

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
00013	Denmead Parish Council	00013/00001/045	
Tynefield is a travellers site. There is a case that a provision for Romany gypsies is required with facilities for horses.			
00025	New Alresford Town Council	00025/00001/049	
Site could be provided along the motorway behind Sainsburys or Tescos. Alternative is a shielded position behind the recycling provisions. Small sites in unsuitable locations lead to social unrest and dissatisfaction, with children not integrating into school.			
00036	Swanmore Parish Council	00036/00001/042	
Sites need to be allocated before 2011, unauthorised sites should not form the basis of allocating new sites.			
00043	Wonston Parish Council	00043/00001/048	
Not an alternative, we are simply perplexed by the stated "preference" of gypsies and travellers to live on permanent private sites, owned by their families. This would seem to run counter to the very ethos of travelling people. Any who aspire to this should, we would have thought, be considered to have set aside the travelling life and should be treated like the majority of the population. To set up permanent private gypsy sites is a little more than creating an ethnic enclave.			
00156	Mr Philip Ross	00156/00002/044	
Sites should only be provided for those who pay their way. This would include site fees and council tax.			
00381	Mr Paul Byers	00381/00001/036	
People are sometimes wary of 'travellers' because of the nuisance and litter and other problems SOME of them bring - perhaps specific sites would be good - but again it depends where they are located.			
01008	Mr And Mrs Anthony And Helen Drury	01008/00003/033	
Local residents should not be required to fund traveller sites. If people choose to live in this manner they should be subject to normal planning requirements and be prepared to rent appropriate space at full and proper cost.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01151	Mr C H Dore	01151/00002/038	
<p>I have insufficient knowledge to comment on facilities but I wonder what emphasis the council puts on educating the youngsters so that they find it easier to be integrated into non-traveller communities. If one does not exist, perhaps there should be a dedicated educationalist who has power and resources to provide specific training. If over 50% of the children move into conventional lifestyles the issue of finding accommodation for the community will decline over time.</p>			
01243	Ms Serita Campbell	01243/00002/028	
<p>Sites for gypsies and travellers are undesirable due to the high risk of vandalism, theft and other crime related issues that local tax paying people have experienced, as shown on the media.</p>			
01265	Ms N A Holladay	01265/00002/052	
<p>There is no way that I (or most others) are qualified to answer this question. What is the scale of the need How do current facilities fail to meet that need If I knew the answers then I might be able to consider a sensible response.</p>			
01283	Mr And Mrs Roy And Jill Phillips	01283/00002/037	
<p>I think that giving option 2 as a choice is a joke What is the point of building lines when you are allowing them to be ignored.</p>			
01460	Mr Michael John Bennett	01460/00002/036	
<p>Gypsies should pay council tax and be subject to all the laws of the land, like the rest of us.</p>			
01935	Mrs June Chilton	01935/00001/046	
<p>Provision made for families wanting space on site (whether short or long term) to pay and maybe book beforehand as in renting accommodation.</p>			
01945	Dr Harold Proctor	01945/00001/027	
<p>Disagree with all options</p>			
01961	Mr Daniel Wilden	01961/00001/054	
<p>A comprehensive review of policy is required.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
01967	Mrs Marion Harrison	01967/00001/040	
Camping and caravan sites could offer a limited number of pitches for a limited time.			
01970	Mr David Poole	01970/00001/051	
Prevent them going on private land.			
01973	Mr Ewan Simmonds	01973/00001/056	
Flexibility of approval wherever or whenever the situation changes.			
01984	Mr Peter Gardner	01984/00001/048	
Discourage them from coming here at all. The population is too high already. Remove them immediately if they arrive.			
01989	Dr Keith Paskins	01989/00001/050	
Housing for specific communities must be planned and controlled as rigidly as other types of development. Unauthorised development by any person or organisation must not be seen as a potential route for development activities.			
01993	Mr Jock Macdonald	01993/00001/036	
I don't know enough about them.			
01994	Miss J Nicholson	01994/00001/052	
Ensure they are true Romanies and not social dropouts. Limit the number. The more 'welcoming' we are, the bigger the problem becomes. Ensure the sites are near work such as on/near a farm or tree plantation but only if owner is willing to both have them and provide employment .			
01995	Mrs Diana Paskins	01995/00001/050	
Enlarge existing sites but try to intergrate these people into society and not pander to their demands if they conflict with the laws of this country.			
02008	Mr Caesar Slattery	02008/00001/059	
Enable gypsies and travellers to integrate into communities by enabling access to normal Educational and health etc facilities			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02016	Mrs Vera Goddard	02016/00001/044	
Local housing for local people given priority. More council houses: young people from here have to move away because there are not enough council houses for them and they are mainly based in Winchester City away from their families. There should be more priority for this rather than for gypsies, who on the whole damage the facilities provided.			
02032	Mrs Joyce Thatcher	02032/00001/022	
Make them clean up their rubbish before moving on			
02038	Mr Philip Mason	02038/00001/048	
However why are gypsies, travellers treated as a specific class			
02069	Mrs Pamela Timms	02069/00001/033	
They should join in and pay their way like everybody else.			
02070	Mr And Mrs Roger Hockin	02070/00001/050	
Gypsies should join housing waiting lists like everyone else.			
02075	Mr Nicholas Jonas	02075/00001/024	
Make it possible through affordable housing to bring gypsies into the normal communities.			
02084	Mrs Melanie Walker	02084/00001/042	
Status quo.			
02092	Mr Fergus Christie	02092/00001/052	
Social inclusion and education for children important			

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02095	Brimble, Lea And Partners	02095/00001/002	
<p>Although the questionnaire (and not the text) refers to the needs of travelling showpeople, options given do not relate to travelling showpeople. For the purposes of Circular 01/06, "gypsies and travellers" excludes travelling showpeople or circus people travelling together as such. Option 1 also refers to an expansion of the Tynfield site which would appear to be a gypsy site rather than quarters for travelling showpeople. We would, however, agree that more sites for showpeople should be provided to meet an identified need and that the degree in which showpeople living on existing unauthorised sites are settled, should be considered as an option.</p>			
02095	Brimble, Lea And Partners	02095/00002/001	
<p>Although the questionnaire (and not the text) refers to the needs of travelling show-people, options do not relate to travelling show-people. For the purposes of Circular 01/06, "gypsies and travellers" excludes travelling show-people or circus people travelling together as such. Option 1 also refers to an expansion of the Tynfield site which would appear to be a gypsy site rather than quarters for travelling show-people. We would, however, agree that more sites for show-people should be provided to meet an identified need and that degree in which show-people living on existing unauthorised sites are settled, should be considered as an option.</p>			
02146	Mr R Parker	02146/00002/043	
<p>Quite a hard question.</p>			
02176	Robert Tutton Town Planning Consultants Ltd	02176/00001/002	
<p>Permission should be given for private sites to enable public monies to be available for those in greatest need. The Tynfield site is remote from local shops and schools and is not necessarily suitable/ sustainable options for some gypsy families.</p>			
02193	Mrs Dagmar Slater	02193/00001/059	
<p>Reclaim waste land and derelict sites and refurbish them to suit travelling communities. However, I feel that such communities should be asked for a financial contribution to such sites and that in view of the hostility some face from settled communities, rules and regulations for use of such sites may need to be revised and appropriate tightened.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02264	Mr Barry Stimpson	02264/00001/022	
I believe a combination of option 1 and 3 would be the correct approach			
02385	Mrs Elisabeth Hopson	02385/00001/054	
Make them compulsory.			
02401	Mrs Lesley Weeks	02401/00001/040	
Why should travelers have help with housing when the money could be put to better use, helping the wider community.			
02427	Mr Michael Emmet	02427/00001/025	
Given government guidance on this matter, option 3 would appear to be illegitimate.			
02431	Mr John Godbold	02431/00001/037	
No facilities should be provided until Gypsies contribute to taxation and the community. The only contribution they make at the moment is rubbish and fly tipping.			
02435	Mr William Stroud	02435/00001/038	
Gypsies/Travellers should be given a permit to allow them to peruse their traditional way of life in return for a strict code of conduct to prevent nuisance and unsociable activities, such as littering and dumping.			
02445	Mr David Roger-Jones	02445/00001/026	
Option 3 can include consideration of Option 2 sites.			
02454	Mr David Phillips	02454/00001/051	
As a District we owe gypsies nothing. They contribute nothing, pay no taxes, and in many instances are fundamentally illegal immigrants. If we have to provide these people with services then it should be on an organised basis similar to Caravan Club sites where you pay upfront for so many nights before you are allowed onto the site. Failure to pay for further nights by mid day on the final day means that you leave the site of your own free will or you are towed off site. However, this would probably contravene their human rights			
02457	Mr I K G Arnold	02457/00001/046	
If these people paid taxes and did not leave sites so disgusting there would be more sympathy and less objection			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02466	Mr Harry Booth	02466/00001/048	
Send them to Sussex.			
02468	Mr Stephen Webb	02468/00001/035	
None of them.			
02469	Mr A Digby	02469/00001/046	
Why not provide them with permanent caravan sites, like the Caravan Club sites, with electrical power hookup, water taps and shower/ toilet blocks that they pay for the use of on a daily basis			
02475	Mr Steve Baxter	02475/00001/046	
Local communities may find permanent sites more acceptable if more environmental support is provided, i.e. provide specific sanitation and waste disposal services.			
02480	Mr Michael Westwell	02480/00001/050	
No solution is ideal and can be frustrated by Gypsies and Travellers buying sites and occupying them without planning permission.			
02484	Mr Philip Sellwood	02484/00001/045	
Drive the thieving scum into the sea			
02497	Mr Ian Parsons	02497/00001/048	
They must pay / contribute to the local economy wherever they park / camp regardless of legality.			
02506	Mr Barney Ball	02506/00001/051	
If you don't pay tax why should your facilities be expanded. Stop wasting our tax on this. Provide the existing field and have done with it. Any further land should not be provided. All that is provided should be temporary and a charge for the use of the land should be placed to the tenants of it. This should cover the cost of all provision and facilities, maintenance and repair of the land they use. Any unauthorised sites should be removed by force.			
02516	Mr Matthew Barker	02516/00001/053	
They should do what the rest of us do which is get a job, pay tax, buy property and comply with planning laws. Until then - tough.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02518	Mrs Debra Clayton	02518/00001/019	
Send them to Clayhill in Essex.			
02527	Mr David Webb Carter	02527/00001/051	
Gypsies and Travellers should be stopped from abusing the system.			
02531	Mrs Clare Carter	02531/00001/012	
No provision for this type of housing should be provided. If this is what our council tax is going towards then no wonder the roads/ transport links and government services are so rubbish.			
02549	Mr Andy Crowe	02549/00001/054	
Option 2 is too vague - what does 'some' mean If the sites are tolerated, are well located for schools etc and the level of reported ASB/Crime is acceptable then these may convert smoothly into permanent sites.			
02558	Mr Kevin Andreoli	02558/00001/033	
There is a need for a site in the north of the district. All sites need adequate grazing for animals.			
02565	Mr Alfred Witham	02565/00001/045	
Travelling show people may be a special case which requires an ad-hoc solution.			
02572	Mr Michael Harmer	02572/00001/045	
Conferring permanent status onto illegal sites should not be considered, but provision does need to be made for traveller sites at appropriate locations.			
02591	Mr Gordon Larcombe	02591/00001/052	
Well, if travellers are to be given sites, then they should be maintained to acceptable standards of appearance and so on. They should not be allowed to become eyesores for local residents to endure.			
02617	Mr Roger Pilling	02617/00001/013	
Gypsies and travellers do not require housing, by definition.			

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02627	Mr David Page	02627/00001/040	
<p>Gypsies and travellers are always an emotive subject. Experience shows that they do not integrate with local societies what with their culture being quite different. If existing sites work well, then it would seem logical that improvements of these is the best way forward for all.</p>			
02629	Mr Michael Blackstaff	02629/00001/034	
<p>Gently but firmly make clear to the travelling community that their reasonable expectation of being accepted and accommodated by the settled population should be matched by their willingness to adopt reasonable standards of behaviour towards the settled population and its property.</p>			
02630	Mr Robert Shepherd	02630/00001/033	
<p>Facilities should be provided for tax payers, and law abiding citizens whatever their chosen lifestyle.</p>			
02662	Mrs Lynda Banister	02662/00001/050	
<p>Gypsies and travellers are by definition people who do not want to live in a single place and facilities for short stays should be available.</p>			
02663	Mr Nigel Dollery	02663/00001/046	
<p>You must not allow people to override the regulations on unauthorised sites - if you do there will be more and more of them - all hoping to be 'converted to permanent'.</p>			
02665	Mr Martin Burton	02665/00001/036	
<p>How do the residents around the Tynefield site feel about Option 1, unfair to ask the rest of the District to give an option when very few residents in WCC would want a gypsy site in their back yard. The problem will not go away if you give permanent status to currently unauthorised sites, its like widening the M25, more people will be drawn to the area.</p>			
02670	Mr Nigel Paterson	02670/00001/047	
<p>Send those who decide on these issues to talk to people who have to live near to one of the more permanent gypsy settlements. The life of neighbours can be made miserable by the current policy being perused.</p>			
02677	Mr Martin Orford	02677/00001/044	
<p>Let them buy their own land. This is not our problem.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02678	Mrs Victoria Watson	02678/00001/044	
I don't think areas should be allocated and indeed extended to accommodate people such as gypsies as these areas would be better used for permanent housing.			
02684	Mr Christopher Sealey	02684/00001/037	
Don't see why the above options are presented as alternatives. All can apply surely.			
02685	Mr Malcolm Thornton	02685/00001/029	
Existing sites are often not sufficiently used/occupied, why find more?			
02688	Mrs Marie Kemp	02688/00001/022	
Encourage travellers to provide their own legal sites with their own money.			
02750	Mrs Vera Bruty	02750/00001/024	
This was a problem twenty five years ago and it seems there is no answer today.			
02753	Mrs Lorrie Smith	02753/00001/045	
Option 1 I think is the most appropriate here because everyone deserves a decent area and to have facilities improved and extended.			
02775	Mrs Rosemarie Lee	02775/00001/045	
Improve existing sites and give permanent status to those de facto sites where the location is practical for both travellers and residents.			
02783	Mrs Dorothy Quiney	02783/00001/040	
Definitely not option 2 - that is only rewarding those who have flouted current planning ploicy and bought land to set up an unauthorised use on unsuitable sites.			
02801	Mr Geoff Bruty	02801/00001/017	
This should be called 'Provision' rather than 'Houses' for gypsies and travellers. They have been badly treated in the past by Hampshire.			
02819	Mr John Fuller	02819/00001/032	
Move them on			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02871	Ms Jo Hayward	02871/00001/053	
<p>I have a gypsy site next to my parents land where I keep my horses. These guys have a site allocated to them (potential Liss) but they say that isn't where they want to live. So what have they done Purchased the land next to us and put four mobile homes up How come they are allowed to do this and other people aren't This is unfair and unsightly for everyone. At the end of the day, they will do as they please and always find a way round the law.</p>			
02874	Mr Michael Hollis	02874/00002/044	
<p>Travelling people contribute nothing to society, therefore society should provide nothing for them. Travellers on unauthorised sites should be prosecuted to the full extent of the law. Non-British travellers should be deported.</p>			
02908	Mr Frank Harrison	02908/00001/024	
<p>Bishops Waltham - No</p>			
02916	Mr David Parker	02916/00001/046	
<p>The council should resist making special provision for gypsies and the like who often do not pay rates or other taxes.</p>			
02921	Mr Ian Tait	02921/00001/039	
<p>In fact my answer would include some of all the options. This group of people have been very badly treated and someone needs to address their issues.</p>			
02922	Mr Ben Welch	02922/00001/022	
<p>I thought gypsies were travellers and did not need a static location. Either they are gypsies and therefore travel and don't put roots down, or are the same as everybody else and have to wait on a queue for housing like single parents, the elderly or disabled or working population on low incomes.</p>			
02924	Mrs Helen Field	02924/00001/042	
<p>No. They should pay for facilities - just like the rest of us have to. Why should we honest hard working people be expected to support them And they steal from us - why should we support them - what do they give us</p>			
02925	Mrs Gaynor Parker	02925/00001/052	
<p>Facilities provided only if council tax paid for.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
02929	Mrs Yvonne Case	02929/00001/046	
Yes, remove all the existing unauthorised traveller sites.			
02932	Mr Jonathan Simmons	02932/00001/059	
Make sure that such people have suitable places to go, but don't just pander to them: remember, those on illegal sites are technically trespassing - should law-breaking be rewarded? And remember that these people have probably never paid a penny of tax in their lives, so do they really have a right to expect special facilities?			
02934	Mrs Eloise Appleby	02934/00001/028	
I don't know enough about the options, but I would like to know if we have succeeded in engaging in any way with the traveller community about this. If we decided something which they don't support, then it probably won't work. And how do we make sure that they value the sites and their permanent neighbours to make sure that sites are treated with respect and healthy integration of communities is possible			
02940	Mrs Patricia Fennell	02940/00001/039	
New sites were identified and constructed 20 odd years ago viz. at Dummer. This site was trashed by the gypsies in a short period of time - I am against providing new sites at the local taxpayers expense.			
02941	Mr Piers Austin	02941/00001/051	
Always these people need to be monitored and controlled. They are unfortunate in some ways, but always associated with local crime surges, rogue trading, rip offs, dodgy dealing, stealing of scrap or otherwise metals, contribute very little to society in terms of taxes, rents, TV licenses, waste disposal, all of which is picked up by us tax payers. I believe they should be licensed, each family, have an identity/tax card and pay commensurate dues to any council in whose territory they are staying. Lets get tougher here please.			
03016	Mrs Danielle F Giles	03016/00001/055	
As you are doing now; public consultation.			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
03029	Mr Robert Toleman	03029/00001/021	
<p>Permanent housing should not be provided for families who prefer to be constantly on the move. Fields with essential services should be provided for maximum stays of 1 month. However, they should be encouraged to take up permanent residence in existing communities.</p>			
03042	Mrs Janet Nobes	03042/00001/037	
<p>Send them back to Ireland, where they go in the winter time. So many gypsies and travellers are two seperate groups of people. So many gypsies are clean and the travellers leave a mess behind.</p>			
03057	Community Action Hampshire	03057/00001/026	
<p>Gypsy and traveller communities best placed to answer this question.</p>			
03059	IBM	03059/00001/048	
<p>Option 4: Existing facilities for gypsies and travellers should be improved and users charged for the facilities to ensure all costs are recovered. There should also be a levy on users to cover the cost of cleaning up unofficial sites. Residents should not be under any obligation to provide for those who do not contribute to the finances of the community. The conversion of unofficial sites to official sites only encourages further settlement of unofficial sites. Where there is a defined need for additional space this should be considered while avoiding any 'reward' of unofficial sites.</p>			
03071	Mr John Hayter	03071/00001/060	
<p>Options 1 and 2 should be used and contributions for affordable housing and other infrastructure should be sought.</p>			
03101	Winchester Area Community Action (WACA)	03101/00001/026	
<p>Support Option 2 where there are established and integrated families in unauthorised sites to minimise disruption to them and their children's education. If additional sites are required, the Council should work with the communities and use specialist support workers in HCC and the voluntary sector.</p>			

Summaries of responses to Core Strategy Issues and Options (October 2008)

Question 19b Are there any other ways in which the need for housing specific communities for the Winchester District could be addressed?

Customer Reference	Name	Comment Reference	Agent
03202	Mr M Charrett	03202/00001/028	
Negotiate with gypsies, travellers and local residents with a view to identifying suitable sites and allowing gypsy children to attend local schools.			