

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>   | <b>Name</b>                             | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|---|---|--------------------------|--------------|
| 00009   | Compton And Shawford Parish Council     | 00009/00001/028          |              |
| Option 1 should include alternative of financial contributions, to allow for provision in a different location. Contribution should fund supporting facilities as well as housing.  |   |                          |              |
| 00010   | Corhampton And Meonstoke Parish Council | 00010/00001/026          |              |
| There is much local demand for low cost market housing and shared ownership. Preference should be given to people from work within the local Parish for all affordable housing in these areas, not just on exception sites.   |   |                          |              |
| 00016   | Hambledon Parish Council                | 00016/00001/030          |              |
| Rural areas need Local Criteria for all Affordable Housing, not just for Exception sites.   |   |                          |              |
| 00029   | Otterbourne Parish Council              | 00029/00001/016          |              |
| This depends on definition of affordable housing- if affordable means genuinely within purchasing power for ownership of those on average incomes or lower than 50% would be socially just.   |   |                          |              |
| 00043   | Wonston Parish Council                  | 00043/00001/021          |              |
| Yes, each rural community is different. Each must, therefore, be treated as such, with much more attention being paid to local needs: as indeed is the case in the questionnaire for the Key and Local Hubs. This will deliver flexibility and enable optimum local solutions to be developed. Our own community has provided a disproportionate amount of affordable housing during the last 10 years- more than our needs require. The result is that much of it is occupied by families that do not enjoy the rural way of life and many are unhappy. The irony is now that when a local family does have a need they could well be forced to move away. |   |                          |              |

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>  | <b>Name</b>                          | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 00082  | East Hampshire District Council      | 00082/00001/004          |              |
| <p>East Hampshire DC has been investigating the introduction of a 'sliding scale' for the provision of affordable housing in both rural and urban areas. This would be a range of thresholds where a different amount of affordable housing would be required, depending on the size of the site. This would allow affordable housing to be provided on sites possibly below five dwellings in smaller settlements.</p>  |                                      |                          |              |
| 00084  | South East England Regional Assembly | 00084/00001/004          |              |
| <p>Support options for rural areas which seek to maximise affordable housing on all sites, having regard to targets and thresholds in Policy H4 of SE Plan.</p>  |                                      |                          |              |
| 00113  | Alresford Society                    | 00113/00001/015          |              |
| <p>When considering the scale of the problem neither option will be adequate and both options increase the cost already highly expensive private housing. The exception site principles should be more widely applied.</p>   |                                      |                          |              |
| 00121  | Mr A Foster                          | 00121/00001/027          |              |
| <p>50% is too low. Pepperpotting around the District needs to come to an end. 100% justified local needs affordable housing only. Be brave: other LPAs are taking sustainable development more seriously than WCC.</p>   |                                      |                          |              |
| 00127  | Mr P Turner                          | 00127/00001/023          |              |
| <p>Pepperpotted not segregated. Favour ideas of: - Lower threshold than 15- say 6. - Contributions for off site Affordable Housing provision- not necessarily just in villages, but favour more in villages by exceptions policy and by allocations for purely affordable housing (as now allowed by PPS3). - Non housing development paying for Affordable Housing- especially employers e.g. University and education establishments, Health Authority, Local Government, police etc and other larger new employers (unlikely in Winchester- except likes of Denplan). - Specify high percentage of 3 bed houses. - Use habitable rooms per hectare as density measure. - Use floorspace/ hab rooms as measure for percentage of Affordable Housing. - Urge LPA to have evidence for policy areas for lower density than 30dph- e.g. conservation areas, character neighbourhoods and rural places (as allowed by PPS3) and recognising Character Descriptions and Guidance in non- statutory villages, towns and neighbourhood design statements.</p> |                                      |                          |              |

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>  | <b>Name</b>         | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 00134  | Mr Peter Franckeiss | 00134/00001/027          |              |
| Ensure affordable housing only goes to those with a proven local connection.   |                     |                          |              |
| 00156  | Mr Philip Ross      | 00156/00002/022          |              |
| I don't believe that the council here enforce that rule unless the application is for 15 (not 5) dwellings   |                     |                          |              |
| 00270  | Ms Meriel Walton    | 00270/00001/026          |              |
| More communal accommodation, encourage owners to take more lodgers.  |                     |                          |              |
| 00270  | Ms Meriel Walton    | 00270/00002/027          |              |
| More communal accommodation, encourage home owners to take more lodgers.   |                     |                          |              |
| 00381  | Mr Paul Byers       | 00381/00001/017          |              |
| Affordable housing should be based on the specific areas needs - it should also be controlled so that it is not purchased 'for investment' only and then within a few weeks/months being available 'to let'. |                     |                          |              |
| 00395  | Mr P Wells          | 00395/00002/049          |              |
| Additional general comments received in covering letter to questionnaire In surrounding villages build up to 20 affordable units to increase viability   |                     |                          |              |
| 00474  | Mr Dan Chapman      | 00474/00002/026          |              |
| Reduce requirement for affordable housing and allow more larger properties - there is a diminishing pool of larger houses available for those who want them.   |                     |                          |              |
| 00511  | Mr Keith Story      | 00511/00002/024          |              |
| Increase the requirement to 70% affordable housing to enable more young people to live in the Rural area.  |                     |                          |              |
| 00557  | Ms Fiona Chapman    | 00557/00002/026          |              |
| Reduce the requirement for affordable housing and allow more larger properties. There is a diminishing number of larger houses available for those that want them.   |                     |                          |              |

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>   | <b>Name</b>                        | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 00557   | Ms Fiona Chapman                   | 00557/00004/026          |              |
| Reduce the requirement for affordable housing and allow more larger properties. There is a diminishing number of larger houses available for those that want them.  |                                    |                          |              |
| 01008   | Mr And Mrs Anthony And Helen Drury | 01008/00003/013          |              |
| Affordable housing is a misnomer and the purchase of such properties is often perceived as being abused. The housing is not actually targeted to local needs of existing/established residents and their families. It is not properly controlled and becomes a free for all. This is not good for social cohesion and certainly not good for local communities.   |                                    |                          |              |
| 01083   | Ms Elizabeth Robinson              | 01083/00002/018          |              |
| Each area's needs should be considered individually. Affordable housing is perfectly acceptable in the more rural areas as it is made up of houses which are in keeping with the existing properties. These appalling flats are not suitable in rural or semi rural areas. Keep them for the town.  |                                    |                          |              |
| 01243   | Ms Serita Campbell                 | 01243/00002/017          |              |
| Not unless there are large industrialised sites that could be developed, and move the businesses industries to the large towns. Free bus transport could be provided for people to travel to work, which would reduce the amount of cars and minimise pollution.  |                                    |                          |              |
| 01265   | Ms N A Holladay                    | 01265/00002/024          |              |
| Option 2 with the caveat that the words "for people with genuine local connections or key workers" should be added between "housing" and "in the rural areas" and "up to" should be added before the figure 50%. There is an alternative model for affordable housing: Community Land Trusts. The emphasis of these is on intermediate housing controlled in perpetuity by local people. Affordable housing should be for local people and not simply because the government says that people from outside the district with no connection should be housed here. |                                    |                          |              |
| 01426   | Mr And Mrs Catling                 | 01426/00003/016          |              |
| I do not associate an increased amount of housing with affordability. House prices are to do with the economy.  |                                    |                          |              |

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>   | <b>Name</b>                           | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 01460   | Mr Michael John Bennett               | 01460/00002/016          |              |
| In Wickham very serious consideration must be given to making a special case for a much lower figure. Wickham is badly out of balance because of its current large Council estate and almost complete lack of middle range housing. |                                       |                          |              |
| 01630   | Mrs Eleanor Watts                     | 01630/00002/021          |              |
| Rural affordable housing should only be available to local people.  |                                       |                          |              |
| 01821   | Ms J Chapman-Andrews                  | 01821/00003/009          |              |
| I don't know what "affordable housing" is.  |                                       |                          |              |
| 01920   | Mr And Mrs Simon And<br>Jane Merryman | 01920/00001/023          |              |
| Ref 10a: Remain as 30% but this should be for all sites, not just those of 5 or more dwellings.   |                                       |                          |              |
| 01923   | Captain John Ellis                    | 01923/00001/030          |              |
| Local people should have first call on all affordable houses (not just gov funded projects)   |                                       |                          |              |
| 01929   | Mr Anthony Marshall                   | 01929/00001/024          |              |
| Social housing needs should be based on local village/town needs not spread blanket fashion across whole district.  |                                       |                          |              |
| 01932   | Mrs Joy Fox                           | 01932/00001/008          |              |
| On brown sites, bring down house prices.  |                                       |                          |              |
| 01933   | Mr David Parratt                      | 01933/00001/016          |              |
| I don't know enough about these to give an opinion.   |                                       |                          |              |
| 01939   | Mrs Jean Buckle                       | 01939/00001/024          |              |
| Imperative  |                                       |                          |              |
| 01949   | Mr John Cornwell                      | 01949/00001/026          |              |
| A 50% requirement runs a real risk of land owners simply not bringing their land forward for development.   |                                       |                          |              |

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>   | <b>Name</b>         | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 01956   | Mrs Barbara Holyome | 01956/00002/026          |              |
| But omit financial contribution, we will only get affordable housing if developers are forced to build it and not just buy their way out.   |                     |                          |              |
| 01960   | Mr Ian Merritt      | 01960/00001/026          |              |
| Redundant farm buildings could be used if practical.  |                     |                          |              |
| 01965   | Mrs Joyce Simmons   | 01965/00001/027          |              |
| There should be some small- scale schemes for key workers (e.g. police house and nurses accomodation, local schemes for local people)   |                     |                          |              |
| 01973   | Mr Ewan Simmonds    | 01973/00001/070          |              |
| Perhaps a look back at how Councils decided on construction of 'Council Housing' might provide a strategy here.   |                     |                          |              |
| 01977   | Mrs Ann Judd        | 01977/00001/027          |              |
| Housing needs survey to assess the demand.  |                     |                          |              |
| 01985   | Ms Angela Sealey    | 01985/00001/022          |              |
| Consider even higher proportion of social housing, prohibit the purchase of second homes.   |                     |                          |              |
| 01989   | Dr Keith Paskins    | 01989/00001/026          |              |
| Housing and other development in the rural area should only be permitted where it supports sustainable rural activities in farming/ leisure etc.  |                     |                          |              |
| 01994   | Miss J Nicholson    | 01994/00001/024          |              |
| Don't sell off surplus HCC and Hampshire City Council land. Use it to build social housing and rented/owned mix housing. How about paying all stamp tax into a fund for social housing Sell only to locals. Prevent the future purchase of property for investment or second homes. |                     |                          |              |
| 01995   | Mrs Diana Paskins   | 01995/00001/027          |              |
| Housing and other development in rural areas should only be encouraged to sustain rural activities- farming, market gardening, equestrian, pheasant rasing etc.   |                     |                          |              |

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>   | <b>Name</b>                   | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 01999   | Mrs Barbara Garfath           | 01999/00001/026          |              |
| Schemes where buyer helps build/finish the house pubs to be given incentives to provide one or more very affordable flats.                            |                               |                          |              |
| 02003   | Mr Ptol Slattery              | 02003/00001/031          |              |
| Affordable housing for only local residents "per roof" taxes only viable if help only local people.   |                               |                          |              |
| 02008   | Mr Caesar Slattery            | 02008/00001/031          |              |
| Per roof, tax but only for development below minimum 15 dwelling threshold. Reduce minimum threshold of 15 - all development must include affordable. |                               |                          |              |
| 02013   | Mrs Fiona Rutherford          | 02013/00001/026          |              |
| Reduce requirement for affordable housing and allow more larger properties - there is a pool of larger houses available for those who want them.      |                               |                          |              |
| 02015   | Mr Derek Rutherford           | 02015/00001/027          |              |
| There is a greater need for larger houses. Affordable housing should be built on local authority land if necessary at 70% or higher.                  |                               |                          |              |
| 02022   | Mr Michael Carter             | 02022/00001/024          |              |
| Neither of these options is acceptable. Affordable housing should meet evidence based need.   |                               |                          |              |
| 02023   | Mr Micheal Sadler-<br>Forster | 02023/00001/018          |              |
| This % formula is nonsense. Each settlement presents different evidence based needs. That should determine the volume to be provided.                 |                               |                          |              |
| 02070   | Mr And Mrs Roger Hockin       | 02070/00001/028          |              |
| Spend money from selling council houses on building new ones.   |                               |                          |              |
| 02092   | Mr Fergus Christie            | 02092/00001/028          |              |
| Use for local people  |                               |                          |              |
| 02093   | Mrs Jane Graham               | 02093/00001/016          |              |
| I believe all housing should be made affordable, perhaps a better wage scale is the answer.   |                               |                          |              |

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>  | <b>Name</b>                      | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b>      |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| 02097  | Gawthorpe Estates Ltd            | 02097/00001/007          | White Young Gre   |
| The Estates' experience is that many rural communities are interested in seeing the delivery of affordable housing but that incentivising rural landowners is difficult when basic land values are taken into account. The use of more radical approaches is essential if sites are to come forward. |                                  |                          |                   |
| 02097  | Gawthorpe Estates Ltd            | 02097/00001/007          |                   |
| The Estates' experience is that many rural communities are interested in seeing the delivery of affordable housing but that incentivising rural landowners is difficult when basic land values are taken into account. The use of more radical approaches is essential if sites are to come forward. |                                  |                          |                   |
| 02170  | Mrs Caroline Jezeph              | 02170/00001/025          |                   |
| 50% will undermine redevelopment schemes   |                                  |                          |                   |
| 02171  | Miss Charlotte O'Neill           | 02171/00001/028          |                   |
| 50% will undermine redevelopment schemes.  |                                  |                          |                   |
| 02191  | Church Commissioners For England | 02191/00001/004          | Strutt And Parker |
| Need to be an up-to-date housing assessment to justify this revised policy stance.   |                                  |                          |                   |
| 02192  | Zurich Assurance Ltd             | 02192/00001/004          | Cluttons          |
| Need an up to date housing needs assessment to justify the revised policy stance.  |                                  |                          |                   |
| 02193  | Mrs Dagmar Slater                | 02193/00001/032          |                   |
| I think Option 2 is the fair option . although an alternative may be to refurbish derelict sites e.g. old barns and/ or disused farm houses, and to provide affordable housing that way.   |                                  |                          |                   |
| 02241  | Mr Robert Cooper                 | 02241/00001/017          |                   |
| There are already too many 1 2 bed flats and apartments. Let's see more 3 4 bed family homes being built. Why not look to build some authority owned family homes which can rented so that they are 'affordable'.  |                                  |                          |                   |

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>   | <b>Name</b>                              | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|---|--|--------------------------|--------------|
| 02258   | Mr Malcolm Tatum                         | 02258/00001/027          |              |
| The local plan would be better at assessing need for the specific rural area rather than one size fits all % rates  |  |                          |              |
| 02271   | Miss Mary Parker                         | 02271/00001/014          |              |
| The existing policy does not provide much because so many developments are of fewer than 5 dwellings, and obviously has not met affordable housing needs, so something different is needed. Whether option 2 can work, i'm not sure.  |  |                          |              |
| 02365   | Mr Ralph Pointer                         | 02365/00001/022          |              |
| Stop the sale of council houses.  |  |                          |              |
| 02369   | Mr Peter Bennett                         | 02369/00001/024          |              |
| Limited stand alone development on exception sites that will not damage sensitive landscape areas.  |  |                          |              |
| 02374   | Campaign To Protect Rural England (CPRE) | 02374/00001/027          |              |
| The situation in urban and rural areas should be differentiated. People who live in villages are reluctant to support the higher percentage as the social housing will not necessarily go to local people (unlike exception sites). Possibly this rule could be changed and allocations be made on the basis of local criteria but this would be subject to need. Villages would have enough locals looking for housing. In urban areas increased requirement in Option 2 might be appropriate but there does not appear to be a definition of 'intermediate' 50% affordable housing, provided only released for local residents. Other social housing cases bought in from elsewhere have caused problems. Affordable housing applicants may be unable to afford higher transport costs in remote rural locations, so may be unable to reach employment. |  |                          |              |
| 02379   | Mrs Jennifer Williams                    | 02379/00001/016          |              |
| Encouraging tenancies rather than individual ownership  |  |                          |              |
| 02381   | Mr John Doyle                            | 02381/00001/023          |              |
| I assume affordable housing includes council houses (i.e. rented accommodation)   |  |                          |              |

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>  | <b>Name</b>               | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 02386  | Mr David Griffiths        | 02386/00001/016          |              |
| Double-taxation of second homes to limit the price-pressure on local homes.  |                           |                          |              |
| 02399  | Mr Chris Southgate        | 02399/00001/023          |              |
| Let the market decide  |                           |                          |              |
| 02403  | Mrs Pat Mann              | 02403/00001/026          |              |
| Affordable housing is really outside the scope of this consultation as it is largely at the mercy of market forces.  |                           |                          |              |
| 02414  | Mr David Simmons          | 02414/00001/025          |              |
| "Affordable housing" should be primarily for local families who want to stay (and work) in the area, not used as a dumping ground to house WCC's problem families to the detriment of the quality of life of local people.   |                           |                          |              |
| 02422  | Mr Mike Robertson         | 02422/00001/021          |              |
| Typically, affordable housing is for those on low incomes. Presumably therefore the targets should be based on the amount of low income work in the locality. There seems little point in creating large amounts of affordable housing in a rural area where there is little or no low income work, thereby forcing these people to commute, something which they can ill afford. Conversely if there is a large amount of low income work in a rural area, be it agricultural or otherwise, then the volume of affordable housing being built locally should be sufficient to sustain that. |                           |                          |              |
| 02427  | Mr Michael Emett          | 02427/00001/014          |              |
| Development in rural areas should be limited primarily to meeting local affordable needs, therefore it is appropriate to seek higher provision in such areas.  |                           |                          |              |
| 02432  | Mr Charles Nicholas Perry | 02432/00001/015          |              |
| The word "affordable" should be dropped. Housing at lowest cost for low income homeless or low residential capacity should be named "Family fit". This would take some of the "house price" emotion out of the house-builder's resistance to a higher percentage mix.  |                           |                          |              |

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>   | <b>Name</b>          | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 02435   | Mr William Stroud    | 02435/00001/019          |              |
| <p>The affordable housing should be 100% (not 50%) to redress the balance of housing stock, which the young cannot afford. Developers may squeal but would build 100% affordable housing if that was all on offer to them.</p>  |                      |                          |              |
| 02437   | Mr Geoffrey Stephens | 02437/00001/022          |              |
| <p>Some smaller private (2/3 bed) individual houses should be permitted to enable younger people to live in rural areas. Greater flexibility in tenure arrangements.</p>  |                      |                          |              |
| 02445   | Mr David Roger-Jones | 02445/00001/007          |              |
| <p>Since many rural sites are small, Option 1 is easily avoided. I am not convinced that people want to be tenants or part share owners. Planning should be used to restrict the transfer on sale, so that the market is controlled at a depressed value.</p>   |                      |                          |              |
| 02454   | Mr David Phillips    | 02454/00001/027          |              |
| <p>Consideration should be given to meeting several different demands on affordable housing. For example where people are taking out a mortgage to part purchase a home then a range of size and quality houses should be included in any proposed development. Where people are only looking for long term subsidised rented accommodation then only the basic forms of accommodation should be considered. Post war prefabs have met many needs for almost seventy years and serious consideration should be given to reverting to this type of accommodation where people do not set out to share in equity.</p> |                      |                          |              |
| 02457   | Mr I K G Arnold      | 02457/00001/024          |              |
| <p>Government should not meddle: the mix of housing should be left to the market.</p>   |                      |                          |              |
| 02460   | Ms Rachel Thompson   | 02460/00001/020          |              |
| <p>I think some consideration of the local area should be made and that 30% is the minimum, but depending on the area up to 50% could be affordable housing. This could depend on the requirement for more affordable housing, e.g. people living in the area cannot afford to buy. Increasing the % affordable housing is likely to change the composition of the area e.g. allow more people on low incomes to move into the area - it could cause social problems.</p>   |                      |                          |              |

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>  | <b>Name</b>          | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 02468  | Mr Stephen Webb      | 02468/00001/019          |              |
| NO   |                      |                          |              |
| 02502  | Mr Geoffrey Johnson  | 02502/00001/016          |              |
| Any new housing being built in these areas should be 100% for local people on waiting lists within a defined area and then for local first time buyers again living within a defined area. No further developments for money grabbing house builders please. |                      |                          |              |
| 02506  | Mr Barney Ball       | 02506/00001/023          |              |
| The need should be higher than 50% certainly no lower.   |                      |                          |              |
| 02510  | Cllr Jackie Porter   | 02510/00001/005          |              |
| Affordable homes should only be built in sustainable locations.  |                      |                          |              |
| 02511  | Cllr Malcolm Wright  | 02511/00002/026          |              |
| Affordable housing should be on a local basis requirement and not linked to private market.  |                      |                          |              |
| 02516  | Mr Matthew Barker    | 02516/00001/022          |              |
| I do not have an issue with affordable housing. However, if WCC makes it such that all sites have to carry 50% affordable housing, that will erode the homebuilders margin.  |                      |                          |              |
| 02527  | Mr David Webb Carter | 02527/00001/026          |              |
| Great idea but it is an expensive area not suited to low cost or affordable housing. They will become ghettos like some of the other recent developments. Crime will be encouraged and the Police are non-existent already.                                  |                      |                          |              |
| 02535  | Mr Paul Clarke       | 02535/00001/024          |              |
| I would add that if affordable housing is provided, it must be made available to those (esp. locals) who actually need it, not just people looking for cheap housing who could afford better. (This may be the situation already).                           |                      |                          |              |

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>  | <b>Name</b>         | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 02549  | Mr Andy Crowe       | 02549/00001/025          |              |
| <p>First of all, it is what is achieved and what is viable rather than the 'target' that matters most. Secondly, the % affordable requirement should mirror the needs of the District. Option 1 sounds fine if it is achieved and if planners are not bullied by developers into taking too high a proportion of intermediate housing. The Council should set a target for the overall mix of tenures to be achieved, in addition to what it can negotiate on some sites.</p>  |                     |                          |              |
| 02550  | Mrs Alison Matthews | 02550/00001/021          |              |
| <p>Affordable housing in H3 areas should be differentiated from rural exception sites. In H3 developments, affordable housing is allocated by WCC Housing Dept. On exception sites local criteria applies. As a result, those who live in villages are reluctant to support the higher percentages of social housing in H3 areas as they know that the houses will not necessarily go to local people. Possibly the rule could be changed and allocation in H3 areas made on the basis of local criteria. But not all villages will have enough locals looking for social housing especially when an exception site has been developed. In urban areas Option 2 might be appropriate but there is no definition of "intermediate".</p> |                     |                          |              |
| 02566  | Mrs Marilyn Curtis  | 02566/00001/026          |              |
| <p>In any development there should be some affordable local housing therefore supplying housing for the people of the area that quite possibly are outbid by incoming residents.</p>   |                     |                          |              |
| 02568  | Mr Michael Chaplin  | 02568/00001/023          |              |
| <p>Other factors condition the type of housing mix but the availability of affordable mortgages, travelling facilities and the location of work are key issues. There should be a minimum level of affordable housing (33%) and the rest should depend on the factors listed above.</p>  |                     |                          |              |
| 02574  | Miss Denise Oatham  | 02574/00001/022          |              |
| <p>The problem with provision of affordable housing in the rural area is the complete lack of transport links to local employment centres and the need for use of cars. Investment is needed in local public transport networks. Also the road system particularly in the Durley area is woefully inadequate for the size and volume of traffic it is expected to deal with.</p>   |                     |                          |              |

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>   | <b>Name</b>         | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|---|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 02578   | Mr F C Goodland     | 02578/00001/014          |              |
| 90%   |                     |                          |              |
| 02586   | Mr John Nicholls    | 02586/00001/024          |              |
| The affordable housing policy is already seriously flawed. It is the product of left wing politics which have abandoned traditional government housing projects and forced the flawed idea of mixed developments upon the public. This policy is best left in Eastern Europe/ Russia where, no doubt it originated  |                     |                          |              |
| 02612   | Mr Edward Jackson   | 02612/00001/026          |              |
| Legal agreements to make sure that all affordable housing continues to stay 'affordable' and goes to local people or incoming key workers even when it is sold on.  |                     |                          |              |
| 02630   | Mr Robert Shepherd  | 02630/00001/017          |              |
| North of Winchester, close to rail and road links.  |                     |                          |              |
| 02631   | Mrs Jean Weatherall | 02631/00001/015          |              |
| Preserve housing for a limited period.  |                     |                          |              |
| 02662   | Mrs Lynda Banister  | 02662/00001/022          |              |
| The option of financial contributions rather than direct provision may be preferable to developers. How would this money be administered, used and accounted for? How can we provide affordable housing to buy? The power must rest with the authorities who create the opportunities for developers; communities should not have to absorb what is offered without consultation. |                     |                          |              |
| 02663   | Mr Nigel Dollery    | 02663/00001/024          |              |
| I would prefer on site provision, I do not trust the idea of financial contributions perhaps isolating those who require the affordable housing.  |                     |                          |              |
| 02665   | Mr Martin Burton    | 02665/00001/019          |              |
| Increase the amount of local housing to allow local people who have grown up in the area to remain in the area. Don't build houses that make Winchester a dormitory district for London.  |                     |                          |              |

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>  | <b>Name</b>                | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b>    |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 02670  | Mr Nigel Paterson          | 02670/00001/023          |                 |
| Provision of improved public transport is important for dwellers in affordable housing. There is not much point in building affordable housing which people cannot access.   |                            |                          |                 |
| 02677  | Mr Martin Orford           | 02677/00001/024          |                 |
| Provision of affordable housing is a commendable objective but the system has been abused to such an extent that it has now simply become a planning loophole for developers to get permission for unsuitable housing schemes, and for by to let speculators to have a ready supply of investment properties. It's time to admit that affordable housing doesn't work and abandon this well-meant but futile policy. |                            |                          |                 |
| 02698  | Mrs Rosemary Stephens      | 02698/00001/023          |                 |
| a) Limited release of more land. b) Developments should be sympathetic to existing village architecture. c) Greater flexibility in tenure arrangements.  |                            |                          |                 |
| 02704  | Mr Richard Carpenter       | 02704/00001/017          |                 |
| Council houses should be reintroduced.   |                            |                          |                 |
| 02719  | Mr Garry Newman            | 02719/00001/020          |                 |
| Ease restrictions on redundant building be converted for dwellings Again make use of the Brownfield.   |                            |                          |                 |
| 02728  | Mr Derek Hickman           | 02728/00001/024          |                 |
| Look at sites on merit, as appropriate. Blanket rules aren't right for this area.  |                            |                          |                 |
| 02740  | North Hedge End Consortium | 02740/00001/011          | Terence O Rourk |
| Although the council will have aspirations in terms of affordable housing provision , there is a need for any policy covering this issue to contain some flexibility to allow issues of viability to be taken account of.  |                            |                          |                 |

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>   | <b>Name</b>                    | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 02753   | Mrs Lorrie Smith               | 02753/00001/016          |              |
| <p>Neither option. Option 2 I am extremely unhappy with regarding financial contributions. If the requirement for affordable housing in the rural area should be increased, and the development does not comply to the 50% scenario, then the developers need to go back to the drawing board again until the plans meet these targets, and not have a cop out for both the developers and planning dept as a financial contribution.</p> |                                |                          |              |
| 02771   | Mr Kevin Fry                   | 02771/00001/026          |              |
| <p>Options on affordable housing are rarely taken up, people in council houses still can't get on to the property ladder as deposits and combined mortgage and rent tariffs are too high.</p>   |                                |                          |              |
| 02775   | Mrs Rosemarie Lee              | 02775/00001/021          |              |
| <p>Key worker schemes.</p>  |                                |                          |              |
| 02779   | Ms Linda Yeodal                | 02779/00001/025          |              |
| <p>Affordable should be affordable to local people on local wages</p>   |                                |                          |              |
| 02783   | Mrs Dorothy Quiney             | 02783/00002/013          |              |
| <p>Only exception sites with 25% market housing which might make it attractive and viable for developers but that should be restricted to 2 or 3 bed dwellings.</p>   |                                |                          |              |
| 02784   | Mrs Victoria Louise Ruth Moore | 02784/00001/005          |              |
| <p>The governments idea of affordable housing is not realistic. We do not need part buy or properties to rent, we need decent houses which are a realistic price.</p>   |                                |                          |              |
| 02786   | Mr Anthony Cales               | 02786/00001/026          |              |
| <p>I believe there is a lower need for affordable housing in rural areas than in urban centres.</p>   |                                |                          |              |
| 02800   | Mr Malcolm Rowland             | 02800/00001/025          |              |
| <p>Unless realistically affordable housing for our children and children's children can be built, nothing larger, in these areas, it is not worth pursuing new builds in these rural hubs.</p>  |                                |                          |              |

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>  | <b>Name</b>               | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b>    |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 02871  | Ms Jo Hayward             | 02871/00001/025          |                 |
| <p>'Affordable housing' needs better guidelines. It isn't affordable and often goes to people with children. My friend is single and works for the NHS as a nurse. Her wage is limited- how is she supposed to move along the house chain She is currently in a damp and small one bed flat- how can she move to a small house/ 2 bed flat</p> |                           |                          |                 |
| 02874  | Mr Michael Hollis         | 02874/00002/023          |                 |
| <p>Local survey (Wickham) suggest no pressing requirement for affordable housing (40 out of approx 1500 households)</p>  |                           |                          |                 |
| 02887  | Mrs Judy Marsh            | 02887/00001/023          |                 |
| <p>Part own/ part rent options, get yourself on the property ladder.</p>   |                           |                          |                 |
| 02895  | Mrs Wendy Ingamells       | 02895/00002/018          |                 |
| <p>Yes. None. These should be provided in cities only where jobs are more public transport in order to get to those jobs. Areas included are Portsmouth and Southampton.</p>   |                           |                          |                 |
| 02921  | Mr Ian Tait               | 02921/00001/020          |                 |
| <p>I am not convinced that the affordable housing % is working. It may be better to take a % from each dwelling and collect the monies and build off site. This subject needs a lot more work done on it.</p>  |                           |                          |                 |
| 02922  | Mr Ben Welch              | 02922/00001/012          |                 |
| <p>If you increase the requirement to 50% the developers will not make any money, therefore not build any low cost.</p>  |                           |                          |                 |
| 02923  | North Whiteley Consortium | 02923/00001/022          | Terence O'Rourk |
| <p>Although the Council will have aspirations in terms of affordable housing provision, there is a need for any policy covering this issue to contain some flexibility to allow issues of viability be explored.</p>   |                           |                          |                 |
| 02925  | Mrs Gaynor Parker         | 02925/00001/025          |                 |
| <p>Affordable housing for essential workers linked to the job.</p>   |                           |                          |                 |

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>  | <b>Name</b>              | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 02928  | Mr Barry Jerome          | 02928/00001/017          |              |
| Introduce council tax provisions which would discourage the purchase of rural housing as second or holiday homes.  |                          |                          |              |
| 02929  | Mrs Yvonne Case          | 02929/00001/024          |              |
| Make the requirement apply to developments of more than one house.   |                          |                          |              |
| 02930  | Mrs Jacey Jackson        | 02930/00001/013          |              |
| Conditions/Legal agreements should be put in place to prevent the onward sale of affordable properties on the open market. They must remain affordable for local people.   |                          |                          |              |
| 02932  | Mr Jonathan Simmons      | 02932/00001/028          |              |
| I am annoyed that this question has not defined the term "affordable housing". Hence it is misleading people. Everyone wants housing to be more affordable - but "affordable housing" is actually defined as social and shared-ownership housing. I think that key worker schemes should be strongly encouraged. However, "social housing", while needed, often does not tend to benefit local communities and should therefore be provided only where it is absolutely necessary. |                          |                          |              |
| 02939  | Mr Lucian Warwick-Haller | 02939/00001/014          |              |
| Yes, go up to 100% where this is felt to be beneficial. Housing should be being built to reflect local need, and not the convenience or profit levels of developers. There is a need to control any building that may end up as second houses or "country cottages" for the better off.  |                          |                          |              |
| 02941  | Mr Piers Austin          | 02941/00001/023          |              |
| Self build (to standard rural low carbon designs) option with land made available at sensible prices.  |                          |                          |              |

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>  | <b>Name</b>            | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b> |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| 02944  | Mr Andrew Bennett      | 02944/00001/027          |              |
| <p>What is your definition of 'affordable' this sort of statement is meaningless. planner speak for flats Come on admit it: you just want to build lots more flats in our small towns and villages people find it difficult to afford a house is the recent speculative bubble is due to housing prices (if by affordable you mean nasty small flats) that no doubt will lead to social problems if families are housed there or some form of subsidy so propping up the already excessive house prices then, no thanks Why are you so obsessed with building flats Flats for families were a disaster in the 1960's</p> |                        |                          |              |
| 02997  | Mrs Nikki Oliver       | 02997/00001/014          |              |
| <p>The percentage of affordable housing should be directly related to the local need. Each settlement should be encouraged to provide for its local people. Affordable houses should be maintained only for local people in perpetuity.</p>  |                        |                          |              |
| 03010  | Mr Peter Middleton     | 03010/00001/024          |              |
| <p>It is inappropriate to provide social housing in groups of more than 5 units.</p>   |                        |                          |              |
| 03029  | Mr Robert Toleman      | 03029/00001/011          |              |
| <p>Additional housing to be related to income of indigenous families to maintain the cohesion of the social structure.</p>   |                        |                          |              |
| 03058  | Mr Andrew Rigg         | 03058/00001/009          |              |
| <p>Stay flexible. Determine each on case by case basis.</p>  |                        |                          |              |
| 03071  | Mr John Hayter         | 03071/00001/032          |              |
| <p>The maximum viable level of affordable housing should be sought, up to the needed level of 72%, if necessary in preference to open space, transport etc.</p>  |                        |                          |              |
| 03105  | Save Barton Farm Group | 03105/00001/027          |              |
| <p>No "financial contribution" alternatives to meeting 50% Affordable Housing quotas.</p>  |                        |                          |              |
| 03136  | The Dever Society      | 03136/00001/015          |              |
| <p>Although Option 2 on the face of it seems the right thing to do, we would again stress that each rural settlement is different, and the appropriate level of affordable housing for each should be identified.</p>  |                        |                          |              |

**Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)**

Question 10b. Are there any other affordable housing options for the rural area that could be considered?

| <b>Customer Reference</b>  | <b>Name</b>          | <b>Comment Reference</b> | <b>Agent</b>    |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 03202  | Mr M Charrett        | 03202/00001/014          |                 |
| 50% may not always be achievable.  |                      |                          |                 |
| 03225  | Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd | 03225/00001/015          | Woolf Bond Plan |
| The affordable housing requirement to be met in the rural area should accord with the requirements of the emerging south East plan. Any 50% requirement should be worded as a "target" to be met on all sites, subject to viability testing on a site by site basis. |                      |                          |                 |