There almost needs to be a sliding scale rather than 2 distinct options, so that we gradually move from one to the other over time.</p>			

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02936	Mr Randolph Mulley	02936/00001/037	
<p>'Think globally, act locally.' I would like to think that I reside in an area whose local government is keen to be seen to be a leader in innovative and demanding environmental and anti climate change provision.</p>			
02939	Mr Lucian Warwick-Haller	02939/00001/034	
<p>Daily we hear of evidence of the increasing environmental crisis that the world is facing. It is up to each individual, and to each individual local authority or government body to do all in their power to limit climate change.</p>			
02941	Mr Piers Austin	02941/00001/042	
<p>We are already lacking behind other European Countries in renewable energy generation. Our government is very weak in introducing ours, more legislation is needed to force change, as well as more incentives for people to change. The technology is there at all levels. It can be done, let us show that more can be done. Once initial hurdles are overcome it will become second nature in everything we do.</p>			
02942	Mr Graham Campbell	02942/00001/024	
<p>Better public transport to reduce car use is essential.</p>			
02944	Mr Andrew Bennett	02944/00001/044	
<p>This form is supposed to allow members of the public to have a say (it is unlikely that many people will understand the technical nature of the questions) If I were a cynic I would suggest you are trying to blind people with your pseudo science. For Winchester council to talk about climate change when you stand by and allow the 69 bus route to be cut, so forcing people into cars, is a joke.</p>			
02968	Miss Joy Spiler	02968/00001/017	
<p>It's so bad now.</p>			

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02993	Tesco Stores Limited	02993/00001/007	DPP
<p>We support the view that the local development framework should assist in achieving the principles of sustainable development. However, due to the complexity of this issue, we consider the most appropriate policy would be to allow renewable energy mechanisms to be assessed on a site by site basis. A blanket approach may not be appropriate in all cases and a flexible policy would allow appropriate measures to be in place whilst maintaining the economic viability of proposals.</p>			
03016	Mrs Danielle F Giles	03016/00001/044	
<p>Option 1 I feel is more realistic; however in choosing option 2 even falling short of targets will improve option 1.</p>			
03029	Mr Robert Toleman	03029/00001/016	
<p>The councils sustainable community strategy, here we go again - words words words!</p>			
03047	Mrs Fleur Wainwright	03047/00001/031	
<p>It is so overcrowded.</p>			
03058	Mr Andrew Rigg	03058/00001/018	
<p>Options do not go far enough and Winchester should take the lead and align its emissions targets with scientific consensus rather than government targets. Zero carbon is deliverable now and should be the standard as of now. Need to support all applications for small and medium scale renewable energy developments.</p>			
03071	Mr John Hayter	03071/00001/050	
<p>The targets are difficult to monitor and enforce. Option 1 should apply to residential and commercial development except in new communities where there is space for Option 2.</p>			
03080	Winchester Housing Board	03080/00001/011	
<p>Support PUSH targets for Code for Sustainable Homes for whole District. Market standards should be the same as for affordable housing.</p>			
03082	City Of Winchester Trust	03082/00001/015	
<p>Supports Winchester action on climate change submission on this topic.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
03101	Winchester Area Community Action (WACA)	03101/00001/021	
<p>Action on climate change is urgent. Concern that house prices may rise under these measures, so the energy efficiency of new housing must be raised to compensate for this.</p>			
03105	Save Barton Farm Group	03105/00001/043	
<p>World Wildlife Fund Study of 23 October 2007 says Winchester has the Worst (Highest) Carbon Footprint per person in the whole UK- need to limit Climate Change environmental damage- minimise transport emissions, heat waste (use more heat insulation), etc.</p>			
03135	Hampshire And Isle Of White Wildlife Trust	03135/00001/020	
<p>PUSH targets are set to deliver the housing growth figures in a sustainable manner. PUSH is also considering the implications of the Habitat Regulations with such issues as water resources. Increased demands from housing growth on water supply and waste water will have implications for the Natura 2000 international sites such as the Solent. Although Winchester District is not adjacent to the coast its demand on such things as water will have implications for the Solent and other Natura 2000 sites which Winchester will need to address through the appropriate assessment of the Winchester Core Strategy. Winchester has also developed a biodiversity action plan and stronger options for climate change will contribute to this also.</p>			
03136	The Dever Society	03136/00001/019	
<p>In the face of the threat posed by climate change, every individual and organisation must do more, and it is up to the government, whether local or national, to set the framework.</p>			

Question 15b. If you chose option 2, please say why you consider that more stringent climate change targets need to be set for the District?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
03198	Winchester Action On Climate Change	03198/00001/002	
<p>The City Council must lead on climate change issues, the targets in option 2 are the least that should be adopted. Option 2 will require greater investment but will bring greater rewards. The City Council must act decisively now to avoid the risk of being unable to meet more onerous targets later. Must have consistent approach across the District - consider using PUSH targets District wide. Option 2 is affordable for Winchester District as compared to other districts, the Council should always adopt policies to enshrine the character and quality of the area.</p>			
03203	Mr A Rutter	03203/00001/032	
<p>Because the 'climate change' issue is much more serious than has been recognised.</p>			