

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
00004	Bishops Waltham Parish Council	00004/00001/020	
Ensure external appearance of rural buildings is maintained.			
00009	Compton And Shawford Parish Council	00009/00001/031	
Conversions for employment should be for B1 uses. Conversion for affordable housing should only be permitted where there are adequate local facilities.			
00013	Denmead Parish Council	00013/00001/024	
Affordable housing needs to be sited within walking distance of village facilities and NOT at a remote rural site where cars are essential.			
00015	Durley Parish Council	00015/00001/004	
Could use for key worker homes.			
00015	Durley Parish Council	00015/00002/027	
Used for key worker homes.			
00016	Hambledon Parish Council	00016/00001/034	
Some rural locations are inaccessible, and therefore more suitable for domestic conversion, but these should be treated as exception sites, and therefore local needs would take priority.			
00025	New Alresford Town Council	00025/00001/032	
Rural buildings should be allowed to be converted to industrial use to help find sites for rural industry. Allow conversion to housing should commercial enterprise be unsuccessful after a period of use.			
00029	Otterbourne Parish Council	00029/00001/019	
Take village design statements fully into account.			
00030	Owslebury Parish Council	00030/00001/009	
Use of redundant buildings should be included in housing numbers.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
00036	Swanmore Parish Council	00036/00001/022	
Should only allow redevelopment for housing or employment where local infrastructure is not impaired.			
00043	Wonston Parish Council	00043/00001/024	
Yes. As with our other responses, we believe strongly that there is no "one size fits all" solution. It is, however, important to ensure that if Option 2 is adopted, the "or" of "and/or" is removed. The flexibility thus afforded is vital if we are to make best use of these resources. There are many opportunities that must be capitalised upon such as the creation of live/work units using modern, but redundant farm buildings, no longer in use for their original purpose, but with no residual architectural value.			
00121	Mr A Foster	00121/00001/033	
Option 2 would depend on location; can be covered by exception policy.			
00127	Mr P Turner	00127/00001/028	
Support requirement for evidence- 'demonstrated local need'.			
00134	Mr Peter Franckeiss	00134/00001/030	
They could be used as learning centres for rural/traditional crafts, or could be renovated by supervised apprentices in construction trades.			
00148	Mr Mrs Jenny And Machen Barker	00148/00001/024	
Only acceptable if the development is affordable and for local people only.			
00381	Mr Paul Byers	00381/00001/020	
As before - for local people first - and controlled for specific demonstrated need (not financial gain).			
00511	Mr Keith Story	00511/00002/028	
They could be used to temporarily hold the estimated 2,000 illegal immigrants in Winchester district, prior to deportation.			
00840	Mr Paul Reeves	00840/00002/027	
Depending on their size and location, some could be used for health or educational purposes.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
01008	Mr And Mrs Anthony And Helen Drury	01008/00003/017	
Option 1 would encourage urban sprawl as housing pushed back boundaries and should not be allowed. There is little faith in the Council or the planning system to provide re-assurance that sufficient control can be ensured.			
01083	Ms Elizabeth Robinson	01083/00002/020	
I think these redundant rural buildings need to be taken on a case by case basis. You cannot have a sweeping statement as what will be suitable for one redundant building may not be so for the next. Don't forget, you are trying to minimise the use of the car.			
01151	Mr C H Dore	01151/00002/020	
Perhaps, where the rural buildings are on a holding of at least 50 hectares and would create a minimum of, say, 1000 sq/m of employment space/ more than 5 residential units the landowner would need to give land for affordable housing in the ratio of one affordable house per 1000 sqm/5 units but not necessarily attached for basic development.			
01243	Ms Serita Campbell	01243/00002/022	
There is sufficient growth already in rural areas. The housing that exists should be made more affordable to the local community.			
01297	Ms Ann Sadler Forster	01297/00002/022	
Sorry but I didn't see that these are the only two options. Affordable housing may not always suit.			
01821	Ms J Chapman-Andrews	01821/00003/013	
It depends what the needs are of the community in which the redundant rural buildings are sited. Surely the best thing is to ask the local community what they think			
01923	Captain John Ellis	01923/00001/034	
Local people to get first call. More funding for conversion into work spaces. Better infrastructures to support housing/work area development.			

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
01929	Mr Anthony Marshall	01929/00001/028	
Not all redundant buildings should be affordable this is punishing the private sector and will limit the owners of these buildings being willing to sell at lower prices. Also rural buildings converted for employment rarely employs many local people and tends to increase traffic flow in the villages.			
01931	Mr Micheal Cunliffe	01931/00002/031	
Also allow for re-use for community, leisure, places of worship etc. Flexibility is better than lots of unused buildings.			
01933	Mr David Parratt	01933/00001/017	
Allow redundant rural buildings to be used for any suitable purposes.			
01949	Mr John Cornwell	01949/00001/029	
Or a mix of employment and housing.			
01956	Mrs Barbara Holyome	01956/00002/030	
Option 2 would be unlikely to satisfy sustainability criteria for housing.			
01961	Mr Daniel Wilden	01961/00001/031	
Convert to dwellings tied to small holders - Farm shops			
01964	Mr John Beveridge	01964/00001/032	
The priority should be for conversion first for employment, then if not possible, allow use for affordable housing.			
01965	Mrs Joyce Simmons	01965/00001/029	
Would like to see more support for local farming/ produce.			
01967	Mrs Marion Harrison	01967/00001/027	
Keep the countryside tidy, remove rubbish. Encourage voluntary litter picking. Repair of fencing, hedging etc.			
01968	Mr Barry Collins	01968/00001/020	
These premises could also be used for the development of leisure and sport facilities (there are examples of indoor tennis courts or squash courts being built in barn conversion or the provision of market housing, subject to any infrastructure and environmental constraints.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
01970	Mr David Poole	01970/00001/027	
This is always happening anyway.			
01973	Mr Ewan Simmonds	01973/00001/031	
This must depend surely on identified local priority which should mean primary consideration.			
01989	Dr Keith Paskins	01989/00001/029	
The significant changes in rural employment patterns demand a more contemporary evaluation of the balance between employment and accommodation needs but this must not be allowed to divert the priorities for rural development from farming to dormitory.			
01994	Miss J Nicholson	01994/00001/027	
Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the district faces over the next 20 years			
01995	Mrs Diana Paskins	01995/00001/029	
Do not divert the priorities for rural development from farming to dormitory. We have the best farmland. Save it for future generations.			
01997	Mrs Chris Slattery	01997/00001/031	
Provision of Public Transport for local residents is essential in Rural Areas.			
02003	Mr Ptol Slattery	02003/00001/035	
Public transport improvements for rural voters.			
02008	Mr Caesar Slattery	02008/00001/035	
Provide rural public transport.			
02016	Mrs Vera Goddard	02016/00001/026	
Could be used to provide shopping facilities although the planned housing doesn't come as far as Durley unfortunately.			

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02096	White Young Green Planning	02096/00001/015	
<p>The additional factor that needs to be considered is the extent to which viability affects the reuse of rural buildings. Clearly, the restoration of an impressive timber frame or early brick built agricultural building is not likely to be contemplated for the returns yielded by either small scale rural employment uses or affordable housing. There will always be circumstances where open market residential or mixed use schemes will make best use of this important resource and this needs to be reflected in the Core Strategy Policy.</p>			
02097	Gawthorpe Estates Ltd	02097/00001/008	White Young Gre
<p>The additional factor that needs to be incorporated is the extent to which viability affects the reuse of rural buildings. The Core Strategy should, therefore, recognise that there will always be circumstances where open market residential or mixed use schemes will make the best use of this important rural resource. The approach to the re-use of redundant rural buildings which are well related to existing settlements (rather than remote buildings) should be relaxed to allow for appropriate development for open market residential or low key.</p>			
02097	Gawthorpe Estates Ltd	02097/00001/008	
<p>The additional factor that needs to be incorporated is the extent to which viability affects the reuse of rural buildings. The Core Strategy should, therefore, recognise that there will always be circumstances where open market residential or mixed use schemes will make the best use of this important rural resource. The approach to the re-use of redundant rural buildings which are well related to existing settlements (rather than remote buildings) should be relaxed to allow for appropriate development for open market residential or low key.</p>			
02099	Mrs D M Hare	02099/00001/019	White Young Gre
<p>Need to consider viability of reuse of rural buildings, consider residential/mixed use schemes to make best use of this important resource</p>			
02099	Mrs D M Hare	02099/00002/019	White Young Gre
<p>Need to consider viability of reuse of rural buildings, consider residential/mixed use schemes to make best use of this important resource.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02100	Burton Property	02100/00001/019	White Young Gre
Need to consider viability of reuse of rural buildings, consider residential/mixed use schemes to make best use of this important resource.			
02102	Mr Stephen Horn	02102/00001/009	Southern Plannin
Neither option goes far enough to support farmers and their need to diversify in order to keep their farms from operating. The current policy must be made more flexible to enable redundant farm buildings and land to be used for appropriate use, depending on the nature of the buildings, land and their surroundings. Limiting the uses to just employment or affordable housing use prevents the best use being made of these valuable resources.			
02103	Mr Bruce Horn	02103/00001/015	Southern Plannin
Neither option goes far enough to support farmers and their need to diversify in order to be able to keep their farms operating. The current policy must be made more flexible to enable redundant farm buildings and land to be used for the most appropriate use, depending on the nature of the buildings, land and their surroundings. Limiting the uses to just employment or affordable housing use prevents the best use being made of these valuable resources.			
02104	Mr And Mrs T Goss	02104/00001/019	Southern Plannin
Neither option goes far enough to support owners of redundant buildings. The current policy must be made more flexible to enable redundant farm buildings and land to be used for the most appropriate use, depending on the nature of the buildings and land and their surroundings. Limiting the uses to just employment of affordable housing use prevents the best use being made of these valuable resources. The cost of converting farm buildings to housing means that few, if any, of them can support affordable housing use.			
02108	Mr Mark Gregory	02108/00001/011	Southern Plannin
Neither option goes far enough to support owners of redundant buildings. The current policy must be made more flexible to enable redundant farm buildings and land to be used for the most appropriate use, depending on the nature of the buildings and land and their surroundings. Limiting the uses to just employment or affordable housing use prevents the best use being made of these valuable resources. The cost of converting farm buildings to housing means that few, if any, of them can support affordable housing use.			

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02109	Mr Stephen Gregory	02109/00001/011	Southern Plannin
<p>Neither option goes far enough to support owners of redundant buildings. The current policy must be made more flexible to enable redundant farm buildings and land to be used for the most appropriate use, depending on the nature of the buildings and land and their surroundings. Limiting the uses to just employment or affordable housing use prevents the best use being made of these valuable resources. The cost of converting farm buildings to housing means that few, if any, of them can support affordable housing use.</p>			
02111	On Behalf Of Rural Clients	02111/00001/008	Southern Plannin
<p>The existing policy needs some updating to take account of PPS7 and draft PPS4. The existing policy is used to preserve buildings that have no merit and are not structurally sound and are then only of use for B8 storage and transport. PPS7 allows for rebuilding with smaller, better designed buildings that are better suited to their new function. Much more emphasis needs to be put on the objective of using this policy to improve the countryside. The text on large complexes of agricultural buildings needs to be made a central policy. The policy must prevent the creation of new buildings which may become redundant- particularly for equestrian use. The policies on the rural employment sites, once change of use is established, must also be updated to allow rebuilding and expansion subject to other policies. The issues of farm diversification must also be addressed. These should set out what development farmers are now allowed that others are not, and the justification required.</p>			
02118	Highbridge Properties	02118/00001/005	Southern Plannin
<p>It is considered important that the LDF promotes a positive stance towards supporting existing and encouraging appropriate employment opportunities within the rural area. Particularly where they are well located for the surrounding communities. The LDF should include appropriate policies to encourage a strong employment economy across the District utilising appropriate opportunities within the urban area as well as the rural areas.</p>			
02170	Mrs Caroline Jezeph	02170/00001/028	
<p>Convert to residential use.</p>			
02171	Miss Charlotte O'Neill	02171/00001/031	
<p>convert to residential use.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02174	Tichbourne Estate	02174/00001/029	Dreweatt Neate
Promoting particular opportunities for live/work schemes as a sustainable way of converting/redeveloping existing rural buildings in relatively unsustainable locations.			
02193	Mrs Dagmar Slater	02193/00001/035	
Provide amenities for local people, e.g., Leisure clubs, youth clubs, meeting halls etc.			
02229	Gleeson Homes	02229/00001/033	Turley Associates
Option 2 provides greater flexibility, but should still retain the principles of countryside restraint. The redevelopment of such buildings should be determined on a site by site basis having regard to development need, and should be commensurate with the sites accessibility and context.			
02230	Knightspur Properties Ltd	02230/00001/013	Turley Associates
As detailed in question 9 above, sites outside the settlements are not inherently unsustainable merely because they fall within the designated countryside. Some sites outside the settlements such as The Chilcomb Centre, Chilcomb Lane, Bar End have considerable sustainability merit and therefore emerging policy should allow thier redevelopment. Moreover the Chilcomb Centre provides a good opportunity to make provision for a type of development expressly identified in the Winchester District Economic and Employment Land Study (October 2007) and therefore should be allocated for employment use. The site itself is previously developed, highly accessible and benefits from its proximity to Bar End park and ride facility.			

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02230	Knightspur Properties Ltd	02230/00001/020	Turley Associates
<p>As detailed in question 9 above, sites outside the settlements are not inherently unsustainable because they fall within the designated countryside. Some sites outside the settlements such as The Chilcomb Centre, Chilcomb lane, Bar End have considerable sustainability merit and therefore emerging policy should allow their redevelopment. Moreover the Chilcomb Centre provides a good opportunity to make provision for a type of development expressly identified in the Winchester District Economic and Employment Land Study (WDEELS)(October 2007) and therefore should be allocated for employment use. The site itself is previously developed, highly accessible and benefits from its proximity to the Bar End park and ride facility. The employment needs section of the WDEELS identifies a shortage of modern employment site and premises floorspace in Winchester town market area. It also identifies a particular demand for a science or technology park to cater for modern knowledge based industries and creative industries. The allocation of the Chilcomb Centre would go some way to meeting this need and therefore should make a contribution to the employment land supply of Winchester town which is between 17.6 ha and 13.2 ha depending on the which of the four scenarios identified in the WDEELS is used. This approach would be entirely consistent with the draft version of PPS4 which promotes flexibility in allocations and a movement away from use class specific allocations and a more needs driven approach based on market indicators. It should also be noted that the existing Local Plan approach of constraint and directing office accommodation to the town centre only is outdated and in need of review. This contention is largely supported by the WDEELS.</p>			
02233	Humphrey Farms Ltd	02233/00001/014	PRO Vision Plan
<p>Live - Work units</p>			
02238	Holmes And Sons	02238/00001/030	Dreweatt Neate
<p>Promoting particular opportunities for live/work schemes as a sustainable way of converting/redeveloping existing rural buildings in relatively unsustainable locations.</p>			
02239	Trustees Of E M Toogood Settlement	02239/00001/030	Dreweatt Neate
<p>Promoting particular opportunities for live/work schemes as a sustainable way of converting/redeveloping existing rural buildings in relatively unsustainable locations.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02258	Mr Malcolm Tatum	02258/00001/030	
I do not support either of these options, if a local hub is to become effective they would know what was best for the community either housing or local employment - again we are trying to shoe horn a one size fits all and ignoring the needs of the community.			
02271	Miss Mary Parker	02271/00001/016	
How do you make this sustainable when people need to travel so much			
02272	Mr Andy Blaxland	02272/00001/025	
Option 2 provided that such scheme remain small scale in nature and do not result in relatively large scale developments in unsustainable locations.			
02274	Mr Peter McManus	02274/00001/031	
Provision of Public Transport for local rural residents is essential in Rural Areas.			
02293	Mr Chris Hoare	02293/00002/026	
Yes redundant windmills and water mills could be brought back to life with wind and water based power generation.			
02374	Campaign To Protect Rural England (CPRE)	02374/00001/031	
Vacant rural buildings could be used for housing, providing public transport or local employment was feasible. Inaccessible locations are only realistic as live/work units unless public transport is available. Government or Local Authorities need to pay for decontamination of sites (e.g. ex garage sites) or to bring pdl sites to meet housing or employment standards.			
02404	Mr Geoffrey Faithfull	02404/00001/030	
Don't build more housing - develop the redundant rural buildings.			
02406	Mr Brian Purkiss	02406/00001/017	
Community Centre, Social Club			
02414	Mr David Simmons	02414/00001/029	
This needs to be considered on a case by case basis within the local planning system. If the local housing truly supports opportunities for appropriate local employment then why not. If it becomes a rural sink for the unemployable then no.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02415	Ms Kelsie Learney	02415/00001/023	
Any development needs to fulfil sustainability criteria- redevelopment should only be allowed for local workers whether to provide jobs for those who already live there or housing for those who work there.			
02432	Mr Charles Nicholas Perry	02432/00001/021	
In Alresford's case only within Conservation guidelines.			
02495	Mr John Dixie	02495/00001/028	
Any buildings in the area, whether commercial/ agricultural or housing, should be in the frame for redevelopment.			
02504	Mr Peter Stevens	02504/00001/033	
Greater care must be taken to ensure that intensification of use of rural buildings doesn't actually destroy the rural nature of the area.			
02516	Mr Matthew Barker	02516/00001/028	
A degree of commonsense, without being too prescriptive is what is needed. The most important point is that redundant agricultural property is not re-developed into high value property, which will provide a good return to the house builder, but do nothing for the local community either in terms of affordable housing or jobs. There is plenty of expensive housing here.			
02527	Mr David Webb Carter	02527/00001/032	
By all means develop such buildings for housing but "affordable" is frankly ridiculous.			
02533	Mrs Rosemary Size	02533/00001/014	
Use them for local youth clubs, nursery schools etc.			
02535	Mr Paul Clarke	02535/00001/028	
With the provisos offered for Q11			
02550	Mrs Alison Matthews	02550/00001/027	
Extend Option 2 to allow open market housing or live/ work units. It is often too expensive to create affordable housing in redundant farm buildings while retaining their character. Such buildings are often located in remote areas and those eligible for social housing may not be able to afford the transport costs involved.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02562	Mr Peter Burke	02562/00001/019	
<p>The fact that the buildings are redundant indicates that the employment opportunity departed some time ago, and there is limited value in insisting that these buildings can only be used for employment purposes. Equally, they are often unsuitable for the development of affordable housing. A better solution would be to allow their conversion to upmarket residential housing (for which there is substantial demand and profit opportunity), coupling this with a s.106 type payment to support the development of employment opportunity or affordable housing elsewhere.</p>			
02565	Mr Alfred Witham	02565/00001/027	
<p>Redundant Buildings in rural areas, whether originally used for agricultural purposes or not, should only be redeveloped if they lie within the boundaries of a settlement. Isolated redundant buildings should normally be returned to cultivation.</p>			
02566	Mrs Marilyn Curtis	02566/00001/030	
<p>Majority of residents in Bishops Waltham work outside the boundary and people from outside the area work inside therefore there is no need for more business inside the area as it creates chaos in regard to parking etc. The residents would not need to park in the town centre, they could walk or use public transport, therefore reducing the carbon footprint.</p>			
02576	Mr Anthony Thorpe	02576/00001/025	
02581	Mr Nicholas Lalor	02581/00001/025	
<p>Where the buildings are of historic interest, conversion to single private dwellings should also be considered, since this is probably the best way of preserving them.</p>			
02585	Mr Jai Gomer	02585/00001/029	
<p>Conversion to new businesses.</p>			
02591	Mr Gordon Larcombe	02591/00001/032	
<p>Quite often, redundant rural buildings are havens for wild life... we will lost this wildlife if developers continue to demolish them.</p>			

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02627	Mr David Page	02627/00001/017	
<p>Whilst dogmatically stating that such buildings should be retained for employment purposes is perhaps not right, equally, uncontrolled conversion to residential use merely exacerbates the transition of a vibrant village supplying local employment to being a dormitory for nearby towns, thus destroying the infrastructure and character of the village and flying against Strategic Option No6 regarding the use of cars (i.e. not in accord with the sustainability aim).</p>			
02630	Mr Robert Shepherd	02630/00001/020	
<p>I support suitable reuse of such locations.</p>			
02662	Mrs Lynda Banister	02662/00001/028	
<p>Buildings could be used as community halls, pre-schools, clubs for older people and adolescents. You need good facilities to encourage community groups to flourish and to support families.</p>			
02665	Mr Martin Burton	02665/00001/024	
<p>No. If you allow development of these buildings for houses they will continue the trend of Hampshire communities being dormitory settlements for London. You then have more traffic on rural roads, for which they were not designed.</p>			
02666	Mr Peter Richardson	02666/00001/021	
<p>Although I have selected option 2, I would still like to see a presumption that rural buildings should be used for employment purposes, but where the local community agrees that this is impracticable then they should be released for small scale housing - provided the infrastructure can sustain development.</p>			
02670	Mr Nigel Paterson	02670/00001/027	
<p>More grants should be made available for creative new users of rural buildings. Ideas and successes should be better publicised.</p>			
02753	Mrs Lorrie Smith	02753/00001/022	
<p>Facilities for a local need i.e. a youth hall would be most appreciated in Waltham Chase.</p>			
02764	Mr Graham Mulholland	02764/00001/027	
<p>Rural buildings are best used for private housing and/or possibly employment. They are not most suited to affordable housing.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02783	Mrs Dorothy Quiney	02783/00002/015	
<p>Need to reduce the current. Highway stance in suitable circumstances when dealing with planning applications for conversion of redundant farm buildings for employment needs. As farming patterns change these buildings will become an unused but valuable resource. Provided they are retained within the farm ownership I see no reason why they should not be used for agricultural related purposes, small light industrial units/ offices, which present no detrimental effect on the surrounding countryside, e.g noise and greatly increased traffic. Reuse in this way would also provide an additional source of income to local farmers. However I would qualify my response by saying that these redundant farm buildings once approved for change of use should not be replaced elsewhere on the holding by the erection of a new building to replace the original.</p>			
02784	Mrs Victoria Louise Ruth Moore	02784/00001/008	
<p>Definitely option 2. Disused properties should be a priority for new housing and once these possibilities are extinguished only then should new ground be considered.</p>			
02847	Mr Stuart Lindsay	02847/00001/030	
<p>Bear in mind that what is claimed to be redundant today (for planning gain reasons) may be needed again in the future- circumstances do change particularly with the global rise in the demand for food and the concern about 'food miles'.</p>			
02887	Mrs Judy Marsh	02887/00001/026	
<p>If rules are relaxed too much - all farms will be living quarters and farming will just die out - and we need our efficient farming to continue for England to retain its country heritage.</p>			
02925	Mrs Gaynor Parker	02925/00001/029	
<p>Actively encourage use for employment within the community.</p>			
02930	Mrs Jacey Jackson	02930/00001/017	
<p>Each application should be considered on its merits according to local need.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
02932	Mr Jonathan Simmons	02932/00001/033	
<p>It is so important to keep rural industry - especially agriculture - alive. The country cannot function without it. More and more people want to buy local produce, and food security (I hope) will soon be recognised as a very important issue - much more so than the "energy security" we hear so much about. Therefore all opportunities to bring redundant rural buildings back into agricultural or light industrial use should be taken. Converting these places to houses will not do this.</p>			
02934	Mrs Eloise Appleby	02934/00001/018	
<p>Economic action plan supports option 2 in principle, but there are real concerns about some of our historic/listed rural buildings being converted into housing. I am sure this is taken into account through planning process anyway, but perhaps sympathetically converted might be better in phrasing of option 2. What about ant redundant churches, over next 20 years Numbers in congregations are dwindling. Great church conversation in Eastleigh town centre for affordable housing. Have you consulted with COE and RC church on this document SEEDA favours farmers adding value to produce by - for example - introducing packaging plant on site. Need to be careful that there is a hierarchy of need so that barns etc aren't grabbed for housing only to find that they might have been used to benefit rural economy through diversification.</p>			
02936	Mr Randolph Mulley	02936/00001/024	
<p>I think that some redundant agricultural buildings should never be allowed a change of use, and actually if they are a blight on the landscape they should be knocked down and the land on which they are situated should be restored to agricultural use to reduce local consumption of 'food miles.'</p>			
02939	Mr Lucian Warwick-Haller	02939/00001/020	
<p>A close eye would need to be kept on whether any conversions continue to adhere to the affordable housing criteria- i.e. they should not be being sold off after a short period for a large profit, whereupon such housing would soon move out of the affordable category.</p>			
02941	Mr Piers Austin	02941/00001/028	
<p>If a listed or period/character building they should be conserved while converted. If a modern ugly cheaply constructed steel etc barn, can be demolished and better type and style of buildings erected on same site.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
03016	Mrs Danielle F Giles	03016/00001/031	
There is no shame in converting rural buildings into 'non- affordable' housing as there is also a deficit of these. Occupiers of larger properties are also important to rural communities.			
03029	Mr Robert Toleman	03029/00001/014	
You should not be considering expansion to satisfy outside growth and you should be supporting your rate payers in the face our own unrealistic government.			
03050	Mr Colin Grant	03050/00001/019	
Allow development in Ex Walled Gardens, so retaining use there. I have seen many in a poor state of repair and are by definition a garden.			
03068	Dr Sheila E Gould	03068/00001/024	
Redundant rural buildings should be able to be converted to all types of housing not only affordable - because many may be inaccessible locations where there is no public transport and therefore unsuitable for "affordable" housing.			
03071	Mr John Hayter	03071/00001/036	
Preference should be given to live/ work units particularly where there is no sustainable transport.			
03073	Bewley Homes	03073/00001/022	White Young Gre
Redundant rural buildings and land may have many uses and a flexible approach should be taken to their conversion depending on local circumstances and demand.			
03080	Winchester Housing Board	03080/00001/008	
Where agricultural occupancy conditions removed, should give priority to use as affordable housing.			
03104	The Theatres Trust	03104/00001/005	
May provide a base for multi- purpose uses including community, cultural and commercial uses.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 12b. Are there any other ways in which redundant rural buildings could be used to support the demands the District faces over the next 20 years ?

<b>Customer Reference</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Comment Reference</b>	<b>Agent</b>
03105	Save Barton Farm Group	03105/00001/031	
Provision of Public Transport for local rural residents is essential in Rural Areas.			
03136	The Dever Society	03136/00001/017	
<p>The Dever Society supports the inclusion of affordable housing in the redevelopment of redundant farm buildings; however we are confused that we are being asked our views on the issue, since despite the policy in the Local Plan, planning permission is already being given for developments of redundant farm buildings which include both employment provision and housing. In the Micheldever area, two developments of this kind have already received planning permission, and only a percentage of the housing is affordable. It appears that Winchester planners are therefore already carrying out a more commercial version of Option 2. We have some concerns about this. For example, it is vital that green space and recreational areas within the development are provided for the residents. In both cases in the Micheldever area, this has not been done, and the green areas surrounding the new developments are entirely tenanted farmland, with no public footpaths. Recreational opportunities are therefore non-existent, and farmers are having to deal with increasing problems of trespass and damage.</p>			
03223	Mr David Sansom	03223/00001/028	
Depending on their size and location, some could be used for health or educational purposes.			